

Prescription Drug Purchasing Consortium Performance Audit

Proposed Final Report

Joint Legislative Audit and Review Committee October 22, 2008

John Bowden, JLARC Staff

Prescription Drug Purchasing Consortium Statute



In 2005, the Legislature passed SSB 5471 which:

- Directed the Health Care Authority to create a Prescription Drug Purchasing Consortium
 - State programs must participate unless exempted because greater cost savings can be achieved through federal programs or other purchasing arrangements
 - Private employer groups, local governments, labor unions, and uninsured or underinsured individuals can participate on a voluntary basis
- Mandated JLARC to conduct a performance audit of the Consortium by December 2008

Report Page 3

M

N D

Α

T E

Drug Purchasing Consortium Proposed Final Report

October 22, 2008

2

JLARC's Performance Audit Has Three Components



0 V E R V I E

W

• Statutory Compliance:

- Has the Consortium been implemented?
- Is the Consortium being operated as required?
- Who is participating?
- Cost Savings:
 - Are participants saving money?
- · Health Outcomes:
 - Are individuals healthier because of the Consortium?

Report Page 3

Drug Purchasing Consortium Preliminary Report

October 22, 2008

2

Are Statutory Requirements Being Met?



COMPLIA

Ν

C

 HCA contracted with a pharmacy benefits manager (PBM) to implement the Consortium.



HCA has met most of the operational requirements.



 Six of eight state-purchased health care programs are not participating in Consortium and have not demonstrated cause for exemption.



Report Pages 5 - 9

Drug Purchasing Consortium Proposed Final Report

October 22, 2008

Six of Eight State Programs Have Not Demonstrated Cause for Exemption			
PART-C-PAT-OZ	State-Purchased Health Care Program	Currently Participating?	Demonstrated Cause for Exemption? (expected date)
	HCA – Uniform Medical Plan	Yes	N/A
	Department of Labor and Industries	Yes	N/A
	DSHS/HRSA (Medical Assistance)	No	No (7/09)
	Department of Corrections	No	No (11/08)
	Department of Veterans Affairs	No	No (11/08)
	Department of Health – Immunization Program	No	No (11/08)
	Department of Health – HIV Client Services	No	No (11/08)
	Department of Health – STD Services	No	No (11/08)
Report Pages 7 - 8 Drug Purchasing Consortium Preliminary Report October 22, 2008 5			

Are Participants Saving Money?



C 0 S T

S A V

Ν G S

• UMP – estimates a \$1.8 million cost avoidance in the first six months of 2008.

Under the current PBM contract:

- L&I has **not** had any **significant** changes in prescription drug rebates.
- Discount Card HCA reports that members saved more than \$4.3 million in prescription drug purchases from February 2007 to August 2008.

Report Pages 13 - 14

Drug Purchasing Consortium Proposed Final Report

October 22, 2008

Are Individuals Healthier?



HEALTH OUTCOMES

 For UMP and L&I – Improvements in health status, as a result of the Consortium, cannot be determined. However, improvements are unlikely because:

- Agencies' short period of participation in Consortium
- Individuals' access to prescription drugs has not changed
- Agencies' method for purchase or reimbursement of prescription drugs has not changed
- An HCA survey of Discount Card members did not yield meaningful health outcome data.

Report Page 15

Drug Purchasing Consortium Proposed Final Report

October 22, 2008

7

Recommendation and Responses



RECOMMENDAT

1

0 N S Recommendation 1 – DOC, DVA, DOH, and DSHS should complete the required cost analyses that demonstrate whether or not greater savings can be achieved.

DOC: Partially Concurs

DOC notes that they are supplying the data, but HCA is conducting the analysis.

HCA, DSHS, DOH, DVA, L&I, and OFM: Concur

Report Pages 17 & 21 - 36

Drug Purchasing Consortium Proposed Final Report

October 22, 2008

Recommendation and Responses



RECOMMENDATIONS

Recommendation 2 – Based on the analyses conducted by the state agencies, HCA should make a determination whether each of the programs is required to participate in, or is exempt from participation in, the Consortium, and report the determinations to JLARC.

DOC: Partially Concurs

DOC wants to ensure the analysis takes into consideration the special circumstances and cost implications in a correctional setting.

HCA, DSHS, DOH, DVA, L&I, and OFM: Concur

Report Pages 17 - 18 & 21 - 36 Drug Purchasing Consortium Proposed Final Report

October 22, 2008

Recommendation and Responses



RECOMMENDATI

0

N S Recommendation 3 – HCA should periodically conduct updated cost analyses to determine whether each of the state programs should continue to participate in, or continue to be exempt from participation in, the Consortium.

DOC: Partially Concurs

DOC is supportive of the recommendation if they are able to participate in the analysis.

HCA, DSHS, DOH, DVA, L&I, and OFM: Concur

Report Pages 18 & 21 - 36

Drug Purchasing Consortium Proposed Final Report

October 22, 2008

10

Contact Information



John Bowden 360-786-5298 bowden.john@leg.wa.gov www.jlarc.leg.wa.gov

Drug Purchasing Consortium Proposed Final Report

October 22, 2008

6