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## Study Proviso

## ESSB 5024, Sec. 204(4)

(4) The joint transportation committee shall convene a work group to identify and evaluate internal refinance opportunities for the Tacoma Narrows bridge. The study must include a staff work group, including staff from the office of financial management, the transportation commission, the department of transportation, the office of the state treasurer, and the legislative transportation committees. The joint transportation committee shall issue a report of its findings to the house of representatives and the senate transportation committees by December 31, 2013.

## What are internal refinance opportunities?

The approved study workplan:

- ... the Legislature wishes to consider other options to reduce the burden of toll increases on users of the Tacoma Narrows Bridge.
- "The term "internal refinance opportunities" in the proviso directing this study refers to changes that do not require the State Treasurer to re-issue debt. This may include identifying non-toll revenue to help defray costs, reducing costs paid by tolls, or other potential alternatives."


## Current TNB toll rates

|  | Effective $7 / 1 / 13$ | \% using method | Effective $7 / 1 / 14$ | \% using method |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Good To Go! | \$4.25 | 73\% | \$4.50 | 73\% |
| Automatic payment via Pay by Plate (PBP) | \$4.50 |  | \$4.75 |  |
| Cash paid at the toll booth | \$5.25 | 22\% | \$5.50 | 21\% |
| Short term account set up w/in 72 hrs of crossing | \$5.75 |  | \$6.00 |  |
| Pay by Mail (PBM) | \$6.25 | 5\% | \$6.50 | 6\% |
| Blended (weighted average) toll | \$4.57 |  | \$4.82 |  |

## TNB debt service



## Today's presentation: Scenarios

-How might different traffic, expenditure and revenue scenarios affect future toll rates

## Considerations ...

- Setting a precedent for other tolled facilities
- Potential bond market reaction
- OST: Negative market reaction if legislative bodies are involved with toll setting.
- Tolls are set by the Transportation Commission


## Scenario Estimating Tool

- Traffic - current forecast, zero growth, decline
- Revenue
- Toll and other
- Expenses
- Debt service
- Toll vendor - Preservation (R\&R)
- Toll operations - Deferred sales tax
- Bridge Insurance
- Sufficient minimum balance (SMB)


## Caveats and Assumptions

- Traffic
- No elasticity assumptions built in (traffic not adjusted due to higher or lower toll rates)
- Expenses increase at IPD - not half IPD as in financial plan
- Toll rate is blended rate (GTG, cash, PBP, PBM, short term account - 2 axle vehicles)
- Analysis begins with FY 2016 rates
- Results are rough estimates
- Suggest general trends, but need further detailed analysis to make informed decisions
- It's up to the Transportation Commission to set toll rates.


# TNB Estimated Expenditures 

Assuming full IPD

|  | Debt Service | Toll <br> Vendor | Toll Operations | Bridge Insurance | Bridge <br> Maintenance | Preservation (R\&R) | Deferred <br> Sales Tax | Total Expenditures |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FY 2014 | 54,932,000 | 5,453,500 | 3,675,600 | 1,750,000 | 270,000 | 0 | 0 | 66,081,100 |
| FY 2015 | 54,735,000 | 5,453,500 | 3,640,400 | 1,750,000 | 305,000 | 119,735 | 0 | 66,003,635 |
| FY 2016 | 62,311,000 | 5,532,660 | 3,693,242 | 1,775,402 | 340,000 | 4,543,189 | 0 | 78,195,493 |
| FY 2017 | 70,092,000 | 5,614,549 | 3,747,905 | 1,801,680 | 375,000 | 1,002,473 | 0 | 82,633,607 |
| FY 2018 | 69,889,000 | 5,696,045 | 3,802,307 | 1,827,831 | 380,443 | 3,078,198 | 0 | 84,673,825 |
| FY 2019 | 72,861,000 | 5,791,056 | 3,865,731 | 1,858,320 | 386,789 | 12,496 | 5,759,000 | 90,534,392 |
| FY 2020 | 72,770,000 | 5,892,420 | 3,933,395 | 1,890,847 | 393,559 | 118,364 | 5,759,000 | 90,757,585 |
| FY 2021 | 72,478,000 | 5,999,934 | 4,005,164 | 1,925,348 | 400,740 | 2,685,064 | 5,759,000 | 93,253,250 |
| FY 2022 | 78,093,000 | 6,109,775 | 4,078,486 | 1,960,595 | 408,077 | 1,128,348 | 5,759,000 | 97,537,281 |
| FY 2023 | 79,339,000 | 6,222,642 | 4,153,829 | 1,996,814 | 415,615 | 3,436,681 | 5,759,000 | 101,323,580 |
| FY 2024 | 83,480,000 | 6,338,510 | 4,231,175 | 2,033,995 | 423,354 | 47,449 | 5,759,000 | 102,313,483 |
| FY 2025 | 84,301,000 | 6,455,447 | 4,309,234 | 2,071,519 | 431,164 | 2,768,062 | 5,759,000 | 106,095,426 |
| FY 2026 | 83,683,000 | 6,574,718 | 4,388,852 | 2,109,793 | 439,130 | 1,463,344 | 5,759,000 | 104,417,838 |
| FY 2027 | 84,047,000 | 6,695,656 | 4,469,582 | 2,148,602 | 447,208 | 1,903,942 | 5,759,000 | 105,470,990 |
| FY 2028 | 86,325,000 | 6,818,009 | 4,551,257 | 2,187,864 | 455,380 | 720,359 | 5,759,000 | 106,816,868 |
| FY 2029 | 86,542,000 | 6,943,257 | 4,634,864 | 2,228,055 | 463,745 | 599,489 | 0 | 101,411,410 |
| FY 2030 | 79,660,000 | 7,070,847 | 4,720,035 | 2,268,998 | 472,267 | 2,573,403 | 0 | 96,765,550 |

## TNB Traffic

## Current Forecast vs. Pessimistic Scenario


(1) Current forecast September 2013 Transportation Revenue Forecast
(2) Source - generated for this study by legislative staff

## What you'll see today

- Potential toll rates in a base case scenario
- Seven scenarios affecting expenditures \& potential toll rates
- 1. Deferred sales tax repayment
- 2. $5 \%$ cut in toll operations and vendor costs
- 3. Another fund source pays preservation costs
- 4. Tolls only pay debt service
- 5. Loan to keep blended toll below $\$ 6$
- 6. Loan to offset effect of increasing debt service
- 7. Worst case scenario


## Potential estimated blended toll rates - Base Case <br> (Full IPD, tolls pay costs as in current law, three traffic scenarios)



## What happens if we change expenditure assumptions?

- Deferred sales tax repayment
- 5\% budget cut to toll operations costs
- Another fund source pays preservation (R\&R)


## Scenario 1: Deferred sales tax repayment

- \$58 million deferred construction sales tax, to be repaid between FY 2019 and FY 2028
- If the Legislature used $\$ 58$ million in non-toll revenues to make this repayment, could affect tolls by 35 to 45 cents, depending on the traffic scenario


## Deferred sales tax: Policy considerations Could cost \$201 million to adopt this policy

- Sets a precedent for other facilities
- For the TNB, would cost other transportation fund sources \$58 million over ten years (FY 2019 - FY 2028)
- The same policy for SR 520 would cost other fund sources \$144 million over ten years (FY 2022 - FY 2031)

| Deferred Sales Tax Repayment |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (\$ in 000s) |  |  |  |  |
| Fiscal Year | TNB | 520 |  | Total |
| 2018 |  |  | \$ | - |
| 2019 | \$ 5,760 |  | \$ | 5,760 |
| 2020 | \$ 5,760 |  | \$ | 5,760 |
| 2021 | \$ 5,760 |  | \$ | 5,760 |
| 2022 | \$ 5,760 | \$ 14,356 | \$ | 20,116 |
| 2023 | \$ 5,760 | \$ 14,356 | \$ | 20,116 |
| 2024 | \$ 5,760 | \$ 14,356 | \$ | 20,116 |
| 2025 | \$ 5,760 | \$ 14,356 | \$ | 20,116 |
| 2026 | \$ 5,760 | \$ 14,356 | \$ | 20,116 |
| 2027 | \$ 5,760 | \$ 14,356 | \$ | 20,116 |
| 2028 | \$ 5,760 | \$ 14,356 | \$ | 20,116 |
| 2029 |  | \$ 14,356 | \$ | 14,356 |
| 2030 |  | \$ 14,356 | \$ | 14,356 |
| 2031 |  | \$ 14,356 | \$ | 14,356 |
| Total | \$57,600 | \$ 143,563 | \$ | 201,163 |

## Deferred sales tax: Policy considerations Risk of federal lawsuit

- Department of Revenue guidance: Risk of federal lawsuit if turned into an exemption
- Options which preserve payment of the sales tax are preferred (payment by other sources)
- Could treat state projects like federal/local projects and exempt labor costs from sales tax
- TNB's design-build contract did not detail labor vs. other costs; a retro-active exemption of labor costs would have to be estimated


# Scenario 2: 5\% cut in toll vendor and toll operations budget 

- 2013 Legislature reduced toll vendor and toll operations budget by $5 \%$.
- Legislative budget assumes this reduction will be maintained at the same level in the future
- Could affect tolls by about a nickel,
- But the savings is small enough that it could be overshadowed out by other changes in traffic or expenditures.


## Scenario 3: Another fund source pays preservation costs (R\&R)

- R\&R costs are uneven, due to the nature of the work required in a particular year.
- If another fund source paid for R\&R, it could save ten to fifteen cents on average, but the savings in a particular year might be more or less than that.
- Would cost Motor Vehicle Fund (or other revenue source) $\$ 26$ million

|  |  | Preservation (R\&R) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FY 2014 | \$ |  |
| FY 2015 | \$ | 119,735 |
| FY 2016 | \$ | 4,543,189 |
| FY 2017 | \$ | 1,002,473 |
| FY 2018 | \$ | 3,078,198 |
| FY 2019 | \$ | 12,496 |
| FY 2020 | \$ | 118,364 |
| FY 2021 | \$ | 2,685,064 |
| FY 2022 | \$ | 1,128,348 |
| FY 2023 | \$ | 3,436,681 |
| FY 2024 | \$ | 47,449 |
| FY 2025 | \$ | 2,768,062 |
| FY 2026 | \$ | 1,463,344 |
| FY 2027 | \$ | 1,903,942 |
| FY 2028 | \$ | 720,359 |
| FY 2029 | \$ | 599,489 |
| FY 2030 | \$ | 2,573,403 |
| TOTAL | \$ | 26,200,595 |

## Larger scenarios: Gifts and loans

, "Gifts" from other fund sources, no repayment required

- Loans, to be repaid after debt service is paid off in 2030.
- 5 year and 10 year repayment scenarios


## Scenario 4: Tolls only pay debt service beginning in FY 2016

|  | Total Expenditures | Debt Non debt-service Service expenditures | \% non-debt service expenditures |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FY 2014 | 66,081,100 | 54,932,000 11,149,100 | 17\% |
| FY 2015 | 66,003,635 | 54,735,000 11,268,635 | 17\% |
| FY 2016 | 78,195,493 | 62,311,000 15,884,493 | 20\% |
| FY 2017 | 82,633,607 | 70,092,000 12,541,607 | 15\% |
| FY 2018 | 84,673,825 | 69,889,0,0 14,784,825 | 1 |
| FY 2019 | 90,534,392 | 72,861 $00017,673,392$ | 0\% |
| FY 2020 | 90,757,585 | 72,779,000 17,987,585 | $1.2 \%$ |
| FY 2021 | 93,253,250 | 72,47, $20,775,250$ | 22. |
| FY 2022 | 97,537,281 | 78,0¢ 3,000 19,444,281 | 20 |
| FY 2023 | 101,323,580 | 79,3:9,000 21,984,580 | 22\% |
| FY 2024 | 102,313,483 | 83,4\&0,000 18,833,483 | 18\% |
| FY 2025 | 106,095,426 | 84,30 ,000 21,794,426 | 21\% |
| FY 2026 | 104,417,838 | 83,68= 000 20,734,838 | 2\%\% |
| FY 2027 | 105,470,990 | 84,047, $00021,423,990$ | 20\% |
| FY 2028 | 106,816,868 | 86,325,0\%0 20,491,868 | 19\% |
| FY 2029 | 101,411,410 | 86,542,000 14,869,410 | - $15 \%$ |
| FY 2030 | 96,765,550 | 79,660,000 17,105,550 | 18\% |

Other funds
would pay
this
\$276
million

Consideration: Other facilities will want this, too.

## Tolls only pay debt service beginning in FY 2016

- "Gift" from other transportation fund sources of $\$ 276$ million over 15 years (FY 2016 - FY 2030)
- Could affect tolls by $\$ 1.10$ to $\$ 1.45$ on average, depending on the traffic assumption



## Tolls only pay debt service

 beginning in FY 2016
## Loan - What would tolls repay?

- The loan (\$276 million)
- Other TNB costs?

All-in costs
- Bridge maintenance
- Preservation (R\&R)


## Loan - How long a repayment period?

- 10 years (FY 2031 - 2040)
- All-in costs
- Toll-related costs

Lower average toll Paid 10 years
Higher extended costs

- 5 years (FY 2031-2035)
- All-in costs
- Toll-related costs

Higher average toll Paid 5 years
Lower extended costs

## Tolls pay only debt service, FY 2016-2030

 Loan, with repayment beginning 2031|  | Current traffic forecast | Zero traffic growth | Pessimistic traffic |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FY 2016-2030 loan | \$276 million |  |  |
| Average toll level impact FY 2016-2030 | $\$ 1.10$ to $\$ 1.45$ of potential savings |  |  |
| Repayment toll to repay loan* |  |  |  |
| Average repayment toll -- 10 years FY 2031-2040 |  | $\$ 2.30 \text { to } \$ 3 .$ |  |
| Average repayment toll -- 5 years FY 2031-2035 |  | $\$ 3.70$ tb $\$ 5.75$ |  |

* Assumes repayment toll pays all costs - loan, toll vendor and toll ops, insurance, M\&O, R\&R. Toll is 20-40 cents lower if another fund source pays insurance, M\&O and R\&R


## Loan: Tolls only pay debt service FY 2016-2030

10 year repayment includes loan and all bridge and toll-related costs


## Scenario 5: Maximum \$6 toll* (blended toll)



[^0]
## Maximum \$6 toll, FY 2016-2030 <br> Loan, with repayment beginning 2031

|  | Current traffic <br> forecast | Zero traffic <br> growth | Pessimistic traffic |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FY 2016-2030 loan | NA | $\$ 185$ million to \$240 million |  |
| Average toll level impact <br> FY 2016-2030 | NA | 80 cents to \$1.30 of potential <br> average savings |  |

## Repayment toll to repay loan*

| Average repayment toll -- 10 years <br> FY $2031-2040$ | NA | $\$ 2.25$ to $\$ 3.15$ average toll |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Average repayment toll -- 5 years <br> FY $2031-2035$ | NA | $\$ 3.45$ to $\$ 5.00$ average toll |

* Assumes repayment toll pays all costs - loan, toll vendor and toll ops, insurance, M\&O, R\&R. Toll is 20-40 cents lower if another fund source pays insurance, M\&O and R\&R


## Scenario 6: Level debt service

- Treasurer's current practice is for level debt service, rather than rising debt service as in TNB
- Scenario 6: Loan to offset effect of increasing debt service after FY 2016


## Level debt service, FY 2016-2030

## Loan, with repayment beginning 2031

|  | Current traffic forecast | Zero traffic growth | Pessimistic traffic |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FY 2017-2030 loan | \$231 million |  |  |
| Average toll level impact <br> FY 2017-2030 | \$1.00 to \$1.30 of potential average savings |  |  |
| Repayment toll to repayloan* |  |  |  |
| Average repayment toll -- 10 years FY 2031-2040 | \$1.90 to \$3.00 average toll |  |  |
| Average repayment toll -- 5 years FY 2031-2035 | \$3.10 to \$4.75 average toll |  |  |

* Assumes repayment toll pays all costs - loan, toll vendor and toll ops, insurance, M\&O, R\&R. Toll is 20-40 cents lower if another fund source pays insurance, M\&O and R\&R


## Scenario 7: Double digit tolls?

## Not likely

## Take-aways from today's presentation

- 1. Blended tolls not likely to reach double-digit.
- 2. Legislature can take action to reduce the impact of tolls on TNB users.
- 3. To have a significant impact on tolls, it will be costly, with implications for other projects and programs funded from the Motor Vehicle Fund.
- 4. There will be pressure to provide similar relief for users of other tolled facilities.
- 5. Transportation Commission sets toll rates - not the Legislature. Important consideration for bond market.
- 6. This is discussion-level work; expert analysis is needed before making significant policy decisions.


## Next steps

- Write the draft report
- Including any necessary statutory amendments
- Circulate for comments
- Final report to JTC in December


## Questions?




[^0]:    * \$6 was maximum estimated toll in 2005 finance plan

