

FIFTY NINTH LEGISLATURE - REGULAR SESSION

FIFTY FOURTH DAY

House Chamber, Olympia, Friday, March 3, 2006

The House was called to order at 9:30 a.m. by the Speaker (Representative Morris presiding). The Clerk called the roll and a quorum was present.

The flag was escorted to the rostrum by the Marine Corps Security Force Company, Bangor. The Speaker (Representative Morris presiding) led the Chamber in the Pledge of Allegiance. Prayer was offered by Chaplain Ed Carroll, Naval Base, Kitsap Bangor.

Reading of the Journal of the previous day was dispensed with and it was ordered to stand approved.

RESOLUTION

HOUSE RESOLUTION NO. 2006-4688. By Representatives Hinkle and Lovick

WHEREAS, Throughout our nation's history, our armed forces have engaged in conflicts during which ordinary men and women witness and perform incredible acts of comradeship, courage, and selflessness that transform them into heroes; and

WHEREAS, Sergeant Jim Harrison distinguished himself while serving as a Special Forces Advisor to the Vietnamese Reaction Company in the 5th Special Forces Group (Airborne) from Fort Bragg, North Carolina; and

WHEREAS, On October 21, 1967, Sergeant Jim Harrison demonstrated exceptional valor and intrepidity in his mission to exploit a Viet Cong base camp while in conflict with armed hostile forces in the Republic of Vietnam; and

WHEREAS, Sergeant Jim Harrison led his platoon through intense automatic weapons fire, exposing him to a savage hail of bullets while he fearlessly led soldiers across the open field until they reached the enemy and assaulted the first line defensive trenches and bunkers; and

WHEREAS, Acting with great selflessness and in the face of ravaging hostile fire, Sergeant Jim Harrison saved the lives of many of his comrades by providing cover fire while his wounded troops were removed from the battlefield; and

WHEREAS, Armed with one automatic rifle and several grenades, Sergeant Jim Harrison charged an enemy fortification alone, and delivered the heaviest and most lethal fire, destroying a bunker and personally killing six enemy soldiers; and

WHEREAS, Sergeant Jim Harrison withdrew across the open field of battle to allow air strikes on the enemy's base

camp and then led troops back into battle across the open field; and

WHEREAS, Sergeant Jim Harrison's disregard for his personal safety and his unremitting valor resulted in an overwhelming victory over the insurgents; and

WHEREAS, Sergeant Jim Harrison earned a Silver Star, the third highest award designated solely for heroism in combat, a Bronze Star, a Presidential Unit Citation, Vietnamese Jump Wings, and the Expert Infantryman Badge for his service to the United States during the Vietnam War; and

WHEREAS, Sergeant Jim Harrison's patriotism and bravery are in the highest traditions of the military service, and reflect great credit upon himself, his unit, and the United States Army;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, That the House of Representatives of the State of Washington salute and honor Sergeant Jim Harrison's courage, heroism, dedication, and selfless duty to his country; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, That copies of this resolution be immediately transmitted by the Chief Clerk of the House of Representatives to Sergeant Jim Harrison, the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff, and the President of the United States.

Representative Hinkle moved the adoption of the resolution.

Representatives Hinkle and Lovick spoke in favor of the adoption of the resolution.

HOUSE RESOLUTION NO. 4688 was adopted.

MESSAGES FROM THE SENATE

March 2, 2006

Mr. Speaker:

The Senate has passed:

- SUBSTITUTE HOUSE BILL NO. 1504,
- SUBSTITUTE HOUSE BILL NO. 2414,
- SUBSTITUTE HOUSE BILL NO. 2670,
- ENGROSSED HOUSE BILL NO. 3074,
- ENGROSSED HOUSE BILL NO. 3192,
- HOUSE BILL NO. 3252,
- HOUSE JOINT MEMORIAL NO. 4031,

and the same are herewith transmitted.

Brad Hendrickson, Deputy Secretary

March 2, 2006

SECOND READING

Mr. Speaker:

The Senate has passed:

HOUSE BILL NO. 1641,
SUBSTITUTE HOUSE BILL NO. 2394,
HOUSE BILL NO. 2690,
HOUSE BILL NO. 2857,
HOUSE BILL NO. 2932,
ENGROSSED SUBSTITUTE HOUSE BILL NO. 2951,
SUBSTITUTE HOUSE BILL NO. 3128,
HOUSE BILL NO. 3154,

and the same are herewith transmitted.

Thomas Hoemann, Secretary

March 2, 2006

Mr. Speaker:

The Senate has passed:

HOUSE BILL NO. 1471,
ENGROSSED SUBSTITUTE HOUSE BILL NO. 2056,
HOUSE BILL NO. 2380,
SUBSTITUTE HOUSE BILL NO. 2804,
SECOND SUBSTITUTE HOUSE BILL NO. 2805,
SUBSTITUTE HOUSE BILL NO. 2908,
HOUSE JOINT MEMORIAL NO. 4023,

and the same are herewith transmitted.

Thomas Hoemann, Secretary

March 2, 2006

Mr. Speaker:

The Senate has passed:

ENGROSSED SUBSTITUTE HOUSE BILL NO. 2479,
HOUSE BILL NO. 2520,
SUBSTITUTE HOUSE BILL NO. 2713,
SUBSTITUTE HOUSE BILL NO. 2726,
HOUSE BILL NO. 3134,
SUBSTITUTE HOUSE BILL NO. 3137,

and the same are herewith transmitted.

Thomas Hoemann, Secretary

March 2, 2006

Mr. Speaker:

The Senate has passed:

HOUSE BILL NO. 1305,
HOUSE BILL NO. 2366,
HOUSE BILL NO. 2379,
SUBSTITUTE HOUSE BILL NO. 2987,
HOUSE BILL NO. 3056,
SUBSTITUTE HOUSE BILL NO. 3120,

and the same are herewith transmitted.

Thomas Hoemann, Secretary

The Speaker (Representative Morris presiding) called upon Representative Lovick to preside.

There being no objection, the House advanced to the sixth order of business.

SENATE BILL NO. 6453, By Senators Mulliken, Pridemore, Fraser, Rockefeller, Franklin and Spanel; by request of Select Committee on Pension Policy

Establishing a one thousand dollar minimum monthly benefit for certain plan 1 members of the public employees' retirement system and certain plan 1 members of the teachers' retirement system.

The bill was read the second time.

There being no objection, the rules were suspended, the second reading considered the third and the bill was placed on final passage.

Representatives Sommers and Bailey spoke in favor of passage of the bill.

The Speaker (Representative Lovick presiding) stated the question before the House to be the final passage of Senate Bill No. 6453.

MOTION

On motion of Representative Clements, Representatives Alexander, Campbell and Skinner were excused.

ROLL CALL

The Clerk called the roll on the final passage of Senate Bill No. 6453 and the bill passed the House by the following vote: Yeas - 95, Nays - 0, Absent - 0, Excused - 3.

Voting yea: Representatives Ahern, Anderson, Appleton, Armstrong, Bailey, Blake, Buck, Buri, Chandler, Chase, Clements, Clibborn, Cody, Condotta, Conway, Cox, Crouse, Curtis, Darneille, DeBolt, Dickerson, Dunn, Dunshee, Eickmeyer, Ericks, Ericksen, Flannigan, Fromhold, Grant, Green, Haigh, Haler, Hankins, Hasegawa, Hinkle, Holmquist, Hudgins, Hunt, Hunter, Jarrett, Kagi, Kenney, Kessler, Kilmer, Kirby, Kretz, Kristiansen, Lantz, Linville, Lovick, McCoy, McCune, McDermott, McDonald, McIntire, Miloscia, Moeller, Morrell, Morris, Murray, Newhouse, Nixon, O'Brien, Orcutt, Ormsby, Pearson, Pettigrew, Priest, Quall, Roach, Roberts, Rodne, Santos, Schindler, Schual-Berke, Sells, Serben, Shabro, Simpson, Sommers, Springer, Strow, Sullivan, B., Sullivan, P., Sump, Takko, Talcott, Tom, Upthegrove, Wallace, Walsh, Williams, Wood, Woods and Mr. Speaker - 95.

Excused: Representatives Alexander, Campbell and Skinner - 3.

SENATE BILL NO. 6453, having received the necessary constitutional majority, was declared passed.

ENGROSSED SUBSTITUTE SENATE BILL NO. 6255, By Senate Committee on Early Learning, K-12 & Higher Education (originally sponsored by Senators Eide and McAuliffe)

Improving student performance through student-centered planning.

The bill was read the second time.

There being no objection, the committee amendment by the Committee on Education was adopted. (For Committee amendment, see Journal, 46th Day, February 23, 2006.)

There being no objection, the rules were suspended, the second reading considered the third and the bill, as amended by the House was placed on final passage.

Representatives Quall and Anderson spoke in favor of passage of the bill.

Representative Schindler spoke against the passage of the bill.

The Speaker (Representative Lovick presiding) stated the question before the House to be the final passage of Engrossed Substitute Senate Bill No. 6255, as amended by the House.

ROLL CALL

The Clerk called the roll on the final passage of Engrossed Substitute Senate Bill No. 6255, as amended by the House and the bill passed the House by the following vote: Yeas - 94, Nays - 2, Absent - 0, Excused - 2.

Voting yea: Representatives Ahern, Anderson, Appleton, Armstrong, Bailey, Blake, Buck, Buri, Campbell, Chandler, Chase, Clements, Clibborn, Cody, Condotta, Conway, Cox, Crouse, Curtis, Darneille, DeBolt, Dickerson, Dunshee, Eickmeyer, Ericks, Ericksen, Flannigan, Fromhold, Grant, Green, Haigh, Haler, Hankins, Hasegawa, Hinkle, Holmquist, Hudgins, Hunt, Hunter, Jarrett, Kagi, Kenney, Kessler, Kilmer, Kirby, Kretz, Kristiansen, Lantz, Linville, Lovick, McCoy, McCune, McDermott, McDonald, McIntire, Miloscia, Moeller, Morrell, Morris, Murray, Newhouse, Nixon, O'Brien, Orcutt, Ormsby, Pearson, Pettigrew, Priest, Quall, Roach, Roberts, Rodne, Santos, Schual-Berke, Sells, Serben, Shabro, Simpson, Sommers, Springer, Strow, Sullivan, B., Sullivan, P., Sump, Takko, Talcott, Tom, Upthegrove, Wallace, Walsh, Williams, Wood, Woods and Mr. Speaker - 94.

Voting nay: Representatives Dunn, and Schindler - 2.

Excused: Representatives Alexander, and Skinner - 2.

ENGROSSED SUBSTITUTE SENATE BILL NO. 6255, as amended by the House, having received the necessary constitutional majority, was declared passed.

STATEMENT FOR THE JOURNAL

I intended to vote YEA on ENGROSSED SUBSTITUTE SENATE BILL NO. 6255.

JIM DUNN, 17th District

ENGROSSED SUBSTITUTE SENATE BILL NO. 6475, By Senate Committee on Early Learning, K-12 & Higher Education (originally sponsored by Senators McAuliffe, Schmidt, Eide, Weinstein, Haugen, Berkey, Kastama, Shin, Kohl-Welles and Rasmussen; by request of Superintendent of Public Instruction)

Authorizing alternative methods of assessment and appeal processes for the certificate of academic achievement.

The bill was read the second time.

There being no objection, the committee amendment by the Committee on Education was not adopted. (For Committee amendment, see Journal, 46th Day, February 23, 2006.)

Representative Quall moved the adoption of amendment (1111):

Strike everything after the enacting clause and insert the following:

"NEW SECTION. Sec. 1. A new section is added to chapter 28A.655 RCW to read as follows:

(1) The legislature has made a commitment to rigorous academic standards for receipt of a high school diploma. The primary way that students will demonstrate that they meet the standards in reading, writing, mathematics, and science is through the Washington assessment of student learning. Only objective assessments that are comparable in rigor to the state assessment are authorized as an alternative assessment. Before seeking an alternative assessment, the legislature expects students to make a genuine effort to meet state standards, through retaking the Washington assessment of student learning; regular and consistent attendance at school; and participation in extended learning and other assistance programs.

(2) Under RCW 28A.655.061, beginning in the 2006-07 school year, the superintendent of public instruction shall implement objective alternative assessment methods as provided in this section for students to demonstrate achievement of the state standards in content areas in which the student has not yet met the standard on the high school Washington assessment of student learning. A student may access an alternative if the student meets applicable eligibility criteria in RCW 28A.655.061 and other eligibility criteria established by the superintendent of public instruction, including but not limited to attendance criteria and participation in the remediation or supplemental instruction contained in the student learning plan developed under RCW 28A.655.061. A school district may waive attendance or remediation criteria for special, unavoidable circumstances.

(3) For the purposes of this section, "applicant" means a student seeking to use one of the alternative assessment methods in this section.

(4) One alternative assessment method shall be a combination of the applicant's grades in applicable courses and the applicant's highest score on the high school Washington assessment of student learning, as provided in this subsection. The superintendent of public instruction shall determine which high school courses are applicable to the alternative assessment method and shall issue guidelines to school districts.

(a) Using guidelines prepared by the superintendent of public instruction, a school district shall identify the group of students in the same school as the applicant who took the same high school courses as the applicant in the applicable content area. From the group of students identified in this manner, the district shall select the comparison cohort that shall be those students who met or slightly exceeded the state standard on the Washington assessment of student learning.

(b) The district shall compare the applicant's grades in high school courses in the applicable content area to the grades of students in the comparison cohort for the same high school courses. If the applicant's grades are equal to or above the mean grades of the comparison cohort, the applicant shall be deemed to have met the state standard on the alternative assessment.

(c) An applicant may not use the alternative assessment under this subsection (4) if there are fewer than six students in the comparison cohort.

(5) The superintendent of public instruction shall develop an alternative assessment method that shall be an evaluation of a collection of work samples prepared and submitted by the applicant, as provided in this subsection and, for career and technical applicants, the additional requirements of subsection (6) of this section.

(a) The superintendent of public instruction shall develop guidelines for the types and number of work samples in each content area that may be submitted as a collection of evidence that the applicant has met the state standard in that content area. Work samples may be collected from academic, career and technical, or remedial courses and may include performance tasks as well as written products. The superintendent shall submit the guidelines for approval by the state board of education.

(b) The superintendent shall develop protocols for submission of the collection of work samples that include affidavits from the applicant's teachers and school district that the samples are the work of the applicant and a requirement that a portion of the samples be prepared under the direct supervision of a classroom teacher. The superintendent shall submit the protocols for approval by the state board of education.

(c) The superintendent shall develop uniform scoring criteria for evaluating the collection of work samples and submit the scoring criteria for approval by the state board of education. Collections shall be scored at the state level or regionally by a panel of educators selected and trained by the superintendent to ensure objectivity, reliability, and rigor in the evaluation. An educator may not score work samples submitted by applicants from the educator's school district. If the panel awards an applicant's collection of work samples the minimum required score, the applicant shall be deemed to have met the state standard on the alternative assessment.

(d) Using an open and public process that includes consultation with district superintendents, school principals, and other educators, the state board of education shall consider the guidelines, protocols, scoring criteria, and other information regarding the collection of

work samples submitted by the superintendent of public instruction. The collection of work samples may be implemented as an alternative assessment after the state board of education has approved the guidelines, protocols, and scoring criteria and determined that the collection of work samples: (i) will meet professionally accepted standards for a valid and reliable measure of the grade level expectations and the essential academic learning requirements; and (ii) is comparable to or exceeds the rigor of the skills and knowledge that a student must demonstrate on the Washington assessment of student learning in the applicable content area. The state board shall make an approval decision and determination no later than December 1, 2006, and thereafter may increase the required rigor of the collection of work samples.

(e) By September of 2006, the superintendent of public instruction shall develop informational materials for parents, teachers, and students regarding the collection of work samples and the status of its development as an alternative assessment method. The materials shall provide specific guidance regarding the type and number of work samples likely to be required, include examples of work that meets the state learning standards, and describe the scoring criteria and process for the collection. The materials shall also encourage students in the graduating class of 2008 to begin creating a collection if they believe they may seek to use the collection once it is implemented as an alternative assessment.

(6)(a) For students enrolled in a career and technical education program approved under section 2 of this act, the superintendent of public instruction shall develop additional guidelines for a collection of work samples that evidences that the collection:

(i) Is relevant to the student's particular career and technical program;

(ii) Focuses on the application of academic knowledge and skills within the program;

(iii) Includes completed activities or projects where demonstration of academic knowledge is inferred; and

(iv) Is related to the essential academic learning requirements and state standards that students must meet to earn a certificate of academic achievement or certificate of individual achievement, but also represents the knowledge and skills that successful individuals in the career and technical field of the approved program are expected to possess.

(b) To meet the state standard on the alternative assessment under this subsection (6), an applicant must also attain the state or nationally recognized certificate or credential associated with the approved career and technical program.

(c) The superintendent shall consult with community and technical colleges, employers, the work force training and education coordinating board, apprenticeship programs, and other regional and national experts in career and technical education to create an appropriate collection of work samples and other evidence of a career and technical student's knowledge and skills on the state academic standards.

(7) The superintendent of public instruction shall study the feasibility of using existing mathematics assessments in languages other than English as an additional alternative assessment option. The study shall include an estimation of the cost of translating the tenth grade mathematics assessment into other languages and scoring the assessments should they be implemented.

(8) The superintendent of public instruction shall implement:

(a) By June 1, 2006, a process for students to appeal the score they received on the high school assessments; and

(b) By January 1, 2007, guidelines and appeal processes for waiving specific requirements in RCW 28A.655.061 pertaining to the

certificate of academic achievement and to the certificate of individual achievement for students who: (i) Transfer to a Washington public school in their junior or senior year with the intent of obtaining a public high school diploma, or (ii) have special, unavoidable circumstances.

(9) The superintendent of public instruction may adopt rules to implement this section.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 2. A new section is added to chapter 28C.04 RCW to read as follows:

The superintendent of public instruction shall develop a list of approved career and technical education programs that qualify for the objective alternative assessment for career and technical students developed under section 1 of this act. Programs on the list must meet the following minimum criteria:

(1) Lead to a certificate or credential that is state or nationally recognized by trades, industries, or other professional associations as necessary for employment or advancement in that field;

(2) Require a sequenced progression of multiple courses, both exploratory and preparatory, that are vocationally intensive and rigorous; and

(3) Have a high potential for providing the program completer with gainful employment or entry into a postsecondary work force training program.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 3. (1) By September 10, 2006, the superintendent of public instruction shall report the following, in detail, to the education committees of the legislature:

(a) Results of the pilot testing of the alternative assessments authorized under section 1 of this act, particularly the pilot testing of the collection of work samples or collection of evidence;

(b) The proposed guidelines, protocols, and procedures to be used by the superintendent in implementing the alternative assessments, particularly the collection of evidence;

(c) The proposed criteria, rubrics, and methodology for scoring the collection of evidence;

(d) A description of the training to be provided for school districts, educators serving on scoring panels, and teachers assisting students with collections of evidence;

(e) Preliminary results of the feasibility study in section 1(7) of this act; and

(f) Updated estimates of the number of students likely to be eligible or apply for an alternative assessment method.

(2) By December 1, 2006, and again by February 1, 2007, the superintendent of public instruction shall provide the education committees of the legislature with an update on the number of students eligible for or participating in an alternative assessment method.

(3) The Washington state institute for public policy shall conduct an independent and objective evaluation of the reliability, validity, and rigor of the alternative assessment methods authorized under section 1 of this act, including an examination of a representative sample of the collections of work samples submitted by the graduating classes of 2008 and 2009. The institute shall submit its findings to the education committees of the legislature by September 1, 2009, to enable the legislature to develop and consider statutory changes to the alternative assessment during the 2010 legislative session.

Sec. 4. RCW 28A.655.061 and 2004 c 19 s 101 are each amended to read as follows:

(1) The high school assessment system shall include but need not be limited to the Washington assessment of student learning, opportunities for a student to retake the content areas of the assessment in which the student was not successful, and if approved by the legislature pursuant to subsection ~~((H))~~ (10) of this section, one or more objective alternative assessments for a student to demonstrate achievement of state academic standards. The objective alternative assessments for each content area shall be comparable in rigor to the skills and knowledge that the student must demonstrate on the Washington assessment of student learning for each content area.

(2) Subject to the conditions in this section, a certificate of academic achievement shall be obtained by most students at about the age of sixteen, and is evidence that the students have successfully met the state standard in the content areas included in the certificate. With the exception of students satisfying the provisions of RCW 28A.155.045, acquisition of the certificate is required for graduation from a public high school but is not the only requirement for graduation.

(3) Beginning with the graduating class of 2008, with the exception of students satisfying the provisions of RCW 28A.155.045, a student who meets the state standards on the reading, writing, and mathematics content areas of the high school Washington assessment of student learning shall earn a certificate of academic achievement. If a student does not successfully meet the state standards in one or more content areas required for the certificate of academic achievement, then the student may retake the assessment in the content area up to four times at no cost to the student. If the student successfully meets the state standards on a retake of the assessment then the student shall earn a certificate of academic achievement. Once objective alternative assessments are authorized pursuant to subsection ~~((H))~~ (10) of this section, a student may use the objective alternative assessments to demonstrate that the student successfully meets the state standards for that content area if the student has retaken the Washington assessment of student learning at least once. If the student successfully meets the state standards on the objective alternative assessments then the student shall earn a certificate of academic achievement. ~~((The student's transcript shall note whether the certificate of academic achievement was acquired by means of the Washington assessment of student learning or by an alternative assessment.))~~

(4) Beginning with the graduating class of 2010, a student must meet the state standards in science in addition to the other content areas required under subsection (3) of this section on the Washington assessment of student learning or the objective alternative assessments in order to earn a certificate of academic achievement.

(5) The state board of education may not require the acquisition of the certificate of academic achievement for students in home-based instruction under chapter 28A.200 RCW, for students enrolled in private schools under chapter 28A.195 RCW, or for students satisfying the provisions of RCW 28A.155.045.

(6) A student may retain and use the highest result from each successfully completed content area of the high school assessment.

~~((Beginning with the graduating class of 2006, the highest scale score and level achieved in each content area on the high school Washington assessment of student learning shall be displayed on a student's transcript. In addition, beginning with the graduating class of 2008, each student shall receive a scholar's designation on his or her transcript for each content area in which the student achieves level four the first time the student takes that content area assessment.))~~

~~((8))~~ Beginning in 2006, school districts must make available to students the following options:

(a) To retake the Washington assessment of student learning up to four times in the content areas in which the student did not meet the state standards if the student is enrolled in a public school; or

(b) To retake the Washington assessment of student learning up to four times in the content areas in which the student did not meet the state standards if the student is enrolled in a high school completion program at a community or technical college. The superintendent of public instruction and the state board for community and technical colleges shall jointly identify means by which students in these programs can be assessed.

~~((9))~~ (8) Students who achieve the standard in a content area of the high school assessment but who wish to improve their results shall pay for retaking the assessment, using a uniform cost determined by the superintendent of public instruction.

~~((10))~~ (9) Subject to available funding, the superintendent shall pilot opportunities for retaking the high school assessment beginning in the 2004-05 school year. Beginning no later than September 2006, opportunities to retake the assessment at least twice a year shall be available to each school district.

~~((11))~~ (10)(a) The office of the superintendent of public instruction shall develop options for implementing objective alternative assessments, which may include an appeals process, for students to demonstrate achievement of the state academic standards. The objective alternative assessments shall be comparable in rigor to the skills and knowledge that the student must demonstrate on the Washington assessment of student learning and be objective in its determination of student achievement of the state standards. Before any objective alternative assessments in addition to those authorized in (b) of this subsection are used by a student to demonstrate that the student has met the state standards in a content area required to obtain a certificate, the legislature shall formally approve the use of any objective alternative assessments through the omnibus appropriations act or by statute or concurrent resolution.

~~((12))~~ (b) A student's score on the mathematics portion of the preliminary scholastic assessment test (PSAT), the scholastic assessment test (SAT), or the American college test (ACT) may be used as an objective alternative assessment under this section for demonstrating that a student has met or exceeded the mathematics standards for the certificate of academic achievement. The state board of education shall identify the scores students must achieve on the mathematics portion of the PSAT, SAT, or ACT to meet or exceed the state standard for mathematics. The state board of education shall identify the first scores by December 1, 2006, and thereafter may increase but not decrease the scores required for students to meet or exceed the state standard for mathematics.

(11) By December 15, 2004, the house of representatives and senate education committees shall obtain information and conclusions from recognized, independent, national assessment experts regarding the validity and reliability of the high school Washington assessment of student learning for making individual student high school graduation determinations.

~~((13))~~ (12) To help assure continued progress in academic achievement as a foundation for high school graduation and to assure that students are on track for high school graduation, each school district shall prepare plans for students as provided in this subsection ~~((13))~~ (12).

(a) Student learning plans are required for eighth through twelfth grade students who were not successful on any or all of the content areas of the Washington assessment for student learning during the previous school year. The plan shall include the courses, competencies, and other steps needed to be taken by the student to

meet state academic standards and stay on track for graduation. This requirement shall be phased in as follows:

(i) Beginning no later than the 2004-05 school year ninth grade students as described in this subsection ~~((13))~~ (12)(a) shall have a plan.

(ii) Beginning no later than the 2005-06 school year and every year thereafter eighth grade students as described in this subsection ~~((13))~~ (12)(a) shall have a plan.

(iii) The parent or guardian shall be notified, preferably through a parent conference, of the student's results on the Washington assessment of student learning, actions the school intends to take to improve the student's skills in any content area in which the student was unsuccessful, strategies to help them improve their student's skills, and the content of the student's plan.

(iv) Progress made on the student plan shall be reported to the student's parents or guardian at least annually and adjustments to the plan made as necessary.

(b) Beginning with the 2005-06 school year and every year thereafter, all fifth grade students who were not successful in one or more of the content areas of the fourth grade Washington assessment of student learning shall have a student learning plan.

(i) The parent or guardian of a student described in this subsection ~~((13))~~ (12)(b) shall be notified, preferably through a parent conference, of the student's results on the Washington assessment of student learning, actions the school intends to take to improve the student's skills in any content area in which the student was unsuccessful, and provide strategies to help them improve their student's skills.

(ii) Progress made on the student plan shall be reported to the student's parents or guardian at least annually and adjustments to the plan made as necessary.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 5. A new section is added to chapter 28A.655 RCW to read as follows:

Subject to the availability of funds appropriated for this purpose, school districts shall reimburse students for the cost of taking the tests in RCW 28A.655.061(10)(b) when the students take the tests for the purpose of using the mathematics results as an objective alternative assessment.

Sec. 6. RCW 28A.305.220 and 2004 c 19 s 108 are each amended to read as follows:

(1) The state board of education shall develop for use by all public school districts a standardized high school transcript. The state board of education shall establish clear definitions for the terms "credits" and "hours" so that school programs operating on the quarter, semester, or trimester system can be compared.

(2) The standardized high school transcript shall include ~~((the following information:~~

~~—(a) The highest scale score and level achieved in each content area on the high school Washington assessment of student learning or other high school measures successfully completed by the student as provided by RCW 28A.655.061 and 28A.155.045;~~

~~—(b) All scholar designations as provided by RCW 28A.655.061;~~

~~—(c)) a notation of whether the student has earned a certificate of individual achievement or a certificate of academic achievement ((by means of the Washington assessment of student learning or by an alternative assessment)).~~

(3) Transcripts are important documents to students who will apply for admission to postsecondary institutions of higher education. Transcripts are also important to students who will seek employment upon or prior to graduation from high school. It is recognized that

student transcripts may be the only record available to employers in their decision-making processes regarding prospective employees. The superintendent of public instruction shall require school districts to inform annually all high school students that prospective employers may request to see transcripts and that the prospective employee's decision to release transcripts can be an important part of the process of applying for employment.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 7. If specific funding for the purposes of this act and section 5 of this act, referencing this act and section 5 of this act by bill or chapter number and section number, is not provided by June 30, 2006, in the omnibus appropriations act, section 5 of this act is null and void."

Correct the title.

Representatives Quall and Talcott spoke in favor of the adoption of the amendment.

The amendment was adopted.

There being no objection, the rules were suspended, the second reading considered the third and the bill, as amended by the House was placed on final passage.

Representatives Quall, Talcott, Anderson, Shabro, Hunter, Tom, Ericksen, Wallace and Ahern spoke in favor of passage of the bill.

Representative Clements spoke against the passage of the bill.

Representative Simpson demanded the previous question and the demand was sustained.

The Speaker (Representative Lovick presiding) stated the question before the House to be the final passage of Engrossed Substitute Senate Bill No. 6475, as amended by the House.

ROLL CALL

The Clerk called the roll on the final passage of Engrossed Substitute Senate Bill No. 6475, as amended by the House and the bill passed the House by the following vote: Yeas - 92, Nays - 4, Absent - 0, Excused - 2.

Voting yea: Representatives Ahern, Anderson, Appleton, Armstrong, Bailey, Blake, Buck, Buri, Campbell, Chase, Clibborn, Cody, Condotta, Conway, Cox, Crouse, Curtis, Darneille, DeBolt, Dickerson, Dunn, Dunshee, Ericks, Ericksen, Flannigan, Fromhold, Grant, Green, Haigh, Haler, Hankins, Hasegawa, Hinkle, Holmquist, Hudgins, Hunt, Hunter, Jarrett, Kagi, Kenney, Kessler, Kilmer, Kirby, Kretz, Kristiansen, Lantz, Lovick, McCoy, McCune, McDermott, McDonald, McIntire, Miloscia, Moeller, Morrell, Morris, Murray, Newhouse, Nixon, O'Brien, Orcutt, Ormsby, Pearson, Pettigrew, Priest, Quall, Roach, Roberts, Rodne, Santos, Schindler, Schual-Berke, Sells, Serben, Shabro, Simpson,

Sommers, Springer, Strow, Sullivan, B., Sullivan, P., Sump, Takko, Talcott, Tom, Upthegrove, Wallace, Walsh, Williams, Wood, Woods and Mr. Speaker - 92.

Voting nay: Representatives Chandler, Clements, Eickmeyer and Linville - 4.

Excused: Representatives Alexander and Skinner - 2.

ENGROSSED SUBSTITUTE SENATE BILL NO. 6475, as amended by the House, having received the necessary constitutional majority, was declared passed.

ENGROSSED SUBSTITUTE SENATE BILL NO. 6244, By Senate Committee on Water, Energy & Environment (originally sponsored by Senators Rockefeller, Morton, Poulsen, Fairley, Kline, Shin, Kohl-Welles and Spanel; by request of Department of Ecology)

Changing provisions relating to oil spill prevention, preparedness, and response.

The bill was read the second time.

There being no objection, the committee amendment by the Committee on Natural Resources, Ecology & Parks was adopted. (For Committee amendment, see Journal, 46th Day, February 23, 2006.)

There being no objection, the rules were suspended, the second reading considered the third and the bill, as amended by the House was placed on final passage.

Representatives B. Sullivan and Buck spoke in favor of passage of the bill.

The Speaker (Representative Lovick presiding) stated the question before the House to be the final passage of Engrossed Substitute Senate Bill No. 6244, as amended by the House.

ROLL CALL

The Clerk called the roll on the final passage of Engrossed Substitute Senate Bill No. 6244, as amended by the House and the bill passed the House by the following vote: Yeas - 98, Nays - 0, Absent - 0, Excused - 0.

Voting yea: Representatives Ahern, Alexander, Anderson, Appleton, Armstrong, Bailey, Blake, Buck, Buri, Campbell, Chandler, Chase, Clements, Clibborn, Cody, Condotta, Conway, Cox, Crouse, Curtis, Darneille, DeBolt, Dickerson, Dunn, Dunshee, Eickmeyer, Ericks, Ericksen, Flannigan, Fromhold, Grant, Green, Haigh, Haler, Hankins, Hasegawa, Hinkle, Holmquist, Hudgins, Hunt, Hunter, Jarrett, Kagi, Kenney, Kessler, Kilmer, Kirby, Kretz, Kristiansen, Lantz, Linville, Lovick, McCoy, McCune, McDermott, McDonald, McIntire, Miloscia, Moeller, Morrell, Morris, Murray, Newhouse, Nixon, O'Brien, Orcutt, Ormsby, Pearson,

Pettigrew, Priest, Quall, Roach, Roberts, Rodne, Santos, Schindler, Schual-Berke, Sells, Serben, Shabro, Simpson, Skinner, Sommers, Springer, Strow, Sullivan, B., Sullivan, P., Sump, Takko, Talcott, Tom, Upthegrove, Wallace, Walsh, Williams, Wood, Woods and Mr. Speaker - 98.

ENGROSSED SUBSTITUTE SENATE BILL NO. 6244, as amended by the House, having received the necessary constitutional majority, was declared passed.

ENGROSSED SUBSTITUTE SENATE BILL NO. 6396, By Senate Committee on Labor, Commerce, Research & Development (originally sponsored by Senators Kohl-Welles, Schmidt, Pridemore, Keiser, Franklin, Thibaudeau, Spanel and Jacobsen)

Modifying the accumulation and use of sick leave accrued by part-time faculty.

The bill was read the second time.

There being no objection, the committee amendment by the Committee on Higher Education & Workforce Education was adopted. (For Committee amendment, see Journal, 46th Day, February 23, 2006.)

There being no objection, the rules were suspended, the second reading considered the third and the bill, as amended by the House was placed on final passage.

Representatives Kenney and Cox spoke in favor of passage of the bill.

The Speaker (Representative Lovick presiding) stated the question before the House to be the final passage of Engrossed Substitute Senate Bill No. 6396, as amended by the House.

ROLL CALL

The Clerk called the roll on the final passage of Engrossed Substitute Senate Bill No. 6396, as amended by the House and the bill passed the House by the following vote: Yeas - 97, Nays - 1, Absent - 0, Excused - 0.

Voting yea: Representatives Ahern, Alexander, Anderson, Appleton, Armstrong, Bailey, Blake, Buck, Buri, Campbell, Chase, Clements, Clibborn, Cody, Condotta, Conway, Cox, Crouse, Curtis, Darneille, DeBolt, Dickerson, Dunn, Dunshee, Eickmeyer, Ericks, Ericksen, Flannigan, Fromhold, Grant, Green, Haigh, Haler, Hankins, Hasegawa, Hinkle, Holmquist, Hudgins, Hunt, Hunter, Jarrett, Kagi, Kenney, Kessler, Kilmer, Kirby, Kretz, Kristiansen, Lantz, Linville, Lovick, McCoy, McCune, McDermott, McDonald, McIntire, Miloscia, Moeller, Morrell, Morris, Murray, Newhouse, Nixon, O'Brien, Orcutt, Ormsby, Pearson, Pettigrew, Priest, Quall, Roach, Roberts, Rodne, Santos, Schindler, Schual-Berke, Sells, Serben, Shabro, Simpson, Skinner, Sommers, Springer, Strow, Sullivan, B., Sullivan, P., Sump, Takko, Talcott, Tom, Upthegrove, Wallace, Walsh, Williams, Wood, Woods and Mr. Speaker - 98.

Sullivan, B., Sullivan, P., Sump, Takko, Talcott, Tom, Upthegrove, Wallace, Walsh, Williams, Wood, Woods and Mr. Speaker - 97.

Voting nay: Representative Chandler - 1.

ENGROSSED SUBSTITUTE SENATE BILL NO. 6396, as amended by the House, having received the necessary constitutional majority, was declared passed.

SENATE BILL NO. 6264, By Senators Kohl-Welles, Parlette, Honeyford, Keiser, Prentice, Kline, McAuliffe and Roach; by request of Department of Labor & Industries

Allowing an injured worker to change total permanent disability pension options under certain circumstances.

The bill was read the second time.

There being no objection, the rules were suspended, the second reading considered the third and the bill was placed on final passage.

Representative Conway spoke in favor of passage of the bill.

The Speaker (Representative Lovick presiding) stated the question before the House to be the final passage of Senate Bill No. 6264.

ROLL CALL

The Clerk called the roll on the final passage of Senate Bill No. 6264 and the bill passed the House by the following vote: Yeas - 98, Nays - 0, Absent - 0, Excused - 0.

Voting yea: Representatives Ahern, Alexander, Anderson, Appleton, Armstrong, Bailey, Blake, Buck, Buri, Campbell, Chandler, Chase, Clements, Clibborn, Cody, Condotta, Conway, Cox, Crouse, Curtis, Darneille, DeBolt, Dickerson, Dunn, Dunshee, Eickmeyer, Ericks, Ericksen, Flannigan, Fromhold, Grant, Green, Haigh, Haler, Hankins, Hasegawa, Hinkle, Holmquist, Hudgins, Hunt, Hunter, Jarrett, Kagi, Kenney, Kessler, Kilmer, Kirby, Kretz, Kristiansen, Lantz, Linville, Lovick, McCoy, McCune, McDermott, McDonald, McIntire, Miloscia, Moeller, Morrell, Morris, Murray, Newhouse, Nixon, O'Brien, Orcutt, Ormsby, Pearson, Pettigrew, Priest, Quall, Roach, Roberts, Rodne, Santos, Schindler, Schual-Berke, Sells, Serben, Shabro, Simpson, Skinner, Sommers, Springer, Strow, Sullivan, B., Sullivan, P., Sump, Takko, Talcott, Tom, Upthegrove, Wallace, Walsh, Williams, Wood, Woods and Mr. Speaker - 98.

SENATE BILL NO. 6264, having received the necessary constitutional majority, was declared passed.

SUBSTITUTE SENATE BILL NO. 6775, By Senate Committee on Human Services & Corrections (originally sponsored by Senators Hargrove, Stevens, Rasmussen and McAuliffe; by request of Attorney General)

Creating the crime of criminal trespass against children.

The bill was read the second time.

There being no objection, the committee amendment by the Committee on Criminal Justice & Corrections was adopted. (For Committee amendment, see Journal, 47th Day, February 25, 2006.)

There being no objection, the rules were suspended, the second reading considered the third and the bill, as amended by the House was placed on final passage.

Representatives O'Brien and Pearson spoke in favor of passage of the bill.

The Speaker (Representative Lovick presiding) stated the question before the House to be the final passage of Substitute Senate Bill No. 6775, as amended by the House.

ROLL CALL

The Clerk called the roll on the final passage of Substitute Senate Bill No. 6775, as amended by the House and the bill passed the House by the following vote: Yeas - 91, Nays - 7, Absent - 0, Excused - 0.

Voting yea: Representatives Ahern, Alexander, Anderson, Appleton, Armstrong, Bailey, Blake, Buck, Buri, Campbell, Chandler, Clements, Clibborn, Cody, Condotta, Conway, Cox, Crouse, Curtis, DeBolt, Dickerson, Dunn, Dunshee, Eickmeyer, Ericks, Ericksen, Fromhold, Grant, Green, Haigh, Haler, Hankins, Hasegawa, Hinkle, Holmquist, Hudgins, Hunt, Hunter, Jarrett, Kagi, Kenney, Kessler, Kilmer, Kirby, Kretz, Kristiansen, Lantz, Linville, Lovick, McCoy, McCune, McDonald, McIntire, Miloscia, Morrell, Morris, Murray, Newhouse, Nixon, O'Brien, Orcutt, Pearson, Pettigrew, Priest, Quall, Roach, Roberts, Rodne, Santos, Schindler, Schual-Berke, Sells, Serben, Shabro, Simpson, Skinner, Sommers, Springer, Strow, Sullivan, B., Sullivan, P., Sump, Takko, Talcott, Tom, Upthegrove, Wallace, Walsh, Williams, Woods and Mr. Speaker - 91.

Voting nay: Representatives Chase, Darneille, Flannigan, McDermott, Moeller, Ormsby and Wood - 7.

SUBSTITUTE SENATE BILL NO. 6775, as amended by the House, having received the necessary constitutional majority, was declared passed.

SECOND SUBSTITUTE SENATE BILL NO. 6460, By Senate Committee on Ways & Means (originally sponsored

by Senators Hargrove, Stevens, McCaslin, McAuliffe, Keiser, Rasmussen, Benton, Roach and Oke; by request of Attorney General)

Increasing penalties for crimes committed with sexual motivation.

The bill was read the second time.

There being no objection, the rules were suspended, the second reading considered the third and the bill was placed on final passage.

Representatives O'Brien, Pearson, Sump and Ahern spoke in favor of passage of the bill.

The Speaker (Representative Lovick presiding) stated the question before the House to be the final passage of Second Substitute Senate Bill No. 6460.

ROLL CALL

The Clerk called the roll on the final passage of Second Substitute Senate Bill No. 6460 and the bill passed the House by the following vote: Yeas - 95, Nays - 3, Absent - 0, Excused - 0.

Voting yea: Representatives Ahern, Alexander, Anderson, Appleton, Armstrong, Bailey, Blake, Buck, Buri, Campbell, Chandler, Clements, Clibborn, Cody, Condotta, Conway, Cox, Crouse, Curtis, Darneille, DeBolt, Dickerson, Dunn, Dunshee, Eickmeyer, Ericks, Ericksen, Fromhold, Grant, Green, Haigh, Haler, Hankins, Hasegawa, Hinkle, Holmquist, Hudgins, Hunt, Hunter, Jarrett, Kagi, Kenney, Kessler, Kilmer, Kirby, Kretz, Kristiansen, Lantz, Linville, Lovick, McCoy, McCune, McDermott, McDonald, McIntire, Miloscia, Morrell, Morris, Murray, Newhouse, Nixon, O'Brien, Orcutt, Ormsby, Pearson, Pettigrew, Priest, Quall, Roach, Roberts, Rodne, Santos, Schindler, Schual-Berke, Sells, Serben, Shabro, Simpson, Skinner, Sommers, Springer, Strow, Sullivan, B., Sullivan, P., Sump, Takko, Talcott, Tom, Upthegrove, Wallace, Walsh, Williams, Wood, Woods and Mr. Speaker - 95.

Voting nay: Representatives Chase, Flannigan and Moeller - 3.

SECOND SUBSTITUTE SENATE BILL NO. 6460, having received the necessary constitutional majority, was declared passed.

ENGROSSED SECOND SUBSTITUTE SENATE BILL NO. 6239, By Senate Committee on Ways & Means (originally sponsored by Senators Hargrove, Johnson, Doumit, Oke, Stevens and Esser; by request of Attorney General)

Changing provisions relating to controlled substances.

The bill was read the second time.

There being no objection, the committee amendment by the Committee on Appropriations was before the House for purpose of amendment. (For Committee amendment, see Journal, 50th Day, February 27, 2006.)

With the consent of the House, amendment (1105) was withdrawn.

Representative B. Sullivan moved the adoption of amendment (1110) to the committee amendment:

On page 13 of the amendment, after line 7, strike all material through "January 1, 2007." on page 16, line 2 and insert the following:

"Sec. 201. RCW 64.44.010 and 1999 c 292 s 2 are each amended to read as follows:

The words and phrases defined in this section shall have the following meanings when used in this chapter unless the context clearly indicates otherwise.

(1) "Authorized contractor" means a person who decontaminates, demolishes, or disposes of contaminated property as required by this chapter who is certified by the department as provided for in RCW 64.44.060.

(2) "Contaminated" or "contamination" means polluted by hazardous chemicals so that the property is unfit for human habitation or use due to immediate or long-term hazards. Property that at one time was contaminated but has been satisfactorily decontaminated according to procedures established by the state board of health is not "contaminated."

(3) "Department" means the department of health.

(4) "Hazardous chemicals" means the following substances ~~((used in))~~ associated with the illegal manufacture of ~~((illegal drugs))~~ controlled substances: (a) Hazardous substances as defined in RCW 70.105D.020 ~~((, and))~~; (b) precursor substances as defined in RCW 69.43.010 which the state board of health, in consultation with the state board of pharmacy, has determined present an immediate or long-term health hazard to humans; and (c) the controlled substance or substances being manufactured, as defined in RCW 69.50.101.

~~((+))~~ (5) "Officer" means a local health officer authorized under chapters 70.05, 70.08, and 70.46 RCW.

~~((+))~~ (6) "Property" means any real or personal property, ((site, structure, or part of a structure which)) or segregable part thereof, that is involved in or affected by the unauthorized manufacture, distribution, or storage of hazardous chemicals. This includes but is not limited to single-family residences, units of multiplexes, condominiums, apartment buildings, boats, motor vehicles, trailers, manufactured housing, ~~((or))~~ any shop, booth, ~~((or))~~ garden, or storage shed, and all contents of the items referenced in this subsection.

Sec. 202. RCW 64.44.020 and 1999 c 292 s 3 are each amended to read as follows:

Whenever a law enforcement agency becomes aware that property has been contaminated by hazardous chemicals, that agency shall report the contamination to the local health officer. The local health officer shall ~~((post))~~ cause a posting of a written warning on the premises within one working day of notification of the contamination and shall inspect the property within fourteen days after receiving the notice of contamination. The warning posting for any property that includes a hotel or motel holding a current license under RCW 70.62.220, shall be limited to inside the room or on the

door of the contaminated room and no written warning posting shall be posted in the lobby of the facility. The warning shall inform the potential occupants that hazardous chemicals may exist on, or have been removed from, the premises and that entry is unsafe. If a property owner believes that a tenant has contaminated property that was being leased or rented, and the property is vacated or abandoned, then the property owner shall contact the local health officer about the possible contamination. Local health officers or boards may charge property owners reasonable fees for inspections of suspected contaminated property requested by property owners.

A local health officer may enter, inspect, and survey at reasonable times any properties for which there are reasonable grounds to believe that the property has become contaminated. If the property is contaminated, the local health officer shall post a written notice declaring that the officer intends to issue an order prohibiting use of the property as long as the property is contaminated.

If access to the property is denied, a local health officer in consultation with law enforcement may seek a warrant for the purpose of conducting administrative inspections. A superior, district, or municipal court within the jurisdiction of the property may, based upon probable cause that the property is contaminated, issue warrants for the purpose of conducting administrative inspections.

Local health officers must report all cases of contaminated property to the state department of health. The department may make the list of contaminated properties available to health associations, landlord and realtor organizations, prosecutors, and other interested groups. The department shall promptly update the list of contaminated properties to remove those which have been decontaminated according to provisions of this chapter.

The local health officer may determine when the services of an authorized contractor are necessary.

Sec. 203. RCW 64.44.030 and 1999 c 292 s 4 are each amended to read as follows:

(1) If after the inspection of the property, the local health officer finds that it is contaminated, then the ~~((property shall be found unfit for))~~ local health officer shall issue an order declaring the property unfit and prohibiting its use. The local health officer shall cause the order to be served ~~((an order prohibiting use))~~ either personally or by certified mail, with return receipt requested, upon all occupants and persons having any interest therein as shown upon the records of the auditor's office of the county in which such property is located. The local health officer shall also ~~((post))~~ cause the order ((prohibiting use)) to be posted in a conspicuous place on the property. If the whereabouts of such persons is unknown and the same cannot be ascertained by the local health officer in the exercise of reasonable diligence, and the health officer makes an affidavit to that effect, then the serving of the order upon such persons may be made either by personal service or by mailing a copy of the order by certified mail, postage prepaid, return receipt requested, to each person at the address appearing on the last equalized tax assessment roll of the county where the property is located or at the address known to the county assessor, and the order shall be posted conspicuously at the residence. A copy of the order shall also be mailed, addressed to each person or party having a recorded right, title, estate, lien, or interest in the property. The order shall contain a notice that a hearing before the local health board or officer shall be held upon the request of a person required to be notified of the order under this section. The request for a hearing must be made within ten days of serving the order. The hearing shall then be held within not less than twenty days nor more than thirty days after the serving of the order. The officer shall prohibit use as long as the property is found to be contaminated.

A copy of the order shall also be filed with the auditor of the county in which the property is located, where the order pertains to real property, and such filing of the complaint or order shall have the same force and effect as other lis pendens notices provided by law. In any hearing concerning whether property is fit for use, the property owner has the burden of showing that the property is decontaminated or fit for use. The owner or any person having an interest in the property may file an appeal on any order issued by the local health board or officer within thirty days from the date of service of the order with the appeals commission established pursuant to RCW 35.80.030. All proceedings before the appeals commission, including any subsequent appeals to superior court, shall be governed by the procedures established in chapter 35.80 RCW.

(2) If the local health officer determines immediate action is necessary to protect public health, safety, or the environment, the officer may issue or cause to be issued an emergency order, and any person to whom such an order is directed shall comply immediately. Emergency orders issued pursuant to this section shall expire no later than seventy-two hours after issuance and shall not impair the health officer from seeking an order under subsection (1) of this section.

Sec. 204. RCW 64.44.040 and 1999 c 292 s 5 are each amended to read as follows:

(1) Upon issuance of an order declaring property unfit and prohibiting its use, the city or county in which the contaminated property is located may take action to prohibit use, occupancy, or removal of such property; condemn, decontaminate, or demolish the property; or ((to)) require that the property be vacated or the contents removed from the property. The city or county may use an authorized contractor if property is demolished, decontaminated, or removed under this section. The city, county, or contractor shall comply with all orders of the health officer during these processes. No city or county may condemn, decontaminate, or demolish property pursuant to this section until all procedures granting the right of notice and the opportunity to appeal in RCW 64.44.030 have been exhausted, but may prohibit use, occupancy, or removal of contaminated property pending appeal of the order.

(2)(a) It is unlawful for any person to enter upon any property, or to remove any property, that has been found unfit for use by a local health officer pursuant to RCW 64.44.030.

(b) This subsection does not apply to: (i) Health officials, law enforcement officials, or other government agents performing their official duties; (ii) authorized contractors or owners performing decontamination pursuant to authorization by the local health officer; and (iii) any person acting with permission of a local health officer, or of a superior court or hearing examiner following an appeal of a decision of the local health officer.

(c) Any person who violates this subsection is guilty of a misdemeanor.

(3) No provision of this section may be construed to limit the ability of the local health officer to permit occupants or owners of the property at issue to remove uncontaminated personal property from the premises.

Sec. 205. RCW 64.44.050 and 1999 c 292 s 6 are each amended to read as follows:

(1) An owner of contaminated property who desires to have the property decontaminated, demolished, or disposed of shall use the services of an authorized contractor unless otherwise authorized by the local health officer. The contractor and property owner shall prepare and submit a written work plan for decontamination, demolition, or disposal to the local health officer. The local health

officer may charge a reasonable fee for review of the work plan. If the work plan is approved and the decontamination, demolition, or disposal is completed and the property is retested according to the plan and properly documented, then the health officer shall allow reuse of the property. A release for reuse document shall be recorded in the real property records indicating the property has been decontaminated, demolished, or disposed of in accordance with rules of the state department of health. The property owner is responsible for: (a) The costs of any property testing which may be required to demonstrate the presence or absence of hazardous chemicals; and (b) the costs of the property's decontamination, demolition, and disposal expenses, as well as costs incurred by the local health officer resulting from the enforcement of this chapter.

(2) The local health officer has thirty days from the issuance of an order declaring a property unfit and prohibiting its use to establish a reasonable timeline for decontamination. The department of health shall establish the factors to be considered by the local health officer in establishing the appropriate amount of time.

The local health officer shall notify the property owner of the proposed time frame by United States mail to the last known address. Notice shall be postmarked no later than the thirtieth day from the issuance of the order. The property owner may request a modification of the time frame by submitting a letter identifying the circumstances which justify such an extension to the local health officer within thirty-five days of the date of the postmark on the notification regardless of when received.

Sec. 206. RCW 64.44.060 and 1999 c 292 s 7 are each amended to read as follows:

(1) A contractor, supervisor, or worker may not perform decontamination, demolition, or disposal work unless issued a certificate by the state department of health. The department shall establish performance standards for contractors, supervisors, and workers by rule in accordance with chapter 34.05 RCW, the administrative procedure act. The department shall train and test, or may approve courses to train and test, contractors, supervisors, and ((their employees)) workers on the essential elements in assessing property used as an illegal ((drug)) controlled substances manufacturing or storage site to determine hazard reduction measures needed, techniques for adequately reducing contaminants, use of personal protective equipment, methods for proper decontamination, demolition, removal, and disposal of contaminated property, and relevant federal and state regulations. Upon successful completion of the training, and after a background check, the contractor, supervisor, or ((employee)) worker shall be certified.

(2) The department may require the successful completion of annual refresher courses provided or approved by the department for the continued certification of the contractor or employee.

(3) The department shall provide for reciprocal certification of any individual trained to engage in decontamination, demolition, or disposal work in another state when the prior training is shown to be substantially similar to the training required by the department. The department may require such individuals to take an examination or refresher course before certification.

(4) The department may deny, suspend, ((or)) revoke, or place restrictions on a certificate for failure to comply with the requirements of this chapter or any rule adopted pursuant to this chapter. A certificate may be denied, suspended, ((or)) revoked, or have restrictions placed on it on any of the following grounds:

(a) Failing to perform decontamination, demolition, or disposal work under the supervision of trained personnel;

(b) Failing to perform decontamination, demolition, or disposal work using department of health certified decontamination personnel;

(c) Failing to file a work plan;

~~((c))~~ (d) Failing to perform work pursuant to the work plan;

~~((c))~~ (e) Failing to perform work that meets the requirements of the department and the requirements of the local health officers;

~~((c))~~ The certificate was obtained by error, misrepresentation, or fraud; or)

(f) Failing to properly dispose of contaminated property;

(g) Committing fraud or misrepresentation in: (i) Applying for or obtaining a certification, recertification, or reinstatement;

(ii) seeking approval of a work plan; and (iii) documenting completion of work to the department or local health officer;

(h) Failing the evaluation and inspection of decontamination projects pursuant to section 208 of this act; or

(i) If the person has been certified pursuant to RCW 74.20A.320 by the department of social and health services as a person who is not in compliance with a support order or a residential or visitation order. If the person has continued to meet all other requirements for reinstatement during the suspension, reissuance of the license or certificate shall be automatic upon the department's receipt of a release issued by the department of social and health services stating that the person is in compliance with the order.

(5) A contractor, supervisor, or worker who violates any provision of this chapter may be assessed a fine not to exceed five hundred dollars for each violation.

(6) The department of health shall prescribe fees as provided for in RCW 43.70.250 for: The issuance and renewal of certificates, conducting background checks of applicants, the administration of examinations, and ~~((for))~~ the review of training courses.

(7) The decontamination account is hereby established in the state treasury. All fees collected under this chapter shall be deposited in this account. Moneys in the account may only be spent after appropriation for costs incurred by the department in the administration and enforcement of this chapter.

Sec. 207. RCW 64.44.070 and 1999 c 292 s 8 are each amended to read as follows:

(1) The state board of health shall promulgate rules and standards for carrying out the provisions in this chapter in accordance with chapter 34.05 RCW, the administrative procedure act. The local board of health and the local health officer are authorized to exercise such powers as may be necessary to carry out this chapter. The department shall provide technical assistance to local health boards and health officers to carry out their duties under this chapter.

(2) The department shall adopt rules for decontamination of a property used as ~~((an illegal drug))~~ a laboratory for the production of controlled substances and methods for the testing of porous and nonporous surfaces, ground water, surface water, soil, and septic tanks for contamination. The rules shall establish decontamination standards for hazardous chemicals, including but not limited to methamphetamine, lead, mercury, and total volatile organic compounds.

(3) The department shall adopt rules regarding independent third party sampling including those pertaining to:

(a) Verification of possible property contamination due to the illegal manufacture of controlled substances;

(b) Verification of satisfactory decontamination of property deemed contaminated and unfit for use;

(c) Certification of independent third party samplers;

(d) Qualifications and performance standards for independent third party samplers;

(e) Administration of background checks for third party sampler applicants; and

(f) The denial, suspension, or revocation of independent third party sampler certification.

(4) For the purposes of this section, an independent third party sampler is a person who is not an employee, agent, representative, partner, joint venturer, shareholder, or parent or subsidiary company of the authorized contractor, the authorized contractor's company, or the property owner.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 208. A new section is added to chapter 64.44 RCW to read as follows:

The department may evaluate annually a number of the property decontamination projects performed by licensed contractors to determine the adequacy of the decontamination work, using the services of an independent environmental contractor or state or local agency. If a project fails the evaluation and inspection, the contractor is subject to a civil penalty and license suspension, pursuant to RCW 64.44.060 (4) and (5); and the contractor is prohibited from performing additional work until deficiencies have been corrected.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 209. The department of community, trade, and economic development shall report to the legislature on the feasibility of providing incentives and protections to landlords to encourage housing rentals to recovering substance abusers or those convicted of drug crimes. A final report must be submitted to the appropriate committees of the legislature by January 1, 2007.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 210. The department of ecology shall, in consultation with interested local health jurisdictions and their corresponding city or county governments, conduct a pilot program to demonstrate application of existing legal methods and grant programs administered under the model toxics control act in chapter 70.105D RCW, and other available authorities and funds to clean up methamphetamine-contaminated property for a public purpose. This pilot program shall include: (1) A facility with hazardous substance releases to soil or ground water resulting from a former methamphetamine lab or other historic uses of the property that created liability under chapter 70.105D RCW; and (2) a facility where the primary issue is decontamination or demolition of methamphetamine contaminated structures and other solid waste related issues. The department of ecology shall submit a report on the pilot program to the appropriate committees of the legislature by January 1, 2007."

Representatives B. Sullivan and Buck spoke in favor of the adoption of the amendment to the committee amendment.

The amendment to the committee amendment was adopted.

The committee amendment as amended was adopted.

There being no objection, the rules were suspended, the second reading considered the third and the bill, as amended by the House was placed on final passage.

Representatives O'Brien, Pearson, Takko, Ahern, McDonald, Priest and Orcutt spoke in favor of passage of the bill.

The Speaker (Representative Lovick presiding) stated the question before the House to be the final passage of Engrossed Second Substitute Senate Bill No. 6239, as amended by the House.

ROLL CALL

The Clerk called the roll on the final passage of Engrossed Second Substitute Senate Bill No. 6239, as amended by the House and the bill passed the House by the following vote: Yeas - 98, Nays - 0, Absent - 0, Excused - 0.

Voting yea: Representatives Ahern, Alexander, Anderson, Appleton, Armstrong, Bailey, Blake, Buck, Buri, Campbell, Chandler, Chase, Clements, Clibborn, Cody, Condotta, Conway, Cox, Crouse, Curtis, Darneille, DeBolt, Dickerson, Dunn, Dunshee, Eickmeyer, Ericks, Ericksen, Flannigan, Fromhold, Grant, Green, Haigh, Haler, Hankins, Hasegawa, Hinkle, Holmquist, Hudgins, Hunt, Hunter, Jarrett, Kagi, Kenney, Kessler, Kilmer, Kirby, Kretz, Kristiansen, Lantz, Linville, Lovick, McCoy, McCune, McDermott, McDonald, McIntire, Miloscia, Moeller, Morrell, Morris, Murray, Newhouse, Nixon, O'Brien, Orcutt, Ormsby, Pearson, Pettigrew, Priest, Quall, Roach, Roberts, Rodne, Santos, Schindler, Schual-Berke, Sells, Serben, Shabro, Simpson, Skinner, Sommers, Springer, Strow, Sullivan, B., Sullivan, P., Sump, Takko, Talcott, Tom, Upthegrove, Wallace, Walsh, Williams, Wood, Woods and Mr. Speaker - 98.

ENGROSSED SECOND SUBSTITUTE SENATE BILL NO. 6239, as amended by the House, having received the necessary constitutional majority, was declared passed.

There being no objection, the Rules Committee was relieved of the following bills, and the bills were placed on the Second Reading calendar:

ENGROSSED SENATE BILL NO. 5048,
SENATE BILL NO. 6280,
SENATE BILL NO. 6379,
SENATE BILL NO. 6541,
SUBSTITUTE SENATE BILL NO. 6806,

SECOND READING

ENGROSSED SUBSTITUTE SENATE BILL NO. 6151, By Senate Committee on Water, Energy & Environment (originally sponsored by Senators Schoesler, Poulsen, Mulliken, Rasmussen, Jacobsen, Morton and Delvin)

Protecting aquifer levels.

The bill was read the second time.

Representative Linville moved the adoption of amendment (1119):

On page 2, line 30, after "(2)" insert "(a) A water right holder choosing to not exercise a water right in accordance with the provisions of this section must provide notice to the department in writing within one hundred eighty days of such choice. The notice shall include the name of the water right holder and the number of the permit, certificate, or claim.

(b) When a water right holder chooses to discontinue nonuse under the provisions of this section, notice of such action must be provided to the department in writing. Notice is not required under this subsection (2)(b) for seasonal fluctuations in use if the right is not fully exercised as reflected in the notice provided under this subsection (2)(a) of this section.

(3) The provisions of this section relating to the nonuse of all or a portion of a water right are in addition to any other provisions relating to such nonuse under existing law.

(4)"

Renumber the remaining subsections consecutively and correct any internal references accordingly.

Representatives Linville and Linville (again) spoke in favor of the adoption of the amendment.

Representatives Chandler, Buri, Cox and Dunn spoke against the adoption of the amendment.

Division was demanded and the demand was sustained. The Speaker (Representative Lovick presiding) divided the House. The results was 56 - YEAS; 42 -NAYS.

The amendment was adopted.

There being no objection, the rules were suspended, the second reading considered the third and the bill, as amended by the House was placed on final passage.

Representatives Linville, Newhouse and Dunn spoke in favor of passage of the bill.

The Speaker (Representative Lovick presiding) stated the question before the House to be the final passage of Engrossed Substitute Senate Bill No. 6151, as amended by the House.

ROLL CALL

The Clerk called the roll on the final passage of Engrossed Substitute Senate Bill No. 6151, as amended by the House and the bill passed the House by the following vote: Yeas - 96, Nays - 2, Absent - 0, Excused - 0.

Voting yea: Representatives Ahern, Alexander, Anderson, Appleton, Armstrong, Bailey, Blake, Buck, Campbell, Chandler, Chase, Clements, Clibborn, Cody, Condotta, Conway, Crouse, Curtis, Darneille, DeBolt, Dickerson, Dunn, Dunshee, Eickmeyer, Ericks, Ericksen, Flannigan, Fromhold, Grant, Green, Haigh, Haler, Hankins, Hasegawa, Hinkle, Holmquist, Hudgins, Hunt, Hunter, Jarrett, Kagi, Kenney, Kessler, Kilmer, Kirby, Kretz, Kristiansen, Lantz, Linville, Lovick, McCoy, McCune, McDermott, McDonald, McIntire,

Miloscia, Moeller, Morrell, Morris, Murray, Newhouse, Nixon, O'Brien, Orcutt, Ormsby, Pearson, Pettigrew, Priest, Quall, Roach, Roberts, Rodne, Santos, Schindler, Schual-Berke, Sells, Serben, Shabro, Simpson, Skinner, Sommers, Springer, Strow, Sullivan, B., Sullivan, P., Sump, Takko, Talcott, Tom, Upthegrove, Wallace, Walsh, Williams, Wood, Woods and Mr. Speaker - 96.

Voting nay: Representatives Buri and Cox - 2.

ENGROSSED SUBSTITUTE SENATE BILL NO. 6151, as amended by the House, having received the necessary constitutional majority, was declared passed.

STATEMENT FOR THE JOURNAL

I intended to vote YEA on ENGROSSED SUBSTITUTE SENATE BILL NO. 6151.

DAVID BURI, 9th District

STATEMENT FOR THE JOURNAL

I intended to vote YEA on ENGROSSED SUBSTITUTE HOUSE BILL NO. 6151.

DON COX, 9th District

SUBSTITUTE SENATE BILL NO. 6287, By Senate Committee on Transportation (originally sponsored by Senators Fairley, Thibaudeau and Shin)

Authorizing special parking privileges for the legally blind.

The bill was read the second time.

There being no objection, the committee amendment by the Committee on Transportation was adopted. (For Committee amendment, see Journal, 47th Day, February 24, 2006.)

Representative Cody moved the adoption of amendment (1118):

On page 2, line 14, after "blind" insert "and has limited mobility"

Representatives Cody, Murray, Dickerson and Cody (again) spoke in favor of the adoption of the amendment.

Representatives Ericksen, Nixon, Buck, Shabro, Orcutt, Nixon (again), Ahern and Armstrong spoke against the adoption of the amendment.

There being no objection, the House deferred action on SUBSTITUTE SENATE BILL NO. 6287 and the bill held its place on second reading.

SENATE BILL NO. 6364, By Senators Roach, Rasmussen, Kastama, Haugen and Kline

Prohibiting certain activities on motor driven boats and vessels.

The bill was read the second time.

There being no objection, the committee amendment by the Committee on Appropriations was before the House for purpose of amendment. (For Committee amendment, see Journal, 50th Day, February 27, 2006.)

Representative Walsh moved the adoption of amendment (1117) to the committee amendment:

On page 1, line 27, after "is" strike "an" and insert "a natural resource"

On page 1, line 28, after "RCW" insert ", however the fine imposed may not exceed one hundred dollars"

Representatives Serben and B. Sullivan spoke in favor of the adoption of the amendment to the committee amendment.

The amendment to the committee amendment was adopted.

The committee amendment as amended was adopted.

There being no objection, the rules were suspended, the second reading considered the third and the bill, as amended by the House was placed on final passage.

Representatives Walsh, B. Sullivan, Shabro, Buck, Condotta and Orcutt spoke in favor of passage of the bill.

The Speaker (Representative Lovick presiding) stated the question before the House to be the final passage of Senate Bill No. 6364, as amended by the House.

ROLL CALL

The Clerk called the roll on the final passage of Senate Bill No. 6364, as amended by the House and the bill passed the House by the following vote: Yeas - 97, Nays - 1, Absent - 0, Excused - 0.

Voting yea: Representatives Ahern, Alexander, Appleton, Armstrong, Bailey, Blake, Buck, Buri, Campbell, Chandler, Chase, Clements, Clibborn, Cody, Condotta, Conway, Cox, Crouse, Curtis, Darneille, DeBolt, Dickerson, Dunn, Dunshee, Eickmeyer, Ericks, Ericksen, Flannigan, Fromhold, Grant, Green, Haigh, Haler, Hankins, Hasegawa, Hinkle, Holmquist, Hudgins, Hunt, Hunter, Jarrett, Kagi, Kenney, Kessler, Kilmer, Kirby, Kretz, Kristiansen, Lantz, Linville, Lovick, McCoy, McCune, McDermott, McDonald, McIntire, Miloscia,

Moeller, Morrell, Morris, Murray, Newhouse, Nixon, O'Brien, Orcutt, Ormsby, Pearson, Pettigrew, Priest, Quall, Roach, Roberts, Rodne, Santos, Schindler, Schual-Berke, Sells, Serben, Shabro, Simpson, Skinner, Sommers, Springer, Strow, Sullivan, B., Sullivan, P., Sump, Takko, Talcott, Tom, Upthegrove, Wallace, Walsh, Williams, Wood, Woods and Mr. Speaker - 97.

Voting nay: Representative Anderson - 1.

SENATE BILL NO. 6364, as amended by the House, having received the necessary constitutional majority, was declared passed.

ENGROSSED SUBSTITUTE SENATE BILL NO. 6427, By Senate Committee on Government Operations & Elections (originally sponsored by Senators Kastama, Mulliken, Morton and Rasmussen; by request of Department of Community, Trade, and Economic Development)

Concerning schedules for the review of comprehensive plans and development regulations.

The bill was read the second time.

There being no objection, the committee amendment by the Committee on Local Government was adopted. (For Committee amendment, see Journal, 47th Day, February 24, 2006.)

There being no objection, the rules were suspended, the second reading considered the third and the bill, as amended by the House was placed on final passage.

Representatives Simpson and Schindler spoke in favor of passage of the bill.

The Speaker (Representative Lovick presiding) stated the question before the House to be the final passage of Engrossed Substitute Senate Bill No. 6427, as amended by the House.

ROLL CALL

The Clerk called the roll on the final passage of Engrossed Substitute Senate Bill No. 6427, as amended by the House and the bill passed the House by the following vote: Yeas - 98, Nays - 0, Absent - 0, Excused - 0.

Voting yea: Representatives Ahern, Alexander, Anderson, Appleton, Armstrong, Bailey, Blake, Buck, Buri, Campbell, Chandler, Chase, Clements, Clibborn, Cody, Condotta, Conway, Cox, Crouse, Curtis, Darneille, DeBolt, Dickerson, Dunn, Dunshee, Eickmeyer, Ericks, Ericksen, Flannigan, Fromhold, Grant, Green, Haigh, Haler, Hankins, Hasegawa, Hinkle, Holmquist, Hudgins, Hunt, Hunter, Jarrett, Kagi, Kenney, Kessler, Kilmer, Kirby, Kretz, Kristiansen, Lantz, Linville, Lovick, McCoy, McCune, McDermott, McDonald,

McIntire, Miloscia, Moeller, Morrell, Morris, Murray, Newhouse, Nixon, O'Brien, Orcutt, Ormsby, Pearson, Pettigrew, Priest, Quall, Roach, Roberts, Rodne, Santos, Schindler, Schual-Berke, Sells, Serben, Shabro, Simpson, Skinner, Sommers, Springer, Strow, Sullivan, B., Sullivan, P., Sump, Takko, Talcott, Tom, Upthegrove, Wallace, Walsh, Williams, Wood, Woods and Mr. Speaker - 98.

ENGROSSED SUBSTITUTE SENATE BILL NO. 6427, as amended by the House, having received the necessary constitutional majority, was declared passed.

MOTION

On motion of Representative Quall, the House immediately reconsidered the vote by which ENGROSSED SUBSTITUTE SENATE BILL NO. 6475 passed the House.

ENGROSSED SUBSTITUTE SENATE BILL NO. 6475, By Senate Committee on Early Learning, K-12 & Higher Education (originally sponsored by Senators McAuliffe, Schmidt, Eide, Weinstein, Haugen, Berkey, Kastama, Shin, Kohl-Welles and Rasmussen; by request of Superintendent of Public Instruction)

Authorizing alternative methods of assessment and appeal processes for the certificate of academic achievement.

There being no objection, the rules were suspended and ENGROSSED SUBSTITUTE SENATE BILL NO. 6475 was returned to Second Reading for purpose of amendment.

There being no objection, the House reverted to the sixth order of business.

SECOND READING

ENGROSSED SUBSTITUTE SENATE BILL NO. 6475, By Senate Committee on Early Learning, K-12 & Higher Education (originally sponsored by Senators McAuliffe, Schmidt, Eide, Weinstein, Haugen, Berkey, Kastama, Shin, Kohl-Welles and Rasmussen; by request of Superintendent of Public Instruction)

Authorizing alternative methods of assessment and appeal processes for the certificate of academic achievement.

Representative Quall moved adoption of amendment (1120):

Strike everything after the enacting clause and insert the following:

"NEW SECTION. Sec. 1. A new section is added to chapter 28A.655 RCW to read as follows:

(1) The legislature has made a commitment to rigorous academic standards for receipt of a high school diploma. The primary way that students will demonstrate that they meet the standards in reading, writing, mathematics, and science is through the Washington assessment of student learning. Only objective assessments that are comparable in rigor to the state assessment are authorized as an alternative assessment. Before seeking an alternative assessment, the legislature expects students to make a genuine effort to meet state standards, through retaking the Washington assessment of student learning; regular and consistent attendance at school; and participation in extended learning and other assistance programs.

(2) Under RCW 28A.655.061, beginning in the 2006-07 school year, the superintendent of public instruction shall implement objective alternative assessment methods as provided in this section for students to demonstrate achievement of the state standards in content areas in which the student has not yet met the standard on the high school Washington assessment of student learning. A student may access an alternative if the student meets applicable eligibility criteria in RCW 28A.655.061 and other eligibility criteria established by the superintendent of public instruction, including but not limited to attendance criteria and participation in the remediation or supplemental instruction contained in the student learning plan developed under RCW 28A.655.061. A school district may waive attendance and/or remediation criteria for special, unavoidable circumstances.

(3) For the purposes of this section, "applicant" means a student seeking to use one of the alternative assessment methods in this section.

(4) One alternative assessment method shall be a combination of the applicant's grades in applicable courses and the applicant's highest score on the high school Washington assessment of student learning, as provided in this subsection. The superintendent of public instruction shall determine which high school courses are applicable to the alternative assessment method and shall issue guidelines to school districts.

(a) Using guidelines prepared by the superintendent of public instruction, a school district shall identify the group of students in the same school as the applicant who took the same high school courses as the applicant in the applicable content area. From the group of students identified in this manner, the district shall select the comparison cohort that shall be those students who met or slightly exceeded the state standard on the Washington assessment of student learning.

(b) The district shall compare the applicant's grades in high school courses in the applicable content area to the grades of students in the comparison cohort for the same high school courses. If the applicant's grades are equal to or above the mean grades of the comparison cohort, the applicant shall be deemed to have met the state standard on the alternative assessment.

(c) An applicant may not use the alternative assessment under this subsection (4) if there are fewer than six students in the comparison cohort.

(5) The superintendent of public instruction shall develop an alternative assessment method that shall be an evaluation of a collection of work samples prepared and submitted by the applicant, as provided in this subsection and, for career and technical applicants, the additional requirements of subsection (6) of this section.

(a) The superintendent of public instruction shall develop guidelines for the types and number of work samples in each content

area that may be submitted as a collection of evidence that the applicant has met the state standard in that content area. Work samples may be collected from academic, career and technical, or remedial courses and may include performance tasks as well as written products. The superintendent shall submit the guidelines for approval by the state board of education.

(b) The superintendent shall develop protocols for submission of the collection of work samples that include affidavits from the applicant's teachers and school district that the samples are the work of the applicant and a requirement that a portion of the samples be prepared under the direct supervision of a classroom teacher. The superintendent shall submit the protocols for approval by the state board of education.

(c) The superintendent shall develop uniform scoring criteria for evaluating the collection of work samples and submit the scoring criteria for approval by the state board of education. Collections shall be scored at the state level or regionally by a panel of educators selected and trained by the superintendent to ensure objectivity, reliability, and rigor in the evaluation. An educator may not score work samples submitted by applicants from the educator's school district. If the panel awards an applicant's collection of work samples the minimum required score, the applicant shall be deemed to have met the state standard on the alternative assessment.

(d) Using an open and public process that includes consultation with district superintendents, school principals, and other educators, the state board of education shall consider the guidelines, protocols, scoring criteria, and other information regarding the collection of work samples submitted by the superintendent of public instruction. The collection of work samples may be implemented as an alternative assessment after the state board of education has approved the guidelines, protocols, and scoring criteria and determined that the collection of work samples: (i) will meet professionally accepted standards for a valid and reliable measure of the grade level expectations and the essential academic learning requirements; and (ii) is comparable to or exceeds the rigor of the skills and knowledge that a student must demonstrate on the Washington assessment of student learning in the applicable content area. The state board shall make an approval decision and determination no later than December 1, 2006, and thereafter may increase the required rigor of the collection of work samples.

(e) By September of 2006, the superintendent of public instruction shall develop informational materials for parents, teachers, and students regarding the collection of work samples and the status of its development as an alternative assessment method. The materials shall provide specific guidance regarding the type and number of work samples likely to be required, include examples of work that meets the state learning standards, and describe the scoring criteria and process for the collection. The materials shall also encourage students in the graduating class of 2008 to begin creating a collection if they believe they may seek to use the collection once it is implemented as an alternative assessment.

(6)(a) For students enrolled in a career and technical education program approved under section 2 of this act, the superintendent of public instruction shall develop additional guidelines for a collection of work samples that evidences that the collection:

(i) Is relevant to the student's particular career and technical program;

(ii) Focuses on the application of academic knowledge and skills within the program;

(iii) Includes completed activities or projects where demonstration of academic knowledge is inferred; and

(iv) Is related to the essential academic learning requirements and state standards that students must meet to earn a certificate of academic achievement or certificate of individual achievement, but also represents the knowledge and skills that successful individuals in the career and technical field of the approved program are expected to possess.

(b) To meet the state standard on the alternative assessment under this subsection (6), an applicant must also attain the state or nationally recognized certificate or credential associated with the approved career and technical program.

(c) The superintendent shall consult with community and technical colleges, employers, the work force training and education coordinating board, apprenticeship programs, and other regional and national experts in career and technical education to create an appropriate collection of work samples and other evidence of a career and technical student's knowledge and skills on the state academic standards.

(7) The superintendent of public instruction shall study the feasibility of using existing mathematics assessments in languages other than English as an additional alternative assessment option. The study shall include an estimation of the cost of translating the tenth grade mathematics assessment into other languages and scoring the assessments should they be implemented.

(8) The superintendent of public instruction shall implement:

(a) By June 1, 2006, a process for students to appeal the score they received on the high school assessments; and

(b) By January 1, 2007, guidelines and appeal processes for waiving specific requirements in RCW 28A.655.061 pertaining to the certificate of academic achievement and to the certificate of individual achievement for students who: (i) Transfer to a Washington public school in their junior or senior year with the intent of obtaining a public high school diploma, or (ii) have special, unavoidable circumstances.

(9) The superintendent of public instruction may adopt rules to implement this section.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 2. A new section is added to chapter 28C.04 RCW to read as follows:

The superintendent of public instruction shall develop a list of approved career and technical education programs that qualify for the objective alternative assessment for career and technical students developed under section 1 of this act. Programs on the list must meet the following minimum criteria:

(1) Lead to a certificate or credential that is state or nationally recognized by trades, industries, or other professional associations as necessary for employment or advancement in that field;

(2) Require a sequenced progression of multiple courses, both exploratory and preparatory, that are vocationally intensive and rigorous; and

(3) Have a high potential for providing the program completer with gainful employment or entry into a postsecondary work force training program.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 3. was before(1) By September 10, 2006, the superintendent of public instruction shall report the following, in detail, to the education committees of the legislature:

(a) Results of the pilot testing of the alternative assessments authorized under section 1 of this act, particularly the pilot testing of the collection of work samples or collection of evidence;

(b) The proposed guidelines, protocols, and procedures to be used by the superintendent in implementing the alternative assessments, particularly the collection of evidence;

(c) The proposed criteria, rubrics, and methodology for scoring the collection of evidence;

(d) A description of the training to be provided for school districts, educators serving on scoring panels, and teachers assisting students with collections of evidence;

(e) Preliminary results of the feasibility study in section 1(7) of this act; and

(f) Updated estimates of the number of students likely to be eligible or apply for an alternative assessment method.

was before(2) By December 1, 2006, and again by February 1, 2007, the superintendent of public instruction shall provide the education committees of the legislature with an update on the number of students eligible for or participating in an alternative assessment method.

was before(3) The Washington state institute for public policy shall conduct an independent and objective evaluation of the reliability, validity, and rigor of the alternative assessment methods authorized under section 1 of this act, including an examination of a representative sample of the collections of work samples submitted by the graduating classes of 2008 and 2009. The institute shall submit its findings to the education committees of the legislature by September 1, 2009, to enable the legislature to develop and consider statutory changes to the alternative assessment during the 2010 legislative session.

Sec. 4. RCW 28A.655.061 and 2004 c 19 s 101 are each amended to read as follows:

(1) The high school assessment system shall include but need not be limited to the Washington assessment of student learning, opportunities for a student to retake the content areas of the assessment in which the student was not successful, and if approved by the legislature pursuant to subsection ~~((++))~~ (10) of this section, one or more objective alternative assessments for a student to demonstrate achievement of state academic standards. The objective alternative assessments for each content area shall be comparable in rigor to the skills and knowledge that the student must demonstrate on the Washington assessment of student learning for each content area.

(2) Subject to the conditions in this section, a certificate of academic achievement shall be obtained by most students at about the age of sixteen, and is evidence that the students have successfully met the state standard in the content areas included in the certificate. With the exception of students satisfying the provisions of RCW 28A.155.045, acquisition of the certificate is required for graduation from a public high school but is not the only requirement for graduation.

(3) Beginning with the graduating class of 2008, with the exception of students satisfying the provisions of RCW 28A.155.045, a student who meets the state standards on the reading, writing, and mathematics content areas of the high school Washington assessment of student learning shall earn a certificate of academic achievement. If a student does not successfully meet the state standards in one or more content areas required for the certificate of academic achievement, then the student may retake the assessment in the content area up to four times at no cost to the student. If the student successfully meets the state standards on a retake of the assessment then the student shall earn a certificate of academic achievement. Once objective alternative assessments are authorized pursuant to subsection ~~((++))~~ (10) of this section, a student may use the objective alternative assessments to demonstrate that the student successfully meets the state standards for that content area if the student has retaken the Washington assessment of student learning at least once. If the student successfully meets the state standards on the

objective alternative assessments then the student shall earn a certificate of academic achievement. ~~((The student's transcript shall note whether the certificate of academic achievement was acquired by means of the Washington assessment of student learning or by an alternative assessment.))~~

(4) Beginning with the graduating class of 2010, a student must meet the state standards in science in addition to the other content areas required under subsection (3) of this section on the Washington assessment of student learning or the objective alternative assessments in order to earn a certificate of academic achievement.

(5) The state board of education may not require the acquisition of the certificate of academic achievement for students in home-based instruction under chapter 28A.200 RCW, for students enrolled in private schools under chapter 28A.195 RCW, or for students satisfying the provisions of RCW 28A.155.045.

(6) A student may retain and use the highest result from each successfully completed content area of the high school assessment.

~~(7) ((Beginning with the graduating class of 2006, the highest scale score and level achieved in each content area on the high school Washington assessment of student learning shall be displayed on a student's transcript. In addition, beginning with the graduating class of 2008, each student shall receive a scholar's designation on his or her transcript for each content area in which the student achieves level four the first time the student takes that content area assessment.~~

~~—((8))~~ Beginning in 2006, school districts must make available to students the following options:

(a) To retake the Washington assessment of student learning up to four times in the content areas in which the student did not meet the state standards if the student is enrolled in a public school; or

(b) To retake the Washington assessment of student learning up to four times in the content areas in which the student did not meet the state standards if the student is enrolled in a high school completion program at a community or technical college. The superintendent of public instruction and the state board for community and technical colleges shall jointly identify means by which students in these programs can be assessed.

~~((9))~~ (8) Students who achieve the standard in a content area of the high school assessment but who wish to improve their results shall pay for retaking the assessment, using a uniform cost determined by the superintendent of public instruction.

~~((10))~~ (9) Subject to available funding, the superintendent shall pilot opportunities for retaking the high school assessment beginning in the 2004-05 school year. Beginning no later than September 2006, opportunities to retake the assessment at least twice a year shall be available to each school district.

~~((11))~~ (10)(a) The office of the superintendent of public instruction shall develop options for implementing objective alternative assessments, which may include an appeals process, for students to demonstrate achievement of the state academic standards. The objective alternative assessments shall be comparable in rigor to the skills and knowledge that the student must demonstrate on the Washington assessment of student learning and be objective in its determination of student achievement of the state standards. Before any objective alternative assessments in addition to those authorized in section 1 of this act or (b) of this subsection are used by a student to demonstrate that the student has met the state standards in a content area required to obtain a certificate, the legislature shall formally approve the use of any objective alternative assessments through the omnibus appropriations act or by statute or concurrent resolution.

~~((12))~~ (b) A student's score on the mathematics portion of the preliminary scholastic assessment test (PSAT), the scholastic

assessment test (SAT), or the American college test (ACT) may be used as an objective alternative assessment under this section for demonstrating that a student has met or exceeded the mathematics standards for the certificate of academic achievement. The state board of education shall identify the scores students must achieve on the mathematics portion of the PSAT, SAT, or ACT to meet or exceed the state standard for mathematics. The state board of education shall identify the first scores by December 1, 2006, and thereafter may increase but not decrease the scores required for students to meet or exceed the state standard for mathematics.

~~(11)~~ By December 15, 2004, the house of representatives and senate education committees shall obtain information and conclusions from recognized, independent, national assessment experts regarding the validity and reliability of the high school Washington assessment of student learning for making individual student high school graduation determinations.

~~((13))~~ (12) To help assure continued progress in academic achievement as a foundation for high school graduation and to assure that students are on track for high school graduation, each school district shall prepare plans for students as provided in this subsection ~~((13))~~ (12).

(a) Student learning plans are required for eighth through twelfth grade students who were not successful on any or all of the content areas of the Washington assessment for student learning during the previous school year. The plan shall include the courses, competencies, and other steps needed to be taken by the student to meet state academic standards and stay on track for graduation. This requirement shall be phased in as follows:

(i) Beginning no later than the 2004-05 school year ninth grade students as described in this subsection ~~((13))~~ (12)(a) shall have a plan.

(ii) Beginning no later than the 2005-06 school year and every year thereafter eighth grade students as described in this subsection ~~((13))~~ (12)(a) shall have a plan.

(iii) The parent or guardian shall be notified, preferably through a parent conference, of the student's results on the Washington assessment of student learning, actions the school intends to take to improve the student's skills in any content area in which the student was unsuccessful, strategies to help them improve their student's skills, and the content of the student's plan.

(iv) Progress made on the student plan shall be reported to the student's parents or guardian at least annually and adjustments to the plan made as necessary.

(b) Beginning with the 2005-06 school year and every year thereafter, all fifth grade students who were not successful in one or more of the content areas of the fourth grade Washington assessment of student learning shall have a student learning plan.

(i) The parent or guardian of a student described in this subsection ~~((13))~~ (12)(b) shall be notified, preferably through a parent conference, of the student's results on the Washington assessment of student learning, actions the school intends to take to improve the student's skills in any content area in which the student was unsuccessful, and provide strategies to help them improve their student's skills.

(ii) Progress made on the student plan shall be reported to the student's parents or guardian at least annually and adjustments to the plan made as necessary.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 5. A new section is added to chapter 28A.655 RCW to read as follows:

Subject to the availability of funds appropriated for this purpose, school districts shall reimburse students for the cost of taking the

tests in RCW 28A.655.061(10)(b) when the students take the tests for the purpose of using the mathematics results as an objective alternative assessment.

Sec. 6. RCW 28A.305.220 and 2004 c 19 s 108 are each amended to read as follows:

(1) The state board of education shall develop for use by all public school districts a standardized high school transcript. The state board of education shall establish clear definitions for the terms "credits" and "hours" so that school programs operating on the quarter, semester, or trimester system can be compared.

(2) The standardized high school transcript shall include ~~(the following information:~~

~~(a) The highest scale score and level achieved in each content area on the high school Washington assessment of student learning or other high school measures successfully completed by the student as provided by RCW 28A.655.061 and 28A.155.045;~~

~~(b) All scholar designations as provided by RCW 28A.655.061;~~
~~(c)) a notation of whether the student has earned a certificate of individual achievement or a certificate of academic achievement ((by means of the Washington assessment of student learning or by an alternative assessment)).~~

(3) Transcripts are important documents to students who will apply for admission to postsecondary institutions of higher education. Transcripts are also important to students who will seek employment upon or prior to graduation from high school. It is recognized that student transcripts may be the only record available to employers in their decision-making processes regarding prospective employees. The superintendent of public instruction shall require school districts to inform annually all high school students that prospective employers may request to see transcripts and that the prospective employee's decision to release transcripts can be an important part of the process of applying for employment.

NEW SECTION. **Sec. 7.** If specific funding for the purposes of this act and section 5 of this act, referencing this act and section 5 of this act by bill or chapter number and section number, is not provided by June 30, 2006, in the omnibus appropriations act, section 5 of this act is null and void."

Correct the title.

Representatives Quall and Talcott spoke in favor of adoption of the amendment.

The amendment was adopted.

There being no objection, the rules were suspended, the second reading considered the third and the bill, as amended by the House was placed on final passage.

The Speaker (Representative Lovick presiding) stated the question before the House to be the final passage of Engrossed Substitute Senate Bill No. 6475, as amended by the House.

ROLL CALL

The Clerk called the roll on the final passage of Engrossed Substitute Senate Bill No. 6475, as amended by the House and

the bill passed the House by the following vote: Yeas - 96, Nays - 2, Absent - 0, Excused - 0.

Voting yea: Representatives Ahern, Alexander, Anderson, Appleton, Armstrong, Bailey, Blake, Buck, Buri, Campbell, Chase, Clibborn, Cody, Condotta, Conway, Cox, Crouse, Curtis, Darneille, DeBolt, Dickerson, Dunn, Dunshee, Eickmeyer, Ericks, Ericksen, Flannigan, Fromhold, Grant, Green, Haigh, Haler, Hankins, Hasegawa, Hinkle, Holmquist, Hudgins, Hunt, Hunter, Jarrett, Kagi, Kenney, Kessler, Kilmer, Kirby, Kretz, Kristiansen, Lantz, Linville, Lovick, McCoy, McCune, McDermott, McDonald, McIntire, Miloscia, Moeller, Morrell, Morris, Murray, Newhouse, Nixon, O'Brien, Orcutt, Ormsby, Pearson, Pettigrew, Priest, Quall, Roach, Roberts, Rodne, Santos, Schindler, Schual-Berke, Sells, Serben, Shabro, Simpson, Skinner, Sommers, Springer, Strow, Sullivan, B., Sullivan, P., Sump, Takko, Talcott, Tom, Upthegrove, Wallace, Walsh, Williams, Wood, Woods and Mr. Speaker - 96.

Voting nay: Representatives Chandler and Clements - 2.

ENGROSSED SUBSTITUTE SENATE BILL NO. 6475, as amended by the House, having received the necessary constitutional majority, was declared passed.

ENGROSSED SUBSTITUTE SENATE BILL NO. 6366, By Senate Committee on Ways & Means (originally sponsored by Senators Keiser, Thibaudeau and Kline)

Concerning preparation and response to pandemic influenza.

The bill was read the second time.

There being no objection, the committee amendment by the Committee on Appropriations was adopted. (For Committee amendment, see Journal, 50th Day, February 27, 2006.)

There being no objection, the rules were suspended, the second reading considered the third and the bill, as amended by the House was placed on final passage.

Representatives Morrell, Haler, Schual-Berke, Hinkle, Bailey, Curtis and Dunn spoke in favor of passage of the bill.

The Speaker (Representative Lovick presiding) stated the question before the House to be the final passage of Engrossed Substitute Senate Bill No. 6366, as amended by the House.

ROLL CALL

The Clerk called the roll on the final passage of Engrossed Substitute Senate Bill No. 6366, as amended by the House and the bill passed the House by the following vote: Yeas - 98, Nays - 0, Absent - 0, Excused - 0.

Voting yea: Representatives Ahern, Alexander, Anderson, Appleton, Armstrong, Bailey, Blake, Buck, Buri, Campbell, Chandler, Chase, Clements, Clibborn, Cody, Condotta, Conway, Cox, Crouse, Curtis, Darneille, DeBolt, Dickerson, Dunn, Dunshee, Eickmeyer, Ericks, Ericksen, Flannigan, Fromhold, Grant, Green, Haigh, Haler, Hankins, Hasegawa, Hinkle, Holmquist, Hudgins, Hunt, Hunter, Jarrett, Kagi, Kenney, Kessler, Kilmer, Kirby, Kretz, Kristiansen, Lantz, Linville, Lovick, McCoy, McCune, McDermott, McDonald, McIntire, Miloscia, Moeller, Morrell, Morris, Murray, Newhouse, Nixon, O'Brien, Orcutt, Ormsby, Pearson, Pettigrew, Priest, Quall, Roach, Roberts, Rodne, Santos, Schindler, Schual-Berke, Sells, Serben, Shabro, Simpson, Skinner, Sommers, Springer, Strow, Sullivan, B., Sullivan, P., Sump, Takko, Talcott, Tom, Upthegrove, Wallace, Walsh, Williams, Wood, Woods and Mr. Speaker - 98.

ENGROSSED SUBSTITUTE SENATE BILL NO. 6366, as amended by the House, having received the necessary constitutional majority, was declared passed.

SENATE BILL NO. 6412, By Senators Doumit, Zarelli and Hargrove

Increasing the number of superior court judges in Clallam and Cowlitz counties.

The bill was read the second time.

There being no objection, the rules were suspended, the second reading considered the third and the bill was placed on final passage.

Representatives Williams and Orcutt spoke in favor of passage of the bill.

The Speaker (Representative Lovick presiding) stated the question before the House to be the final passage of Senate Bill No. 6412.

ROLL CALL

The Clerk called the roll on the final passage of Senate Bill No. 6412 and the bill passed the House by the following vote: Yeas - 97, Nays - 1, Absent - 0, Excused - 0.

Voting yea: Representatives Ahern, Alexander, Appleton, Armstrong, Bailey, Blake, Buck, Buri, Campbell, Chandler, Chase, Clements, Clibborn, Cody, Condotta, Conway, Cox, Crouse, Curtis, Darneille, DeBolt, Dickerson, Dunn, Dunshee, Eickmeyer, Ericks, Ericksen, Flannigan, Fromhold, Grant, Green, Haigh, Haler, Hankins, Hasegawa, Hinkle, Holmquist, Hudgins, Hunt, Hunter, Jarrett, Kagi, Kenney, Kessler, Kilmer, Kirby, Kretz, Kristiansen, Lantz, Linville, Lovick, McCoy, McCune, McDermott, McDonald, McIntire, Miloscia, Moeller, Morrell, Morris, Murray, Newhouse, Nixon, O'Brien, Orcutt, Ormsby, Pearson, Pettigrew, Priest, Quall, Roach,

Roberts, Rodne, Santos, Schindler, Schual-Berke, Sells, Serben, Shabro, Simpson, Skinner, Sommers, Springer, Strow, Sullivan, B., Sullivan, P., Sump, Takko, Talcott, Tom, Upthegrove, Wallace, Walsh, Williams, Wood, Woods and Mr. Speaker - 97.

Voting nay: Representative Anderson - 1.

SENATE BILL NO. 6412, having received the necessary constitutional majority, was declared passed.

SUBSTITUTE SENATE BILL NO. 6519, By Senate Committee on Human Services & Corrections (originally sponsored by Senators Benton, Benson, Schoesler, Carrell, Esser, Jacobsen, Pflug, Mulliken, Johnson, Honeyford, Sheldon, Roach, Kline, Oke, Rasmussen and Keiser)

Requiring level III sex offenders to report to law enforcement every three months.

The bill was read the second time.

Representative Strow moved the adoption of amendment (1092):

On page 9, line 9, after "level" insert "II or"

On page 9, line 10, after "registered" strike ", for a period of five years"

On page 9, beginning on line 12, after "hours." strike all material through "days." on line 15

On page 20, line 3, after "level" insert "II or"

On page 20, line 4, after "registered" strike ", for a period of five years"

On page 20, beginning on line 6, after "hours." strike all material through "days." on line 9

Representatives Strow and O'Brien spoke in favor of the adoption of the amendment.

The amendment was adopted.

There being no objection, the rules were suspended, the second reading considered the third and the bill, as amended by the House was placed on final passage.

Representatives O'Brien, Strow, Ericksen and Orcutt spoke in favor of passage of the bill.

The Speaker (Representative Lovick presiding) stated the question before the House to be the final passage of Substitute Senate Bill No. 6519, as amended by the House.

ROLL CALL

The Clerk called the roll on the final passage of Substitute Senate Bill No. 6519, as amended by the House and the bill passed the House by the following vote: Yeas - 98, Nays - 0, Absent - 0, Excused - 0.

Voting yea: Representatives Ahern, Alexander, Anderson, Appleton, Armstrong, Bailey, Blake, Buck, Buri, Campbell, Chandler, Chase, Clements, Clibborn, Cody, Condotta, Conway, Cox, Crouse, Curtis, Darneille, DeBolt, Dickerson, Dunn, Dunshee, Eickmeyer, Ericks, Ericksen, Flannigan, Fromhold, Grant, Green, Haigh, Haler, Hankins, Hasegawa, Hinkle, Holmquist, Hudgins, Hunt, Hunter, Jarrett, Kagi, Kenney, Kessler, Kilmer, Kirby, Kretz, Kristiansen, Lantz, Linville, Lovick, McCoy, McCune, McDermott, McDonald, McIntire, Miloscia, Moeller, Morrell, Morris, Murray, Newhouse, Nixon, O'Brien, Orcutt, Ormsby, Pearson, Pettigrew, Priest, Quall, Roach, Roberts, Rodne, Santos, Schindler, Schual-Berke, Sells, Serben, Shabro, Simpson, Skinner, Sommers, Springer, Strow, Sullivan, B., Sullivan, P., Sump, Takko, Talcott, Tom, Upthegrove, Wallace, Walsh, Williams, Wood, Woods and Mr. Speaker - 98.

SUBSTITUTE SENATE BILL NO. 6519, as amended by the House, having received the necessary constitutional majority, was declared passed.

SUBSTITUTE SENATE BILL NO. 6325, By Senate Committee on Human Services & Corrections (originally sponsored by Senators Regala, Kline, Fairley, Stevens, Rasmussen and McAuliffe)

Establishing residence restrictions for sex offenders.

The bill was read the second time.

With the consent of the House, amendments (1027), (1028), (1029), (1030), (1031), (1087), (1088) and (1089) were withdrawn.

Representative Clements moved the adoption of amendment (1102):

On page 1, line 5, after "Sec. 1." insert "(1)"

On page 1, line 8, after "subject matter." insert "The state preemption created in this section applies to all rules, regulations, codes, statutes, and ordinances pertaining to residency restrictions for persons convicted of any sex offense at any time."

On page 1, after line 8, insert:

"(2) This section does not apply to rules, regulations, codes, statutes, or ordinances adopted by cities, counties, municipalities, or local agencies prior to March 1, 2006, except as required by an order issued by a court of competent jurisdiction pursuant to litigation regarding the rules, regulations, codes, statutes, or ordinances.

(3) This section expires one year after the effective date of this act."

On page 1, after line 12, insert:

"NEW SECTION. Sec. 3. (1) The association of Washington cities, working with the cities and towns of Washington state, shall develop statewide standards for cities and towns to consider when determining whether to impose residency restrictions on sex offenders within their jurisdiction.

(2) The association of Washington cities shall be encouraged to work in consultation with a representative from each of the following agencies and organizations:

- (a) The attorney general of Washington;
- (b) The Washington state association of counties;
- (c) The department of community, trade, and economic development;
- (d) The department of corrections;
- (e) The Washington association of sheriffs and police chiefs; and
- (f) Any other agencies and organizations as deemed appropriate by the association of Washington cities, such as the Washington association of prosecuting attorneys, the juvenile rehabilitation administration of the department of social and health services, the indeterminate sentence review board, the Washington association for the treatment of sexual abusers, and the Washington coalition of sexual assault programs.

(3) The statewide standards for whether to impose residency restrictions on sex offenders should consider the following elements:

- (a) An identification of areas in which sex offenders should not reside due to concerns regarding public safety and welfare;
- (b) An identification of areas in which sex offenders may reside, taking into consideration factors such as:
 - (i) How many housing units must reasonably be available in order to accommodate registered sex offenders in a city or town;
 - (ii) The average response time of emergency services to the areas;
 - (iii) The proximity of risk potential activities to the areas; and
 - (iv) The proximity of medical care, mental health care providers, and sex offender treatment providers to the areas;

(c) A prohibition against completely precluding sex offender residences within a city or town, implicating a sex offender's right to travel, or enacting a criminal regulatory measure;

(d) Appropriate civil remedies for violations of a local ordinance; and

(e) Unique local conditions that should be given due deference, such as proximity to state facilities that house or treat sex offenders.

(4) The association of Washington cities, on behalf of the cities and towns in Washington, shall present the statewide standards, along with any recommendations and proposed legislation, to the governor and the legislature no later than December 31, 2006."

Correct the title.

Representatives Clements, O'Brien, Pearson, Ahern, Rodne and Kristiansen, spoke in favor of the adoption of the amendment.

The amendment was adopted.

There being no objection, the rules were suspended, the second reading considered the third and the bill, as amended by the House was placed on final passage.

Representatives Pearson, O'Brien and Ahern spoke in favor of passage of the bill.

The Speaker (Representative Lovick presiding) stated the question before the House to be the final passage of Substitute Senate Bill No. 6325, as amended by the House.

ROLL CALL

The Clerk called the roll on the final passage of Substitute Senate Bill No. 6325, as amended by the House and the bill passed the House by the following vote: Yeas - 97, Nays - 1, Absent - 0, Excused - 0.

Voting yea: Representatives Ahern, Alexander, Anderson, Appleton, Armstrong, Bailey, Blake, Buck, Buri, Campbell, Chandler, Chase, Clements, Clibborn, Cody, Condotta, Conway, Cox, Crouse, Curtis, DeBolt, Dickerson, Dunn, Dunshee, Eickmeyer, Ericks, Ericksen, Flannigan, Fromhold, Grant, Green, Haigh, Haler, Hankins, Hasegawa, Hinkle, Holmquist, Hudgins, Hunt, Hunter, Jarrett, Kagi, Kenney, Kessler, Kilmer, Kirby, Kretz, Kristiansen, Lantz, Linville, Lovick, McCoy, McCune, McDermott, McDonald, McIntire, Miloscia, Moeller, Morrell, Morris, Murray, Newhouse, Nixon, O'Brien, Orcutt, Ormsby, Pearson, Pettigrew, Priest, Quall, Roach, Roberts, Rodne, Santos, Schindler, Schual-Berke, Sells, Serben, Shabro, Simpson, Skinner, Sommers, Springer, Strow, Sullivan, B., Sullivan, P., Sump, Takko, Talcott, Tom, Upthegrove, Wallace, Walsh, Williams, Wood, Woods and Mr. Speaker - 97.

Voting nay: Representative Darneille - 1.

SUBSTITUTE SENATE BILL NO. 6325, as amended by the House, having received the necessary constitutional majority, was declared passed.

SECOND SUBSTITUTE SENATE BILL NO. 6172, By Senate Committee on Ways & Means (originally sponsored by Senators McAuliffe, Hargrove, Thibaudeau, Shin, Weinstein, Rockefeller, Keiser, Regala, Eide, Rasmussen and Benton)

Increasing penalties for specified sex offenses.

The bill was read the second time.

There being no objection, the committee amendment by the Committee on Criminal Justice & Corrections was adopted. (For Committee amendment, see Journal, 47th Day, February 24, 2006.)

There being no objection, the rules were suspended, the second reading considered the third and the bill, as amended by the House was placed on final passage.

Representatives O'Brien and Pearson spoke in favor of passage of the bill.

The Speaker (Representative Lovick presiding) stated the question before the House to be the final passage of Second Substitute Senate Bill No. 6172, as amended by the House.

ROLL CALL

The Clerk called the roll on the final passage of Second Substitute Senate Bill No. 6172, as amended by the House and the bill passed the House by the following vote: Yeas - 96, Nays - 2, Absent - 0, Excused - 0.

Voting yea: Representatives Ahern, Alexander, Anderson, Appleton, Armstrong, Bailey, Blake, Buck, Buri, Campbell, Chandler, Clements, Clibborn, Cody, Condotta, Conway, Cox, Crouse, Curtis, Darneille, DeBolt, Dickerson, Dunn, Dunshee, Eickmeyer, Ericks, Ericksen, Flannigan, Fromhold, Grant, Green, Haigh, Haler, Hankins, Hasegawa, Hinkle, Holmquist, Hudgins, Hunt, Hunter, Jarrett, Kagi, Kenney, Kessler, Kilmer, Kirby, Kretz, Kristiansen, Lantz, Linville, Lovick, McCoy, McCune, McDonald, McIntire, Miloscia, Moeller, Morrell, Morris, Murray, Newhouse, Nixon, O'Brien, Orcutt, Ormsby, Pearson, Pettigrew, Priest, Quall, Roach, Roberts, Rodne, Santos, Schindler, Schual-Berke, Sells, Serben, Shabro, Simpson, Skinner, Sommers, Springer, Strow, Sullivan, B., Sullivan, P., Sump, Takko, Talcott, Tom, Upthegrove, Wallace, Walsh, Williams, Wood, Woods and Mr. Speaker - 96.

Voting nay: Representatives Chase and McDermott - 2.

SECOND SUBSTITUTE SENATE BILL NO. 6172, as amended by the House, having received the necessary constitutional majority, was declared passed.

SENATE BILL NO. 6541, By Senators Prentice and Zarelli

Regarding appeal bond requirements against signatories of the tobacco master settlement agreement.

The bill was read the second time.

There being no objection, the committee amendment by the Committee on Appropriations was adopted. (For Committee amendment, see Journal, 45th Day, February 22, 2006.)

There being no objection, the rules were suspended, the second reading considered the third and the bill, as amended by the House was placed on final passage.

Representatives Sommers and Alexander spoke in favor of passage of the bill.

Representative Tom spoke against the passage of the bill.

The Speaker (Representative Lovick presiding) stated the question before the House to be the final passage of Senate Bill No. 6541, as amended by the House.

ROLL CALL

The Clerk called the roll on the final passage of Senate Bill No. 6541, as amended by the House and the bill passed the House by the following vote: Yeas - 87, Nays - 11, Absent - 0, Excused - 0.

Voting yea: Representatives Ahern, Alexander, Anderson, Armstrong, Bailey, Blake, Buck, Buri, Chandler, Chase, Clements, Clibborn, Cody, Condotta, Conway, Cox, Crouse, Curtis, Darneille, DeBolt, Dickerson, Dunn, Dunshee, Eickmeyer, Ericks, Ericksen, Flannigan, Fromhold, Grant, Green, Haigh, Haler, Hankins, Hasegawa, Hinkle, Holmquist, Hudgins, Hunt, Jarrett, Kenney, Kessler, Kilmer, Kirby, Kretz, Kristiansen, Lantz, Linville, Lovick, McCoy, McCune, McDermott, McDonald, McIntire, Miloscia, Moeller, Morrell, Murray, Newhouse, Nixon, O'Brien, Orcutt, Pearson, Pettigrew, Priest, Quall, Roach, Roberts, Rodne, Santos, Schindler, Sells, Serben, Shabro, Simpson, Skinner, Sommers, Springer, Strow, Sullivan, B., Sullivan, P., Sump, Takko, Talcott, Wallace, Walsh, Woods and Mr. Speaker - 87.

Voting nay: Representatives Appleton, Campbell, Hunter, Kagi, Morrell, Ormsby, Schual-Berke, Tom, Upthegrove, Williams and Wood - 11.

SENATE BILL NO. 6541, as amended by the House, having received the necessary constitutional majority, was declared passed.

SENATE BILL NO. 6280, By Senator Regala

Removing the irrevocable dedication requirement for exemption from property taxes for nonprofit entities.

The bill was read the second time.

There being no objection, the rules were suspended, the second reading considered the third and the bill was placed on final passage.

Representatives Hunter and Orcutt spoke in favor of passage of the bill.

The Speaker (Representative Lovick presiding) stated the question before the House to be the final passage of Senate Bill No. 6280.

ROLL CALL

The Clerk called the roll on the final passage of Senate Bill No. 6280 and the bill passed the House by the following vote: Yeas - 98, Nays - 0, Absent - 0, Excused - 0.

Voting yea: Representatives Ahern, Alexander, Anderson, Appleton, Armstrong, Bailey, Blake, Buck, Buri, Campbell, Chandler, Chase, Clements, Clibborn, Cody, Condotta, Conway, Cox, Crouse, Curtis, Darneille, DeBolt, Dickerson, Dunn, Dunshee, Eickmeyer, Ericks, Ericksen, Flannigan, Fromhold, Grant, Green, Haigh, Haler, Hankins, Hasegawa, Hinkle, Holmquist, Hudgins, Hunt, Hunter, Jarrett, Kagi, Kenney, Kessler, Kilmer, Kirby, Kretz, Kristiansen, Lantz, Linville, Lovick, McCoy, McCune, McDermott, McDonald, McIntire, Miloscia, Moeller, Morrell, Murray, Newhouse, Nixon, O'Brien, Orcutt, Ormsby, Pearson, Pettigrew, Priest, Quall, Roach, Roberts, Rodne, Santos, Schindler, Schual-Berke, Sells, Serben, Shabro, Simpson, Skinner, Sommers, Springer, Strow, Sullivan, B., Sullivan, P., Sump, Takko, Talcott, Tom, Upthegrove, Wallace, Walsh, Williams, Wood, Woods and Mr. Speaker - 98.

SENATE BILL NO. 6280, having received the necessary constitutional majority, was declared passed.

SENATE BILL NO. 6826, By Senator Benton

Exempting fees and charges for public transportation services from public utility taxes.

The bill was read the second time.

There being no objection, the committee amendment by the Committee on Finance was before the House for purpose of amendment. (For Committee amendment, see Journal, 52nd Day, March 1, 2006.)

With the consent of the House, amendment (1122) was withdrawn.

There being no objection, the committee amendment was adopted.

There being no objection, the rules were suspended, the second reading considered the third and the bill, as amended by the House was placed on final passage.

Representatives Hunter and Orcutt spoke in favor of passage of the bill.

The Speaker (Representative Lovick presiding) stated the question before the House to be the final passage of Senate Bill No. 6826, as amended by the House.

ROLL CALL

The Clerk called the roll on the final passage of Senate Bill No. 6826, as amended by the House and the bill passed the House by the following vote: Yeas - 98, Nays - 0, Absent - 0, Excused - 0.

Voting yea: Representatives Ahern, Alexander, Anderson, Appleton, Armstrong, Bailey, Blake, Buck, Buri, Campbell, Chandler, Chase, Clements, Clibborn, Cody, Condotta, Conway, Cox, Crouse, Curtis, Darneille, DeBolt, Dickerson, Dunn, Dunshee, Eickmeyer, Ericks, Ericksen, Flannigan, Fromhold, Grant, Green, Haigh, Haler, Hankins, Hasegawa, Hinkle, Holmquist, Hudgins, Hunt, Hunter, Jarrett, Kagi, Kenney, Kessler, Kilmer, Kirby, Kretz, Kristiansen, Lantz, Linville, Lovick, McCoy, McCune, McDermott, McDonald, McIntire, Miloscia, Moeller, Morrell, Morris, Murray, Newhouse, Nixon, O'Brien, Orcutt, Ormsby, Pearson, Pettigrew, Priest, Quall, Roach, Roberts, Rodne, Santos, Schindler, Schual-Berke, Sells, Serben, Shabro, Simpson, Skinner, Sommers, Springer, Strow, Sullivan, B., Sullivan, P., Sump, Takko, Talcott, Tom, Upthegrove, Wallace, Walsh, Williams, Wood, Woods and Mr. Speaker - 98.

SENATE BILL NO. 6826, as amended by the House, having received the necessary constitutional majority, was declared passed.

SENATE BILL NO. 6418, By Senators Keiser and Deccio

Adding requirements to renew initial limited licenses for dental hygienists.

The bill was read the second time.

There being no objection, the rules were suspended, the second reading considered the third and the bill was placed on final passage.

Representatives Morrell and Hinkle spoke in favor of passage of the bill.

The Speaker (Representative Lovick presiding) stated the question before the House to be the final passage of Senate Bill No. 6418.

ROLL CALL

The Clerk called the roll on the final passage of Senate Bill No. 6418 and the bill passed the House by the following vote: Yeas - 98, Nays - 0, Absent - 0, Excused - 0.

Voting yea: Representatives Ahern, Alexander, Anderson, Appleton, Armstrong, Bailey, Blake, Buck, Buri, Campbell, Chandler, Chase, Clements, Clibborn, Cody, Condotta, Conway, Cox, Crouse, Curtis, Darneille, DeBolt, Dickerson, Dunn, Dunshee, Eickmeyer, Ericks, Ericksen, Flannigan, Fromhold, Grant, Green, Haigh, Haler, Hankins, Hasegawa, Hinkle, Holmquist, Hudgins, Hunt, Hunter, Jarrett, Kagi,

Kenney, Kessler, Kilmer, Kirby, Kretz, Kristiansen, Lantz, Linville, Lovick, McCoy, McCune, McDermott, McDonald, McIntire, Miloscia, Moeller, Morrell, Morris, Murray, Newhouse, Nixon, O'Brien, Orcutt, Ormsby, Pearson, Pettigrew, Priest, Quall, Roach, Roberts, Rodne, Santos, Schindler, Schual-Berke, Sells, Serben, Shabro, Simpson, Skinner, Sommers, Springer, Strow, Sullivan, B., Sullivan, P., Sump, Takko, Talcott, Tom, Upthegrove, Wallace, Walsh, Williams, Wood, Woods and Mr. Speaker - 98.

SENATE BILL NO. 6418, having received the necessary constitutional majority, was declared passed.

SENATE BILL NO. 6429, By Senators Jacobsen, Oke, Haugen, Honeyford and Rasmussen; by request of Archaeology and Historic Preservation

Exempting certain Native American cultural resources information from public disclosure.

The bill was read the second time.

There being no objection, the committee amendment by the Committee on State Government, Operations & Accountability was adopted. (For Committee amendment, see Journal, 44th Day, February 21, 2006.)

There being no objection, the rules were suspended, the second reading considered the third and the bill, as amended by the House was placed on final passage.

Representatives Green and Nixon spoke in favor of passage of the bill.

The Speaker (Representative Lovick presiding) stated the question before the House to be the final passage of Senate Bill No. 6429, as amended by the House.

ROLL CALL

The Clerk called the roll on the final passage of Senate Bill No. 6429, as amended by the House and the bill passed the House by the following vote: Yeas - 98, Nays - 0, Absent - 0, Excused - 0.

Voting yea: Representatives Ahern, Alexander, Anderson, Appleton, Armstrong, Bailey, Blake, Buck, Buri, Campbell, Chandler, Chase, Clements, Clibborn, Cody, Condotta, Conway, Cox, Crouse, Curtis, Darneille, DeBolt, Dickerson, Dunn, Dunshee, Eickmeyer, Ericks, Ericksen, Flannigan, Fromhold, Grant, Green, Haigh, Haler, Hankins, Hasegawa, Hinkle, Holmquist, Hudgins, Hunt, Hunter, Jarrett, Kagi, Kenney, Kessler, Kilmer, Kirby, Kretz, Kristiansen, Lantz, Linville, Lovick, McCoy, McCune, McDermott, McDonald, McIntire, Miloscia, Moeller, Morrell, Morris, Murray, Newhouse, Nixon, O'Brien, Orcutt, Ormsby, Pearson, Pettigrew, Priest, Quall, Roach, Roberts, Rodne, Santos,

Schindler, Schual-Berke, Sells, Serben, Shabro, Simpson, Skinner, Sommers, Springer, Strow, Sullivan, B., Sullivan, P., Sump, Takko, Talcott, Tom, Upthegrove, Wallace, Walsh, Williams, Wood, Woods and Mr. Speaker - 98.

SENATE BILL NO. 6429, as amended by the House, having received the necessary constitutional majority, was declared passed.

SUBSTITUTE SENATE BILL NO. 6717, By Senate Committee on Human Services & Corrections (originally sponsored by Senators Kohl-Welles, Brandland, McAuliffe, Hargrove, Rockefeller, Shin, Rasmussen, Schmidt and Stevens)

Extending the joint task force on criminal background check processes.

The bill was read the second time.

There being no objection, the rules were suspended, the second reading considered the third and the bill, as amended by the House was placed on final passage.

Representative Pearson spoke in favor of passage of the bill.

The Speaker (Representative Lovick presiding) stated the question before the House to be the final passage of Substitute Senate Bill No. 6717, as amended by the House.

ROLL CALL

The Clerk called the roll on the final passage of Substitute Senate Bill No. 6717, as amended by the House and the bill passed the House by the following vote: Yeas - 98, Nays - 0, Absent - 0, Excused - 0.

Voting yea: Representatives Ahern, Alexander, Anderson, Appleton, Armstrong, Bailey, Blake, Buck, Buri, Campbell, Chandler, Chase, Clements, Clibborn, Cody, Condotta, Conway, Cox, Crouse, Curtis, Darneille, DeBolt, Dickerson, Dunn, Dunshee, Eickmeyer, Ericks, Ericksen, Flannigan, Fromhold, Grant, Green, Haigh, Haler, Hankins, Hasegawa, Hinkle, Holmquist, Hudgins, Hunt, Hunter, Jarrett, Kagi, Kenney, Kessler, Kilmer, Kirby, Kretz, Kristiansen, Lantz, Linville, Lovick, McCoy, McCune, McDermott, McDonald, McIntire, Miloscia, Moeller, Morrell, Morris, Murray, Newhouse, Nixon, O'Brien, Orcutt, Ormsby, Pearson, Pettigrew, Priest, Quall, Roach, Roberts, Rodne, Santos, Schindler, Schual-Berke, Sells, Serben, Shabro, Simpson, Skinner, Sommers, Springer, Strow, Sullivan, B., Sullivan, P., Sump, Takko, Talcott, Tom, Upthegrove, Wallace, Walsh, Williams, Wood, Woods and Mr. Speaker - 98.

SUBSTITUTE SENATE BILL NO. 6717, as amended by the House, having received the necessary constitutional majority, was declared passed.

There being no objection, the House immediately resumed consideration of SUBSTITUTE SENATE BILL NO. 6287.

SUBSTITUTE SENATE BILL NO. 6287, By Senate Committee on Transportation (originally sponsored by Senators Fairley, Thibaudeau and Shin)

Authorizing special parking privileges for the legally blind.

There being no objection, amendment (1118) was adopted.

There being no objection, the rules were suspended, the second reading considered the third and the bill, as amended by the House was placed on final passage.

COLLOQUY

Representative Buck: "Does the term "Limited Mobility" only refer to a physical limitation?"

Representative Cody: "No. "Limited Mobility" also refers to difficulty in navigating with a Service Animal or a White Cane."

The Speaker (Representative Lovick presiding) stated the question before the House to be the final passage of Substitute Senate Bill No. 6287, as amended by the House.

ROLL CALL

The Clerk called the roll on the final passage of Substitute Senate Bill No. 6287, as amended by the House and the bill passed the House by the following vote: Yeas - 98, Nays - 0, Absent - 0, Excused - 0.

Voting yea: Representatives Ahern, Alexander, Anderson, Appleton, Armstrong, Bailey, Blake, Buck, Buri, Campbell, Chandler, Chase, Clements, Clibborn, Cody, Condotta, Conway, Cox, Crouse, Curtis, Darneille, DeBolt, Dickerson, Dunn, Dunshee, Eickmeyer, Ericks, Ericksen, Flannigan, Fromhold, Grant, Green, Haigh, Haler, Hankins, Hasegawa, Hinkle, Holmquist, Hudgins, Hunt, Hunter, Jarrett, Kagi, Kenney, Kessler, Kilmer, Kirby, Kretz, Kristiansen, Lantz, Linville, Lovick, McCoy, McCune, McDermott, McDonald, McIntire, Miloscia, Moeller, Morrell, Morris, Murray, Newhouse, Nixon, O'Brien, Orcutt, Ormsby, Pearson, Pettigrew, Priest, Quall, Roach, Roberts, Rodne, Santos, Schindler, Schual-Berke, Sells, Serben, Shabro, Simpson, Skinner, Sommers, Springer, Strow, Sullivan, B., Sullivan, P., Sump, Takko, Talcott, Tom, Upthegrove, Wallace, Walsh, Williams, Wood, Woods and Mr. Speaker - 98.

SUBSTITUTE SENATE BILL NO. 6287, as amended by the House, having received the necessary constitutional majority, was declared passed.

SUBSTITUTE SENATE BILL NO. 6806, By Senate Committee on Judiciary (originally sponsored by Senators Esser, Hargrove, Brandland, Johnson and Rasmussen)

Establishing the domestic violence hope card study committee.

The bill was read the second time.

There being no objection, the committee amendment by the Committee on Juvenile Justice & Family Law was before the House for purpose of amendment. (For Committee amendment, see Journal, 47th Day, February 24, 2006.)

Representative Dickerson moved the adoption of amendment (1106) to the committee amendment:

On page 2, line 36, after "advocacy" strike ", senate committee services, and the office of program research"

Representatives Dickerson and McDonald spoke in favor of the adoption of the amendment to the committee amendment.

The amendment to the committee amendment was adopted.

The committee amendment was adopted as amended.

There being no objection, the rules were suspended, the second reading considered the third and the bill, as amended by the House was placed on final passage.

Representatives Moeller and McDonald spoke in favor of passage of the bill.

The Speaker (Representative Lovick presiding) stated the question before the House to be the final passage of Substitute Senate Bill No. 6806, as amended by the House.

ROLL CALL

The Clerk called the roll on the final passage of Substitute Senate Bill No. 6806, as amended by the House and the bill passed the House by the following vote: Yeas - 98, Nays - 0, Absent - 0, Excused - 0.

Voting yea: Representatives Ahern, Alexander, Anderson, Appleton, Armstrong, Bailey, Blake, Buck, Buri, Campbell, Chandler, Chase, Clements, Clibborn, Cody, Condotta, Conway, Cox, Crouse, Curtis, Darneille, DeBolt, Dickerson, Dunn, Dunshee, Eickmeyer, Ericks, Ericksen, Flannigan, Fromhold, Grant, Green, Haigh, Haler, Hankins, Hasegawa,

Hinkle, Holmquist, Hudgins, Hunt, Hunter, Jarrett, Kagi, Kenney, Kessler, Kilmer, Kirby, Kretz, Kristiansen, Lantz, Linville, Lovick, McCoy, McCune, McDermott, McDonald, McIntire, Miloscia, Moeller, Morrell, Morris, Murray, Newhouse, Nixon, O'Brien, Orcutt, Ormsby, Pearson, Pettigrew, Priest, Quall, Roach, Roberts, Rodne, Santos, Schindler, Schual-Berke, Sells, Serben, Shabro, Simpson, Skinner, Sommers, Springer, Strow, Sullivan, B., Sullivan, P., Sump, Takko, Talcott, Tom, Upthegrove, Wallace, Walsh, Williams, Wood, Woods and Mr. Speaker - 98.

SUBSTITUTE SENATE BILL NO. 6806, as amended by the House, having received the necessary constitutional majority, was declared passed.

ENGROSSED SENATE BILL NO. 5048, By Senators Oke, Brown, Keiser, Swecker, Kline, Morton, Rockefeller, Deccio, Thibaudeau, Finkbeiner, McAuliffe, Sheldon, Rasmussen, Spanel, Berkey, Eide, Doumit, Regala, Kohl-Welles, Jacobsen, Franklin, Haugen, Fraser, Kastama and Weinstein

Prohibiting tobacco product sampling.

The bill was read the second time.

Representative Hinkle moved the adoption of amendment (1123):

Strike everything after the enacting clause and insert the following:

"Sec. 1. RCW 70.155.010 and 2003 c 113 s 1 are each amended to read as follows:

The definitions set forth in RCW 82.24.010 shall apply to RCW 70.155.020 through 70.155.130. In addition, for the purposes of this chapter, unless otherwise required by the context:

(1) "Board" means the Washington state liquor control board.

(2) "Delivery sale" means any sale of cigarettes to a consumer in the state where either: (a) The purchaser submits an order for a sale by means of a telephonic or other method of voice transmission, mail delivery, any other delivery service, or the internet or other on-line service; or (b) the cigarettes are delivered by use of mail delivery or any other delivery service. A sale of cigarettes shall be a delivery sale regardless of whether the seller is located within or without the state. A sale of cigarettes not for personal consumption to a person who is a wholesaler licensed pursuant to chapter 82.24 RCW or a retailer pursuant to chapter 82.24 RCW is not a delivery sale.

(3) "Delivery service" means any private carrier engaged in the commercial delivery of letters, packages, or other containers that requires the recipient of that letter, package, or container to sign to accept delivery.

(4) "Minor" refers to an individual who is less than eighteen years old.

(5) ("Public place" means a public street, sidewalk, or park, or any area open to the public in a publicly owned and operated building.

~~((6))~~"Sample" means a tobacco product distributed to members of the general public at no cost or at nominal cost for product promotion purposes.

~~((7))~~~~(6)~~ "Sampler" means a person engaged in the business of sampling other than a retailer.

~~((8))~~~~(7)~~ "Sampling" means the distribution of samples to members of the ~~(general)~~ public ~~(in a public place)~~.

~~((9))~~~~(8)~~ "Shipping container" means a container in which cigarettes are shipped in connection with a delivery sale.

~~((10))~~~~(9)~~ "Shipping documents" means bills of lading, airbills, or any other documents used to evidence the undertaking by a delivery service to deliver letters, packages, or other containers.

~~((11))~~~~(10)~~ "Tobacco product" means a product that contains tobacco and is intended for human consumption.

(11) "Twenty-one and over location" means a permanent building that:

(a) Sells any type of liquor as defined in chapter 66.04 RCW;

(b) Is classified as off-limits to persons under twenty-one years of age under chapter 66.24 RCW; and

(c) Is located over on thousand feet from an outdoor venue.

Sec. 2. RCW 70.155.060 and 1993 c 507 s 7 are each amended to read as follows:

~~((1))~~No person may distribute or offer to distribute samples in ~~((a public place. This prohibition does not apply to sampling (a) in an area to which persons under the age of eighteen are denied admission, (b) in or at a store or concession to which a retailer's license has been issued, or (c) at or adjacent to a production, repair, or outdoor construction site or facility.~~

~~(2) Notwithstanding subsection (1) of this section, no person may distribute or offer to distribute samples in or on a public street, sidewalk, or park that is within five hundred feet of a playground, school, or other facility when that facility is being used primarily by persons under the age of eighteen for recreational, educational, or other purposes) any place other than a twenty-one and over location."~~

Correct the title.

Representatives Armstrong and Ericksen spoke in favor of the adoption of the amendment.

Representative Schual-Berke spoke against the adoption of the amendment.

The amendment was not adopted.

Representative Grant moved the adoption of amendment (1124):

Strike everything after the enacting clause and insert the following:

"Sec. 1. RCW 70.155.050 and 1993 c 507 s 6 are each amended to read as follows:

(1) No person may engage in the business of sampling within the state unless licensed to do so by the board. If a firm contracts with a manufacturer to distribute samples of the manufacturer's products, that firm is deemed to be the person engaged in the business of sampling. No person may engage in the business of sampling cigarettes.

(2) The board shall issue a license to a sampler not otherwise disqualified by RCW 70.155.100 upon application and payment of the fee.

(3) A sampler's license expires on the thirtieth day of June of each year and must be renewed annually upon payment of the appropriate fee.

(4) The board shall annually determine the fee for a sampler's license and each renewal. However, the fee for a manufacturer whose employees distribute samples within the state is five hundred dollars per annum, and the fee for all other samplers must be not less than fifty dollars per annum.

(5) A sampler's license entitles the licensee, and employees or agents of the licensee, to distribute samples at any lawful location in the state during the term of the license. A person engaged in sampling under the license shall carry the license or a copy at all times. A violation of this section is a misdemeanor."

Correct the title.

Representative Grant spoke in favor of the adoption of the amendment.

Representative Cody spoke against the adoption of the amendment.

The amendment was not adopted.

There being no objection, the rules were suspended, the second reading considered the third and the bill was placed on final passage.

Representatives Morrell and Tom spoke in favor of passage of the bill.

Representatives Grant, Armstrong and Orcutt spoke against the passage of the bill.

The Speaker (Representative Lovick presiding) stated the question before the House to be the final passage of Engrossed Senate Bill No. 5048.

ROLL CALL

The Clerk called the roll on the final passage of Engrossed Senate Bill No. 5048 and the bill passed the House by the following vote: Yeas - 73, Nays - 25, Absent - 0, Excused - 0.

Voting yea: Representatives Ahern, Alexander, Anderson, Appleton, Buri, Campbell, Chandler, Chase, Clements, Clibborn, Cody, Conway, Cox, Crouse, Curtis, Darneille, Dickerson, Dunshee, Ericks, Ericksen, Fromhold, Green, Haigh, Haler, Hasegawa, Hinkle, Holmquist, Hudgins, Hunter, Jarrett, Kagi, Kenney, Kilmer, Lantz, Linville, Lovick, McCune, McDermott, McDonald, McIntire, Miloscia, Moeller, Morrell, Morris, Murray, Newhouse, O'Brien, Pearson, Priest, Quall, Roach, Roberts, Rodne, Schindler, Schual-Berke, Sells, Serben, Shabro, Simpson, Skinner, Sommers, Springer, Strow, Sullivan, P., Takko, Tom,

Upthegrove, Wallace, Walsh, Williams, Wood, Woods and Mr. Speaker - 73.

Voting nay: Representatives Armstrong, Bailey, Blake, Buck, Condotta, DeBolt, Dunn, Eickmeyer, Flannigan, Grant, Hankins, Hunt, Kessler, Kirby, Kretz, Kristiansen, McCoy, Nixon, Orcutt, Ormsby, Pettigrew, Santos, Sullivan, B., Sump and Talcott - 25.

ENGROSSED SENATE BILL NO. 5048, having received the necessary constitutional majority, was declared passed.

HOUSE BILL NO. 3293, By Representatives Roach, Chase, Takko, Shabro, Rodne, Simpson, Serben, Nixon, Williams, Morrell, Sells, Haler, Campbell and Ahern

Regarding disorderly conduct.

The bill was read the second time.

There being no objection, Substitute House Bill No. 3293 was substituted for House Bill No. 3293 and the substitute bill was placed on the second reading calendar.

SUBSTITUTE HOUSE BILL NO. 3293 was read the second time.

With the consent of the House, amendment (1004) was withdrawn.

There being no objection, the rules were suspended, the second reading considered the third and the bill was placed on final passage.

Representatives Roach, Lantz, Curtis, Moeller and Dunn spoke in favor of passage of the bill.

The Speaker (Representative Lovick presiding) stated the question before the House to be the final passage of Substitute House Bill No. 3293.

ROLL CALL

The Clerk called the roll on the final passage of Substitute House Bill No. 3293 and the bill passed the House by the following vote: Yeas - 98, Nays - 0, Absent - 0, Excused - 0.

Voting yea: Representatives Ahern, Alexander, Anderson, Appleton, Armstrong, Bailey, Blake, Buck, Buri, Campbell, Chandler, Chase, Clements, Clibborn, Cody, Condotta, Conway, Cox, Crouse, Curtis, Darneille, DeBolt, Dickerson, Dunn, Dunshee, Eickmeyer, Ericks, Ericksen, Flannigan, Fromhold, Grant, Green, Haigh, Haler, Hankins, Hasegawa, Hinkle, Holmquist, Hudgins, Hunt, Hunter, Jarrett, Kagi, Kenney, Kessler, Kilmer, Kirby, Kretz, Kristiansen, Lantz, Linville, Lovick, McCoy, McCune, McDermott, McDonald, McIntire, Miloscia, Moeller, Morrell, Morris, Murray, Newhouse, Nixon, O'Brien, Orcutt, Ormsby, Pearson,

Pettigrew, Priest, Quall, Roach, Roberts, Rodne, Santos, Schindler, Schual-Berke, Sells, Serben, Shabro, Simpson, Skinner, Sommers, Springer, Strow, Sullivan, B., Sullivan, P., Sump, Takko, Talcott, Tom, Upthegrove, Wallace, Walsh, Williams, Wood, Woods and Mr. Speaker - 98.

SUBSTITUTE HOUSE BILL NO. 3293, having received the necessary constitutional majority, was declared passed.

MESSAGE FROM THE SENATE

March 3, 2006

Mr. Speaker:

The Senate has passed HOUSE BILL NO. 2424, with the following amendment:

On page 1, line 8, after "diesel fuel" insert ", or aircraft fuel as defined in RCW 82.42.010(5),"

On page 2, line 4, after "diesel fuel" insert ", or aircraft fuel as defined in RCW 82.42.010(5),"

and the same is herewith transmitted.

Thomas Hoemann, Secretary

There being no objection, the House concurred in the Senate amendment to HOUSE BILL NO. 2424 and advanced the bill as amended by the Senate to final passage.

**FINAL PASSAGE OF HOUSE BILL
AS SENATE AMENDED**

Representatives Grant and Buri spoke in favor the passage of the bill.

The Speaker (Representative Lovick presiding) stated the question before the House to be final passage of House Bill No. 2424 as amended by the Senate.

ROLL CALL

The Clerk called the roll on the final passage of House Bill No. 2424, as amended by the Senate and the bill passed the House by the following vote: Yeas - 97, Nays - 1, Absent - 0, Excused - 0.

Voting yea: Representatives Ahern, Alexander, Anderson, Appleton, Armstrong, Bailey, Blake, Buck, Buri, Campbell, Chandler, Chase, Clements, Clibborn, Cody, Condotta, Conway, Cox, Crouse, Curtis, Darneille, DeBolt, Dickerson, Dunn, Dunshee, Eickmeyer, Ericks, Ericksen, Flannigan, Fromhold, Grant, Green, Haigh, Haler, Hankins, Hasegawa, Hinkle, Holmquist, Hudgins, Hunt, Hunter, Jarrett, Kagi, Kenney, Kessler, Kilmer, Kirby, Kretz, Kristiansen, Lantz, Linville, Lovick, McCoy, McCune, McDermott, McDonald, McIntire, Miloscia, Moeller, Morrell, Morris, Murray, Newhouse, Nixon, O'Brien, Orcutt, Ormsby, Pearson,

Pettigrew, Priest, Quall, Roach, Roberts, Rodne, Santos, Schindler, Schual-Berke, Sells, Serben, Shabro, Simpson, Skinner, Sommers, Springer, Strow, Sullivan, B., Sullivan, P., Sump, Takko, Talcott, Upthegrove, Wallace, Walsh, Williams, Wood, Woods and Mr. Speaker - 97.

Voting nay: Representative Tom - 1.

HOUSE BILL NO. 2424, as amended by the Senate having received the constitutional majority, was declared passed.

MESSAGE FROM THE SENATE

March 3, 2006

Mr. Speaker:

The Senate has passed ENGROSSED HOUSE BILL NO. 3278, with the following amendment:

Strike everything after the enacting clause and insert the following:

"**Sec. 1.** RCW 50.20.050 and 2003 2nd sp.s. c 4 s 4 are each reenacted to read as follows:

(1) With respect to claims that have an effective date before January 4, 2004:

(a) An individual shall be disqualified from benefits beginning with the first day of the calendar week in which he or she has left work voluntarily without good cause and thereafter for seven calendar weeks and until he or she has obtained bona fide work in employment covered by this title and earned wages in that employment equal to seven times his or her weekly benefit amount.

The disqualification shall continue if the work obtained is a mere sham to qualify for benefits and is not bona fide work. In determining whether work is of a bona fide nature, the commissioner shall consider factors including but not limited to the following:

(i) The duration of the work;

(ii) The extent of direction and control by the employer over the work; and

(iii) The level of skill required for the work in light of the individual's training and experience.

(b) An individual shall not be considered to have left work voluntarily without good cause when:

(i) He or she has left work to accept a bona fide offer of bona fide work as described in (a) of this subsection;

(ii) The separation was because of the illness or disability of the claimant or the death, illness, or disability of a member of the claimant's immediate family if the claimant took all reasonable precautions, in accordance with any regulations that the commissioner may prescribe, to protect his or her employment status by having promptly notified the employer of the reason for the absence and by having promptly requested reemployment when again able to assume employment: PROVIDED, That these precautions need not have been taken when they would have been a futile act, including those instances when the futility of the act was a result of a recognized labor/management dispatch system;

(iii) He or she has left work to relocate for the spouse's employment that is due to an employer-initiated mandatory transfer that is outside the existing labor market area if the claimant remained employed as long as was reasonable prior to the move; or

(iv) The separation was necessary to protect the claimant or the claimant's immediate family members from domestic violence, as defined in RCW 26.50.010, or stalking, as defined in RCW 9A.46.110.

(c) In determining under this subsection whether an individual has left work voluntarily without good cause, the commissioner shall only consider work-connected factors such as the degree of risk involved to the individual's health, safety, and morals, the individual's physical fitness for the work, the individual's ability to perform the work, and such other work connected factors as the commissioner may deem pertinent, including state and national emergencies. Good cause shall not be established for voluntarily leaving work because of its distance from an individual's residence where the distance was known to the individual at the time he or she accepted the employment and where, in the judgment of the department, the distance is customarily traveled by workers in the individual's job classification and labor market, nor because of any other significant work factor which was generally known and present at the time he or she accepted employment, unless the related circumstances have so changed as to amount to a substantial involuntary deterioration of the work factor or unless the commissioner determines that other related circumstances would work an unreasonable hardship on the individual were he or she required to continue in the employment.

(d) Subsection (1)(a) and (c) of this section shall not apply to an individual whose marital status or domestic responsibilities cause him or her to leave employment. Such an individual shall not be eligible for unemployment insurance benefits beginning with the first day of the calendar week in which he or she left work and thereafter for seven calendar weeks and until he or she has requalified, either by obtaining bona fide work in employment covered by this title and earning wages in that employment equal to seven times his or her weekly benefit amount or by reporting in person to the department during ten different calendar weeks and certifying on each occasion that he or she is ready, able, and willing to immediately accept any suitable work which may be offered, is actively seeking work pursuant to customary trade practices, and is utilizing such employment counseling and placement services as are available through the department. This subsection does not apply to individuals covered by (b)(ii) or (iii) of this subsection.

(2) With respect to claims that have an effective date on or after January 4, 2004:

(a) An individual shall be disqualified from benefits beginning with the first day of the calendar week in which he or she has left work voluntarily without good cause and thereafter for seven calendar weeks and until he or she has obtained bona fide work in employment covered by this title and earned wages in that employment equal to seven times his or her weekly benefit amount.

The disqualification shall continue if the work obtained is a mere sham to qualify for benefits and is not bona fide work. In determining whether work is of a bona fide nature, the commissioner shall consider factors including but not limited to the following:

(i) The duration of the work;

(ii) The extent of direction and control by the employer over the work; and

(iii) The level of skill required for the work in light of the individual's training and experience.

(b) An individual is not disqualified from benefits under (a) of this subsection when:

(i) He or she has left work to accept a bona fide offer of bona fide work as described in (a) of this subsection;

(ii) The separation was necessary because of the illness or disability of the claimant or the death, illness, or disability of a member of the claimant's immediate family if:

(A) The claimant pursued all reasonable alternatives to preserve his or her employment status by requesting a leave of absence, by having promptly notified the employer of the reason for the absence, and by having promptly requested reemployment when again able to assume employment. These alternatives need not be pursued, however, when they would have been a futile act, including those instances when the futility of the act was a result of a recognized labor/management dispatch system; and

(B) The claimant terminated his or her employment status, and is not entitled to be reinstated to the same position or a comparable or similar position;

(iii) He or she: (A) Left work to relocate for the spouse's employment that, due to a mandatory military transfer: (I) Is outside the existing labor market area; and (II) is in Washington or another state that, pursuant to statute, does not consider such an individual to have left work voluntarily without good cause; and (B) remained employed as long as was reasonable prior to the move;

(iv) The separation was necessary to protect the claimant or the claimant's immediate family members from domestic violence, as defined in RCW 26.50.010, or stalking, as defined in RCW 9A.46.110;

(v) The individual's usual compensation was reduced by twenty-five percent or more;

(vi) The individual's usual hours were reduced by twenty-five percent or more;

(vii) The individual's worksite changed, such change caused a material increase in distance or difficulty of travel, and, after the change, the commute was greater than is customary for workers in the individual's job classification and labor market;

(viii) The individual's worksite safety deteriorated, the individual reported such safety deterioration to the employer, and the employer failed to correct the hazards within a reasonable period of time;

(ix) The individual left work because of illegal activities in the individual's worksite, the individual reported such activities to the employer, and the employer failed to end such activities within a reasonable period of time; or

(x) The individual's usual work was changed to work that violates the individual's religious convictions or sincere moral beliefs.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 2. Section 1 of this act applies retroactively to claims that have an effective date on or after January 4, 2004."

On page 1, line 2 of the title, after "equity;" strike the remainder of the title and insert "reenacting RCW 50.20.050; and creating a new section."

and the same is herewith transmitted.

Thomas Hoemann, Secretary

On motion of Representative Conway, the House concurred in the Senate amendment to ENGROSSED HOUSE BILL NO. 3278 and advanced the bill as amended by the Senate to final passage.

**FINAL PASSAGE OF HOUSE BILL
AS SENATE AMENDED**

Representatives Conway and Condotta spoke in favor the passage of the bill.

The Speaker (Representative Lovick presiding) stated the question before the House to be final passage of Engrossed House Bill No. 3278 as amended by the Senate.

ROLL CALL

The Clerk called the roll on the final passage of Engrossed House Bill No. 3278, as amended by the Senate and the bill passed the House by the following vote: Yeas - 98, Nays - 0, Absent - 0, Excused - 0.

Voting yea: Representatives Ahern, Alexander, Anderson, Appleton, Armstrong, Bailey, Blake, Buck, Buri, Campbell, Chandler, Chase, Clements, Clibborn, Cody, Condotta, Conway, Cox, Crouse, Curtis, Darneille, DeBolt, Dickerson, Dunn, Dunshee, Eickmeyer, Ericks, Ericksen, Flannigan, Fromhold, Grant, Green, Haigh, Haler, Hankins, Hasegawa, Hinkle, Holmquist, Hudgins, Hunt, Hunter, Jarrett, Kagi, Kenney, Kessler, Kilmer, Kirby, Kretz, Kristiansen, Lantz, Linville, Lovick, McCoy, McCune, McDermott, McDonald, McIntire, Miloscia, Moeller, Morrell, Morris, Murray, Newhouse, Nixon, O'Brien, Orcutt, Ormsby, Pearson, Pettigrew, Priest, Quall, Roach, Roberts, Rodne, Santos, Schindler, Schual-Berke, Sells, Serben, Shabro, Simpson, Skinner, Sommers, Springer, Strow, Sullivan, B., Sullivan, P., Sump, Takko, Talcott, Tom, Upthegrove, Wallace, Walsh, Williams, Wood, Woods and Mr. Speaker - 98.

ENGROSSED HOUSE BILL NO. 3278, as amended by the Senate having received the constitutional majority, was declared passed.

SIGNED BY THE SPEAKER

The Speaker signed:

HOUSE BILL NO. 1305,
ENGROSSED HOUSE BILL NO. 1383,
HOUSE BILL NO. 1471,
SUBSTITUTE HOUSE BILL NO. 1504,
HOUSE BILL NO. 1641,
ENGROSSED SUBSTITUTE HOUSE BILL NO. 2056,
HOUSE BILL NO. 2328,
HOUSE BILL NO. 2330,
HOUSE BILL NO. 2366,
HOUSE BILL NO. 2379,
HOUSE BILL NO. 2380,
SUBSTITUTE HOUSE BILL NO. 2394,
SUBSTITUTE HOUSE BILL NO. 2414,
HOUSE BILL NO. 2424,
ENGROSSED SUBSTITUTE HOUSE BILL NO. 2479,
HOUSE BILL NO. 2520,
HOUSE BILL NO. 2562,
ENGROSSED SUBSTITUTE HOUSE BILL NO. 2651,
SUBSTITUTE HOUSE BILL NO. 2670,
HOUSE BILL NO. 2690,
SUBSTITUTE HOUSE BILL NO. 2691,
SUBSTITUTE HOUSE BILL NO. 2713,

SUBSTITUTE HOUSE BILL NO. 2723,
SUBSTITUTE HOUSE BILL NO. 2726,
SUBSTITUTE HOUSE BILL NO. 2780,
SUBSTITUTE HOUSE BILL NO. 2804,
SECOND SUBSTITUTE HOUSE BILL NO. 2805,
HOUSE BILL NO. 2857,
HOUSE BILL NO. 2874,
SUBSTITUTE HOUSE BILL NO. 2876,
SUBSTITUTE HOUSE BILL NO. 2898,
SUBSTITUTE HOUSE BILL NO. 2908,
HOUSE BILL NO. 2932,
ENGROSSED SUBSTITUTE HOUSE BILL NO. 2951,
SUBSTITUTE HOUSE BILL NO. 2987,
HOUSE BILL NO. 3001,
HOUSE BILL NO. 3056,
ENGROSSED HOUSE BILL NO. 3074,
SUBSTITUTE HOUSE BILL NO. 3085,
SUBSTITUTE HOUSE BILL NO. 3087,
SUBSTITUTE HOUSE BILL NO. 3120,
SUBSTITUTE HOUSE BILL NO. 3128,
HOUSE BILL NO. 3134,
SUBSTITUTE HOUSE BILL NO. 3137,
HOUSE BILL NO. 3154,
SUBSTITUTE HOUSE BILL NO. 3185,
ENGROSSED HOUSE BILL NO. 3192,
HOUSE BILL NO. 3252,
ENGROSSED HOUSE BILL NO. 3278,
HOUSE JOINT MEMORIAL NO. 4023,
HOUSE JOINT MEMORIAL NO. 4031,
SENATE BILL NO. 5439,
ENGROSSED SENATE BILL NO. 6152,
SENATE BILL NO. 6159,
ENGROSSED SENATE BILL NO. 6169,
SUBSTITUTE SENATE BILL NO. 6185,
SENATE BILL NO. 6208,
ENGROSSED SENATE BILL NO. 6236,
SENATE BILL NO. 6338,
SUBSTITUTE SENATE BILL NO. 6359,
SUBSTITUTE SENATE BILL NO. 6406,
ENGROSSED SECOND SUBSTITUTE SENATE BILL NO. 6480,
ENGROSSED SENATE BILL NO. 6537,
SENATE BILL NO. 6549,
SENATE BILL NO. 6576,
SENATE BILL NO. 6596,

MESSAGE FROM THE SENATE

March 3, 2006

Mr. Speaker:

The President has signed:

HOUSE BILL NO. 2424,
ENGROSSED HOUSE BILL NO. 3278,

and the same are herewith transmitted.

Thomas Hoemann, Secretary

There being no objection, the House advanced to the eleventh order of business.

There being no objection, the House adjourned until 10:00 a.m., March 4, 2006, the 55th Day of the Regular Session.

FRANK CHOPP, Speaker

RICHARD NAFZIGER, Chief Clerk

Draft

| | | |
|--------|------------------------|----|
| 1305 | Speaker Signed | 30 |
| | Messages | 2 |
| 1383 | Speaker Signed | 30 |
| 1471 | Speaker Signed | 30 |
| | Messages | 2 |
| 1504-S | Speaker Signed | 30 |
| | Messages | 1 |
| 1641 | Speaker Signed | 30 |
| | Messages | 2 |
| 2056-S | Speaker Signed | 30 |
| | Messages | 2 |
| 2328 | Speaker Signed | 30 |
| 2330 | Speaker Signed | 30 |
| 2366 | Speaker Signed | 30 |
| | Messages | 2 |
| 2379 | Speaker Signed | 30 |
| | Messages | 2 |
| 2380 | Speaker Signed | 30 |
| | Messages | 2 |
| 2394-S | Speaker Signed | 30 |
| | Messages | 2 |
| 2414-S | Speaker Signed | 30 |
| | Messages | 1 |
| 2424 | Final Passage | 29 |
| | Other Action | 28 |
| | Speaker Signed | 31 |
| | Messages | 28 |
| | President Signed | 31 |
| 2479-S | Speaker Signed | 30 |
| | Messages | 2 |
| 2520 | Speaker Signed | 30 |
| | Messages | 2 |
| 2562 | Speaker Signed | 30 |
| 2651-S | Speaker Signed | 30 |
| 2670-S | Speaker Signed | 30 |
| | Messages | 1 |
| 2690 | | |

| | |
|----------------------|----|
| Speaker Signed | 30 |
| Messages | 2 |
| 2691-S | |
| Speaker Signed | 30 |
| 2713-S | |
| Speaker Signed | 30 |
| Messages | 2 |
| 2723-S | |
| Speaker Signed | 30 |
| 2726-S | |
| Speaker Signed | 31 |
| Messages | 2 |
| 2780-S | |
| Speaker Signed | 31 |
| 2804-S | |
| Speaker Signed | 31 |
| Messages | 2 |
| 2805-S2 | |
| Speaker Signed | 31 |
| Messages | 2 |
| 2857 | |
| Speaker Signed | 31 |
| Messages | 2 |
| 2874 | |
| Speaker Signed | 31 |
| 2876-S | |
| Speaker Signed | 31 |
| 2898-S | |
| Speaker Signed | 31 |
| 2908-S | |
| Speaker Signed | 31 |
| Messages | 2 |
| 2932 | |
| Speaker Signed | 31 |
| Messages | 2 |
| 2951-S | |
| Speaker Signed | 31 |
| Messages | 2 |
| 2987-S | |
| Speaker Signed | 31 |
| Messages | 2 |
| 3001 | |
| Speaker Signed | 31 |
| 3056 | |
| Speaker Signed | 31 |
| Messages | 2 |
| 3074 | |
| Speaker Signed | 31 |
| Messages | 1 |
| 3085-S | |
| Speaker Signed | 31 |
| 3087-S | |
| Speaker Signed | 31 |
| 3120-S | |
| Speaker Signed | 31 |
| Messages | 2 |

| | | |
|----------------------------|-----------------------------------|----|
| 3128-S | | |
| | Speaker Signed | 31 |
| | Messages | 2 |
| 3134 | | |
| | Speaker Signed | 31 |
| | Messages | 2 |
| 3137-S | | |
| | Speaker Signed | 31 |
| | Messages | 2 |
| 3154 | | |
| | Speaker Signed | 31 |
| | Messages | 2 |
| 3185-S | | |
| | Speaker Signed | 31 |
| 3192 | | |
| | Speaker Signed | 31 |
| | Messages | 1 |
| 3252 | | |
| | Speaker Signed | 31 |
| | Messages | 1 |
| 3278 | | |
| | Final Passage | 30 |
| | Other Action | 30 |
| | Speaker Signed | 31 |
| | Messages | 29 |
| | President Signed | 31 |
| 3293 | | |
| | Second Reading | 28 |
| 3293-S | | |
| | Second Reading Amendment | 28 |
| | Third Reading Final Passage | 28 |
| 4023 | | |
| | Speaker Signed | 31 |
| | Messages | 2 |
| 4031 | | |
| | Speaker Signed | 31 |
| | Messages | 1 |
| 4688 Honoring Jim Harrison | | |
| | Introduced | 1 |
| | Adopted | 1 |
| 5048 | | |
| | Second Reading | 26 |
| | Third Reading Final Passage | 28 |
| | Other Action | 13 |
| 5439 | | |
| | Speaker Signed | 31 |
| 6151-S | | |
| | Second Reading Amendment | 13 |
| | Third Reading Final Passage | 14 |
| 6152 | | |
| | Speaker Signed | 31 |
| 6159 | | |
| | Speaker Signed | 31 |
| 6169 | | |
| | Speaker Signed | 31 |
| 6172-S2 | | |

| | |
|-----------------------------------|--------|
| Second Reading Amendment | 22 |
| Third Reading Final Passage | 22 |
| 6185-S | |
| Speaker Signed | 31 |
| 6208 | |
| Speaker Signed | 31 |
| 6236 | |
| Speaker Signed | 31 |
| 6239-S2 | |
| Second Reading Amendment | 9 |
| Third Reading Final Passage | 13 |
| 6244-S | |
| Second Reading Amendment | 7 |
| Third Reading Final Passage | 8 |
| 6255-S | |
| Second Reading Amendment | 3 |
| Third Reading Final Passage | 3 |
| 6264 | |
| Second Reading | 8 |
| Third Reading Final Passage | 8 |
| 6280 | |
| Second Reading | 23 |
| Third Reading Final Passage | 23 |
| Other Action | 13 |
| 6287-S | |
| Second Reading Amendment | 14, 25 |
| Third Reading Final Passage | 26 |
| Other Action | 14, 25 |
| Colloquy | 25 |
| 6325-S | |
| Second Reading | 21 |
| Third Reading Final Passage | 22 |
| 6338 | |
| Speaker Signed | 31 |
| 6359-S | |
| Speaker Signed | 31 |
| 6364 | |
| Second Reading Amendment | 14 |
| Third Reading Final Passage | 15 |
| 6366-S | |
| Second Reading Amendment | 19 |
| Third Reading Final Passage | 20 |
| 6379 | |
| Other Action | 13 |
| 6396-S | |
| Second Reading Amendment | 8 |
| Third Reading Final Passage | 8 |
| 6406-S | |
| Speaker Signed | 31 |
| 6412 | |
| Second Reading | 20 |
| Third Reading Final Passage | 20 |
| 6418 | |
| Second Reading | 24 |
| Third Reading Final Passage | 24 |
| 6427-S | |

| | |
|--|-------|
| Second Reading Amendment | 15 |
| Third Reading Final Passage | 15 |
| 6429 | |
| Second Reading Amendment | 24 |
| Third Reading Final Passage | 25 |
| 6453 | |
| Second Reading | 2 |
| Third Reading Final Passage | 2 |
| 6460-S2 | |
| Second Reading | 9 |
| Third Reading Final Passage | 9 |
| 6475-S | |
| Second Reading Amendment | 3, 15 |
| Third Reading Final Passage | 7, 19 |
| Other Action | 15 |
| 6480-S2 | |
| Speaker Signed | 31 |
| 6519-S | |
| Second Reading Amendment | 20 |
| Third Reading Final Passage | 21 |
| 6537 | |
| Speaker Signed | 31 |
| 6541 | |
| Second Reading Amendment | 22 |
| Third Reading Final Passage | 23 |
| Other Action | 13 |
| 6549 | |
| Speaker Signed | 31 |
| 6576 | |
| Speaker Signed | 31 |
| 6596 | |
| Speaker Signed | 31 |
| 6717-S | |
| Second Reading | 25 |
| Third Reading Final Passage | 25 |
| 6775-S | |
| Second Reading Amendment | 9 |
| Third Reading Final Passage | 9 |
| 6806-S | |
| Second Reading Amendment | 26 |
| Third Reading Final Passage | 26 |
| Other Action | 13 |
| 6826 | |
| Second Reading Amendment | 23 |
| Third Reading Final Passage | 24 |
| HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES (Representative Lovick presiding) | |
| Statement for the Journal: Representative Buri | 14 |
| Statement for the Journal: Representative Cox | 14 |
| Statement for the Journal: Representative Dunn | 3 |