

In view of this unexpected and heavy burden upon these industrial customers, I feel the additional burden of the proposed compensating tax is unwarranted at this time. However, consideration must certainly be given to imposing this tax at the expiration of the agreements providing for the contributions from these industrial customers, approximately three years hence.

Veto
Message

I have also vetoed section 32 and 34, which raise the yield tax applicable to timber on classified reforestation lands under chapter 84.28 RCW from twelve and one-half to twenty-five percent of the timber value. Pursuant to Substitute Senate Bill No. 849, which I have signed today, a study is to be made of the problem of integrating taxation of land and timber now classified under chapter 84.28 RCW into the provisions of Substitute Senate Bill No. 849. I believe that any legislative action with respect to changing the rates of the yield tax under chapter 84.28 RCW should await the results of this study.

With the exception of sections 19, 26 through 32, and 34, the remainder of the bill is approved."

CHAPTER 300

[Senate Bill No. 884]

HOUSING AUTHORITIES--

SUPPLEMENTAL PROJECTS

AN ACT Relating to housing authorities; amending section 35.82.030, chapter 7, Laws of 1965 and RCW 35.82.030; and adding a new section to chapter 35.82 RCW.

BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON:

V- Section 1. Section 35.82.030, chapter 7, Laws of 1965 and RCW 35.82.030 are each amended to read as follows:

In each city (as herein defined) and in each county of the state there is hereby created a public body corporate and politic to be known as the "Housing Authority" of the city or county: PROVIDED, HOWEVER, That such authority shall not transact any business or exercise its powers hereunder until or unless the governing body of the city or the county, as the case may be, by proper resolution shall declare at any time hereafter that there is need for an authority to function in such city or county. The determination as to whether or not there is such need for an authority to function (1)

may be made by the governing body on its own motion or (2) shall be made by the governing body upon the filing of a petition signed by twenty-five residents of the city or county, as the case may be, asserting that there is need for an authority to function in such city or county and requesting that the governing body so declare: PROVIDED, That the governing body of any class A county east of the Cascade mountains and of any city within such county shall only make such determination after referendum thereon to the people of such city or county, as the case may be.

The governing body shall adopt a resolution declaring that there is need for a housing authority in the city or county, as the case may be, if it shall find (1) that unsanitary or unsafe inhabited dwelling accommodations exist in such city or county or (2) that there is a shortage of safe or sanitary dwelling accommodations in such city or county available to persons of low income at rentals they can afford. In determining whether dwelling accommodations are unsafe or insanitary said governing body may take into consideration the degree of overcrowding, the percentage of land coverage, the light, air, space and access available to the inhabitants of such dwelling accommodations, the size and arrangement of the rooms, the sanitary facilities, and the extent to which conditions exist in such buildings which endanger life or property by fire or other causes.

In any suit, action or proceeding involving the validity or enforcement of or relating to any contract of the authority, the authority shall be conclusively deemed to have become established and authorized to transact business and exercise its powers hereunder upon proof of the adoption of a resolution by the governing body declaring the need for the authority. Such resolution or resolutions shall be deemed sufficient if it declares that there is such need for an authority and finds in substantially the foregoing terms (no further detail being necessary) that either or both of the above enumerated conditions exist in the city or county, as the case may be. A copy of such resolution duly certified by the clerk shall be admissible in evidence in any suit, action or proceeding.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 2. There is added to chapter 35.82 RCW a new section to read as follows:

Except as limited by this section, an authority shall have the same powers with respect to supplemental projects as hereinafter in this section defined as are now or hereafter granted to it under this chapter with respect to housing projects.

No funds shall be expended by an authority for a supplemental project except by resolution adopted on notice at a public hearing as provided by chapter 42.32 RCW, supported by formal findings of fact incorporated therein, establishing that:

- (1) Low-income housing needs within the area of operation of

the authority are being or will be adequately met by existing programs; and

(2) A surplus of funds will exist after meeting such low-income housing needs.

Expenditures for supplemental projects shall be limited to those funds determined to be surplus.

"Supplemental project" for the purposes of this chapter shall mean any work or undertaking to provide buildings, land, equipment, facilities, and other real or personal property for recreational, group home, halfway house or other community purposes which by resolution of the housing authority is determined to be necessary for the welfare of the community within its area of operation and to fully accomplish the purposes of this chapter. Such project need not be in conjunction with the clearing of a slum area under subsection (9) (a) of RCW 35.82.020 or with the providing of low-income housing under subsection (9) (b) of RCW 35.82.020.

Passed the Senate May 9, 1971.

Passed the House May 6, 1971.

Approved by the Governor May 21, 1971 with the exception of section 1 which is vetoed.

Filed in Office of Secretary of State May 21, 1971.

Note: Governor's explanation of partial veto is as follows:

"...The proviso in SB 884, "That the governing body of any class A county east of the Cascade mountains and of any city within such county shall only make such determination after referendum thereon to the people of such city or county, as the case may be." is narrowly drawn to apply to only one county in the state. Such a limitation to the general requirements of existing statutes relative to housing authorities is inappropriate and contrary to sound public policy. I have therefore vetoed this proviso and approved the remainder of the bill."

Veto Message

CHAPTER 301
[Substitute Senate Bill No. 926]
SUPPLEMENTAL BUDGET

AN ACT Adopting the supplemental budget; making appropriations for miscellaneous purposes; and declaring an emergency.

BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON:

NEW SECTION. Section 1. The following sums, or so much