(1) The department may review the activities of a person who receives vehicle record information to ensure compliance with the limitations imposed on the use of the information. The department shall suspend or revoke for up to five years the privilege of obtaining vehicle record information of a person found to be in violation of chapter 42.17 RCW, this chapter, or a disclosure agreement executed with the department.

(2) In addition to the penalty in subsection (1) of this section:

(a) The unauthorized disclosure of information from a department vehicle record; or

(b) The use of a false representation to obtain information from the department's vehicle records; or

(c) The use of information obtained from the department vehicle records for a purpose other than what is stated in the request for information or in the disclosure agreement executed with the department; or

(d) The sale or other distribution of any vehicle owner name or address to another person not disclosed in the request or disclosure agreement is a gross misdemeanor punishable by a fine not to exceed ten thousand dollars, or by imprisonment in a county jail not to exceed one year, or by both such fine and imprisonment for each violation.

Passed the House March 5, 1990.
Passed the Senate March 2, 1990.
Approved by the Governor March 28, 1990.
Filed in Office of Secretary of State March 28, 1990.

CHAPTER 233
[House Bill No. 1724]
STATE HIGHWAY DESIGNATION CRITERIA

AN ACT Relating to criteria for designation of state highways; adding a new section to chapter 47.17 RCW; and creating a new section.

Be it enacted by the Legislature of the State of Washington:

NEW SECTION. Sec. 1. A new section is added to chapter 47.17 RCW to read as follows:

In considering whether to make additions, deletions, or other changes to the state highway system, the legislature shall be guided by the following criteria as contained in the Road Jurisdiction Committee Phase I report to the legislature dated January 1987:

(1) A rural highway route should be designated as a state highway if it meets any of the following criteria:

(a) Is designated as part of the national system of interstate and defense highways (popularly called the interstate system); or

(b) Is designated as part of the system of numbered United States routes; or
(c) Contains an international border crossing that is open twelve or more hours each day.

(2) A rural highway route may be designated as a state highway if it is part of an integrated system of roads and:
   (a) Carries in excess of three hundred thousand tons annually and provides primary access to a rural port or intermodal freight terminal;
   (b) Provides a major cross-connection between existing state highways; or
   (c) Connects places exhibiting one or more of the following characteristics:
      (i) A population center of one thousand or greater;
      (ii) An area or aggregation of areas having a population equivalency of one thousand or more, such as, but not limited to, recreation areas, military installations, and so forth;
      (iii) A county seat;
      (iv) A major commercial-industrial terminal in a rural area with a population equivalency of one thousand or greater.

(3) An urban highway route that meets any of the following criteria should be designated as part of the state highway system:
   (a) Is designated as part of the interstate system;
   (b) Is designated as part of the system of numbered United States routes;
   (c) Is an urban extension of a rural state highway into or through an urban area and is necessary to form an integrated system of state highways;
   (d) Is a principal arterial that is a connecting link between two state highways and serves regionally oriented through traffic in urbanized areas with a population of fifty thousand or greater, or is a spur that serves regionally oriented traffic in urbanized areas.

(4) The following guidelines are intended to be used as a basis for interpreting and applying the criteria to specific routes:
   (a) For any route wholly within one or more contiguous jurisdictions which would be proposed for transfer to the state highway system under these criteria, if local officials prefer, responsibility will remain at the local level.
   (b) State highway routes maintain continuity of the system by being composed of routes that join other state routes at both ends or to arterial routes in the states of Oregon and Idaho and the Province of British Columbia.
   (c) Public facilities may be considered to be served if they are within approximately two miles of a state highway.
   (d) Exceptions may be made to include:
      (i) Rural spurs as state highways if they meet the criteria relative to serving population centers of one thousand or greater population or activity...
centers with population equivalencies or an aggregated population of one thousand or greater;

(ii) Urban spurs as state highways that provide needed access to Washington state ferry terminals, state parks, major seaports, and trunk airports; and

(iii) Urban connecting links as state highways that function as needed bypass routing of regionally oriented through traffic and benefit truck routing, capacity alternative, business congestion, and geometric deficiencies.

(e) In urban and urbanized areas:

(i) Unless they are significant regional traffic generators, public facilities such as state hospitals, state correction centers, state universities, ferry terminals, and military bases do not constitute a criteria for establishment of a state highway; and

(ii) There may be no more than one parallel nonaccess controlled facility in the same corridor as a freeway or limited access facility as designated by the metropolitan planning organization.

(f) When there is a choice of two or more routes between population centers, the state route designation shall normally be based on the following considerations:

(i) The ability to handle higher traffic volumes;

(ii) The higher ability to accommodate further development or expansion along the existing alignment;

(iii) The most direct route and the lowest travel time;

(iv) The route that serves traffic with the most interstate, state-wide, and interregional significance;

(v) The route that provides the optimal spacing between other state routes; and

(vi) The route that best serves the comprehensive plan for community development in those areas where such a plan has been developed and adopted.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 2. The road jurisdiction committee is directed to study the differential financial impact that additions, deletions, or changes to the state highway system may have on the jurisdiction gaining and/or losing jurisdiction over any particular roadway and make recommendations to the legislative transportation committee regarding creation of a fund to address these differential impacts. The recommendations shall also include a funding mechanism that includes a requirement that benefitted jurisdictions pay into the fund for the benefit of jurisdictions negatively impacted by a jurisdictional transfer. The recommendations shall address hardship issues relating to ability to make required payments and shall
make recommendations relating to time payments. The committee shall report to the legislative transportation committee by October 1, 1990.

Passed the Senate March 1, 1990.
Approved by the Governor March 28, 1990.
Filed in Office of Secretary of State March 28, 1990.

CHAPTER 234
[House Bill No. 2330]
JUNIOR TAXING DISTRICTS TAXING AUTHORITY

AN ACT Relating to the taxation authority of junior taxing districts; amending RCW 84.52.043, 70.44.060, 35.61.210, and 84.52.010; and repealing RCW 84.52.100.

Be it enacted by the Legislature of the State of Washington:

Sec. 1. Section 134, chapter 195, Laws of 1973 1st ex. sess. as last amended by section 36, chapter 378, Laws of 1989 and RCW 84.52.043 are each amended to read as follows:

Within and subject to the limitations imposed by RCW 84.52.050 as amended, the regular ad valorem tax levies upon real and personal property by the taxing districts hereafter named shall be as follows:

(1) Levies of the senior taxing districts shall be as follows: (a) The levy by the state shall not exceed three dollars and sixty cents per thousand dollars of assessed value adjusted to the state equalized value in accordance with the indicated ratio fixed by the state department of revenue to be used exclusively for the support of the common schools; (b) the levy by any county shall not exceed one dollar and eighty cents per thousand dollars of assessed value; (c) the levy by any road district shall not exceed two dollars and twenty-five cents per thousand dollars of assessed value; and (d) the levy by any city or town shall not exceed three dollars and thirty-seven and one-half cents per thousand dollars of assessed value. However any county is hereby authorized to increase its levy from one dollar and eighty cents to a rate not to exceed two dollars and forty-seven and one-half cents per thousand dollars of assessed value for general county purposes if the total levies for both the county and any road district within the county do not exceed four dollars and five cents per thousand dollars of assessed value, and no other taxing district has its levy reduced as a result of the increased county levy.

(2) Except as provided in RCW 84.52.100, the aggregate levies of junior taxing districts and senior taxing districts, other than the state, shall not exceed five dollars and (fifty-five) ninety cents per thousand dollars of assessed valuation. The term "junior taxing districts" includes all taxing districts other than the state, counties, road districts, cities, towns, port districts, and public utility districts. The limitations provided in this subsection...