

PROPOSED CASE STUDY SELECTIONS

At our July 25 meeting, we discussed the following criteria for case study selection from our survey respondents.

- ◆ Is the potential selection eligible to charge WSDOT stormwater rates under RCW 90.03.525?
- ◆ Does the potential selection create / improve appropriate representation among subjects that (1) now charge WSDOT, (2) did charge WSDOT but no longer do, (3) never have charged WSDOT, and (4) have not but is now considering charging WSDOT?
- ◆ Does the potential selection create / improve appropriate representation between NPDES Phase I and Phase II communities?
- ◆ Does the potential selection create / improve appropriate representation between Eastern and Western Washington subjects?

We further agreed that the mix of seven case studies should include the following characteristics:

- ◆ At least two respondents should be from Eastern Washington.
- ◆ At least one respondent should be a county.
- ◆ At least one respondent should be an NPDES Phase I permittee.

To date, we have received responses from the following jurisdictions:

Battleground	Kelso	Renton
Bellevue	Kennewick	Richland
Bellingham	King County	Shoreline
Bremerton	Kirkland	Skagit County
Burien	Kitsap County	Snohomish (city)
Burlington	Lake Forest Park	Spokane County
Camas	Lynnwood	Spokane Valley
Centralia	Maple Valley	Sumner
Chelan County	Marysville	Tukwila
Clark County	Milton	Tumwater
Covington	Mount Vernon	Vancouver
Cowlitz County	Olympia	Walla Walla County
Des Moines	Pacific	Whatcom County
Douglas County	Pierce County	
Edgewood	Port Orchard	
Everett	Poulsbo	
Issaquah	Puyallup	

Of these respondents, eleven (Bellevue, Bellingham, Clark County, Douglas County, King County, Kitsap County, Olympia, Pierce County, Renton, Skagit County, and Tukwila) currently charge the State of Washington under RCW 90.03.525.

Of the respondents, three (Issaquah, Puyallup, and Vancouver) appear to have charged the State in the past but no longer do.

Presumably, the remaining thirty-three respondents have never charged the State under RCW 90.03.525. We don't yet know of these respondents how many are now considering charging the State.

Of the respondents, three (King County, Pierce County, and Clark County) are NPDES Phase I permittees.

Of the respondents, seven (Chelan County, Douglas County, Kennewick, Richland, Spokane County, Spokane Valley, and Walla Walla County) are located in Eastern Washington.

Applying the above criteria to the survey respondents, we propose the following seven jurisdictions for case studies:

<u>Name</u>	<u>Notes</u>
Clark County	Phase I permittee; currently charges the State; geographic balance
Puyallup	Used to charge the State, no longer does
Issaquah	Used to charge the State, no longer does
Spokane Valley	Eastern Washington; has never charged the State
Richland or Kennewick	Eastern Washington; has never charged the State
Bellingham	Currently charges the State; geographic balance
Olympia or Tukwila	Currently charges the State

I note a few additional points for discussion:

- ◆ Neither of the selected Eastern Washington respondents has ever charged the State. The alternative would be to go with another county, Douglas County. They do currently charge the State. That would give us two counties in the survey, because all the Phase I options are counties. It should be noted that the Richland and Kennewick survey responses were brief and non-substantive.
- ◆ This mix provides two case studies from Eastern Washington, three from Puget Sound (Puyallup, Issaquah, and Olympia or Tukwila), and two from Western Washington non-Puget Sound (Clark County and Bellingham).
- ◆ The third respondent that used to charge the State but no longer does is Vancouver, a choice that seemed to over-represent Southwest Washington – specifically Clark County.