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Study Objectives

• “Independent and objective analysis”

• “Describe performance on various segments of the corridor that represent segments used by typical drivers, and describe where the corridor is working, and where it is not working”

• What evidence (i.e. performance measures) can be obtained from the data about the effectiveness of the ETL corridor during its pilot phase?
Statutory Performance Measures

Washington state statute RCW 47.56.880 lists several general performance measures for the I-405 ETL facility. Of these, three measures are of primary interest to this study:

• Whether the express toll lanes generate sufficient revenue to pay for all I-405 express toll lane-related operating costs;

• Whether the express toll lanes maintain speeds of 45 miles per hour (mph) at least 90 percent of the time during peak periods; and

• Whether the average traffic speed changed in the general purpose lanes.
Key Findings

Financial Performance Measure Met. The I-405 ETL facility is achieving the first primary performance measure the Washington Legislature set for the facility – to be financially self-sufficient.

ETL Speed Performance Measure Not Met. The I-405 ETL facility is not meeting the second primary performance measure the Washington Legislature set for the facility – to have vehicles on the ETL averaging 45 mph at least 90 percent of the time. This study finds that on average the amount of time in peak period where ETL speed is above the 45 mph statutory goal is 85 percent in the northbound direction and 78 percent in the southbound direction (Jan 2017 – Jun 2017).

GPL Speeds Showed No Significant Change. Average GPL speeds during the peak period were not dramatically different before and after opening of the ETL facility. Improved speed was recorded immediately following opening of the paved GPL shoulder, but that improvement returned to pre-ETL levels as time passed.
Study Process

- Six month study (began June, 2017)
- Extensive work with staff workgroup (staff from JTC, Legislature, OFM, WSDOT, Transportation Commission)
- Data transfer from WSDOT completed in August, 2017
- Developed database and computer programs specifically for this study
Facility Timeline

- Construction started: Fall 2012
- Construction: Sep 27, 2015
- Construction: Mar 18, 2016
- Construction: Aug 2016
- Construction: Apr 2017

- Tolling scheme changed to weekdays only
- ETL opened to traffic
- Shoulder-lane opened to northbound traffic
Three potential sources of data: WSDOT loop detectors, ETL toll transaction data, HERE/INRIX cell-phone derived data

Analysis involved:
- Understanding corridor attributes, facility timeline, and policy/facility changes
- Key metrics
- Data sources
- Application of analytical tools
Data Source Characteristics

- Availability (over given time frame)
- Content
  - Density
  - Volume
  - Speed
  - Travel time
  - Lane differentiation (GP vs ETL)
- Sample rate (resolution)
Available WSDOT Data

What can be obtained from Loop Detector & ETL Transaction data?

- **Volume:**
  - Both on GPL and ETL
  - Both before and after

- **Speed:**
  - Both on GPL and ETL
  - Both before and after

- **Travel Time:**
  - Both on GPL and ETL
  - Both before and after

  - Widely accepted/being used
  - High volume/amount
  - High resolution
  - Comprehensive (complete info)
Data Analysis Methodology

- We developed *database systems* and *computer programs* to undertake our analysis in this study.
- We calculated traffic measures on *ETL and GPL* separately and independently.
- We calculated volume, speed and travel time:
  - In peak and off peak periods
  - For every month
Double Loop Detector Data

• Source: WSDOT
• Speed and volume per lane
• Every 0.5 miles
• Every 20 seconds
• January 2014 to June 2017
• Nearly 13M records per month
ETL Transaction Data

- Source: WSDOT
- ETL *volume and travel time*
- By origin/destination, January, 2016 – June, 2017
- Per segment, every minute
- Vehicle location & time at ETL gantries (10 NB and 11 SB)
- Trip types: HOV, AVI, IMG
- More than 4M records per month

*ETL Transaction data tells us which trips are more common and the volume they comprise in each segment of the corridor*
HERE & INRIX Data

- Derived from use of proprietary smart phone navigation apps
- Tracks aggregate travel time
- Every 5 minutes
- For cars and trucks
- Nearly 300K records per month

- **Not used for analysis in this study**
  - No lane information
  - No distinction between GPL and ETL
  - No volume information
## Data Summary & Comparison

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data set</th>
<th>Loop Detector Data</th>
<th>ETL Transaction Data</th>
<th>HERE Data</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Contents</td>
<td>Speed and volume, date, time, milepost and lane information</td>
<td>Transaction date, time, locations, toll amount, etc.</td>
<td>Estimated segment travel times</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample rate</td>
<td>20 seconds, every 0.5 miles</td>
<td>Real time at 21 gantries</td>
<td>5 minutes, 0.5-0.8 miles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advantages</td>
<td>Provides both volume and speed info; High resolution data; Provides lane usage info; Provides good coverage along the corridor</td>
<td>Tracks trips on ETL, so complete trip info; Provides accurate travel time info; Provides accurate volume info</td>
<td>Estimated travel times for different types of vehicles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disadvantages</td>
<td>Reported speeds are local, need processing for travel time</td>
<td>Lacks info on GPL and HOV lanes before ETL</td>
<td>No volume information; No distinction between ETL and GPL; No lane usage info; Small sample size; Potentially biased travel times</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># of records*</td>
<td>13 million / month</td>
<td>4 million / month</td>
<td>300,000 / month</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* # of records does not necessarily mean the number of sampled vehicles.
Effect of opening the ETL in September, 2015

- Improvement in NB ETL peak-period travel times
- Little change in NB ETL off-peak or GPL peak-period travel time
- NB GPL travel times do not show noticeable changes after opening of ETL
- Travel time variation in the segments between NE 85th St to NE 160th St and between SR 520 to ST 522 (high travel time variability indicates lower reliability)
Effect of opening the ETL in September, 2015

- Travel times on all segments of the ETL are lower and more reliable compared with travel time on the HOV lanes before opening the ETL
- Noticeable but less dramatic improvement in SB ETL off-peak travel time
- Improvement in ETL peak-period travel times in all depicted common-trip segments
- No sustained change in GPL travel times
Average daily VMT increased throughout the corridor

- In the SB single ETL section, VMT increased on both GPL and ETL
- In the SB double ETL, VMT increased on both GPL and ETL (especially)
- In the NB single ETL section, VMT increased in both GPL and ETL
- In the NB double ETL section, VMT increased in both GPL and ETL (both significantly)
Average daily VMT increased throughout the corridor

- The corridor carries more vehicles after ETL opening
- Along with better travel times, this indicates a better-performing corridor
- For comparison, the average Washington State VMT increased in 2014 by 1.7%; in 2015 by 3.6%; and in 2016 by 2.7%

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Northbound</th>
<th>On GPL</th>
<th>On HOV/ETL</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>VMT Before ETL</td>
<td>929,963</td>
<td>143,786</td>
<td>1,073,749</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VMT After ETL</td>
<td>993,682</td>
<td>205,536</td>
<td>1,199,218</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent Increase</td>
<td>6.9%</td>
<td>42.9%</td>
<td>11.7%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Southbound</th>
<th>On GPL</th>
<th>On HOV/ETL</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>VMT Before ETL</td>
<td>1,031,257</td>
<td>149,616</td>
<td>1,180,873</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VMT After ETL</td>
<td>1,067,538</td>
<td>244,679</td>
<td>1,312,217</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent Increase</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
<td>63.5%</td>
<td>11.1%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Lane-by-lane VMT changes

We analyzed VMT changes lane-by-lane throughout the corridor and generated many graphs like this example.

Northbound
- VMT on ETL1 is almost comparable to the previous HOV lane while ETL2 carries additional traffic. Overall, the ETLS carry greater traffic volume than HOV lane
- In the single ETL section, VMT changes on GPL are not significant. However, high VMT in the ETL indicates that the higher VMT in the single-lane section is primarily served by the ETL

Southbound
- VMT on ETL1 is almost comparable to the previous HOV lane while ETL2 carries additional traffic, so overall the ETL carries more traffic than the HOV lane
- VMT changes in the SB single ETL section are not significant
Lane Type ETL & GPL Speed Profiles

We analyzed monthly lane type speed throughout the corridor, by time of day. This led us to our conclusions about the percent of time the ETL was above 45 mph.

Northbound, % ETL above 45 mph

Southbound, % ETL above 45 mph
Maximum toll rate reached too frequently to keep the ETL moving at 45 mph 90% of the time

In a well-functioning corridor, the maximum toll is paid only rarely.

That’s because the toll should increase to the point where drivers choose not to enter the toll lane – thus ensuring the free-flow of traffic in the ETL.
ETL tolling data shows slow-downs by time and location

- Traffic slows significantly between 4:20 PM and 5:40 PM at SR 522
- …and between 4:35 PM and 5:15 PM at NE 116th

Travel time ratio: Actual Travel Time / Free Flow Travel Time

Example: NB peak hours in April 2017
ETL tolling data shows volume and paid toll by time and location

Example: NB peak hours in April 2017
This is a **key finding** in our study: the maximum toll in the ETL during peak hours is too low to keep traffic flowing at or above 45 mph 90% of the time.

In peak hours, drivers entering the ETL “lock in” a toll rate that doesn’t always reflect building traffic volume. Drivers paid as much as $4 less than what was required to keep traffic flowing, based on the traffic in the corridor at the end of their trip.
ETL Facility Breakdown

THE PROBLEM: ETL speeds falling short of 45 mph threshold + facility breaking down too often.

THE CAUSE: Toll rates not responding fast enough to volume changes + motorists allowed to lock in toll rates not reflective of actual conditions.

Lighter traffic volume at start

Express Toll Lane (ETL)

Heavier traffic volume at end

When vehicle enters ETL, the price is appropriate for current level of traffic several miles downstream.

When this vehicle reaches a low capacity point several miles down the road it will contribute to a flow breakdown and generate recurrent congestion.
Corridor Performance Findings

1. ETL speed performance measure not met
2. Speed improved after transforming HOV lane to ETL
3. ETL facility increasing corridor throughput
4. GPL Speeds showed no significant change
5. ETL toll rates max out during 15 percent of peak period
6. Tolling algorithm is not optimally responsive and toll rate is too low as traffic volume builds
Many factors are impacting the GPL speeds:

- Opening of the 1.8-mile northbound shoulder lane
- Recent changes in striping, signage and merge lanes
- Population growth in the area
- Change in carpool rules from 2+ HOV to 3+ HOV

Relative impact of multiple variables on corridor performance cannot be measured without the use of more sophisticated traffic modeling.
Recommendations

Top Tier Short-Term Recommendations
• Impact achievable in short term
• Best hope to reduce current congestion & improve corridor performance

Second Tier Short-Term Recommendations
• Impact achievable in short term
• Would reduce current congestion & improve corridor performance

Long-term Recommendations
• Require a longer period of time to implement
• Would reduce current congestion & improve corridor performance
1) Improve ETL speed though a more responsive dynamic toll algorithm

The tolling algorithm is not optimally responsive and is too low as ETL traffic volume builds.

Particularly during peak travel times, the toll algorithm and pricing is not adequately controlling input traffic along the ETL, which in turn can result in unmanageable ETL congestion and break down – and thus unacceptably low average ETL vehicle speeds.

This is a short-term recommendation. It could be implemented without new infrastructure investment. However, it may require additional study focused on the specifics how WSDOT would make its toll algorithm more responsive to volume changes.

- Impact achievable in short term
- Additional study recommended
- $ (relatively low cost compared with other recommendations)
2) Improve ETL speed through segmented corridor tolling

Traffic conditions and volumes can change considerably along the 17-mile tolled corridor – especially during peak periods.

Applying tolls on a segment-by-segment basis, with segment-specific toll rates that are more reflective of real-time traffic conditions and volumes, will improve ETL speeds and corridor performance overall.

An electronic dynamic pricing sign would advise motorists entering the facility of segment-specific toll rates. The toll rates for each segment would be updated every few minutes based upon the volume in each segment.

Although it would involve certain infrastructure enhancements, such as additional dynamic pricing signs and access points through striping, these changes are relatively simple compared to past facility adjustments. However, this change in WSDOT’s business rule would require clear communication with motorists who currently expect to lock in a corridor-long toll rate.

• Impact achievable in short term
• Additional study recommended
• $$ (relatively moderate cost compared with other recommendations)
3) Move toward an “open access” ETL facility to smooth lane transfer

The ETL facility currently is striped to limit entrance from and exit to the GPL. Controlling the flow of traffic between lanes has advantages, especially in situations where prevailing lane speed can contrast considerably.

Limiting the number of entrance and exit points can concentrate lane transfer and actually impede traffic flow and reduce speed overall. Therefore, we believe that implementing an open access strategy on some parts of the ETL facility could improve traffic flow and throughput.

Although this recommendation can be considered short-term in nature, further study of how best to apply an open access approach may reveal corridor segments where restricted ETL access remains beneficial.

- Impact achievable in short term
- Additional study recommended
- $$ (relatively moderate cost compared with other recommendations)
4) Increase maximum toll rate to reduce ETL breakdown

Analysis reveals that the maximum toll rate was applied on average 15 percent of the time in either direction during the study period. The maximum toll rate set in administrative rule is $10.

For dynamically-priced toll facilities such as the I-405 ETL, the goal is to reach the maximum toll rate only rarely. Reaching the maximum toll rate is problematic from a traffic management standpoint, because once the maximum is reached the lane volume can no longer be managed through pricing to ensure ETL speed. This phenomenon is known as a facility breakdown.

The combination of a more responsive dynamic toll algorithm and application of toll rates by segment should significantly reduce congestion in the ETL, and thus reduce the frequency the $10 maximum toll rate is charged. However, to ensure ETL speed and facility performance during the most adverse traffic conditions, an increased maximum toll rate is recommended.

- Impact achievable in short term
- Additional study recommended
- $(relatively low cost compared with other recommendations)
5) Adjust AM peak period times to increase ETL speed

Extending the AM peak period for an additional hour in order to remove 2+ HOVs during this time would improve ETL performance by reducing the frequency and/or intensity of ETL breakdown.

The AM peak period is currently defined as 5AM to 9AM, Monday through Friday. However, analysis reveals that traffic volumes along the corridor are consistent with peak period condition between 9AM and 10AM – and significantly greater between 9AM and 10AM than between 5AM and 6AM.

Although ETL toll rates apply to motorists in these lanes throughout each weekday, 2+ HOV motorists are allowed into the ETL facility without paying a toll during non-peak hours. As such, the current AM peak period hours restrict free use of the ETL facility for 2+ HOV motorists at a time when the facility could support them (5-6AM), and they permit 2+ HOV motorists to use the facility for free at a time when the facility is congested, and therefore doesn’t have the capacity to support them (9-10AM).

Either recommendation would help alleviate AM peak period congestion in the ETL.

- Impact achievable in short term
- Additional study recommended
- $(relatively low cost compared with other recommendations)
6) Extend second full ETL in each direction to improve ETL speed

Congestion pricing approaches such as the ETL facility offer the dual promise of predictable ETL drive time and increased throughput.

Extending two full ETLs from 160 Street NE in Woodinville to I-5 in Lynnwood should remove current ETL bottleneck conditions and improve the flow of traffic along the ETL facility – likely producing improved ETL speeds and overall corridor traffic throughput.

Optimal design of the additional ETLs would require in-depth traffic modeling and scenario analysis, and construction would take additional time. Nonetheless, congestion pricing has and will continue to improve traffic performance along and the I-405 corridor.

- Impact achievable in long term
- Additional study recommended
- $$$ (relatively high cost compared with other recommendations)
7) Add Capacity to Ensure Lane Continuity and Ease Bottlenecks

Bottlenecks occur in areas where traffic volumes converge – be it through lane loss, on ramps, etc. The I-405 corridor includes a number of bottlenecks in both the GPL and ETL, and it may be beneficial to add lane capacity in areas with bottlenecks to improve corridor continuity. We recommend studying this issue to better inform a final decision on the question.

This is a long-term recommendation, because it would require time to study where and how GPLs should be expanded, and additional time to construct GPL expansions.

- Impact achievable in long term
- Additional study recommended
- $$$ (relatively high cost compared with other recommendations)
8) Increase transit options to improve throughput and speed

VMT growth along the I-405 corridor is well outpacing statewide and national averages.

Maintaining and improving long-term corridor performance requires a balanced approach of roadway infrastructure improvements and transit options. Research conducted by others has found that well-designed transit options can help move more people through a corridor in fewer vehicles.

Implementation of I-405 master plan transit improvements will reduce congestion and increase passenger throughput in the high-growth corridor. Impact achievable in long term.

- Full impact achievable in long term
- Additional study recommended
- $$ (relatively moderate cost compared with other recommendations)
Conclusion

The I-405 ETL facility is meeting statutory performance measure related to financial sustainability, but not the performance measure related to average ETL speeds.

- Due in part to overall traffic volume growth and a toll rate algorithm and pricing not adequately responsive to rapidly-increasing traffic volume during peak periods
- Findings suggest that a number of adjustments could be made that should lead to both of the primary statutory performance measures being met

As time passes and conditions change, even the most sophisticated ETL facilities require regular adjustments.

- Particularly true of those operating in traffic corridors like the I-405 corridor that serve rapidly growing regions.
- Recommendations should be considered part of the natural evolution of the corridor, not criticisms of work that has been done in the past.
- Implementation of recommendations should improve I-405 ETL performance and significantly increase throughput and efficiency
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