

SIXTY FOURTH LEGISLATURE - FIRST SPECIAL SESSION

FIRST DAY

House Chamber, Olympia, Wednesday, April 29, 2015

The House was called to order at 12:00 p.m. by the Speaker (Representative Moeller presiding). The Clerk called the roll and a quorum was present.

The flags were escorted to the rostrum by a Sergeant at Arms Color Guard, Bob Jones and Jason Connelly. The Speaker (Representative Moeller presiding) led the Chamber in the Pledge of Allegiance. The prayer was offered by Representative Ed Orcutt, 20th District Washington.

Reading of the Journal of the previous day was dispensed with and it was ordered to stand approved.

MESSAGE FROM THE GOVERNOR**PROCLAMATION BY THE GOVERNOR 15-08**

WHEREAS, in accordance with Article II, Section 12 (Amendment 68) of the Washington State Constitution, the Legislature adjourned its 2015 regular session on April 24, 2015, the 103th day of the session; and

WHEREAS, work remains to be done with respect to the 2015-2017 biennial operating and capital budgets and bills necessary to implement those budgets; and

WHEREAS, work remains to be done with respect to the 2015-2017 biennial transportation budget and bills necessary to implement that budget; and

WHEREAS, work remains to be done with respect to critical policy bills that need to be acted upon by the Legislature; and

WHEREAS, the Speaker of the House, House Minority Leader, Senate Republican Leader, and Senate Democratic Leader, working together with the Governor may agree upon additional matters that are necessary for the Legislature to address;

NOW, THEREFORE, I, Jay Inslee, Governor of the state of Washington, by virtue of the authority vested in me by Article II, Section 12 (Amendment 68) and Article III, Section 7 of the Washington State Constitution, do hereby convene the Washington State Legislature in Special Session in the Capitol at Olympia on Wednesday, April 29, 2015, at 12:00 p.m. for the purpose of enacting legislation as described above.

Signed and sealed with the official seal of the state of Washington this 24th day of April, A.D. Two-thousand and Fifteen at Olympia, Washington.

Jay Inslee, Governor

There being no objection, the House advanced to the fourth order of business.

INTRODUCTION & FIRST READING

HB 2249 by Representatives MacEwen and Stokesbary

AN ACT Relating to causes for a vacancy in office; amending RCW 42.12.010; and declaring an emergency.

Referred to Committee on State Government.

HCR 4406 by Representatives Sullivan and Kretz

Specifying the status of bills, memorials, and resolutions for the 2015 first special session of the sixty-fourth legislature.

There being no objection, the bills listed on the day's introduction sheet under the fourth order of business were referred to the committees so designated, with the exception of HOUSE CONCURRENT RESOLUTION NO. 4406 which was read the first time, and under suspension of the rules, was placed on the second reading calendar.

There being no objection, the House advanced to the sixth order of business.

SECOND READING

HOUSE CONCURRENT RESOLUTION NO. 4406, by Representatives Sullivan and Kretz

Specifying the status of bills, memorials, and resolutions for the 2015 first special session of the sixty-fourth legislature.

The concurrent resolution was read the second time.

There being no objection, the rules were suspended, the second reading considered the third and the concurrent resolution was placed on final passage.

The Speaker (Representative Moeller presiding) stated the question before the House to be the adoption of House Concurrent Resolution No. 4406.

HOUSE CONCURRENT RESOLUTION NO. 4406 was adopted.

There being no objection, the House advanced to the eighth order of business.

There being no objection, the Committee on Rules was relieved of HOUSE BILL NO. 2151 and the bill was placed on the second reading calendar.

There being no objection, the Committee on Rules was relieved of the following bills and the bills were placed on the third reading calendar:

ENGROSSED SECOND SUBSTITUTE HOUSE BILL NO.
1272

ENGROSSED SECOND SUBSTITUTE HOUSE BILL NO. 1276
 ENGROSSED SECOND SUBSTITUTE HOUSE BILL NO. 1472
 ENGROSSED SECOND SUBSTITUTE HOUSE BILL NO. 1491
 SUBSTITUTE HOUSE BILL NO. 1570
 SUBSTITUTE HOUSE BILL NO. 1676
 SUBSTITUTE HOUSE BILL NO. 1696
 ENGROSSED SUBSTITUTE HOUSE BILL NO. 1713
 ENGROSSED SECOND SUBSTITUTE HOUSE BILL NO. 1825
 SUBSTITUTE HOUSE BILL NO. 2107
 ENGROSSED SECOND SUBSTITUTE HOUSE BILL NO. 2136
 SUBSTITUTE HOUSE BILL NO. 2160
 HOUSE BILL NO. 2217

The Speaker (Representative Moeller presiding) excused Representative Fagan and Santos.

MESSAGE FROM THE SENATE

April 29, 2015

MR. SPEAKER:

The Senate has adopted:
 HOUSE CONCURRENT RESOLUTION NO. 4406
 and the same are herewith transmitted.
 Hunter G. Goodman, Secretary

There being no objection, the House advanced to the eighth order of business.

There being no objection, the Committee on Rules was amended of ENGROSSED SECOND SUBSTITUTE HOUSE BILL NO. 1174 and the bill was placed on the second reading calendar.

There being no objection, the House advanced to the sixth order of business.

SECOND READING

ENGROSSED HOUSE BILL NO. 2151, by Representatives Jinkins, Schmick and Bergquist

Extending the hospital safety net assessment.

The bill was read the second time.

Representative Jinkins moved the adoption of amendment (501):

Strike everything after the enacting clause and insert the following:

"**Sec. 1.** RCW 74.60.005 and 2013 2nd sp.s. c 17 s 1 are each amended to read as follows:

(1) The purpose of this chapter is to provide for a safety net assessment on certain Washington hospitals, which will be used solely to augment funding from all other sources and thereby support additional payments to hospitals for medicaid services as specified in this chapter.

(2) The legislature finds that federal health care reform will result in an expansion of medicaid enrollment in this state and an increase in federal financial participation. (~~As a result, the hospital~~

~~safety net assessment and hospital safety net assessment fund created in this chapter will begin phasing down over a four year period beginning in fiscal year 2016 as federal medicaid expansion is fully implemented. The state will end its reliance on the assessment and the fund by the end of fiscal year 2019.~~)

(3) In adopting this chapter, it is the intent of the legislature:

(a) To impose a hospital safety net assessment to be used solely for the purposes specified in this chapter;

(b) To generate approximately four hundred (~~forty-six million three hundred thirty eight thousand~~) eighty-nine million dollars per state fiscal year (~~in fiscal years 2014 and 2015, and then phasing down in equal increments to zero by the end of fiscal year 2019,~~) in new state and federal funds by disbursing all of that amount to pay for medicaid hospital services and grants to certified public expenditure and critical access hospitals, except costs of administration as specified in this chapter, in the form of additional payments to hospitals and managed care plans, which may not be a substitute for payments from other sources;

(c) To generate (~~one hundred ninety nine million eight hundred thousand~~) two hundred eighty-three million dollars (~~in the 2013-2015 biennium, phasing down to zero by the end of the 2017-2019 biennium,~~) per biennium during the 2015-2017 and 2017-2019 biennia in new funds to be used in lieu of state general fund payments for medicaid hospital services;

(d) That the total amount assessed not exceed the amount needed, in combination with all other available funds, to support the payments authorized by this chapter; and

(e) To condition the assessment on receiving federal approval for receipt of additional federal financial participation and on continuation of other funding sufficient to maintain aggregate payment levels to hospitals for inpatient and outpatient services covered by medicaid, including fee-for-service and managed care, at least at the levels the state paid for those services on July 1, (~~2009~~) 2015, as adjusted for current enrollment and utilization(~~; but without regard to payment increases resulting from chapter 30, Laws of 2010 1st sp. sess~~).

Sec. 2. RCW 74.60.020 and 2013 2nd sp.s. c 17 s 3 are each amended to read as follows:

(1) A dedicated fund is hereby established within the state treasury to be known as the hospital safety net assessment fund. The purpose and use of the fund shall be to receive and disburse funds, together with accrued interest, in accordance with this chapter. Moneys in the fund, including interest earned, shall not be used or disbursed for any purposes other than those specified in this chapter. Any amounts expended from the fund that are later recouped by the authority on audit or otherwise shall be returned to the fund.

(a) Any unexpended balance in the fund at the end of a fiscal (~~biennium~~) year shall carry over into the following (~~biennium~~) fiscal year or that fiscal year and the following fiscal year and shall be applied to reduce the amount of the assessment under RCW 74.60.050(1)(c).

(b) Any amounts remaining in the fund after July 1, 2019, shall be refunded to hospitals, pro rata according to the amount paid by the hospital since July 1, 2013, subject to the limitations of federal law.

(2) All assessments, interest, and penalties collected by the authority under RCW 74.60.030 and 74.60.050 shall be deposited into the fund.

(3) Disbursements from the fund are conditioned upon appropriation and the continued availability of other funds sufficient to maintain aggregate payment levels to hospitals for inpatient and outpatient services covered by medicaid, including fee-for-service and managed care, at least at the levels the state paid for those services on July 1, (~~2009~~) 2015, as adjusted for

current enrollment and utilization ~~((, but without regard to payment increases resulting from chapter 30, Laws of 2010 1st sp. sess.)).~~

(4) Disbursements from the fund may be made only:

(a) To make payments to hospitals and managed care plans as specified in this chapter;

(b) To refund erroneous or excessive payments made by hospitals pursuant to this chapter;

(c) For one million dollars per biennium for payment of administrative expenses incurred by the authority in performing the activities authorized by this chapter;

(d) For ~~((one hundred ninety nine million eight hundred thousand))~~ two hundred eighty-three million dollars ~~((in the 2013-2015))~~ per biennium, ~~((phasing down to zero by the end of the 2017-2019 biennium))~~ to be used in lieu of state general fund payments for medicaid hospital services, provided that if the full amount of the payments required under RCW 74.60.120 and 74.60.130 cannot be distributed in a given fiscal year, this amount must be reduced proportionately;

(e) To repay the federal government for any excess payments made to hospitals from the fund if the assessments or payment increases set forth in this chapter are deemed out of compliance with federal statutes and regulations in a final determination by a court of competent jurisdiction with all appeals exhausted. In such a case, the authority may require hospitals receiving excess payments to refund the payments in question to the fund. The state in turn shall return funds to the federal government in the same proportion as the original financing. If a hospital is unable to refund payments, the state shall develop either a payment plan, or deduct moneys from future medicaid payments, or both;

(f) Beginning in state fiscal year 2015, to pay an amount sufficient, when combined with the maximum available amount of federal funds necessary to provide a one percent increase in medicaid hospital inpatient rates to hospitals eligible for quality improvement incentives under RCW 74.09.611.

Sec. 3. RCW 74.60.030 and 2014 c 143 s 1 are each amended to read as follows:

(1)(a) Upon satisfaction of the conditions in RCW 74.60.150(1), and so long as the conditions in RCW 74.60.150(2) have not occurred, an assessment is imposed as set forth in this subsection ~~((, effective October 1, 2013)). ((Initial assessment notices must be sent to each hospital not earlier than thirty days after satisfaction of the conditions in RCW 74.60.150(1). Payment is due not sooner than thirty days thereafter. Except for the initial))~~ Assessment ~~((;))~~ notices must be sent on or about thirty days prior to the end of each quarter and payment is due thirty days thereafter.

(b) Effective ~~((October 1, 2013))~~ July 1, 2015, and except as provided in RCW 74.60.050:

(i) ~~((For fiscal year 2014, an annual assessment for amounts determined as described in (b)(ii) through (iv) of this subsection is imposed for the time period of October 1, 2013, through June 30, 2014. The initial assessment notice must cover amounts due from October 1, 2013, through either: (A) The end of the calendar quarter prior to the satisfaction of the conditions in RCW 74.60.150(1) if federal approval is received more than forty five days prior to the end of a quarter; or (B) the end of the calendar quarter after the satisfaction of the conditions in RCW 74.60.150(1) if federal approval is received within forty five days of the end of a quarter. For subsequent assessments during fiscal year 2014, the authority shall calculate the amount due annually and shall issue assessments for the appropriate proportion of the annual amount due from each hospital;))~~

~~((ii) After the assessments described in (b)(i) of this subsection;))~~ Each prospective payment system hospital, except psychiatric and rehabilitation hospitals, shall pay a quarterly assessment. Each quarterly assessment shall be no more than one

quarter of three hundred ~~((forty four))~~ forty-five dollars for each annual nonmedicare hospital inpatient day, up to a maximum of fifty-four thousand days per year. For each nonmedicare hospital inpatient day in excess of fifty-four thousand days, each prospective payment system hospital shall pay an assessment of one quarter of seven dollars for each such day;

~~((iii) After the assessments described in (b)(i) of this subsection;))~~ (ii) Each critical access hospital shall pay a quarterly assessment of one quarter of ten dollars for each annual nonmedicare hospital inpatient day;

~~((iv) After the assessments described in (b)(i) of this subsection;))~~ (iii) Each psychiatric hospital shall pay a quarterly assessment of no more than one quarter of ((sixty seven)) sixty-eight dollars for each annual nonmedicare hospital inpatient day; and

~~((v) After the assessments described in (b)(i) of this subsection;))~~ (iv) Each rehabilitation hospital shall pay a quarterly assessment of no more than one quarter of ((sixty seven)) sixty-eight dollars for each annual nonmedicare hospital inpatient day.

(2) The authority shall determine each hospital's annual nonmedicare hospital inpatient days by summing the total reported nonmedicare hospital inpatient days for each hospital that is not exempt from the assessment under RCW 74.60.040 ~~((, taken))~~. The authority shall obtain inpatient data from the hospital's 2552 cost report data file or successor data file available through the centers for medicare and medicaid services, as of a date to be determined by the authority. For state fiscal year ((2014)) 2016, the authority shall use cost report data for hospitals' fiscal years ending in ((2010)) 2012. For subsequent years, the hospitals' next succeeding fiscal year cost report data must be used.

(a) With the exception of a prospective payment system hospital commencing operations after January 1, 2009, for any hospital without a cost report for the relevant fiscal year, the authority shall work with the affected hospital to identify appropriate supplemental information that may be used to determine annual nonmedicare hospital inpatient days.

(b) A prospective payment system hospital commencing operations after January 1, 2009, must be assessed in accordance with this section after becoming an eligible new prospective payment system hospital as defined in RCW 74.60.010.

Sec. 4. RCW 74.60.050 and 2013 2nd sp.s. c 17 s 5 are each amended to read as follows:

(1) The authority, in cooperation with the office of financial management, shall develop rules for determining the amount to be assessed to individual hospitals, notifying individual hospitals of the assessed amount, and collecting the amounts due. Such rule making shall specifically include provision for:

(a) Transmittal of notices of assessment by the authority to each hospital informing the hospital of its nonmedicare hospital inpatient days and the assessment amount due and payable;

(b) Interest on delinquent assessments at the rate specified in RCW 82.32.050; and

(c) Adjustment of the assessment amounts in accordance with subsection ~~((s))~~ (2) ~~((and (3)))~~ of this section.

(2) For state fiscal year ~~((2015))~~ 2016 and each subsequent state fiscal year, the assessment amounts established under RCW 74.60.030 must be adjusted as follows:

(a) If sufficient other funds, including federal funds, are available to make the payments required under this chapter and fund the state portion of the quality incentive payments under RCW 74.09.611 and 74.60.020(4)(f) without utilizing the full assessment under RCW 74.60.030, the authority shall reduce the amount of the assessment to the minimum levels necessary to support those payments;

(b) If the total amount of inpatient or outpatient supplemental payments under RCW 74.60.120 is in excess of the upper payment

limit and the entire excess amount cannot be disbursed by additional payments to managed care organizations under RCW 74.60.130, the authority shall proportionately reduce future assessments on prospective payment hospitals to the level necessary to generate additional payments to hospitals that are consistent with the upper payment limit plus the maximum permissible amount of additional payments to managed care organizations under RCW 74.60.130;

(c) If the amount of payments to managed care organizations under RCW 74.60.130 cannot be distributed because of failure to meet federal actuarial soundness or utilization requirements or other federal requirements, the authority shall apply the amount that cannot be distributed to reduce future assessments to the level necessary to generate additional payments to managed care organizations that are consistent with federal actuarial soundness or utilization requirements or other federal requirements;

(d) If required in order to obtain federal matching funds, the maximum number of nonmedicare inpatient days at the higher rate provided under RCW 74.60.030(1)(b)(i) may be adjusted in order to comply with federal requirements;

(e) If the number of nonmedicare inpatient days applied to the rates provided in RCW 74.60.030 will not produce sufficient funds to support the payments required under this chapter and the state portion of the quality incentive payments under RCW 74.09.611 and 74.60.020(4)(f), the assessment rates provided in RCW 74.60.030 may be increased proportionately by category of hospital to amounts no greater than necessary in order to produce the required level of funds needed to make the payments specified in this chapter and the state portion of the quality incentive payments under RCW 74.09.611 and 74.60.020(4)(f); and

(f) Any actual or estimated surplus remaining in the fund at the end of the fiscal year must be applied to reduce the assessment amount for the subsequent fiscal year or that fiscal year and the following fiscal years prior to and including fiscal year 2019.

(3) ~~(For each fiscal year after June 30, 2015, the assessment amounts established under RCW 74.60.030 must be adjusted as follows:~~

~~(a) In order to support the payments required in this chapter, the assessment amounts must be reduced in approximately equal yearly increments each fiscal year by category of hospital until the assessment amount is zero by July 1, 2019;~~

~~(b) If sufficient other funds, including federal funds, are available to make the payments required under this chapter and fund the state portion of the quality incentive payments under RCW 74.09.611 and 74.60.020(4)(f) without utilizing the full assessment under RCW 74.60.030, the authority shall reduce the amount of the assessment to the minimum levels necessary to support those payments;~~

~~(c) If in any fiscal year the total amount of inpatient or outpatient supplemental payments under RCW 74.60.120 is in excess of the upper payment limit and the entire excess amount cannot be disbursed by additional payments to managed care organizations under RCW 74.60.130, the authority shall proportionately reduce future assessments on prospective payment hospitals to the level necessary to generate additional payments to hospitals that are consistent with the upper payment limit plus the maximum permissible amount of additional payments to managed care organizations under RCW 74.60.130;~~

~~(d) If the amount of payments to managed care organizations under RCW 74.60.130 cannot be distributed because of failure to meet federal actuarial soundness or utilization requirements or other federal requirements, the authority shall apply the amount that cannot be distributed to reduce future assessments to the level necessary to generate additional payments to managed care organizations that are consistent with federal actuarial soundness or utilization requirements or other federal requirements;~~

~~(e) If required in order to obtain federal matching funds, the maximum number of nonmedicare inpatient days at the higher rate provided under RCW 74.60.030(1)(b)(i) may be adjusted in order to comply with federal requirements;~~

~~(f) If the number of nonmedicare inpatient days applied to the rates provided in RCW 74.60.030 will not produce sufficient funds to support the payments required under this chapter and the state portion of the quality incentive payments under RCW 74.09.611 and 74.60.020(4)(f), the assessment rates provided in RCW 74.60.030 may be increased proportionately by category of hospital to amounts no greater than necessary in order to produce the required level of funds needed to make the payments specified in this chapter and the state portion of the quality incentive payments under RCW 74.09.611 and 74.60.020(4)(f); and~~

~~(g) Any actual or estimated surplus remaining in the fund at the end of the fiscal year must be applied to reduce the assessment amount for the subsequent fiscal year.~~

~~(4))~~(a) Any adjustment to the assessment amounts pursuant to this section, and the data supporting such adjustment, including, but not limited to, relevant data listed in (b) of this subsection, must be submitted to the Washington state hospital association for review and comment at least sixty calendar days prior to implementation of such adjusted assessment amounts. Any review and comment provided by the Washington state hospital association does not limit the ability of the Washington state hospital association or its members to challenge an adjustment or other action by the authority that is not made in accordance with this chapter.

(b) The authority shall provide the following data to the Washington state hospital association sixty days before implementing any revised assessment levels, detailed by fiscal year, beginning with fiscal year 2011 and extending to the most recent fiscal year, except in connection with the initial assessment under this chapter:

- (i) The fund balance;
- (ii) The amount of assessment paid by each hospital;
- (iii) The state share, federal share, and total annual medicaid fee-for-service payments for inpatient hospital services made to each hospital under RCW 74.60.120, and the data used to calculate the payments to individual hospitals under that section;
- (iv) The state share, federal share, and total annual medicaid fee-for-service payments for outpatient hospital services made to each hospital under RCW 74.60.120, and the data used to calculate annual payments to individual hospitals under that section;
- (v) The annual state share, federal share, and total payments made to each hospital under each of the following programs: Grants to certified public expenditure hospitals under RCW 74.60.090, for critical access hospital payments under RCW 74.60.100; and disproportionate share programs under RCW 74.60.110;
- (vi) The data used to calculate annual payments to individual hospitals under (b)(v) of this subsection; and
- (vii) The amount of payments made to managed care plans under RCW 74.60.130, including the amount representing additional premium tax, and the data used to calculate those payments.

(c) On a monthly basis, the authority shall provide the Washington state hospital association the amount of payments made to managed care plans under RCW 74.60.130, including the amount representing additional premium tax, and the data used to calculate those payments.

Sec. 5. RCW 74.60.090 and 2013 2nd sp.s. c 17 s 8 are each amended to read as follows:

(1) In each fiscal year commencing upon satisfaction of the applicable conditions in RCW 74.60.150(1), funds must be disbursed from the fund and the authority shall make grants to

certified public expenditure hospitals, which shall not be considered payments for hospital services, as follows:

(a) University of Washington medical center: ~~((Three million three hundred thousand dollars per state fiscal year in fiscal years 2014 and 2015, and then reduced in approximately equal increments per fiscal year until the grant amount is zero by July 1,))~~ Four million four hundred fifty-five thousand dollars in each state fiscal year 2016 through 2019;

(b) Harborview medical center: ~~((Seven million six hundred thousand dollars per state fiscal year in fiscal years 2014 and 2015, and then reduced in approximately equal increments per fiscal year until the grant amount is zero by July 1,))~~ Ten million two hundred sixty thousand dollars in each state fiscal year 2016 through 2019;

(c) All other certified public expenditure hospitals: ~~((Four million seven hundred thousand dollars per state fiscal year in fiscal years 2014 and 2015, and then reduced in approximately equal increments per fiscal year until the grant amount is zero by July 1,))~~ Six million three hundred forty-five thousand dollars in each state fiscal year 2016 through 2019. The amount of payments to individual hospitals under this subsection must be determined using a methodology that provides each hospital with a proportional allocation of the group's total amount of medicaid and state children's health insurance program payments determined from claims and encounter data using the same general methodology set forth in RCW 74.60.120 (3) and (4).

(2) Payments must be made quarterly, before the end of each quarter, taking the total disbursement amount and dividing by four to calculate the quarterly amount. ~~((The initial payment, which must include all amounts due from and after July 1, 2013, to the date of the initial payment, must be made within thirty days after satisfaction of the conditions in RCW 74.60.150(1).))~~ The authority shall provide a quarterly report of such payments to the Washington state hospital association.

Sec. 6. RCW 74.60.100 and 2013 2nd sp.s. c 17 s 9 are each amended to read as follows:

In each fiscal year commencing upon satisfaction of the conditions in RCW 74.60.150(1), the authority shall make access payments to critical access hospitals that do not qualify for or receive a small rural disproportionate share hospital payment in a given fiscal year in the total amount of ~~((five hundred twenty))~~ seven hundred two thousand dollars from the fund and to critical access hospitals that receive disproportionate share payments in the total amount of one million three hundred thirty-six thousand dollars. The amount of payments to individual hospitals under this section must be determined using a methodology that provides each hospital with a proportional allocation of the group's total amount of medicaid and state children's health insurance program payments determined from claims and encounter data using the same general methodology set forth in RCW 74.60.120 (3) and (4). Payments must be made after the authority determines a hospital's payments under RCW 74.60.110. These payments shall be in addition to any other amount payable with respect to services provided by critical access hospitals and shall not reduce any other payments to critical access hospitals. The authority shall provide a report of such payments to the Washington state hospital association within thirty days after payments are made.

Sec. 7. RCW 74.60.120 and 2014 c 143 s 2 are each amended to read as follows:

(1) ~~((Beginning))~~ In each state fiscal year ~~((2014)), commencing ((thirty days after)) upon~~ satisfaction of the applicable conditions in RCW 74.60.150(1), ~~((and for the period of state fiscal years 2014 through 2019,))~~ the authority shall make supplemental payments directly to Washington hospitals, separately for inpatient and outpatient fee-for-service medicaid services, as follows:

(a) For inpatient fee-for-service payments for prospective payment hospitals other than psychiatric or rehabilitation hospitals, twenty-nine million ~~((two hundred twenty five thousand))~~ one hundred sixty-two thousand five hundred dollars per state fiscal year ~~((in fiscal years 2014 and 2015, and then amounts reduced in equal increments per fiscal year until the supplemental payment amount is zero by July 1, 2019, from the fund,))~~ plus federal matching funds;

(b) For outpatient fee-for-service payments for prospective payment hospitals other than psychiatric or rehabilitation hospitals, thirty million dollars per state fiscal year ~~((in fiscal years 2014 and 2015, and then amounts reduced in equal increments per fiscal year until the supplemental payment amount is zero by July 1, 2019, from the fund,))~~ plus federal matching funds;

(c) For inpatient fee-for-service payments for psychiatric hospitals, ~~((six hundred twenty five thousand))~~ eight hundred seventy-five thousand dollars per state fiscal year ~~((in fiscal years 2014 and 2015, and then amounts reduced in equal increments per fiscal year until the supplemental payment amount is zero by July 1, 2019, from the fund,))~~ plus federal matching funds;

(d) For inpatient fee-for-service payments for rehabilitation hospitals, ~~((one hundred fifty thousand))~~ two hundred twenty-five thousand dollars per state fiscal year ~~((in fiscal years 2014 and 2015, and then amounts reduced in equal increments per fiscal year until the supplemental payment amount is zero by July 1, 2019, from the fund,))~~ plus federal matching funds;

(e) For inpatient fee-for-service payments for border hospitals, two hundred fifty thousand dollars per state fiscal year ~~((in fiscal years 2014 and 2015, and then amounts reduced in equal increments per fiscal year until the supplemental payment amount is zero by July 1, 2019, from the fund,))~~ plus federal matching funds; and

(f) For outpatient fee-for-service payments for border hospitals, two hundred fifty thousand dollars per state fiscal year ~~((in fiscal years 2014 and 2015, and then amounts reduced in equal increments per fiscal year until the supplemental payment amount is zero by July 1, 2019, from the fund,))~~ plus federal matching funds.

(2) If the amount of inpatient or outpatient payments under subsection (1) of this section, when combined with federal matching funds, exceeds the upper payment limit, payments to each category of hospital must be reduced proportionately to a level where the total payment amount is consistent with the upper payment limit. Funds under this chapter unable to be paid to hospitals under this section because of the upper payment limit must be paid to managed care organizations under RCW 74.60.130, subject to the limitations in this chapter.

(3) The amount of such fee-for-service inpatient payments to individual hospitals within each of the categories identified in subsection (1)(a), (c), (d), and (e) of this section must be determined by:

(a) Applying the medicaid fee-for-service rates in effect on July 1, 2009, without regard to the increases required by chapter 30, Laws of 2010 1st sp. sess. to each hospital's inpatient fee-for-services claims and medicaid managed care encounter data for the base year;

(b) Applying the medicaid fee-for-service rates in effect on July 1, 2009, without regard to the increases required by chapter 30, Laws of 2010 1st sp. sess. to all hospitals' inpatient fee-for-services claims and medicaid managed care encounter data for the base year; and

(c) Using the amounts calculated under (a) and (b) of this subsection to determine an individual hospital's percentage of the total amount to be distributed to each category of hospital.

(4) The amount of such fee-for-service outpatient payments to individual hospitals within each of the categories identified in subsection (1)(b) and (f) of this section must be determined by:

(a) Applying the medicaid fee-for-service rates in effect on July 1, 2009, without regard to the increases required by chapter 30, Laws of 2010 1st sp. sess. to each hospital's outpatient fee-for-services claims and medicaid managed care encounter data for the base year;

(b) Applying the medicaid fee-for-service rates in effect on July 1, 2009, without regard to the increases required by chapter 30, Laws of 2010 1st sp. sess. to all hospitals' outpatient fee-for-services claims and medicaid managed care encounter data for the base year; and

(c) Using the amounts calculated under (a) and (b) of this subsection to determine an individual hospital's percentage of the total amount to be distributed to each category of hospital.

(5) ~~((Thirty days before the initial payments and))~~ Sixty days before the first payment in each subsequent fiscal year, the authority shall provide each hospital and the Washington state hospital association with an explanation of how the amounts due to each hospital under this section were calculated.

(6) Payments must be made in quarterly installments on or about the last day of every quarter. ~~((The initial payment must be made within thirty days after satisfaction of the conditions in RCW 74.60.150(1) and must include all amounts due from July 1, 2013, to either: (a) The end of the calendar quarter prior to when the conditions in RCW 70.60.150(1) [74.60.150(1)] are satisfied if approval is received more than forty five days prior to the end of a quarter; or (b) the end of the calendar quarter after the satisfaction of the conditions in RCW 74.60.150(1) if approval is received within forty five days of the end of a quarter.))~~

(7) A prospective payment system hospital commencing operations after January 1, 2009, is eligible to receive payments in accordance with this section after becoming an eligible new prospective payment system hospital as defined in RCW 74.60.010.

(8) Payments under this section are supplemental to all other payments and do not reduce any other payments to hospitals.

Sec. 8. RCW 74.60.130 and 2014 c 143 s 3 are each amended to read as follows:

(1) For state fiscal year ~~((2014))~~ 2016 and for each subsequent fiscal year, commencing within thirty days after satisfaction of the conditions in RCW 74.60.150(1) and subsection ~~((6))~~ (5) of this section, ~~((and for the period of state fiscal years 2014 through 2019,))~~ the authority shall increase capitation payments in a manner consistent with federal contracting requirements to managed care organizations by an amount at least equal to the amount available from the fund after deducting disbursements authorized by RCW 74.60.020(4) (c) through (f) and payments required by RCW 74.60.080 through 74.60.120. The capitation payment under this subsection must be no less than one hundred ~~((fifty three))~~ million ~~((one hundred thirty one thousand six hundred))~~ dollars per state fiscal year ~~((in fiscal years 2014 and 2015, and then the increased capitation payment amounts are reduced in equal increments per fiscal year until the increased capitation payment amount is zero by July 1, 2019,))~~ plus the maximum available amount of federal matching funds. The initial payment following satisfaction of the conditions in RCW 74.60.150(1) must include all amounts due from July 1, ~~((2013))~~ 2015, to the end of the calendar month during which the conditions in RCW 74.60.150(1) are satisfied. Subsequent payments shall be made monthly.

(2) ~~((In fiscal years 2015, 2016, and 2017, the authority shall use any additional federal matching funds for the increased managed care capitation payments under subsection (1) of this section available from medicaid expansion under the federal~~

~~patient protection and affordable care act to substitute for assessment funds which otherwise would have been used to pay managed care plans under this section.~~

~~(3))~~ Payments to individual managed care organizations shall be determined by the authority based on each organization's or network's enrollment relative to the anticipated total enrollment in each program for the fiscal year in question, the anticipated utilization of hospital services by an organization's or network's medicaid enrollees, and such other factors as are reasonable and appropriate to ensure that purposes of this chapter are met.

~~((4))~~ (3) If the federal government determines that total payments to managed care organizations under this section exceed what is permitted under applicable medicaid laws and regulations, payments must be reduced to levels that meet such requirements, and the balance remaining must be applied as provided in RCW 74.60.050. Further, in the event a managed care organization is legally obligated to repay amounts distributed to hospitals under this section to the state or federal government, a managed care organization may recoup the amount it is obligated to repay under the medicaid program from individual hospitals by not more than the amount of overpayment each hospital received from that managed care organization.

~~((5))~~ (4) Payments under this section do not reduce the amounts that otherwise would be paid to managed care organizations: PROVIDED, That such payments are consistent with actuarial soundness certification and enrollment.

~~((6))~~ (5) Before making such payments, the authority shall require medicaid managed care organizations to comply with the following requirements:

(a) All payments to managed care organizations under this chapter must be expended for hospital services provided by Washington hospitals, which for purposes of this section includes psychiatric and rehabilitation hospitals, in a manner consistent with the purposes and provisions of this chapter, and must be equal to all increased capitation payments under this section received by the organization or network, consistent with actuarial certification and enrollment, less an allowance for any estimated premium taxes the organization is required to pay under Title 48 RCW associated with the payments under this chapter;

(b) Managed care organizations shall expend the increased capitation payments under this section in a manner consistent with the purposes of this chapter, with the initial expenditures to hospitals to be made within thirty days of receipt of payment from the authority. Subsequent expenditures by the managed care plans are to be made before the end of the quarter in which funds are received from the authority;

(c) Providing that any delegation or attempted delegation of an organization's or network's obligations under agreements with the authority do not relieve the organization or network of its obligations under this section and related contract provisions.

~~((7))~~ (6) No hospital or managed care organizations may use the payments under this section to gain advantage in negotiations.

~~((8))~~ (7) No hospital has a claim or cause of action against a managed care organization for monetary compensation based on the amount of payments under subsection ~~((6))~~ (5) of this section.

~~((9))~~ (8) If funds cannot be used to pay for services in accordance with this chapter the managed care organization or network must return the funds to the authority which shall return them to the hospital safety net assessment fund.

Sec. 9. RCW 74.60.150 and 2013 2nd sp.s. c 17 s 15 are each amended to read as follows:

(1) The assessment, collection, and disbursement of funds under this chapter shall be conditional upon:

(a) Final approval by the centers for medicare and medicaid services of any state plan amendments or waiver requests that are necessary in order to implement the applicable sections of this

chapter including, if necessary, waiver of the broad-based or uniformity requirements as specified under section 1903(w)(3)(E) of the federal social security act and 42 C.F.R. 433.68(e);

(b) To the extent necessary, amendment of contracts between the authority and managed care organizations in order to implement this chapter; and

(c) Certification by the office of financial management that appropriations have been adopted that fully support the rates established in this chapter for the upcoming fiscal year.

(2) This chapter does not take effect or ceases to be imposed, and any moneys remaining in the fund shall be refunded to hospitals in proportion to the amounts paid by such hospitals, if and to the extent that any of the following conditions occur:

(a) The federal department of health and human services and a court of competent jurisdiction makes a final determination, with all appeals exhausted, that any element of this chapter, other than RCW 74.60.100, cannot be validly implemented;

(b) Funds generated by the assessment for payments to prospective payment hospitals or managed care organizations are determined to be not eligible for federal match;

(c) Other funding sufficient to maintain aggregate payment levels to hospitals for inpatient and outpatient services covered by medicaid, including fee-for-service and managed care, at least at the levels the state paid for those services on July 1, ~~((2009))~~ 2015, as adjusted for current enrollment and utilization ~~((, but without regard to payment increases resulting from chapter 30, Laws of 2010 1st sp. sess.,))~~ is not appropriated or available;

(d) Payments required by this chapter are reduced, except as specifically authorized in this chapter, or payments are not made in substantial compliance with the time frames set forth in this chapter; or

(e) The fund is used as a substitute for or to supplant other funds, except as authorized by RCW 74.60.020.

Sec. 10. RCW 74.60.160 and 2013 2nd sp.s. c 17 s 17 are each amended to read as follows:

(1) The legislature intends to provide the hospitals with an opportunity to contract with the authority each fiscal biennium to protect the hospitals from future legislative action during the biennium that could result in hospitals receiving less from supplemental payments, increased managed care payments, disproportionate share hospital payments, or access payments than the hospitals expected to receive in return for the assessment based on the biennial appropriations and assessment legislation.

(2) Each odd-numbered year after enactment of the biennial omnibus operating appropriations act, the authority shall offer to enter into a contract ~~or to extend an existing contract~~ for the period of the fiscal biennium beginning July 1st with a hospital that is required to pay the assessment under this chapter. The contract must include the following terms:

(a) The authority must agree not to do any of the following:

(i) Increase the assessment from the level set by the authority pursuant to this chapter on the first day of the contract period for reasons other than those allowed under RCW 74.60.050 ~~((3))~~ (2)(e);

(ii) Reduce aggregate payment levels to hospitals for inpatient and outpatient services covered by medicaid, including fee-for-service and managed care, ~~((allowing for variations due to budget neutral rebasing and))~~ adjusting for changes in enrollment and utilization, from the levels the state paid for those services on the first day of the contract period;

(iii) For critical access hospitals only, reduce the levels of disproportionate share hospital payments under RCW 74.60.110 or access payments under RCW 74.60.100 for all critical access hospitals below the levels specified in those sections on the first day of the contract period;

(iv) For prospective payment system, psychiatric, and rehabilitation hospitals only, reduce the levels of supplemental payments under RCW 74.60.120 for all prospective payment system hospitals below the levels specified in that section on the first day of the contract period unless the supplemental payments are reduced under RCW 74.60.120(2);

(v) For prospective payment system, psychiatric, and rehabilitation hospitals only, reduce the increased capitation payments to managed care organizations under RCW 74.60.130 below the levels specified in that section on the first day of the contract period unless the managed care payments are reduced under RCW 74.60.130 ~~((4))~~ (3); or

(vi) Except as specified in this chapter, use assessment revenues for any other purpose than to secure federal medicaid matching funds to support payments to hospitals for medicaid services; and

(b) As long as payment levels are maintained as required under this chapter, the hospital must agree not to challenge the authority's reduction of hospital reimbursement rates to July 1, 2009, levels, which results from the elimination of assessment supported rate restorations and increases, under 42 U.S.C. Sec. 1396a(a)(30)(a) either through administrative appeals or in court during the period of the contract.

(3) If a court finds that the authority has breached an agreement with a hospital under subsection (2)(a) of this section, the authority:

(a) Must immediately refund any assessment payments made subsequent to the breach by that hospital upon receipt; and

(b) May discontinue supplemental payments, increased managed care payments, disproportionate share hospital payments, and access payments made subsequent to the breach for the hospital that are required under this chapter.

(4) The remedies provided in this section are not exclusive of any other remedies and rights that may be available to the hospital whether provided in this chapter or otherwise in law, equity, or statute.

Sec. 11. RCW 74.60.901 and 2013 2nd sp.s. c 17 s 19 are each amended to read as follows:

This chapter expires July 1, ~~((2017))~~ 2019.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 12. This act is necessary for the immediate preservation of the public peace, health, or safety, or support of the state government and its existing public institutions, and takes effect immediately."

Correct the title.

Representatives Jinkins and Schmick spoke in favor of the adoption of the striking amendment.

Amendment (501) was adopted.

The bill was ordered engrossed.

There being no objection, the rules were suspended, the second reading considered the third and the bill was placed on final passage.

Representatives Jinkins and Schmick spoke in favor of the passage of the bill.

The Speaker (Representative Moeller presiding) stated the question before the House to be the final passage of Engrossed House Bill No. 2151.

MOTIONS

On motion of Representative Harris, Representative Hargrove was excused.

ROLL CALL

The Clerk called the roll on the final passage of Engrossed House Bill No. 2151, and the bill passed the House by the following vote: Yeas, 79; Nays, 16; Absent, 0; Excused, 3.

Voting yea: Representatives Appleton, Bergquist, Blake, Buys, Caldier, Carlyle, Chandler, Clibborn, Cody, Dent, Dunshee, Farrell, Fey, Fitzgibbon, Goodman, Gregerson, Gregory, Griffey, Hansen, Harris, Hawkins, Hayes, Hudgins, Hunter, Hurst, Jinkins, Johnson, Kagi, Kilduff, Kirby, Kochmar, Kretz, Kristiansen, Lytton, MacEwen, Magendanz, Manweller, McBride, McCabe, Moeller, Morris, Moscoso, Muri, Nealey, Ormsby, Ortiz-Self, Orwall, Peterson, Pettigrew, Pike, Pollet, Reykdal, Riccelli, Robinson, Ryu, S. Hunt, Sawyer, Schmick, Sells, Senn, Short, Springer, Stambaugh, Stanford, Stokesbary, Sullivan, Takko, Tarleton, Tharinger, Van De Wege, Van Werven, Vick, Walkinshaw, Walsh, Wilcox, Wilson, Wylie, Young and Mr. Speaker.

Voting nay: Representatives Condotta, DeBolt, G. Hunt, Haler, Harmsworth, Holy, Klippert, McCaslin, Orcutt, Parker, Rodne, Scott, Shea, Smith, Taylor and Zeiger.

Excused: Representatives Fagan, Hargrove and Santos.

ENGROSSED HOUSE BILL NO. 2151, having received the necessary constitutional majority, was declared passed.

There being no objection, the House advanced to the seventh order of business.

THIRD READING

ENGROSSED SECOND SUBSTITUTE HOUSE BILL NO. 1491, by House Committee on Appropriations (originally sponsored by Representatives Kagi, Walsh, Hunter, Johnson, Ormsby, MacEwen, Senn, Magendanz, Farrell, Hayes, Ortiz-Self, Hudgins, Appleton, Fitzgibbon, S. Hunt, Ryu, Jinkins, Bergquist, Goodman, Tharinger and Riccelli).

Improving quality in the early care and education system.

The bill was read the third time.

Representatives Kagi, Walsh and Walsh (again) spoke in favor of the passage of the bill.

Representative Scott spoke against the passage of the bill.

The Speaker (Representative Moeller presiding) stated the question before the House to be the final passage of Engrossed Second Substitute House Bill No. 1491.

ROLL CALL

The Clerk called the roll on the final passage of Engrossed Second Substitute House Bill No. 1491, and the bill passed the House by the following vote: Yeas, 64; Nays, 31; Absent, 0; Excused, 3.

Voting yea: Representatives Appleton, Bergquist, Blake, Carlyle, Chandler, Clibborn, Cody, Dent, Dunshee, Farrell, Fey, Fitzgibbon, Goodman, Gregerson, Gregory, Hansen, Hawkins, Hudgins, Hunter, Hurst, Jinkins, Johnson, Kagi, Kilduff, Kirby, Kochmar, Lytton, MacEwen, Magendanz, Manweller, McBride, McCabe, Moeller, Morris, Moscoso, Muri, Nealey, Ormsby, Ortiz-

Self, Orwall, Peterson, Pettigrew, Pollet, Reykdal, Riccelli, Robinson, Ryu, S. Hunt, Sawyer, Sells, Senn, Springer, Stambaugh, Stanford, Sullivan, Takko, Tarleton, Tharinger, Van De Wege, Walkinshaw, Walsh, Wilcox, Wylie and Mr. Speaker.

Voting nay: Representatives Buys, Caldier, Condotta, DeBolt, G. Hunt, Griffey, Haler, Harmsworth, Harris, Hayes, Holy, Klippert, Kretz, Kristiansen, McCaslin, Orcutt, Parker, Pike, Rodne, Schmick, Scott, Shea, Short, Smith, Stokesbary, Taylor, Van Werven, Vick, Wilson, Young and Zeiger.

Excused: Representatives Fagan, Hargrove and Santos.

ENGROSSED SECOND SUBSTITUTE HOUSE BILL NO. 1491, having received the necessary constitutional majority, was declared passed.

SUBSTITUTE HOUSE BILL NO. 1696, by House Committee on Higher Education (originally sponsored by Representative Haler).

Modifying provisions related to tuition setting authority at public institutions of higher education.

The bill was read the third time.

Representative Haler spoke in favor of the passage of the bill.

The Speaker (Representative Moeller presiding) stated the question before the House to be the final passage of Substitute House Bill No. 1696.

ROLL CALL

The Clerk called the roll on the final passage of Substitute House Bill No. 1696, and the bill passed the House by the following vote: Yeas, 95; Nays, 0; Absent, 0; Excused, 3.

Voting yea: Representatives Appleton, Bergquist, Blake, Buys, Caldier, Carlyle, Chandler, Clibborn, Cody, Condotta, DeBolt, Dent, Dunshee, Farrell, Fey, Fitzgibbon, G. Hunt, Goodman, Gregerson, Gregory, Griffey, Haler, Hansen, Harmsworth, Harris, Hawkins, Hayes, Holy, Hudgins, Hunter, Hurst, Jinkins, Johnson, Kagi, Kilduff, Kirby, Klippert, Kochmar, Kretz, Kristiansen, Lytton, MacEwen, Magendanz, Manweller, McBride, McCabe, McCaslin, Moeller, Morris, Moscoso, Muri, Nealey, Orcutt, Ormsby, Ortiz-Self, Orwall, Parker, Peterson, Pettigrew, Pike, Pollet, Reykdal, Riccelli, Robinson, Rodne, Ryu, S. Hunt, Sawyer, Schmick, Scott, Sells, Senn, Shea, Short, Smith, Springer, Stambaugh, Stanford, Stokesbary, Sullivan, Takko, Tarleton, Taylor, Tharinger, Van De Wege, Van Werven, Vick, Walkinshaw, Walsh, Wilcox, Wilson, Wylie, Young, Zeiger and Mr. Speaker.

Excused: Representatives Fagan, Hargrove and Santos.

SUBSTITUTE HOUSE BILL NO. 1696, having received the necessary constitutional majority, was declared passed.

ENGROSSED SUBSTITUTE HOUSE BILL NO. 1713, by House Committee on Judiciary (originally sponsored by Representatives Cody, Harris, Jinkins, Moeller, Tharinger, Appleton, Ortiz-Self and Pollet).

Integrating the treatment systems for mental health and chemical dependency.

The bill was read the third time.

Representatives Cody and Schmick spoke in favor of the passage of the bill.

The Speaker (Representative Moeller presiding) stated the question before the House to be the final passage of Engrossed Substitute House Bill No. 1713.

ROLL CALL

The Clerk called the roll on the final passage of Engrossed Substitute House Bill No. 1713, and the bill passed the House by the following vote: Yeas, 61; Nays, 34; Absent, 0; Excused, 3.

Voting yea: Representatives Appleton, Bergquist, Blake, Carlyle, Clibborn, Cody, DeBolt, Dunshee, Farrell, Fey, Fitzgibbon, Goodman, Gregerson, Gregory, Hansen, Harris, Hudgins, Hunter, Hurst, Jinkins, Johnson, Kagi, Kilduff, Kirby, Kretz, Kristiansen, Lytton, Manweller, McBride, Moeller, Morris, Moscoso, Nealey, Ormsby, Ortiz-Self, Orwall, Peterson, Pettigrew, Pollet, Reykdal, Riccelli, Robinson, Ryu, S. Hunt, Sawyer, Schmick, Sells, Senn, Short, Springer, Stanford, Sullivan, Takko, Tarleton, Tharinger, Van De Wege, Walkinshaw, Walsh, Wilcox, Wylie and Mr. Speaker.

Voting nay: Representatives Buys, Caldier, Chandler, Condotta, Dent, G. Hunt, Griffey, Haler, Harmsworth, Hawkins, Hayes, Holy, Klippert, Kochmar, MacEwen, Magendanz, McCabe, McCaslin, Muri, Orcutt, Parker, Pike, Rodne, Scott, Shea, Smith, Stambaugh, Stokesbary, Taylor, Van Werven, Vick, Wilson, Young and Zeiger.

Excused: Representatives Fagan, Hargrove and Santos.

ENGROSSED SUBSTITUTE HOUSE BILL NO. 1713, having received the necessary constitutional majority, was declared passed.

ENGROSSED SECOND SUBSTITUTE HOUSE BILL NO. 1825, by House Committee on Appropriations (originally sponsored by Representatives Kilduff, Muri, Gregory, Haler, Riccelli, Walkinshaw, Zeiger and McBride).

Modifying the definition of resident student to comply with federal requirements established by the veterans access, choice, and accountability act of 2014.

The bill was read the third time.

Representatives Kilduff and Haler spoke in favor of the passage of the bill.

The Speaker (Representative Moeller presiding) stated the question before the House to be the final passage of Engrossed Second Substitute House Bill No. 1825.

ROLL CALL

The Clerk called the roll on the final passage of Engrossed Second Substitute House Bill No. 1825, and the bill passed the House by the following vote: Yeas, 95; Nays, 0; Absent, 0; Excused, 3.

Voting yea: Representatives Appleton, Bergquist, Blake, Buys, Caldier, Carlyle, Chandler, Clibborn, Cody, Condotta, DeBolt, Dent, Dunshee, Farrell, Fey, Fitzgibbon, G. Hunt, Goodman, Gregerson, Gregory, Griffey, Haler, Hansen, Harmsworth, Harris, Hawkins, Hayes, Holy, Hudgins, Hunter, Hurst, Jinkins, Johnson, Kagi, Kilduff, Kirby, Klippert, Kochmar, Kretz, Kristiansen, Lytton, MacEwen, Magendanz, Manweller, McBride, McCabe, McCaslin, Moeller, Morris, Moscoso, Muri, Nealey, Orcutt,

Ormsby, Ortiz-Self, Orwall, Parker, Peterson, Pettigrew, Pike, Pollet, Reykdal, Riccelli, Robinson, Rodne, Ryu, S. Hunt, Sawyer, Schmick, Scott, Sells, Senn, Shea, Short, Smith, Springer, Stambaugh, Stanford, Stokesbary, Sullivan, Takko, Tarleton, Taylor, Tharinger, Van De Wege, Van Werven, Vick, Walkinshaw, Walsh, Wilcox, Wilson, Wylie, Young, Zeiger and Mr. Speaker.

Excused: Representatives Fagan, Hargrove and Santos.

ENGROSSED SECOND SUBSTITUTE HOUSE BILL NO. 1825, having received the necessary constitutional majority, was declared passed.

SUBSTITUTE HOUSE BILL NO. 1676, by House Committee on General Government & Information Technology (originally sponsored by Representatives Short, Lytton, Kretz and Blake).

Understanding the effects of predation on wild ungulate populations.

The bill was read the third time.

Representatives Short, Blake and DeBolt spoke in favor of the passage of the bill.

The Speaker (Representative Moeller presiding) stated the question before the House to be the final passage of Substitute House Bill No. 1676.

ROLL CALL

The Clerk called the roll on the final passage of Substitute House Bill No. 1676, and the bill passed the House by the following vote: Yeas, 95; Nays, 0; Absent, 0; Excused, 3.

Voting yea: Representatives Appleton, Bergquist, Blake, Buys, Caldier, Carlyle, Chandler, Clibborn, Cody, Condotta, DeBolt, Dent, Dunshee, Farrell, Fey, Fitzgibbon, G. Hunt, Goodman, Gregerson, Gregory, Griffey, Haler, Hansen, Harmsworth, Harris, Hawkins, Hayes, Holy, Hudgins, Hunter, Hurst, Jinkins, Johnson, Kagi, Kilduff, Kirby, Klippert, Kochmar, Kretz, Kristiansen, Lytton, MacEwen, Magendanz, Manweller, McBride, McCabe, McCaslin, Moeller, Morris, Moscoso, Muri, Nealey, Orcutt, Ormsby, Ortiz-Self, Orwall, Parker, Peterson, Pettigrew, Pike, Pollet, Reykdal, Riccelli, Robinson, Rodne, Ryu, S. Hunt, Sawyer, Schmick, Scott, Senn, Shea, Short, Smith, Springer, Stambaugh, Stanford, Stokesbary, Sullivan, Takko, Tarleton, Taylor, Tharinger, Van De Wege, Van Werven, Vick, Walkinshaw, Walsh, Wilcox, Wilson, Wylie, Young, Zeiger and Mr. Speaker.

Excused: Representatives Fagan, Hargrove and Santos.

SUBSTITUTE HOUSE BILL NO. 1676, having received the necessary constitutional majority, was declared passed.

SUBSTITUTE HOUSE BILL NO. 2107, by House Committee on Appropriations (originally sponsored by Representatives Kretz, Blake, Short, Dent and Schmick).

Requiring the department of fish and wildlife to update the 2011 wolf conservation and management plan to ensure the establishment of a self-sustaining population of gray wolves while also ensuring social tolerance of wolf recovery.

The bill was read the third time.

Representative Kretz spoke in favor of the passage of the bill.

The Speaker (Representative Moeller presiding) stated the question before the House to be the final passage of Substitute House Bill No. 2107.

ROLL CALL

The Clerk called the roll on the final passage of Substitute House Bill No. 2107, and the bill passed the House by the following vote: Yeas, 95; Nays, 0; Absent, 0; Excused, 3.

Voting yea: Representatives Appleton, Bergquist, Blake, Buys, Caldier, Carlyle, Chandler, Clibborn, Cody, Condotta, DeBolt, Dent, Dunshee, Farrell, Fey, Fitzgibbon, G. Hunt, Goodman, Gregerson, Gregory, Griffey, Haler, Hansen, Harmsworth, Harris, Hawkins, Hayes, Holy, Hudgins, Hunter, Hurst, Jinkins, Johnson, Kagi, Kilduff, Kirby, Klippert, Kochmar, Kretz, Kristiansen, Lytton, MacEwen, Magendanz, Manweller, McBride, McCabe, McCaslin, Moeller, Morris, Moscoso, Muri, Nealey, Orcutt, Ormsby, Ortiz-Self, Orwall, Parker, Peterson, Pettigrew, Pike, Pollet, Reykdal, Riccelli, Robinson, Rodne, Ryu, S. Hunt, Sawyer, Schmick, Scott, Sells, Senn, Shea, Short, Smith, Springer, Stambaugh, Stanford, Stokesbary, Sullivan, Takko, Tarleton, Tharinger, Van De Wege, Van Werven, Vick, Walkinshaw, Walsh, Wilcox, Wilson, Wylie, Young, Zeiger and Mr. Speaker.

Excused: Representatives Fagan, Hargrove and Santos.

SUBSTITUTE HOUSE BILL NO. 2107, having received the necessary constitutional majority, was declared passed.

ENGROSSED SECOND SUBSTITUTE HOUSE BILL NO. 2136, by House Committee on Appropriations (originally sponsored by Representative Carlyle).

Relating to comprehensive marijuana market reforms to ensure a well-regulated and taxed marijuana market in Washington state. Revised for 2nd Substitute: Concerning comprehensive marijuana market reforms to ensure a well-regulated and taxed marijuana market in Washington state.

The bill was read the third time.

Representatives Carlyle and Condotta spoke in favor of the passage of the bill.

Representative Klippert spoke against the passage of the bill.

The Speaker (Representative Moeller presiding) stated the question before the House to be the final passage of Engrossed Second Substitute House Bill No. 2136.

ROLL CALL

The Clerk called the roll on the final passage of Engrossed Second Substitute House Bill No. 2136, and the bill passed the House by the following vote: Yeas, 70; Nays, 25; Absent, 0; Excused, 3.

Voting yea: Representatives Appleton, Bergquist, Blake, Caldier, Carlyle, Clibborn, Cody, Condotta, Dunshee, Farrell, Fey, Fitzgibbon, Goodman, Gregerson, Gregory, Haler, Hansen, Harmsworth, Harris, Hayes, Hudgins, Hunter, Hurst, Jinkins, Johnson, Kagi, Kilduff, Kirby, Kochmar, Kristiansen, Lytton, Magendanz, McBride, Moeller, Morris, Moscoso, Nealey, Ormsby, Ortiz-Self, Orwall, Peterson, Pettigrew, Pike, Pollet, Reykdal, Riccelli, Robinson, Ryu, S. Hunt, Sawyer, Schmick,

Sells, Senn, Smith, Springer, Stambaugh, Stanford, Stokesbary, Sullivan, Takko, Tarleton, Tharinger, Van De Wege, Vick, Walkinshaw, Walsh, Wilson, Wylie, Zeiger and Mr. Speaker.

Voting nay: Representatives Buys, Chandler, DeBolt, Dent, G. Hunt, Griffey, Hawkins, Holy, Klippert, Kretz, MacEwen, Manweller, McCabe, McCaslin, Muri, Orcutt, Parker, Rodne, Scott, Shea, Short, Taylor, Van Werven, Wilcox and Young.

Excused: Representatives Fagan, Hargrove and Santos.

ENGROSSED SECOND SUBSTITUTE HOUSE BILL NO. 2136, having received the necessary constitutional majority, was declared passed.

SUBSTITUTE HOUSE BILL NO. 1570, by House Committee on Education (originally sponsored by Representatives Gregory, Bergquist, S. Hunt, Reykdal, Kilduff, Ortiz-Self and Pollet).

Creating flexibility for the educator retooling conditional scholarship program.

The bill was read the third time.

Representatives Gregory and Magendanz spoke in favor of the passage of the bill.

The Speaker (Representative Moeller presiding) stated the question before the House to be the final passage of Substitute House Bill No. 1570.

ROLL CALL

The Clerk called the roll on the final passage of Substitute House Bill No. 1570, and the bill passed the House by the following vote: Yeas, 63; Nays, 32; Absent, 0; Excused, 3.

Voting yea: Representatives Appleton, Bergquist, Blake, Caldier, Carlyle, Clibborn, Cody, Dunshee, Farrell, Fey, Fitzgibbon, Goodman, Gregerson, Gregory, Griffey, Hansen, Hawkins, Hudgins, Hunter, Hurst, Jinkins, Kagi, Kilduff, Kirby, Kochmar, Lytton, MacEwen, Magendanz, McBride, Moeller, Morris, Moscoso, Muri, Nealey, Ormsby, Ortiz-Self, Orwall, Peterson, Pettigrew, Pollet, Reykdal, Riccelli, Robinson, Rodne, Ryu, S. Hunt, Sawyer, Sells, Senn, Springer, Stambaugh, Stanford, Stokesbary, Sullivan, Takko, Tarleton, Tharinger, Van De Wege, Walkinshaw, Walsh, Wylie, Zeiger and Mr. Speaker.

Voting nay: Representatives Buys, Chandler, Condotta, DeBolt, Dent, G. Hunt, Haler, Harmsworth, Harris, Hayes, Holy, Johnson, Klippert, Kretz, Kristiansen, Manweller, McCabe, McCaslin, Orcutt, Parker, Pike, Schmick, Scott, Shea, Short, Smith, Taylor, Van Werven, Vick, Wilcox, Wilson and Young.

Excused: Representatives Fagan, Hargrove and Santos.

SUBSTITUTE HOUSE BILL NO. 1570, having received the necessary constitutional majority, was declared passed.

ENGROSSED SECOND SUBSTITUTE HOUSE BILL NO. 1174, by House Committee on Appropriations (originally sponsored by Representatives Van De Wege, Taylor, Fitzgibbon, Senn, Shea, Magendanz, Springer, Tarleton, Ortiz-Self, Gregerson, Ormsby, Hunter, Ryu, S. Hunt, Riccelli, Stanford, Tharinger, Jinkins, Walkinshaw, Fey, Clibborn, Farrell and Goodman).

Concerning flame retardants.

The bill was read the third time.

Representatives Van De Wege and Shea spoke in favor of the passage of the bill.

The Speaker (Representative Moeller presiding) stated the question before the House to be the final passage of Engrossed Second Substitute House Bill No. 1174.

ROLL CALL

The Clerk called the roll on the final passage of Engrossed Second Substitute House Bill No. 1174, and the bill passed the House by the following vote: Yeas, 92; Nays, 3; Absent, 0; Excused, 3.

Voting yea: Representatives Appleton, Bergquist, Blake, Buys, Calder, Carlyle, Clibborn, Cody, Condotta, DeBolt, Dunshee, Farrell, Fey, Fitzgibbon, G. Hunt, Goodman, Gregerson, Gregory, Griffey, Haler, Hansen, Harmsworth, Harris, Hawkins, Hayes, Holy, Hudgins, Hunter, Hurst, Jinkins, Johnson, Kagi, Kilduff, Kirby, Klippert, Kochmar, Kretz, Kristiansen, Lytton, MacEwen, Magendanz, Manweiler, McBride, McCabe, McCaslin, Moeller, Morris, Moscoso, Muri, Nealey, Orcutt, Ormsby, Ortiz-Self,

Orwall, Parker, Peterson, Pettigrew, Pollet, Reykdal, Riccelli, Robinson, Rodne, Ryu, S. Hunt, Sawyer, Schmick, Scott, Sells, Senn, Shea, Short, Smith, Springer, Stambaugh, Stanford, Stokesbary, Sullivan, Takko, Tarleton, Taylor, Tharinger, Van De Wege, Van Werven, Vick, Walkinshaw, Walsh, Wilcox, Wilson, Wylie, Young, Zeiger and Mr. Speaker.

Voting nay: Representatives Chandler, Dent and Pike.

Excused: Representatives Fagan, Hargrove and Santos.

ENGROSSED SECOND SUBSTITUTE HOUSE BILL NO. 1174, having received the necessary constitutional majority, was declared passed.

There being no objection, the House advanced to the eleventh order of business.

There being no objection, the House adjourned until 9:55 a.m., April 30, 2015, the 2nd Day of the First Special Session.

FRANK CHOPP, Speaker

BARBARA BAKER, Chief Clerk

1174-S2	1
Third Reading	1
Third Reading Final Passage	1
Other Action	1
1272-S2	1
Other Action	1
1276-S2	1
Other Action	1
1472-S2	1
Other Action	1
1491-S2	1
Third Reading	1
Third Reading Final Passage	1
Other Action	1
1570-S	1
Third Reading	1
Third Reading Final Passage	1
Other Action	1
1676-S	1
Third Reading	1
Third Reading Final Passage	1
Other Action	1
1696-S	1
Third Reading	1
Third Reading Final Passage	1
Other Action	1
1713-S	1
Third Reading	1
Third Reading Final Passage	1
Other Action	1
1825-S2	1
Third Reading	1
Third Reading Final Passage	1
Other Action	1
2107-S	1
Third Reading	1
Third Reading Final Passage	1
Other Action	1
2136-S2	1
Third Reading	1
Third Reading Final Passage	1
Other Action	1
2151	1
Second Reading	1
Amendment Offered	1
Third Reading Final Passage	1
Other Action	1
2160-S	1
Other Action	1
2217	1
Other Action	1
2249	1
Introduction & 1st Reading	1
4406	1
Introduction & 1st Reading	1
Second Reading	1
Third Reading Adopted	1
Messages	1
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES	1
Message from the Governor	1