

A Success in the Making— Oklahoma's Journey to Reconfiguration

- ◆ John Hudgens
 - Director of Community Based Services, Oklahoma Department of Mental Health and Substance Abuse Services
- ◆ Steven Buck
 - Director of State relations, National Alliance for the Mentally Ill

The MH Services Environment

- ◆ An advocates' perspective
- ◆ State MH agency viewed skeptically
- ◆ Little coordination
- ◆ Little innovation
- ◆ Recent managed care transition for Medicaid
- ◆ System had "haves" and "have nots"

Dynamics for Change

- ◆ Strong direction from the Governor's Office
- ◆ Real share of state revenue dollar declining
- ◆ Significant resource consumption by state owned psychiatric hospitals
- ◆ Negative perception of department's capacity to serve

The Change Process

- ◆ Enabling legislation
- ◆ Establishment of a constituent advisory committee
- ◆ Mandate to significantly downsize one of two remaining state hospitals
- ◆ Money could only be reallocated; no new resources could be expected

Principles for Mandated Transition

- ◆ Each CMHC in area responsible for all care for persons with serious mental illness (SMI)
- ◆ Persons with SMI to be treated in local communities
- ◆ Equitable funding for CMHCs in area

Mandates - continued

- ◆ No treatment to be interrupted and no one prematurely discharged from downsizing hospital
- ◆ Hospital staffing to continue at adequate staffing levels throughout transition
- ◆ Funding that becomes available as result of transition to be reinvested in community-based services in the area

State Strategies to Accomplish Mandates

- ◆ Objective Analyses and Planning with Stakeholders
- ◆ Inpatient Hospital Capacity
- ◆ Investments in Preferred & Evidence-Based Practices
- ◆ Partnership Opportunities with Medicaid
- ◆ Data
- ◆ Monitoring and Technical Assistance

Objective Analyses and Planning with Stakeholders

- ◆ Assessment of current community-based services – gaps and pockets of excellence
- ◆ Clarified best practices needed for successful transition – analyzed service areas' capacities
- ◆ Developed funding model based on historical service utilization for targeted persons affected
- ◆ Estimated one-time transition costs
- ◆ Proposed Implementation Plan
- ◆ Use of Center for Extended Psychiatric Care (CEPC)

Clarified best practices needed for successful transition

- ◆ Aggressive crisis intervention systems
- ◆ Varying types and intensities of case management
- ◆ Residential services and supportive housing
- ◆ Expanded vocational services
- ◆ Viable consumer-run services
- ◆ Adequate local inpatient capacities

Analysis for Funding Model

- ◆ All non-forensic clients admitted for FY99
 - Lengths of stay; episodes of care; diagnostic classifications
- ◆ Projected array of services by major diagnostic categories
- ◆ Cost projections based on services needed

Funding continued

- ◆ Partnered with Medicaid to validate cost and service utilization assumptions
- ◆ Developed equitable funding formula based on number of adults with SMI expected to be served by each CMHC
- ◆ Identified one-time costs

Inpatient Hospital Capacity

- ◆ Focus on developing community-based beds; initial reliance on private market
- ◆ Established purchasing and cost-reimbursement systems to enable each CMHC to be at full risk for inpatient services

Investment in Preferred Practices

- ◆ State resources ramped up/realigned to support essential & preferred practices
 - Community-Based Crisis Centers
 - Inpatient Treatment
 - Mobile Outreach and Crisis Services
 - Housing Services
 - Vocational Services
 - Clubhouse or Psychosocial (Day) programs
 - Basic Services
 - Peer & Family Support
 - Pharmacological Management
 - Strengths-Based Case Management

Increased focus on Evidence-Based Practices

- ◆ PACT
- ◆ System of Care
- ◆ Pharmacological management

Partnerships with Medicaid

- ◆ Close review of existing clients for Medicaid eligibility
- ◆ Working groups to steer through process
- ◆ Concept for system model began to develop as a long-term objective

Using the Data to Drive the Decision

- ◆ Sound use of historical data
- ◆ Presentations based on stakeholder inquiries and in formats preferred by readers
- ◆ Continual education in interpretations and assumptions
- ◆ Partner with other data holders
- ◆ Near “real time” reporting to track changes
- ◆ Performance improvement strategies to reverse undesired trends

Monitoring and Technical Assistance

- ◆ Access to Crisis Services & Inpatient Care
- ◆ Follow-up subsequent to higher levels of care
- ◆ Use of newer generation medications
- ◆ Minimum Service Thresholds Plan

Lessons Learned

- ◆ The private community responds slowly to developing bed capacity
- ◆ Saving one dollar in the hospital downsizing doesn't initially translate into a dollar's investment in the community

Lessons . . . continued

- ◆ State must invest in infrastructure to provide monitoring and technical assistance
- ◆ Community partners are essential
- ◆ Seize opportunities to combat stigma and advocate for evidence-based practices

More Lessons

- ◆ Plans need to be fluid and able to change based on emerging dynamics
- ◆ Leadership at all levels (advocates, Legislative, Executive, and the Authority) must develop common objectives and operating principles

Lessons . . . continued

- ◆ Continue existing safety nets until new systems are adequately available
- ◆ Define success quantitatively as well as qualitatively
- ◆ Use change mandate as opportunity to move the system forward

Continuing the Journey

- ◆ Leadership & Reorganization
- ◆ Strategic Plan
- ◆ Reclaiming the "Authority"
- ◆ Themes for continuing the journey

Themes . . . Evidence of a Journey

FY2002

- Promotion of Evidence-based practices

FY2003

- Uniform Standards to Support Early Identification of Mental Illness and Access to Care

FY2004

- Recovery-Focused Services

FY2005

- Expanded Service System Capacity
- Cultural Competencies

Where the Journey Is Taking (Has Taken) Us* . . .

- ◆ Recovery focused
- ◆ Consumer driven
- ◆ Committed to providing evidence-based and the highest quality of care
- ◆ Integrated in terms of policies, procedures, systems
- ◆ Organized to assure better outcomes for persons served
- ◆ Accessible with a no-wrong-door-approach
- ◆ Efficient to maximize the use of limited resources

Contact Info

◆ John Hudgens

– jhudgens@odmhsas.org

– www.odmhsas.org

◆ Steve Buck

– sbuck@nami.org

– www.nami.org