PRIORITIZATION OF PROMINENT ROAD-RAIL CONFLICTS

Joint Transportation Committee Meeting

June 21, 2016





PRESENTATION OVERVIEW

 Project Overview
 Work Program Approach
 Database Framework
 Next Steps







LEGISLATIVE DIRECTION FOR THE STUDY

2ESHB 1299, Section 204(3)

(3) \$250,000 of the motor vehicle account—state appropriation, from the cities' statewide fuel tax distributions under RCW 46.68.110(2), is for a study to be conducted in 2016 to identify prominent road-rail conflicts, recommend a corridor-based prioritization process for addressing the impacts of projected increases in rail traffic, and identify areas of state public policy interest, such as the critical role of freight movement to the Washington economy and the state's competitiveness in world trade.



PROJECT OBJECTIVES

- Understand Current and Future Mobility, Community Impacts, and Safety Problems
- Understand and Apply State, Local, and Private Policy Interests

Parametrix

Develop a Criteria-Based Prioritization Process

transpogroup





ROSTERS AND ROLES

ADVISORY PANEL MEMBERS

- 1. Paul Roberts, City of Everett, AWC
- 2. Sean Guard, City of Washougal, AWC
- 3. Lisa Janicki, Skagit County, WSAC
- 4. Al French, Spokane County, WSAC
- 5. Kevin Murphy, Skagit COG
- 6. Ashley Probart, FMSIB
- 7. Dave Danner, UTC
- 8. James Thompson, WPPA
- 9. Ron Pate, WSDOT
- 10. Johan Hellman, BNSF
- 11. Sheri Call, Washington Trucking Association

*Project includes a Staff Work Group

transpogroup 7

Parametrix



WHAT ARE ROAD-RAIL CONFLICTS?

Locations where rail lines and roadways intersect

Example Types of Conflicts:

- Collisions between trains and vehicles/pedestrians
- Long and unpredictable travel delays for both the general public and freight users
- Temporary impacts to emergency vehicle routing or access to communities



WHAT IS THE PROJECT?

The Project **IS**:

- Unified Database of Crossings
- Development of a Prioritization Process Framework
- Commodity Neutral

The Project **IS NOT**:

- Development and Ranking of a Project List
- Funding Request



SCHEDULE

Prioritization of Prominent Road-Rail	Month									
Conflicts in Washington State Project Schedule	Apr	May	Jun	July	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan
Task 1: Database Development										
Assemble and Screen Available Data										
Establish Prioritization Criteria										
Online Database Tool										
Task 2: Prioritization Process										
Context / Impact of Road-Rail Conflicts										
Define Potential Prioritization Options										
Test and Present Options										
Task 3: Organizational Structure										
Potential Structures										
Trade-Offs and Evaluation										
Task 4: Advisory Panel and Staff Work Groups										
Advisory Panel Interviews										
Advisory Panel Meetings		×	•		*	×		*		
Staff Workgroup Facilitation		*		×		*	*	•		
Task 5: Draft and Final Reports										
Draft Report										
Final Report										
Task 6: Presentations										
Presentations			*					*		*
Advisory Panel Meeting 🔰 Staff Workgroup 🖈 Presentation										

Presentation During 2017 Legislative Session

WORK PROGRAM APPROACH





DATA SOURCES







RTPOs/MPOs

Cities/Counties

Pacific Northwest Marine Cargo Forecast



TYPICAL DATA LIMITATIONS

- Not readily available
- Outdated data
- Inconsistent sourcing and not available for all crossings
- Real-world fluctuations
 not reflected in data

transpogroup 7

Parametrix



RAIL CROSSING SUMMARY



TWO-STEP SCREENING PROCESS

A Two-Step Screening Process is being used to focus detailed evaluation on the most prominent crossings in the state.

Level 1 Criteria (Preliminary Screening):

- Less detailed
- Identifies likely higher priority crossings
- Removes lowest priority crossings

Level 2 Criteria (Detailed Screening):

More detailed

transpogroup

 Prioritizes the most prominent crossings

Parametrix



PROPOSED DATABASE STRUCTURE

	Data/	Informat										
	USDOT Crossing Number	City	Railroad Class	Vehicle Volume	Train Volume	Gate- dowrDA TimeDA (mintEX	ATABA (AMPL	Unit SE E				
	XXXXXX	Seattle	I	50,000	30	²⁴ Loo (lat	cational titude/lc	l infor ongitu	nformation aitude)			
	XXXXXX	Tacoma	I	26,000	28	²⁰ USe	ed to lin tware	k to n	napping			
	XXXXXX	Spokane	I	16,000	30	145	T3	N				
	XXXXXX	Ellensburg	III	8,000	24	120	Τ2	Y	Ν			
	XXXXXX	Yakima	I	6,500	20	120	Τ5		Ν			
I	transpo <mark>gro</mark>		Parametr	'ix ዝ	BERK				13			

STRATEGY . ANALYSIS . COMMUNICATIONS

At-Grade Crossings

MAPPING TOOL

JTC

The project will use one or more web maps to present spatial and tabular data for each rail crossing. Depending on the task, web maps will be used to tell a story, review information, or gather input.

CONSULTANT

TEAM



STAKEHOLDERS

EXAMPLE USES

- 1 Use a narrative alongside the map to convey information to the team or the public.
- 2 Embed information for each location that can be viewed with a click or exported as a table.
- Show criteria and prioritization visually in the same map or on several maps.

HOW PRIORITIES MAY BE SUMMARIZED

UNITED STATES

WA SHINGTON

Example Geographic Boundaries:

- By MPO/RTPO Boundary
- By County
- By Legislative District
- By City
- By Major Roadway Corridor
- By Other Geographic Reference



- Class I Railroads
- ---- Non-Class I Railroads



August 2nd (10:00am to 4:00pm) Location: Seatac, The Conference Center at Sea-Tac Airport

September 28th (10:00am to 4:00pm) *Location: Seatac, Meeting Room TBD*

November 2nd (10:00am to 3:00pm) Location: Olympia, John A. Cherberg Building Room ABC



MORE INFO

http://leg.wa.gov/JTC/Pages/Road-Rail-Study.aspx

Beth Redfield

JTC Project Manager 360.786.7327 beth.redfield@leg.wa.gov

Jon Pascal, PE, PTOE Consultant Project Manager 425.896.5219 jon.pascal@transpogroup.com