

**Public Interest Protections**

In order for the Screening tool to be applied in a practical manner, a number of minimum Public Interest Protections must be assumed to be binding requirements of all future PPP projects. Such protections are implementable and enforceable through statutes and / or mandatory guidelines at a project level (through RFP and Concession Agreement control mechanisms), and include:

- |   |   |
|---|---|
| <p>1. The State should maintain ultimate control and/or ownership of assets.</p> <p>2. Value for Money must be assessed and show a positive value.</p> <p>3. Upfront payments generated by P3 projects to the State by the private partner should be used only to address transportation needs.</p> <p>4. The long-term quality of service delivered in a P3 project must be ensured through stringent contract provisions and ongoing oversight.</p> | <p>5. P3 projects should conform to the State's toll setting policy, rather than allowing the private sector to change toll rates without contractually stipulated limits.</p> <p>6. P3 projects should meet relevant State laws as with any other public works project including Apprenticeship requirements, Prevailing wage laws and Minority and Women-Owned Business Enterprises (MWBES) requirements</p> <p>7. The State must safeguard against private partners realizing excessive returns.</p> <p>8. Through contractual provisions, the State must ensure that the private partner selected will be solvent and able to deliver over the long-term.</p> <p>9. The State should maintain the ability to terminate a P3 contract, or project agreement, if the private partner is not able to deliver according to the performance specifications of the contract.</p> <p>10. The P3 contract should clearly specify the condition the asset must be in when the long-term lease concludes.</p> |
|---|---|

<b>Project Name:</b>
<b>Date Prepared:</b>
<b>Date Updated:</b>
<b>Prepared By:</b>
<b>Comments:</b>

**2 Tier 2 - Non Fatal Flaw Category (Pass, Pass with Limitations or Fail)**

Criteria	Description of Criteria	Fatal Flaw (Yes, No or Project Specific)	Select from Drop Down Menu	Directly input value	Rating scale between 1 (lowest) and 4 (highest)	Comment
<b>2.01 Category 1 - Public Interest</b>						
2.01.01	Consistency with statewide transportation plan This purpose of this criterion is to determine whether a project is consistent with an adopted statewide transportation plan. The procuring public entity should consider whether or not a project is included in an adopted statewide transportation plan and, if so, what the project's ranking is in the plan.	No	Please Select:			
<b>2.02 Category 2 - Is there ability for PPP to potentially add value to the project</b>						
2.02.01	Technical innovation Does the project provide opportunities for technical innovation, including in the interface between design and build phases? If so, risk transfer to private proponents can provide strong incentives realizing the innovation opportunities	No	Please Select:			
2.02.02	Provides value for money Value for Money (VFM) is a method of analysis for comparing the total estimated life cycle costs of traditional procurement versus alternative procurement. VFM describes the benefits to the public expected to be realized through a particular procurement method, and can be quantitative and/or qualitative in nature. The Value for Money is a progressive analyze and needs to be considered during all stages of any procumbent process.	No	Please Select:			
2.02.03	Economies of scale This screening evaluation should identify if opportunities exist to group phases of a project together rather than spreading delivery out over multiple phases. In addition, individual but similar projects can be bundled together to achieve efficiencies of scale, such as the replacement of 2-300 short span bridges.	No	Please Select:			
2.02.04	Risk Transfer Well-structured public-private partnership agreements are tailored to address the specific characteristic of a particular project and to allocate risks to the party best positioned to assume and price those risks. In considering risk transfer as a criterion it is important to determine whether the public sector can manage the various project risks better than the private sector. Selection of the right contractual and financial models facilitates efficient allocation of risk and opportunity, which will ultimately determine the Value for Money available for a project under a particular asset maximization approach.	No	Please Select:			
2.02.05	Schedule Certainty If there is a timing benefit associated with a PPP, private financing can be utilized by the State to accelerate project delivery, avoiding up-front capital costs and paying for infrastructure only when it is ready to be used.	No	Please Select:			
2.02.06	Whole life costing To determine whether "whole life costing" is associated with a project, the State must consider the benefit of tying the upfront construction and operating and maintenance costs together. If structured appropriately, the transfer of risk over the life cycle of the project can generate savings and budget certainty to the public.	No	Please Select:			

**Public Interest Protections**

In order for the Screening tool to be applied in a practical manner, a number of minimum Public Interest Protections must be assumed to be binding requirements of all future PPP projects. Such protections are implementable and enforceable through statutes and / or mandatory guidelines at a project level (through RFP and Concession Agreement control mechanisms), and include:

- |   |   |
|---|---|
| <p>1. The State should maintain ultimate control and/or ownership of assets.</p> <p>2. Value for Money must be assessed and show a positive value.</p> <p>3. Upfront payments generated by P3 projects to the State by the private partner should be used only to address transportation needs.</p> <p>4. The long-term quality of service delivered in a P3 project must be ensured through stringent contract provisions and ongoing oversight.</p> | <p>5. P3 projects should conform to the State's toll setting policy, rather than allowing the private sector to change toll rates without contractually stipulated limits.</p> <p>6. P3 projects should meet relevant State laws as with any other public works project including Apprenticeship requirements, Prevailing wage laws and Minority and Women-Owned Business Enterprises (MWBES) requirements</p> <p>7. The State must safeguard against private partners realizing excessive returns.</p> <p>8. Through contractual provisions, the State must ensure that the private partner selected will be solvent and able to deliver over the long-term.</p> <p>9. The State should maintain the ability to terminate a P3 contract, or project agreement, if the private partner is not able to deliver according to the performance specifications of the contract.</p> <p>10. The P3 contract should clearly specify the condition the asset must be in when the long-term lease concludes.</p> |
|---|---|

Date Prepared:
Date Updated:
Prepared By:
Comments:

**2 Tier 2 - Non Fatal Flaw Category (Pass, Pass with Limitations or Fail)**

	Criteria	Description of Criteria	Fatal Flaw (Yes, No or Project Specific)	Select from Drop Down Menu	Directly input value	Rating scale between 1 (lowest) and 4 (highest)	Comment
2.02.07	Renovation work would not constitute a substantial share of construction costs	A new build versus renovation will lead to differences in both cost and functionality. Historically with PPP projects, if a substantial share of the project consists of renovation work, the risk transfer to the private sector has been more difficult to achieve due to latent risks associated with original infrastructure	No	Please Select:			
2.02.08	Competitive market likely to produce at least three bids	A process used to assess the market's reaction to a proposed project and or procurement approach by providing an opportunity for market participants to provide input in terms of interest, capability and capacity. The objective is to structure a project in a manner that will encourage competition by generating a favorable market response	No	Please Select:			
<b>2.03 Category 3 - Will the project attract private sector interest?</b>							
2.03.01	Current market liquidity	In difficult financial market conditions (e.g. reduced liquidity), fully committed financing packages may be difficult to obtain at the time of bidding. This may mean that the financing agreements will not be concluded immediately once the PPP contract is signed.	No	Please Select:			
2.03.02	Project's ability to attract TIFIA, Private Activity Bonds (PABs)	With highway and transit funds becoming limited, federal loans are taking the place of federal grants. TIFIA financing and a PABs allocation is often applied for prior to seeking proposals from bidders in order to provide bidders with the opportunity to factor these sources of financing into their proposals. PABs, may be issued by state or local governmental entities for the benefit of private developers. Accordingly, private concessionaires receiving PAB allocations may now benefit from the lower cost of capital achievable in the US tax-exempt bond markets.	No	Please Select:			
2.03.03	Confidence public sector will be able to facilitate project completion: - Confidence in public sector timely & effective decision making process - Transparency of the procurement process - Credible Consultants to the public sector (technical, legal, and financial)	The manner by which the public sector is organized to execute a P3 procurement and oversee the project's implementation can attract or discourage investors. In a P3, the private sector assumes schedule, budget, and many major project delivery risks over the long-term. While the private sector is taking on these risks, it must still gather approval from the public agency throughout the course of the project. If an agency appears to be fragmented and decisions will take considerable time to be made and if it appears there is a lack of understanding that overseeing a P3 project differs from a traditionally delivered project, bidders will be reluctant to come forward. However, if an agency is organized so that individuals are empowered with clear authority to make decisions on P3 projects, bidders will be more encouraged to participate and the overall cost of financing the project will be reduced.	No	Please Select:			
2.03.04	The private sector has sufficient P3 capacity (expertise and availability) to successfully deliver project objectives	Potential private sector must be sufficiently qualified to deliver the facility and services it will be required to fulfill. The private sector will need adequate administrative and investment appetite based on how much money they have to spend and if they have sufficient resources to deliver project objectives.	No	Please Select:			

**Public Interest Protections**

In order for the Screening tool to be applied in a practical manner, a number of minimum Public Interest Protections must be assumed to be binding requirements of all future PPP projects. Such protections are implementable and enforceable through statutes and / or mandatory guidelines at a project level (through RFP and Concession Agreement control mechanisms), and include:

- |   |   |
|---|---|
| <p>1. The State should maintain ultimate control and/or ownership of assets.</p> <p>2. Value for Money must be assessed and show a positive value.</p> <p>3. Upfront payments generated by P3 projects to the State by the private partner should be used only to address transportation needs.</p> <p>4. The long-term quality of service delivered in a P3 project must be ensured through stringent contract provisions and ongoing oversight.</p> | <p>5. P3 projects should conform to the State's toll setting policy, rather than allowing the private sector to change toll rates without contractually stipulated limits.</p> <p>6. P3 projects should meet relevant State laws as with any other public works project including Apprenticeship requirements, Prevailing wage laws and Minority and Women-Owned Business Enterprises (MWBES) requirements</p> <p>7. The State must safeguard against private partners realizing excessive returns.</p> <p>8. Through contractual provisions, the State must ensure that the private partner selected will be solvent and able to deliver over the long-term.</p> <p>9. The State should maintain the ability to terminate a P3 contract, or project agreement, if the private partner is not able to deliver according to the performance specifications of the contract.</p> <p>10. The P3 contract should clearly specify the condition the asset must be in when the long-term lease concludes.</p> |
|---|---|

<b>Date Prepared:</b>
<b>Date Updated:</b>
<b>Prepared By:</b>
<b>Comments:</b>

**2 Tier 2 - Non Fatal Flaw Category (Pass, Pass with Limitations or Fail)**

Criteria	Description of Criteria	Fatal Flaw (Yes, No or Project Specific)	Select from Drop Down Menu	Directly input value	Rating scale between 1 (lowest) and 4 (highest)	Comment
<b>2.04 Category 4 - Regulatory, legal, and political feasibility</b>						
2.04.01	Consensus among local and regional authorities This criterion will help determine the level of support that a project has among stakeholders, elected officials, transportation officials, and the public at large. The procuring authority must consider the existing levels of support, the issues raised by any project opposition, and potential means to mitigate any opposition.	No	Please Select:			
2.04.02	Need for new or change in legislation Early identification of whether there are any legal obstacles to moving a project forward as a P3 is critical. If changes are needed it is necessary to pinpoint them upfront and work together new legislation before an RFP is advanced. Given the significant cost of responding to a P3 procurement, bidders will be weary of responding to an RFP unless legislative authority is clear and issues concerning the project's bankability are addressed.	No	Please Select:			
2.04.03	No specific legislative approval required post award If specific legislative approval is required before Financial Close is reached, given the significant cost of responding to a P3 procurement and risk associated with such approval, bidders will be weary of responding to an RFP.	No	Please Select:			

Total number of Criteria	16
Maximum value of Limitations	24
Total value of Limitations	0

Has a Fatal Flaw occurred? Why has a fatal Flaw occurred?	Incomplete Analyses
--	---------------------

Project Suitability	Incomplete Analyses
---------------------	---------------------