

Joint Transportation Committee
April 8, 2010 Request for Proposals for:
“Efficiencies in the Delivery of Transportation Funding and Services to Local Governments”

Question asked related to the RFP:

1. Does the stated \$200,000 budget include allowable consultant out-of-pocket expenses?

Yes. The legislature has appropriated \$200,000 for this study. The budget for the study as stated in the RFP is up to \$200,000. Any submitted bids should include all costs associated with the proposer's proposal, including allowable consultant out-of-pocket expenses.

2. Is there a report or fiscal note that provides background on the Governor's proposed consolidation or bill Z-1001.2/10?

There is no specific report or bill providing background on the Governor's proposed consolidation. However, the following summary of the legislation was provided by Teresa Berntsen, executive policy advisor to the Governor:

The bill consolidates:

- *The Freight Mobility Strategic Investment Board, the Transportation Improvement Board, and the Country Road Administration Board into the Department of Transportation.*
- *The Traffic Safety Commission into the State Patrol.*

Summary

- *The agencies become programs within the DOT or Patrol.*
- *No statutory functions or FTE were deleted, consistent with the Governor's proposed transportation budget.*
- *Executive directors are appointed by the Secretary or Chief in consultation with the board or commission.*
- *Rule making and distribution of funds are done by the agency (DOT or Patrol). When the rule making or fund distribution relates to a core function of the board or commission, the DOT or Patrol will consult the board or commission.*
- *Boards that currently select projects for funding will make project recommendations to the DOT.*

3. Technical approach: Bidders are requested to provide information on the econometric models that would be estimated and employed. Please clarify which parts of the project you anticipate the model being used for.

This is a mistake in the RFP. In Part C, PROPOSAL FORMAT, (2) the RFP currently states

2. Technical Approach

➤ A description of proposed approaches to Tasks 1-5 of the Scope of Work, Section II of this RFP, not to exceed ten pages. This page limitation does not include resumes, qualifications, work samples, Letter of Submittal or signed Certifications and Assurances form. The description should include a discussion of data that would be used, the sources for these data, and econometric models that would be estimated and employed.

The last sentence was drawn from an earlier RFP, and should have been deleted.

The language in the RFP should instead read as follows:

2. Technical Approach

➤ A description of proposed approaches to Tasks 1-5 of the Scope of Work, Section II of this RFP, not to exceed ten pages. This page limitation does not include resumes, qualifications, work samples, Letter of Submittal or signed Certifications and Assurances form.