

Public Transportation Advisory Panel Workshop #3

September 29, 2010 – 10:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m. Puget Sound Regional Council 1011 Western Ave, Suite 500 • Seattle, WA 98104

DRAFT AGENDA

State Role Discussion Continued & Measuring What We Want to Achieve

<u>Time</u>	<u>Topic</u>	<u>Presenters</u>
10:00 a.m.	Welcome and Agenda OverviewGetting to the meeting	Barbara Gilliland, Facilitator Panel Members
10:15 a.m.	 State Role in Public Transportation Review - Definition of public transportation Summary - What we heard in Workshop #2 Introduce - Draft Framework for today's discussion 	Sheila Dezarn, Project Manager
10:30 a.m.	 Current Challenges/Emerging Trends Current public transportation programs Major issues, needs and emerging trends Unmet needs – data challenges and reporting 	Larry Sauve, Senior Researcher
10:45 a.m.	Transit Provider PerspectivesTransit's role in addressing state goals	Transit Agency Panel Members
11:05 a.m.	 Discussion Panel Members Observations on trends and provider roles Reaction to and questions about individual provider summaries Coordinating service between systems and modes Coordination with WSDOT and the Public Transportation Division Local measures and making decisions about service delivery 	
11:25 a.m.	Break-out Session #1 Refining state roles based upon current challenges and emerg	ing trends

LUNCH BREAK (Lunch provided for panel members)



12:00 p.m.

Washington State Legislature JOINT TRANSPORTATION COMMITTEE

12:30 p.m.	Panel Report Out and Discussion - Potential Refinements to the State Role		
12:50 p.m.	 Performance Management Linking State goals and performance Current State reporting and measures 2008 Transportation Progress Report (OFM) – A measures 	Sheila Dezarn, Project Manager Eric Roecks, Senior Advisor odel	
1:10 p.m.	Break-out Session #2 What should the state's performance management sy	stem measure?	
2:15 p.m.	BREAK		
2:30 p.m.	Discussion - performance measurement	Panel Members	
3:15 p.m.	Developing Recommendations Initial observations	Barbara Gilliland, Facilitator Sheila Dezarn, Project Manager	
3:50 p.m.	Public Comment and Follow-up	Barbara Gilliland, Facilitator	
4:00 p.m.	Adjourn	Barbara Gilliland, Facilitator	

Next Meeting October 27th 10am – 1pm Sound Transit



Break-out Session #1 Focus: State Role in Meeting Unmet Needs

Objective: Prioritize and assess the state's role in meeting unmet needs.

Process:

- 1. Using the draft Framework, panel members will begin by voting for their top two unmet need areas.
- 2. The group will discuss existing and/or modified state roles to address the top 3 unmet need categories.

As you vote and discuss consider the following overarching questions:

- Do current state roles adequately address these needs?
- Given the current economic climate, how should the state use its resources to meet near-term challenges? How should the state reposition or refocus itself for when the economy improves?

Summary of Current State Roles in Public Transportation

Policy/Planning	Providing Services	Funding	Oversight/ Coordination
 Authorization of Transit Agencies WTP GMA CTR Greenhouse Gas Emissions 	 State Ferries Intercity Bus and Rail HOV System Park-and-ride System 	 State Authorized Local Tax Options Federal Funds State Multimodal Account Other Direct State Funding Ferries Intercity Bus and Rail HOV system 	 TDPs ACCT Gray Notebook Annual Summary of Public Transportation

Report outs should focus on highlighting the area of concern and the changed role, if any.



Break-out Session #2 Focus: Measuring Performance

Objective: This breakout session will focus on evaluating and identifying measures that will help the state assess how the public transportation system is performing in addressing state goals. Building on the OFM Transportation Progress Report model, Advisory Panel members will discuss the most appropriate measures to be used. The goal is to identify a limited number of measures that answer the following key questions:

- What types of information do state policymakers need in order to make funding, operational and policy decisions regarding public transportation?
- What performance information would be useful to determine if the system is meeting the needs of Washington citizens?
- What information would you find useful in assessing the overall performance of the public transportation network?

Process:

- 1. Members will be separated into their constituent groups (Policy/Planning, Providers and Users).
- 2. Using the performance measurement spreadsheet (to be provided), Panel members will review existing performance measures that have been aligned with state goals.
- 3. Members will then identify 2-3 existing and/or new measures that could be used to assess performance in meeting each state goal.

Facilitators for each group will be responsible for summarizing the measures during the following group performance measures discussion.

