(2) In each instance of bail forfeiture attendant to any violation of a law of this state or an ordinance of a city or county except an ordinance relating to vehicles unlawfully left or parked, an assessment which shall be in addition to such bail forfeited shall be collected and forwarded within thirty days of receipt of such assessment by the clerk of the court, or the county treasurer, to the state treasurer to be deposited in an account within the state general fund to be known as the criminal justice training account, hereby created, funds from which shall be appropriated by law to the Washington State Criminal Justice Training Commission as established by chapter 43.101 RCW. The amount of the assessment shall be as follows:

(a) When forfeiture is ten dollars to nineteen dollars and ninety-nine cents, three dollars;
(b) When forfeiture is twenty dollars to thirty-nine dollars and ninety-nine cents, five dollars;
(c) When forfeiture is forty dollars to fifty-nine dollars and ninety-nine cents, seven dollars;
(d) When forfeiture is sixty dollars to ninety-nine dollars and ninety-nine cents, twelve dollars; and
(e) When forfeiture is one hundred dollars or more, fifteen dollars.

(3) When any deposit of bail is made for a violation to which this section applies, the person making such deposit shall also deposit a sufficient amount to include the assessment prescribed in subsection (2) of this section.

(4) When bail is forfeited, the assessment prescribed in this section shall be forwarded to the state treasurer pursuant to this section. If bail is returned, the assessment made thereon shall also be returned.

Passed the Senate May 31, 1977.
Passed the House May 19, 1977.
Approved by the Governor June 10, 1977.
Filed in Office of Secretary of State June 10, 1977.

CHAPTER 213
[Engrossed Senate Bill No. 2421]
LOCAL GOVERNMENT PLANNING—HEARING EXAMINER SYSTEM

AN ACT Relating to local government hearing examiners; adding a new section to chapter 35.63 RCW; creating new sections; adding a new section to chapter 35A.63 RCW; adding a new section to chapter 36.70 RCW; and adding a new section to chapter 58.17 RCW.

Be it enacted by the Legislature of the State of Washington:

NEW SECTION. Section 1. There is added to chapter 35.63 RCW a new section to read as follows:

As an alternative to those provisions of this chapter relating to powers or duties of the planning commission to hear and report on any proposal to amend a zoning ordinance, the legislative body of a city or county may adopt a hearing examiner system under which a hearing examiner or hearing examiners may hear and decide applications for amending the zoning ordinance when the amendment which is applied for is not of general applicability. In addition, the legislative body may vest in a hearing examiner the power to hear and decide applications for conditional uses,
variances, or any other class of applications for or pertaining to land uses which the legislative body believes should be reviewed and decided by a hearing examiner. The legislative body shall prescribe procedures to be followed by the hearing examiner.

Each city or county legislative body electing to use a hearing examiner pursuant to this section shall by ordinance specify the legal effect of the decisions made by the examiner. The legal effect of such decisions may vary for the different classes of applications decided by the examiner but shall include one of the following:

(1) The decision may be given the effect of a recommendation to the legislative body;
(2) The decision may be given the effect of an administrative decision appealable within a specified time limit to the legislative body.

Each final decision of a hearing examiner shall be in writing and shall include findings and conclusions, based on the record, to support the decision. Such findings and conclusions shall also set forth the manner in which the decision would carry out and conform to the city's or county's comprehensive plan and the city's or county's development regulations. Each final decision of a hearing examiner, unless a longer period is mutually agreed to in writing by the applicant and the hearing examiner, shall be rendered within ten working days following conclusion of all testimony and hearings.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 2. There is added to chapter 35A.63 RCW a new section to read as follows:

As an alternative to those provisions of this chapter relating to powers or duties of the planning commission to hear and report on any proposal to amend a zoning ordinance, the legislative body of a city may adopt a hearing examiner system under which a hearing examiner or hearing examiners may hear and decide applications for amending the zoning ordinance when the amendment which is applied for is not of general applicability. In addition, the legislative body may vest in a hearing examiner the power to hear and decide applications for conditional uses, variances or any other class of applications for or pertaining to land uses which the legislative body believes should be reviewed and decided by a hearing examiner. The legislative body shall prescribe procedures to be followed by a hearing examiner. If the legislative authority vests in a hearing examiner the authority to hear and decide variances, then the provisions of RCW 35A.63.110 shall not apply to the city.

Each city legislative body electing to use a hearing examiner pursuant to this section shall by ordinance specify the legal effect of the decisions made by the examiner. The legal effect of such decisions may vary for the different classes of applications decided by the examiner but shall include one of the following:

(1) The decision may be given the effect of a recommendation to the legislative body;
(2) The decision may be given the effect of an administrative decision appealable within a specified time limit to the legislative body.

Each final decision of a hearing examiner shall be in writing and shall include findings and conclusions, based on the record, to support the decision. Such findings and conclusions shall also set forth the manner in which the decision would carry
out and conform to the city's comprehensive plan and the city's development regulations. Each final decision of a hearing examiner, unless a longer period is mutually agreed to in writing by the applicant and the hearing examiner, shall be rendered within ten working days following conclusion of all testimony and hearings.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 3. There is added to chapter 36.70 RCW a new section to read as follows:

As an alternative to those provisions of this chapter relating to powers or duties of the planning commission to hear and issue recommendations on applications for plat approval and applications for amendments to the zoning ordinance, the county legislative authority may adopt a hearing examiner system under which a hearing examiner or hearing examiners may hear and issue decisions on proposals for plat approval and for amendments to the zoning ordinance when the amendment which is applied for is not of general applicability. In addition, the legislative authority may vest in a hearing examiner the power to hear and decide conditional use applications, variance applications, applications for shoreline permits or any other class of applications for or pertaining to land uses. The legislative authority shall prescribe procedures to be followed by a hearing examiner.

Any county which vests in a hearing examiner the authority to hear and decide conditional uses and variances shall not be required to have a zoning adjuster or board of adjustment.

Each county legislative authority electing to use a hearing examiner pursuant to this section shall by ordinance specify the legal effect of the decisions made by the examiner. Such legal effect may vary for the different classes of applications decided by the examiner but shall include one of the following:

(1) The decision may be given the effect of a recommendation to the legislative authority;

(2) The decision may be given the effect of an administrative decision appealable within a specified time limit to the legislative authority.

Each final decision of a hearing examiner shall be in writing and shall include findings and conclusions, based on the record, to support the decision. Such findings and conclusions shall also set forth the manner in which the decision would carry out and conform to the county's comprehensive plan and the county's development regulations. Each final decision of a hearing examiner, unless a longer period is mutually agreed to in writing by the applicant and the hearing examiner, shall be rendered within ten working days following conclusion of all testimony and hearings.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 4. There is added to chapter 58.17 RCW a new section to read as follows:

As an alternative to those provisions of this chapter requiring a planning commission to hear and issue recommendations for plat approval, the county or city legislative body may adopt a hearing examiner system and shall specify by ordinance the legal effect of the decisions made by the examiner. The legal effect of such decisions shall include one of the following:

(1) The decision may be given the effect of a recommendation to the legislative body;
(2) The decision may be given the effect of an administrative decision appealable within a specified time limit to the legislative body. The legislative authority shall prescribe procedures to be followed by a hearing examiner.

Each final decision of a hearing examiner shall be in writing and shall include findings and conclusions, based on the record, to support the decision. Each final decision of a hearing examiner, unless a longer period is mutually agreed to by the applicant and the hearing examiner, shall be rendered within ten working days following conclusion of all testimony and hearings.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 5. If any provision of this act, or its application to any person or circumstance is held invalid, the remainder of the act, or the application of the provision to other persons or circumstances is not affected.

Passed the Senate June 2, 1977.
Passed the House June 1, 1977.
Approved by the Governor June 10, 1977.
Filed in Office of Secretary of State June 10, 1977.

CHAPTER 214
[Senate Bill No. 2439]
URBAN ARTERIAL TRUST FUNDS—OBLIGATION CONTINUED

AN ACT Relating to the urban arterial board; and amending section 4, chapter 267, Laws of 1975 1st ex. sess. and RCW 47.26.281.

Be it enacted by the Legislature of the State of Washington:

Section 1. Section 4, chapter 267, Laws of 1975 1st ex. sess. and RCW 47.26-.281 are each amended to read as follows:

Urban arterial trust funds initially authorized by the state urban arterial board in the 1967–69 biennium for specific projects in cities over three hundred thousand population, as last determined by the office of program planning and fiscal management, shall remain obligated to such projects for the period through June 30, ((1977)) 1978, unless such project is earlier withdrawn or abandoned by the sponsoring city. This continued obligation of urban arterial trust funds shall be terminated for any project if the sponsoring city earlier provides written notice of withdrawal or abandonment of the project to the urban arterial board or if the city acts to expend any other funds, exclusive of the required matching funds, which have heretofore been allocated or set aside to pay a part of the costs of such project.

After June 30, 1975, no additional urban arterial trust funds shall be expended for conceptual or feasibility studies of any project initially authorized prior to June 30, 1969 in a city of over three hundred thousand population, but such limitation shall not apply to the cost of preparing final plans, specifications and estimates or