AN ACT Relating to commercial transactions; amending section 2-316, 
chapter 157, Laws of 1965 ex. sess. and RCW 62A.2-316; and 
amending section 2-719, chapter 157, Laws of 1965 ex. sess. 
and RCW 62A.2-719.
BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON:
Section 1. Section 2-316, chapter 157, Laws of 1965 ex. sess. 
and RCW 62A.2-316 are each amended to read as follows:
(1) Words or conduct relevant to the creation of an express 
warranty and words or conduct tending to negate or limit warranty 
shall be construed wherever reasonable as consistent with each other; 
but subject to the provisions of this Article on parol or extrinsic 
evidence (RCW 62A.2-202) negation or limitation is inoperative to the 
extent that such construction is unreasonable.
(2) Subject to subsection (3), to exclude or modify the implied warranty of merchantability or any part of it the language 
must mention merchantability and in case of a writing must be 
conspicuous, and to exclude or modify any implied warranty of fitness 
the exclusion must be by a writing and conspicuous. Language to 
exclude all implied warranties of fitness is sufficient if it states, 
for example, that "There are no warranties which extend beyond the 
description on the face hereof."
(3) Notwithstanding subsection (2) 
(a) unless the circumstances indicate otherwise, all implied 
warranties are excluded by expressions like "as is", "with all 
faults" or other language which in common understanding calls the 
buyer's attention to the exclusion of warranties and makes plain that 
there is no implied warranty; and
(b) when the buyer before entering into the contract has 
examined the goods or the sample or model as fully as he desired or 
has refused to examine the goods there is no implied warranty with 
regard to defects which an examination ought in the circumstances to 
have revealed to him; and
(c) an implied warranty can also be excluded or modified by 
course of dealing or course of performance or usage of trade.
(4) Notwithstanding the provisions of subsections (2) and (3) 
of this section and the provisions of section 2 of this 1974
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amendatory act, in any case where goods are purchased or leased primarily for personal, family or household use or for commercial or business use, disclaimers of the warranty of merchantability or fitness for particular purpose shall not be effective to limit the liability of merchant sellers or lessors or manufacturers except insofar as the disclaimer sets forth with particularity the qualities and characteristics which are not being warranted. Remedies for breach of warranty can be limited in accordance with the provisions of this Article on liquidation or limitation of damages and on contractual modification of remedy (RCW 62A.2-718 and RCW 62A.2-719).

Sec. 2. Section 2-719, chapter 157, Laws of 1965 ex. sess. and RCW 62A.2-719 are each amended to read as follows:

(1) Subject to the provisions of subsections (2) and (3) of this section and of the preceding section on liquidation and limitation of damages,

(a) the agreement may provide for remedies in addition to or in substitution for those provided in this Article and may limit or alter the measure of damages recoverable under this Article, as by limiting the buyer’s remedies to return of the goods and repayment of the price or to repair and replacement of non-conforming goods or parts; and

(b) resort to a remedy as provided is optional unless the remedy is expressly agreed to be exclusive, in which case it is the sole remedy.

(2) Where circumstances cause an exclusive or limited remedy to fail of its essential purpose, remedy may be had as provided in this Title.

(3) (Consequential damages may be limited or excluded unless the limitation or exclusion is unconscionable; limitation of consequential damages for injury to the person in the case of consumer goods is prima facie unconscionable but limitation of damages where the loss is commercial is not;) Limitation of consequential damages for injury to the person in the case of goods purchased or leased primarily for personal, family or household use or of any services related thereto is invalid unless it is proved that the limitation is not unconscionable. Limitation of remedy to repair or replacement of defective parts or nonconforming goods is invalid in sales or leases of goods primarily for personal, family or household use unless the manufacturer or seller maintains or provides within this state facilities adequate to provide reasonable and expeditious performance of repair or replacement obligations.
Limitation of other consequential damages is valid unless it is established that the limitation is unconscionable.

Passed the House February 8, 1974.
Passed the Senate February 7, 1974.
Approved by the Governor February 16, 1974.
Filed in Office of Secretary of State February 16, 1974.

CHAPTER 79
[House Bill No. 102]
PROPERTY CONDEMNATION AWARDS—
SPECIAL BENEFITS SET-OFF—
LIEN—FEES

AN ACT Relating to property condemnation for highway, road, or street purposes; adding new sections to chapter 8.25 RCW; and declaring an emergency.

BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON:

NEW SECTION. Section 1. It is the purpose of this 1974 act to provide procedures whereby more just and equitable results are accomplished when real property has been condemned for a highway, road, or street and an award made which is subject to a setoff for benefits inuring to the condemnee's remaining land.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 2. Whenever land, real estate, premises or other property is to be taken or damaged for a highway, road, or street and the amount offered as just compensation includes a setoff in recognition of special benefits accruing to a remainder portion of the property the property owner shall elect one of the following options:

(1) Trial on the question of just compensation which shall finally determine the amount of just compensation; or

(2) Acceptance of the offered amount as a final determination of just compensation; or

(3) Demand the full amount of the fair market value of any property taken plus the amount of damages if any caused by such acquisition to a remainder of the property without offsetting the amount of any special benefits accruing to a remainder of the property as those several amounts are agreed to by the parties; or

(4) Demand a trial before a jury unless jury be waived to establish the fair market value of any property taken and the amount of damages if any caused by such acquisition to a remainder of the property without offsetting the amount of any special benefits accruing to a remainder of the property.

The selection of the option set forth in subsections (3) or (4) of this section is subject to the consent of the property owner.