

DRAFT

Public Interest Protections

In order for the Screening tool to be applied in a practical manner, a number of minimum Public Interest Protections must be assumed to be binding requirements of all future PPP projects. Such protections are implementable and enforceable through statutes and / or mandatory guidelines at a project level (through RFP and Concession Agreement control mechanisms), and include:

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1. Maintaining control and/or ownership over the asset | 7. Responding to poor service delivery |
| 2. Use of upfront funds generated by PPP projects | 8. Solvency of private partners |
| 3. Quality of service | 9. Termination of the concession |
| 4. Setting and controlling fares/tolls | 10. Handback and asset condition |
| 5. Preventing excessive returns | 11. Prevailing Wage |
| 6. State Apprenticeship Requirements | 12. Minority and Women-Owned Business Enterprises (MWBES) should be encouraged to participate in P3 initiatives |

1 Tier 1 - Fatal Flaw Category (Pass or Fatal Flaw)		Fatal Flaw (Yes, No or Project Specific)	Select from Drop Down Menu	Directly input value	Rating scale between 1 (lowest) and 4 (highest)	Comment
1.01 Category 1 - Public Interest						
1.01.01	Affordability	While the private partner may provide the initial funding for capital improvements, there must be a means of repayment of this investment over the long term of the partnership. The income stream can be generated by a variety and combination of sources, but must be assured for the length of the partnership.	Project Specific	Please Select:		
1.01.02	Support from elected officials and the public	This criterion will help determine the level of support that a project has among stakeholders, elected officials, transportation officials, and the public at large. The procuring authority must consider the existing levels of support, the issues raised by any project opposition, and potential means to mitigate any opposition.	Yes	Please Select:		
1.02 Category 2 - Is there ability for PPP to potentially add value to the project						
1.02.01	Financial Feasibility	A PPP project is considered financially feasible if lenders are willing to finance it (generally on a project finance basis), debt is a cheaper source of funding than equity, as it carries relatively less risk. Lending to PPP projects looks to the cash flow of the project as the principal source of security. The State and its advisers need to assess financial risks thoroughly. The financial risks experienced by PPP projects tend to be related to some or all of the following factors: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> reliance on optimistic revenue assumptions and on levels of demand from a poorly chosen "baseline" case; lack of attention to financing needs in the project feasibility, which leads to larger amounts of debt in projects; long-term PPP projects that are financed with short-term debt, coupled with a sometimes unjustified assumption that the short-term debt can be rolled over at the same or even better refinancing conditions; floating rate debt that creates interest rate risk; refinancing that can create unforeseen benefits for the PPP Company, which the State might not share if the contract does not explicitly provide for this possibility 	Yes	Please Select:		

Public Interest Protections

In order for the Screening tool to be applied in a practical manner, a number of minimum Public Interest Protections must be assumed to be binding requirements of all future PPP projects. Such protections are implementable and enforceable through statutes and / or mandatory guidelines at a project level (through RFP and Concession Agreement control mechanisms), and include:

- 1. Maintaining control and/or ownership over the asset
- 2. Use of upfront funds generated by PPP projects
- 3. Quality of service
- 4. Setting and controlling fares/tolls
- 5. Preventing excessive returns
- 6. State Apprenticeship Requirements
- 7. Responding to poor service delivery
- 8. Solvency of private partners
- 9. Termination of the concession
- 10. Handback and asset condition
- 11. Prevailing Wage
- 12. Minority and Women-Owned Business Enterprises (MWBEs) should be encouraged to participate in P3 initiatives

DRAFT

1 Tier 1 - Fatal Flaw Category (Pass or Fatal Flaw)		Fatal Flaw (Yes, No or Project Specific)	Select from Drop Down Menu	Directly input value	Rating scale between 1 (lowest) and 4 (highest)	Comment
Criteria	Description of Criteria					
1.03 Category 3 - Will the project attract private sector interest						
1.03.01	Return justifies risk	When assessing the viability of a P3 project it is critical to determine if the likely returns that the private sector will realize will be commensurate with the risks they are assuming. Projects that have risks which are hard to quantify, unknown, difficult to control, and dispersed will be less attractive to the private sector. It is important to continually consider whether the risks being transferred can be better managed by the private sector.	Yes	Please Select:		
1.03.02	Suitable deal size	Is the deal size appropriate at current market condition between 100 million and 4 billion	Yes	Please Select:		
1.04 Category 4 - Regulatory, legal, and political feasibility						
1.04.01	Environmental approvals expected within three years	Completion or near-completion of requisite early planning work, including environmental assessment, is a strong indicator of project implementation state-of-readiness. Private sector bidders will more likely respond to Request for Proposals for projects that have achieved, or are close to achieving, environmental approval and supported by the requisite feasibility studies.	Yes	Please Select:		
1.04.02	Are land ownerships issues likely to stop the project	The public partner should examine its ability to assemble the necessary land. Evaluate the capacity for the right of eminent domain. Consider the potential for land banking to avoid any land assembly issues if the opportunity makes itself available.	Yes	Please Select:		

Total number of Criteria	7
Maximum value of Limitations	11
Total value of Limitations	0

Has a Fatal Flaw occurred? Why has a fatal Flaw occurred?	Incomplete Analyses
--	---------------------

Project Suitability	Incomplete Analyses
---------------------	---------------------

Public Interest Protections

In order for the Screening tool to be applied in a practical manner, a number of minimum Public Interest Protections must be assumed to be binding requirements of all future PPP projects. Such protections are implementable and enforceable through statutes and / or mandatory guidelines at a project level (through RFP and Concession Agreement control mechanisms), and include:

- 1. Maintaining control and/or ownership over the asset
- 2. Use of upfront funds generated by PPP projects
- 3. Quality of service
- 4. Setting and controlling fares/tolls
- 5. Preventing excessive returns
- 6. State Apprenticeship Requirements
- 7. Responding to poor service delivery
- 8. Solvency of private partners
- 9. Termination of the concession
- 10. Handback and asset condition
- 11. Prevailing Wage
- 12. Minority and Women-Owned Business Enterprises (MWBES) should be encouraged to participate in P3 initiatives

DRAFT

2 Tier 2 - Non Fatal Flaw Category (Pass, Pass with Limitations or Fail)		Fatal Flaw (Yes, No or Project Specific)	Select from Drop Down Menu	Directly input value	Rating scale between 1 (lowest) and 4 (highest)	Comment
Criteria	Description of Criteria					
2.01 Category 1 - Public Interest						
2.01.01	Consistency with statewide transportation plan This purpose of this criterion is to determine whether a project is consistent with an adopted statewide transportation plan. The procuring public entity should consider whether or not a project is included in an adopted statewide transportation plan and, if so, what the project's ranking is in the plan.	No	Please Select:			
2.02 Category 2 - Is there ability for PPP to potentially add value to the project						
2.02.01	Technical innovation Does the project provide opportunities for technical innovation, including in the interface between design and build phases? If so, risk transfer to private proponents can provide strong incentives realizing the innovation opportunities	No	Please Select:			
2.02.02	Provides value for money Value for Money (VFM) is a method of analysis for comparing the total estimated life cycle costs of traditional procurement versus alternative procurement. VFM describes the benefits to the public expected to be realized through a particular procurement method, and can be quantitative and/or qualitative in nature. The Value for Money is a progressive analyze and needs to be considered during all stages of any procumbent process.	No	Please Select:			
2.02.03	Economies of scale This screening evaluation should identify if opportunities exist to group phases of a project together rather than spreading delivery out over multiple phases. In addition, individual but similar projects can be bundled together to achieve efficiencies of scale, such as the replacement of 2-300 short span bridges.	No	Please Select:			
2.02.04	Risk Transfer Well-structured public-private partnership agreements are tailored to address the specific characteristic of a particular project and to allocate risks to the party best positioned to assume and price those risks. In considering risk transfer as a criterion it is important to determine whether the public sector can manage the various project risks better than the private sector. Selection of the right contractual and financial models facilitates efficient allocation of risk and opportunity, which will ultimately determine the Value for Money available for a project under a particular asset maximization approach.	No	Please Select:			
2.02.05	Schedule Certainty If there is a timing benefit associated with a PPP, private financing can be utilized by the State to accelerate project delivery, avoiding up-front capital costs and paying for infrastructure only when it is ready to be used.	No	Please Select:			
2.02.06	Whole life costing To determine whether "whole life costing" is associated with a project, the State must consider the benefit of tying the upfront construction and operating and maintenance costs together. If structured appropriately, the transfer of risk over the life cycle of the project can generate savings and budget certainty to the public.	No	Please Select:			

Public Interest Protections

In order for the Screening tool to be applied in a practical manner, a number of minimum Public Interest Protections must be assumed to be binding requirements of all future PPP projects. Such protections are implementable and enforceable through statutes and / or mandatory guidelines at a project level (through RFP and Concession Agreement control mechanisms), and include:

- 1. Maintaining control and/or ownership over the asset
- 2. Use of upfront funds generated by PPP projects
- 3. Quality of service
- 4. Setting and controlling fares/tolls
- 5. Preventing excessive returns
- 6. State Apprenticeship Requirements
- 7. Responding to poor service delivery
- 8. Solvency of private partners
- 9. Termination of the concession
- 10. Handback and asset condition
- 11. Prevailing Wage
- 12. Minority and Women-Owned Business Enterprises (MWBES) should be encouraged to participate in P3 initiatives

DRAFT

2 Tier 2 - Non Fatal Flaw Category (Pass, Pass with Limitations or Fail)							
Criteria	Description of Criteria	Fatal Flaw (Yes, No or Project Specific)	Please Select:	Select from Drop Down Menu	Directly input value	Rating scale between 1 (lowest) and 4 (highest)	Comment
2.02.07	Renovation work would not constitute a substantial share of construction costs	No	Please Select:				
2.02.08	Competitive market likely to produce at least three bids	No	Please Select:				
2.03 Category 3 - Will the project attract private sector interest?							
2.03.01	Current market liquidity	No	Please Select:				
2.03.02	Project's ability to attract TIFIA, Private Activity Bonds (PABs)	No	Please Select:				
2.03.03	Confidence public sector will be able to facilitate project completion: - Confidence in public sector timely & effective decision making process - Transparency of the procurement process - Credible Consultants to the public sector (technical, legal, and financial)	No	Please Select:				
2.03.04	The private sector has sufficient P3 capacity (expertise and availability) to successfully deliver project objectives	No	Please Select:				

DRAFT

Public Interest Protections

In order for the Screening tool to be applied in a practical manner, a number of minimum Public Interest Protections must be assumed to be binding requirements of all future PPP projects. Such protections are implementable and enforceable through statutes and / or mandatory guidelines at a project level (through RFP and Concession Agreement control mechanisms), and include:

- 1. Maintaining control and/or ownership over the asset
- 2. Use of upfront funds generated by PPP projects
- 3. Quality of service
- 4. Setting and controlling fares/tolls
- 5. Preventing excessive returns
- 6. State Apprenticeship Requirements
- 7. Responding to poor service delivery
- 8. Solvency of private partners
- 9. Termination of the concession
- 10. Handback and asset condition
- 11. Prevailing Wage
- 12. Minority and Women-Owned Business Enterprises (MWBES) should be encouraged to participate in P3 initiatives

2 Tier 2 - Non Fatal Flaw Category (Pass, Pass with Limitations or Fail)

Criteria	Description of Criteria	Fatal Flaw (Yes, No or Project Specific)	Select from Drop Down Menu	Directly input value	Rating scale between 1 (lowest) and 4 (highest)	Comment
2.04 Category 4 - Regulatory, legal, and political feasibility						
2.04.01	Consensus among local and regional authorities	No	Please Select:			
2.04.02	Need for new or change in legislation	No	Please Select:			
2.04.03	No specific legislative approval required post award	No	Please Select:			

Total number of Criteria	16
Maximum value of Limitations	24
Total value of Limitations	0

Has a Fatal Flaw occurred? Why has a fatal Flaw occurred?	Incomplete Analyses
--	---------------------

Project Suitability	Incomplete Analyses
---------------------	---------------------