

## SIXTY NINTH LEGISLATURE - REGULAR SESSION

## FIFTY THIRD DAY

House Chamber, Olympia, Thursday, March 6, 2025

The House was called to order at 9:00 a.m. by the Speaker (Representative Shavers presiding). The Clerk called the roll and a quorum was present.

The flags were escorted to the rostrum by a Sergeant at Arms Color Guard, Pages Samuel Grimm and Claudia Blanton. The Speaker (Representative Shavers presiding) led the Chamber in the Pledge of Allegiance. The prayer was offered by Pastor Carla Spaccarotelli, Lutheran Minister and Hospital Chaplain at Providence St. Peter and Centralia Hospitals.

Reading of the Journal of the previous day was dispensed with and it was ordered to stand approved.

There being no objection, the House advanced to the third order of business.

**MESSAGE FROM THE SENATE**

Wednesday, March 5, 2025

Mme. Speaker:

The Senate has passed:

ENGROSSED SUBSTITUTE SENATE BILL NO. 5023  
ENGROSSED SECOND SUBSTITUTE SENATE BILL NO. 5098  
ENGROSSED SUBSTITUTE SENATE BILL NO. 5268  
ENGROSSED SUBSTITUTE SENATE BILL NO. 5557  
ENGROSSED SENATE BILL NO. 5662  
ENGROSSED SENATE BILL NO. 5689  
ENGROSSED SUBSTITUTE SENATE BILL NO. 5701

and the same are herewith transmitted.

Colleen Pehar, Deputy Secretary

There being no objection, the House advanced to the fourth order of business.

**INTRODUCTION & FIRST READING**

SSB 5055 by Senate Committee on Local Government (originally sponsored by Warnick, Wagoner, Chapman, Dozier and Wellman)

AN ACT Relating to promoting agritourism in Washington; and adding a new section to chapter 19.27 RCW.

Referred to Committee on Local Government.

SB 5102 by Senator Hasegawa

AN ACT Relating to establishing a public records exemption for the proprietary information of public risk pools; and amending RCW 42.56.270.

Referred to Committee on State Government & Tribal Relations.

2SSB 5356 by Senate Committee on Ways & Means (originally sponsored by Orwall, Hasegawa, Nobles and Wilson, C.)

AN ACT Relating to training provided by the criminal justice training commission on a victim-centered, trauma-informed approach to interacting with victims and responding to calls involving gender-based violence or sexual violence; amending RCW 43.101.270, 43.101.272, and 43.101.273; reenacting and amending RCW 43.101.276; and providing an effective date.

Referred to Committee on Community Safety.

ESSB 5360 by Senate Committee on Environment, Energy & Technology (originally sponsored by Trudeau, Lovelett, Frame, Hasegawa, Krishnadasan, Nobles and Valdez)

AN ACT Relating to environmental crimes; reenacting and amending RCW 70A.15.1030 and 9.94A.515; adding new sections to chapter 90.48 RCW; adding new sections to chapter 70A.15 RCW; adding new sections to chapter 70A.300 RCW; repealing RCW 90.48.140, 70A.15.3150, 70A.300.100, and 70A.300.110; and prescribing penalties.

Referred to Committee on Environment & Energy.

SB 5361 by Senators Dhingra, Robinson and Nobles

AN ACT Relating to delaying the use of the ASAM 4 criteria, treatment criteria for addictive, substance related, and co-occurring conditions; and amending RCW 71.24.619 and 48.43.764.

Referred to Committee on Health Care & Wellness.

SB 5391 by Senators Shewmake, Krishnadasan, Nobles, Saldaña and Valdez

AN ACT Relating to the sustainable farms and fields grant program; and amending RCW 89.08.615, 89.08.620, and 89.08.630.

Referred to Committee on Agriculture & Natural Resources.

SB 5414 by Senators Hasegawa, Nobles and Valdez

AN ACT Relating to requiring social equity impact analysis in performance audits and legislative public hearings thereon; and amending RCW 43.09.470.

Referred to Committee on State Government & Tribal Relations.

SSB 5503 by Senate Committee on Labor & Commerce (originally sponsored by Valdez, Alvarado, Bateman, Conway, Hasegawa, Nobles and Saldaña)

AN ACT Relating to public employee collective bargaining processes; amending RCW 41.56.050; adding new sections to chapter 41.58 RCW; and adding a new section to chapter 49.36 RCW.

Referred to Committee on Labor & Workplace Standards.

ESSB 5525 by Senate Committee on Labor & Commerce (originally sponsored by Cleveland, Saldaña, Hasegawa, Riccelli, Dhingra, Conway, Nobles and Valdez)

AN ACT Relating to protecting workers facing employment loss due to businesses closing or mass layoffs; adding a new chapter to Title 49 RCW; and prescribing penalties.

Referred to Committee on Labor & Workplace Standards.

SSB 5552 by Senate Committee on Local Government (originally sponsored by Wilson, J., Shewmake, Fortunato, Nobles, Braun, Short, Gildon, Christian, Chapman, Holy, McCune, Warnick, Goehner, Dozier, Wilson, C., Slatter, Cortes, Liias, Frame, Krishnadasan and Ramos)

AN ACT Relating to the creation of building codes for kit homes; reenacting and amending RCW 19.27.015; adding a new section to chapter 19.27 RCW; and creating a new section.

Referred to Committee on Local Government.

SB 5632 by Senators Hansen, Hasegawa, Saldaña, Stanford, Trudeau and Valdez

AN ACT Relating to protecting the confidentiality of records and information that may be relevant to another state's enforcement of its laws; and amending RCW 7.115.010 and 7.115.020.

Referred to Committee on Civil Rights & Judiciary.

SB 5656 by Senators Krishnadasan, Riccelli and Nobles

AN ACT Relating to modifying the definition of inflation rate for aquatic leases; and amending RCW 79.105.060.

Referred to Committee on Agriculture & Natural Resources.

ESSB 5663 by Senate Committee on Higher Education & Workforce Development (originally sponsored by Slatter, Nobles, Frame, Lovick and Valdez)

AN ACT Relating to entirely online course offerings at community and technical colleges; adding a new section to chapter 28B.50 RCW; and creating a new section.

Referred to Committee on Postsecondary Education & Workforce.

ESSB 5677 by Senate Committee on Business, Financial Services & Trade (originally sponsored by Cortes, Frame, Riccelli and Schoesler)

AN ACT Relating to associate development organizations; and amending RCW 43.330.082 and 43.330.086.

Referred to Committee on Technology, Economic Development, & Veterans.

SSB 5690 by Senate Committee on Transportation (originally sponsored by MacEwen, Chapman, Lovelett and Muzzall)

AN ACT Relating to actions of the department of transportation to notify utility owners of projects and seek federal funding for utility relocation costs; and amending RCW 47.44.160.

Referred to Committee on Transportation.

There being no objection, the bills listed on the day's introduction sheet under the fourth order of business were referred to the committees so designated.

There being no objection, the House advanced to the sixth order of business.

## **HOUSE BILL NO. 1688, by Representatives Parshley, Duerr, Reed, Ormsby, Hill and Timmons**

### **Concerning electric security alarm systems.**

The bill was read the second time.

There being no objection, Substitute House Bill No. 1688 was substituted for House Bill No. 1688 and the substitute bill was placed on the second reading calendar.

SUBSTITUTE HOUSE BILL NO. 1688 was read the second time.

Representative Parshley moved the adoption of amendment (153):

On page 3, line 18, after "(3)" insert "If a city or town, whether before or after the effective date of this section, requires an alarm system operator license or permit for an electric security alarm system, the city or town must incorporate an opportunity for reasonable notice and comment prior to issuance of the license or permit.

(4) "

Renumber the remaining subsections consecutively and correct any internal references accordingly.

On page 5, line 13, after "(3)" insert "If a code city, whether before or after the effective date of this section, requires an alarm system operator license or permit for an electric security alarm system, the code city must incorporate an opportunity for reasonable notice and comment prior to issuance of the license or permit.

(4) "

Renumber the remaining subsections consecutively and correct any internal references accordingly.

On page 7, line 7, after "(3)" insert "If a county, whether before or after the effective date of this section, requires an alarm system operator license or permit for an electric security alarm system, the county must incorporate an opportunity for reasonable notice and comment prior to issuance of the license or permit.

(4) "

Renumber the remaining subsections consecutively and correct any internal references accordingly.

Representatives Parshley and Klicker spoke in favor of the adoption of the amendment.

Amendment (153) was adopted.

Representative Parshley moved the adoption of amendment (038):

On page 3, after line 33, insert the following:

"(4) Nothing in this section shall apply to a burglar alarm system as defined in RCW 18.170.010(4) or a fire alarm as defined in RCW 48.19.540 (3) (c)."

## **SECOND READING**

On page 5, after line 28, insert the following:

"(4) Nothing in this section shall apply to a burglar alarm system as defined in RCW 18.170.010(4) or a fire alarm as defined in RCW 48.19.540 (3) (c)."

On page 7, after line 22, insert the following:

"(4) Nothing in this section shall apply to a burglar alarm system as defined in RCW 18.170.010(4) or a fire alarm as defined in RCW 48.19.540 (3) (c)."

With the consent of the House, Representative Parshley withdrew amendment (038).

The bill was ordered engrossed.

There being no objection, the rules were suspended, the second reading considered the third and the bill was placed on final passage.

Representatives Parshley and Klicker spoke in favor of the passage of the bill.

### MOTION

On motion of Representative Ramel, Representative Hackney was excused.

The Speaker (Representative Stearns presiding) stated the question before the House to be the final passage of Engrossed Substitute House Bill No. 1688.

### ROLL CALL

The Clerk called the roll on the final passage of Engrossed Substitute House Bill No. 1688, and the bill passed the House by the following vote: Yeas, 97; Nays, 0; Absent, 0; Excused, 1

Voting Yea: Representatives Abbarno, Abell, Barkis, Barnard, Berg, Bergquist, Bernbaum, Berry, Bronoske, Burnett, Caldier, Callan, Chase, Connors, Corry, Cortes, Couture, Davis, Dent, Doglio, Donaghy, Duerr, Dufault, Dye, Engell, Entenman, Eslick, Farivar, Fey, Fitzgibbon, Fosse, Goodman, Graham, Gregerson, Griffey, Hill, Hunt, Jacobsen, Keaton, Klicker, Kloba, Leavitt, Lekanoff, Ley, Low, Macri, Manjarrez, Marshall, McClintock, McEntire, Mena, Mendoza, Morgan, Nance, Obras, Orcutt, Ormsby, Ortiz-Self, Parshley, Paul, Penner, Peterson, Pollet, Ramel, Reed, Reeves, Richards, Rude, Rule, Ryu, Salahuddin, Santos, Schmick, Schmidt, Scott, Shavers, Simmons, Springer, Stearns, Steele, Stokesbary, Stonier, Street, Stuebe, Taylor, Thai, Tharinger, Thomas, Timmons, Volz, Walen, Walsh, Waters, Wylie, Ybarra, Zahn and Mme. Speaker

Excused: Representative Hackney

ENGROSSED SUBSTITUTE HOUSE BILL NO. 1688, having received the necessary constitutional majority, was declared passed.

**HOUSE BILL NO. 1648, by Representatives Dent, Eslick, Burnett, Penner, Jacobsen and Graham**

### Modifying child care provider qualifications.

The bill was read the second time.

There being no objection, Second Substitute House Bill No. 1648 was substituted for House Bill No. 1648 and the second substitute bill was placed on the second reading calendar.

SECOND SUBSTITUTE HOUSE BILL NO. 1648 was read the second time.

Representative Stonier moved the adoption of amendment (137):

On page 3, line 6, after "~~(2026)~~" strike "2032" and insert "2030"

Representatives Stonier and Eslick spoke in favor of the adoption of the amendment.

Amendment (137) was adopted.

The bill was ordered engrossed.

There being no objection, the rules were suspended, the second reading considered the third and the bill was placed on final passage.

Representatives Dent and Bergquist spoke in favor of the passage of the bill.

The Speaker (Representative Shavers presiding) stated the question before the House to be the final passage of Engrossed Second Substitute House Bill No. 1648.

### ROLL CALL

The Clerk called the roll on the final passage of Engrossed Second Substitute House Bill No. 1648, and the bill passed the House by the following vote: Yeas, 97; Nays, 0; Absent, 0; Excused, 1

Voting Yea: Representatives Abbarno, Abell, Barkis, Barnard, Berg, Bergquist, Bernbaum, Berry, Bronoske, Burnett, Caldier, Callan, Chase, Connors, Corry, Cortes, Couture, Davis, Dent, Doglio, Donaghy, Duerr, Dufault, Dye, Engell, Entenman, Eslick, Farivar, Fey, Fitzgibbon, Fosse, Goodman, Graham, Gregerson, Griffey, Hill, Hunt, Jacobsen, Keaton, Klicker, Kloba, Leavitt, Lekanoff, Ley, Low, Macri, Manjarrez, Marshall, McClintock, McEntire, Mena, Mendoza, Morgan, Nance, Obras, Orcutt, Ormsby, Ortiz-Self, Parshley, Paul, Penner, Peterson, Pollet, Ramel, Reed, Reeves, Richards, Rude, Rule, Ryu, Salahuddin, Santos, Schmick, Schmidt, Scott, Shavers, Simmons, Springer, Stearns, Steele, Stokesbary, Stonier, Street, Stuebe, Taylor, Thai, Tharinger, Thomas, Timmons, Volz, Walen, Walsh, Waters, Wylie, Ybarra, Zahn and Mme. Speaker

Excused: Representative Hackney

ENGROSSED SECOND SUBSTITUTE HOUSE BILL NO. 1648, having received the necessary constitutional majority, was declared passed.

### HOUSE BILL NO. 1230, by Representative Hackney

**Requiring that experience-rated group disability income insurers include all applicable rating factors and credibility formulas in rate manual filings with the insurance commissioner.**

The bill was read the second time.

There being no objection, the rules were suspended, the second reading considered the third and the bill was placed on final passage.

Representatives Walen and McClintock spoke in favor of the passage of the bill.

The Speaker (Representative Shavers presiding) stated the question before the House to be the final passage of House Bill No. 1230.

### ROLL CALL

The Clerk called the roll on the final passage of House Bill No. 1230, and the bill passed the House by the following vote: Yeas, 97; Nays, 0; Absent, 0; Excused, 1

Voting Yea: Representatives Abbarno, Abell, Barkis, Barnard, Berg, Bergquist, Bernbaum, Berry, Bronoske, Burnett, Calder, Callan, Chase, Connors, Corry, Cortes, Couture, Davis, Dent, Doglio, Donaghy, Duerr, Dufault, Dye, Engell, Entenman, Eslick, Farivar, Fey, Fitzgibbon, Fosse, Goodman, Graham, Gregerson, Griffey, Hill, Hunt, Jacobsen, Keaton, Klicker, Kloba, Leavitt, Lekanoff, Ley, Low, Macri, Manjarrez, Marshall, McClintock, McEntire, Mena, Mendoza, Morgan, Nance, Obras, Orcutt, Ormsby, Ortiz-Self, Parshley, Paul, Penner, Peterson, Pollet, Ramel, Reed, Reeves, Richards, Rude, Rule, Ryu, Salahuddin, Santos, Schmick, Schmidt, Scott, Shavers, Simmons, Springer, Stearns, Steele, Stokesbary, Stonier, Street, Stuebe, Taylor, Thai, Tharinger, Thomas, Timmons, Volz, Walen, Walsh, Waters, Wylie, Ybarra, Zahn and Mme. Speaker

Excused: Representative Hackney

HOUSE BILL NO. 1230, having received the necessary constitutional majority, was declared passed.

**HOUSE BILL NO. 1490, by Representatives Obras, Gregerson, Peterson and Cortes**

**Concerning fingerprint-based background checks.**

The bill was read the second time.

There being no objection, Substitute House Bill No. 1490 was substituted for House Bill No. 1490 and the substitute bill was placed on the second reading calendar.

SUBSTITUTE HOUSE BILL NO. 1490 was read the second time.

There being no objection, the rules were suspended, the second reading considered the third and the bill was placed on final passage.

Representatives Obras and Burnett spoke in favor of the passage of the bill.

The Speaker (Representative Shavers presiding) stated the question before the House to be the final passage of Substitute House Bill No. 1490.

**ROLL CALL**

The Clerk called the roll on the final passage of Substitute House Bill No. 1490, and the bill passed the House by the following vote: Yeas, 97; Nays, 0; Absent, 0; Excused, 1

Voting Yea: Representatives Abbarno, Abell, Barkis, Barnard, Berg, Bergquist, Bernbaum, Berry, Bronoske, Burnett, Calder, Callan, Chase, Connors, Corry, Cortes, Couture, Davis, Dent, Doglio, Donaghy, Duerr, Dufault, Dye, Engell, Entenman, Eslick, Farivar, Fey, Fitzgibbon, Fosse, Goodman, Graham, Gregerson, Griffey, Hill, Hunt, Jacobsen, Keaton, Klicker, Kloba, Leavitt, Lekanoff, Ley, Low, Macri, Manjarrez, Marshall, McClintock, McEntire, Mena, Mendoza, Morgan, Nance, Obras, Orcutt, Ormsby, Ortiz-Self, Parshley, Paul, Penner, Peterson, Pollet, Ramel, Reed, Reeves, Richards, Rude, Rule, Ryu, Salahuddin, Santos, Schmick, Schmidt, Scott, Shavers, Simmons, Springer, Stearns, Steele, Stokesbary, Stonier, Street, Stuebe, Taylor, Thai, Tharinger, Thomas, Timmons, Volz, Walen, Walsh, Waters, Wylie, Ybarra, Zahn and Mme. Speaker

Excused: Representative Hackney

SUBSTITUTE HOUSE BILL NO. 1490, having received the necessary constitutional majority, was declared passed.

**HOUSE BILL NO. 1060, by Representatives Santos, Orcutt, Shavers and Duerr**

**Concerning newspapers and eligible digital content.**

The bill was read the second time.

There being no objection, the rules were suspended, the second reading considered the third and the bill was placed on final passage.

Representatives Santos and Orcutt spoke in favor of the passage of the bill.

The Speaker (Representative Shavers presiding) stated the question before the House to be the final passage of House Bill No. 1060.

**ROLL CALL**

The Clerk called the roll on the final passage of House Bill No. 1060, and the bill passed the House by the following vote: Yeas, 97; Nays, 0; Absent, 0; Excused, 1

Voting Yea: Representatives Abbarno, Abell, Barkis, Barnard, Berg, Bergquist, Bernbaum, Berry, Bronoske, Burnett, Calder, Callan, Chase, Connors, Corry, Cortes, Couture, Davis, Dent, Doglio, Donaghy, Duerr, Dufault, Dye, Engell, Entenman, Eslick, Farivar, Fey, Fitzgibbon, Fosse, Goodman, Graham, Gregerson, Griffey, Hill, Hunt, Jacobsen, Keaton, Klicker, Kloba, Leavitt, Lekanoff, Ley, Low, Macri, Manjarrez, Marshall, McClintock, McEntire, Mena, Mendoza, Morgan, Nance, Obras, Orcutt, Ormsby, Ortiz-Self, Parshley, Paul, Penner, Peterson, Pollet, Ramel, Reed, Reeves, Richards, Rude, Rule, Ryu, Salahuddin, Santos, Schmick, Schmidt, Scott, Shavers, Simmons, Springer, Stearns, Steele, Stokesbary, Stonier, Street, Stuebe, Taylor, Thai, Tharinger, Thomas, Timmons, Volz, Walen, Walsh, Waters, Wylie, Ybarra, Zahn and Mme. Speaker

Excused: Representative Hackney

HOUSE BILL NO. 1060, having received the necessary constitutional majority, was declared passed.

**HOUSE BILL NO. 1715, by Representative Dye**

**Regarding the costs of compliance with the state energy performance standard.**

The bill was read the second time.

There being no objection, Second Substitute House Bill No. 1715 was substituted for House Bill No. 1715 and the second substitute bill was placed on the second reading calendar.

SECOND SUBSTITUTE HOUSE BILL NO. 1715 was read the second time.

There being no objection, the rules were suspended, the second reading considered the third and the bill was placed on final passage.

Representatives Dye, Doglio and Jacobsen spoke in favor of the passage of the bill.

The Speaker (Representative Shavers presiding) stated the question before the House to be the final passage of Second Substitute House Bill No. 1715.

**ROLL CALL**

The Clerk called the roll on the final passage of Second Substitute House Bill No. 1715, and the bill passed the House by the following vote: Yeas, 97; Nays, 0; Absent, 0; Excused, 1

Voting Yea: Representatives Abbarno, Abell, Barkis, Barnard, Berg, Bergquist, Bernbaum, Berry, Bronoske, Burnett, Calder, Callan, Chase, Connors, Corry, Cortes, Couture, Davis, Dent, Doglio, Donaghy, Duerr, Dufault, Dye, Engell, Entenman, Eslick, Farivar, Fey, Fitzgibbon, Fosse, Goodman, Graham, Gregerson, Griffey, Hill, Hunt, Jacobsen, Keaton, Klicker, Kloba, Leavitt, Lekanoff, Ley, Low, Macri, Manjarrez, Marshall, McClintock, McEntire, Mena, Mendoza, Morgan, Nance, Obras, Orcutt, Ormsby, Ortiz-Self, Parshley, Paul, Penner, Peterson, Pollet, Ramel, Reed, Reeves, Richards, Rude, Rule, Ryu, Salahuddin,

Santos, Schmick, Schmidt, Scott, Shavers, Simmons, Springer, Stearns, Steele, Stokesbary, Stonier, Street, Stuebe, Taylor, Thai, Tharinger, Thomas, Timmons, Volz, Walen, Walsh, Waters, Wylie, Ybarra, Zahn and Mme. Speaker

Excused: Representative Hackney

SECOND SUBSTITUTE HOUSE BILL NO. 1715, having received the necessary constitutional majority, was declared passed.

There being no objection, the House reverted to the third order of business.

### MESSAGE FROM THE SENATE

Thursday, March 6, 2025

Mme. Speaker:

The Senate has passed:

SUBSTITUTE SENATE BILL NO. 5193  
SUBSTITUTE SENATE BILL NO. 5292  
SUBSTITUTE SENATE BILL NO. 5298  
SECOND SUBSTITUTE SENATE BILL NO. 5358  
SENATE BILL NO. 5420  
SENATE BILL NO. 5542

and the same are herewith transmitted.

Sarah Bannister, Secretary

There being no objection, the House advanced to the sixth order of business.

### SECOND READING

**HOUSE BILL NO. 1791, by Representatives Paul, Low, Ramel, Peterson, Nance, Springer and Leavitt**

**Increasing the flexibility of existing funding sources to fund public safety and other facilities by modifying the local real estate excise tax.**

The bill was read the second time.

There being no objection, Substitute House Bill No. 1791 was substituted for House Bill No. 1791 and the substitute bill was placed on the second reading calendar.

SUBSTITUTE HOUSE BILL NO. 1791 was read the second time.

Representative Orcutt moved the adoption of amendment (221):

On page 9, line 11, after "84.36.010" insert ", or in a development created by a private developer for similar purposes,"

On page 17, line 3, after "84.36.010" insert ", or in a development created by a private developer for similar purposes,"

On page 22, beginning on line 12, after "(d)" strike all material through "(6)" on line 20 and insert "Planning, acquisition, construction, reconstruction, repair, replacement, rehabilitation, or improvement of law enforcement facilities and fire protection facilities."

((+6+)) (5)"

Renumber the remaining subsections consecutively and correct any internal references accordingly.

Representative Orcutt spoke in favor of the adoption of the amendment.

Representative Paul spoke against the adoption of the amendment.

Amendment (221) was not adopted.

Representative Orcutt moved the adoption of amendment (138):

On page 19, line 21, after "82.46.035" insert "not including the planning, acquisition, construction, reconstruction, repair, replacement, rehabilitation, or improvement of facilities for those experiencing homelessness and affordable housing projects"

Representative Orcutt spoke in favor of the adoption of the amendment.

Representative Paul spoke against the adoption of the amendment.

Amendment (138) was not adopted.

Representative Orcutt moved the adoption of amendment (222):

On page 19, line 24, after "(1)" strike all material through "A" and insert "After May 13, 2021, through December 31, 2023, a"

On page 19, beginning on line 31, after "(2)" strike all material through "(3)" on line 36 and insert "After December 31, 2023, a city or county that meets the requirements of subsection (3) of this section may use the greater of \$100,000 or 25 percent of available funds, but not to exceed \$1,000,000 per year, from revenues collected under RCW 82.46.010 for the maintenance of capital projects, as defined in RCW 82.46.010.

(3)"

Correct any internal references accordingly.

On page 22, line 39, after "or" strike "((25)) 35" and insert "25"

On page 23, beginning on line 1, after "funds" strike all material through "except" on line 2 and insert ", but not to exceed \$1,000,000 per year, ((except"

Representative Orcutt spoke in favor of the adoption of the amendment.

Representative Paul spoke against the adoption of the amendment.

Amendment (222) was not adopted.

There being no objection, the rules were suspended, the second reading considered the third and the bill was placed on final passage.

Representative Paul spoke in favor of the passage of the bill.

Representatives Orcutt, Penner and Graham spoke against the passage of the bill.

The Speaker (Representative Shavers presiding) stated the question before the House to be the final passage of Substitute House Bill No. 1791.

### ROLL CALL

The Clerk called the roll on the final passage of Substitute House Bill No. 1791, and the bill passed the House by the following vote: Yeas, 60; Nays, 37; Absent, 0; Excused, 1

Voting Yea: Representatives Barkis, Berg, Bergquist, Bernbaum, Berry, Bronoske, Callan, Cortes, Davis, Doglio, Donaghy, Duerr, Entenman, Farivar, Fey, Fitzgibbon, Fosse, Goodman, Gregerson, Hill, Hunt, Kloba, Leavitt, Lekanoff, Low, Macri, Mena, Morgan, Nance, Obras, Ormsby, Ortiz-Self, Parshley, Paul, Peterson, Pollet, Ramel, Reed, Reeves, Rule, Ryu, Salahuddin, Santos, Scott, Shavers, Simmons, Springer, Stearns, Stonier, Street, Taylor, Thai, Tharinger, Thomas, Timmons, Volz, Walen, Wylie, Zahn and Mme. Speaker

Voting Nay: Representatives Abbarno, Abell, Barnard, Burnett, Caldier, Chase, Connors, Corry, Couture, Dent, Dufault, Dye, Engell, Eslick, Graham, Griffey, Jacobsen, Keaton, Klicker, Ley, Manjarrez, Marshall, McClintock, McEntire, Mendoza, Orcutt, Penner, Richards, Rude, Schmick, Schmidt, Steele, Stokesbary, Stuebe, Walsh, Waters and Ybarra

Excused: Representative Hackney

SUBSTITUTE HOUSE BILL NO. 1791, having received the necessary constitutional majority, was declared passed.

**HOUSE BILL NO. 1875, by Representatives Salahuddin, Thai, Taylor, Fosse, Paul, Bergquist, Bronoske, Kloba, Pollet, Street, Stonier, Parshley, Obras, Thomas, Hill, Doglio, Berry, Reed, Ramel, Gregerson, Scott, Cortes, Simmons, Peterson and Zahn**

**Allowing the use of paid sick leave to prepare for or participate in certain immigration proceedings.**

The bill was read the second time.

There being no objection, Substitute House Bill No. 1875 was substituted for House Bill No. 1875 and the substitute bill was placed on the second reading calendar.

SUBSTITUTE HOUSE BILL NO. 1875 was read the second time.

Representative Walsh moved the adoption of amendment (195):

On page 2, line 12, after "in," strike "any" and insert "qualifying"

On page 2, line 14, after "member" insert ". For the purposes of this subsection, "qualifying judicial or administrative immigration proceedings" include naturalization, refugee status, asylum status, and visa status proceedings, but do not include deportation or removal proceedings"

On page 7, line 4, after "in," strike "any" and insert "qualifying"

On page 7, line 6, after "member" insert ". For the purposes of this subsection, "qualifying judicial or administrative immigration proceedings" include naturalization, refugee status, asylum status, and visa status proceedings, but do not include deportation or removal proceedings"

Representatives Walsh and McEntire spoke in favor of the adoption of the amendment.

Representative Scott spoke against the adoption of the amendment.

Amendment (195) was not adopted.

Representative Salahuddin moved the adoption of amendment (140):

On page 2, line 35, after "(ii)" insert "(A)"

On page 2, beginning on line 37, after "accept" strike all material through "proceeding. The" on page 3, line 1 and insert ":

(I) Documentation that the employee or the employee's family member is involved in a qualifying immigration proceeding from any of the following persons from whom the employee or employee's family member sought assistance in addressing the proceeding: an advocate for immigrants or refugees, an attorney, a member of the clergy, or other professional. The provision of documentation under this subsection does not waive or diminish the confidential or privileged nature of communications between an employee or an employee's family member and one or more of the individuals described in this subsection pursuant to RCW 5.60.060 or other applicable law; or

(II) An employee's written statement that the employee or the employee's family member is involved in a qualifying immigration proceeding and that the leave taken was for one of the purposes described in (b)(iv) of this subsection.

(B) The documentation or"

Representatives Salahuddin and Schmidt spoke in favor of the adoption of the amendment.

Amendment (140) was adopted.

The bill was ordered engrossed.

There being no objection, the rules were suspended, the second reading considered the third and the bill was placed on final passage.

Representatives Salahuddin and Reeves spoke in favor of the passage of the bill.

Representatives Schmidt, Caldier, Manjarrez, McEntire, Marshall and Dufault spoke against the passage of the bill.

The Speaker (Representative Shavers presiding) stated the question before the House to be the final passage of Engrossed Substitute House Bill No. 1875.

### ROLL CALL

The Clerk called the roll on the final passage of Engrossed Substitute House Bill No. 1875, and the bill passed the House by the following vote: Yeas, 58; Nays, 39; Absent, 0; Excused, 1

Voting Yea: Representatives Berg, Bergquist, Bernbaum, Berry, Bronoske, Callan, Cortes, Davis, Doglio, Donaghy, Duerr, Entenman, Farivar, Fey, Fitzgibbon, Fosse, Goodman, Gregerson, Hill, Hunt, Kloba, Leavitt, Lekanoff, Macri, Mena, Morgan, Nance, Obras, Ormsby, Ortiz-Self, Parshley, Paul, Peterson, Pollet, Ramel, Reed, Reeves, Richards, Rule, Ryu, Salahuddin, Santos, Scott, Shavers, Simmons, Springer, Stearns, Stonier, Street, Taylor,

Thai, Tharinger, Thomas, Timmons, Walen, Wylie, Zahn and Mme. Speaker

Voting Nay: Representatives Abbarno, Abell, Barkis, Barnard, Burnett, Calder, Chase, Connors, Corry, Couture, Dent, Dufault, Dye, Engell, Eslick, Graham, Griffey, Jacobsen, Keaton, Klicker, Ley, Low, Manjarrez, Marshall, McClintock, McEntire, Mendoza, Orcutt, Penner, Rude, Schmick, Schmidt, Steele, Stokesbary, Stuebe, Volz, Walsh, Waters and Ybarra

Excused: Representative Hackney

ENGROSSED SUBSTITUTE HOUSE BILL NO. 1875, having received the necessary constitutional majority, was declared passed.

**HOUSE BILL NO. 1705, by Representatives Dent, Reeves, Parshley, Connors and Bernbaum**

**Convening a work group to study and recommend strategies to recruit, train, and retain large animal veterinarians.**

The bill was read the second time.

Representative Morgan moved the adoption of amendment (203):

On page 2, line 36, after "producers;" strike "and"

On page 3, line 3, after "husbandry" insert ";

(i) The director of equity of the department of agriculture, or the director's designee; and

(j) One representative from a historically marginalized community, such as a member of an organization that promotes the agricultural sciences and related fields in a positive manner among communities that have been historically underrepresented or marginalized"

Representatives Morgan and Dent spoke in favor of the adoption of the amendment.

Amendment (203) was adopted.

The bill was ordered engrossed.

There being no objection, the rules were suspended, the second reading considered the third and the bill was placed on final passage.

Representatives Dent, Paul, Marshall and Dye spoke in favor of the passage of the bill.

The Speaker (Representative Shavers presiding) stated the question before the House to be the final passage of Engrossed House Bill No. 1705.

### ROLL CALL

The Clerk called the roll on the final passage of Engrossed House Bill No. 1705, and the bill passed the House by the following vote: Yeas, 96; Nays, 1; Absent, 0; Excused, 1

Voting Yea: Representatives Abbarno, Abell, Barkis, Barnard, Berg, Bergquist, Bernbaum, Berry, Bronoske, Burnett, Calder, Callan, Chase, Connors, Corry, Cortes, Couture, Davis, Dent, Doglio, Donaghy, Duerr, Dye, Engell, Entenman, Eslick, Farivar, Fey, Fitzgibbon, Fosse, Goodman, Graham, Gregerson, Griffey, Hill, Hunt, Jacobsen, Keaton, Klicker, Kloba, Leavitt, Lekanoff, Ley, Low, Macri, Manjarrez, Marshall, McClintock, McEntire, Mena, Mendoza, Morgan, Nance, Obras, Orcutt, Ormsby, Ortiz-Self, Parshley, Paul, Penner, Peterson, Pollet, Ramel, Reed, Reeves, Richards, Rude, Rule, Ryu, Salahuddin, Santos, Schmick, Schmidt, Scott, Shavers, Simmons, Springer, Stearns, Steele,

Stokesbary, Stonier, Street, Stuebe, Taylor, Thai, Tharinger, Thomas, Timmons, Volz, Walen, Walsh, Waters, Wylie, Ybarra, Zahn and Mme. Speaker

Voting Nay: Representative Dufault

Excused: Representative Hackney

ENGROSSED HOUSE BILL NO. 1705, having received the necessary constitutional majority, was declared passed.

**HOUSE BILL NO. 1647, by Representative Springer**

**Establishing surface mine reclamation permit fees.**

The bill was read the second time.

Representative Schmick moved the adoption of amendment (111):

On page 2, beginning on line 9, after "(b)" strike all material through "(e) Annual" on line 12 and insert "Annual fees paid by a county for mines used exclusively for public works projects and having less than seven acres of disturbed area per mine shall not exceed one thousand dollars.

(c) ((Annual"

On page 2, line 16, after "area))" strike "The" and insert "Except as provided in (b) of this subsection, the"

Representatives Schmick and Orcutt spoke in favor of the adoption of the amendment.

Representative Reeves spoke against the adoption of the amendment.

Division was demanded and the demand was sustained. The Speaker (Representative Shavers presiding) divided the House. The result was 40 - YEAS; 51 - NAYS.

Amendment (111) was not adopted.

There being no objection, the rules were suspended, the second reading considered the third and the bill was placed on final passage.

Representative Springer spoke in favor of the passage of the bill.

Representatives Dent and Engell spoke against the passage of the bill.

The Speaker (Representative Shavers presiding) stated the question before the House to be the final passage of House Bill No. 1647.

### ROLL CALL

The Clerk called the roll on the final passage of House Bill No. 1647, and the bill passed the House by the following vote: Yeas, 55; Nays, 42; Absent, 0; Excused, 1

Voting Yea: Representatives Barkis, Berg, Bergquist, Bernbaum, Berry, Bronoske, Callan, Cortes, Davis, Doglio, Donaghy, Duerr, Entenman, Farivar, Fey, Fitzgibbon, Fosse, Goodman, Gregerson, Hill, Hunt, Kloba, Leavitt, Lekanoff, Macri, Mena, Morgan, Nance, Obras, Ormsby, Ortiz-Self, Parshley, Paul, Peterson, Pollet, Ramel, Reed, Reeves, Ryu, Salahuddin, Santos, Scott, Simmons, Springer, Stearns, Stonier, Street, Taylor, Thai, Tharinger, Thomas, Walen, Wylie, Zahn and Mme. Speaker

Voting Nay: Representatives Abbarno, Abell, Barnard, Burnett, Calder, Chase, Connors, Corry, Couture, Dent, Dufault, Dye, Engell, Eslick, Graham, Griffey, Jacobsen, Keaton, Klicker, Ley, Low, Manjarrez, Marshall, McClintock, McEntire, Mendoza, Orcutt, Penner, Richards, Rude, Rule, Schmick, Schmidt, Shavers,

Steele, Stokesbary, Stuebe, Timmons, Volz, Walsh, Waters and Ybarra

Excused: Representative Hackney

HOUSE BILL NO. 1647, having received the necessary constitutional majority, was declared passed.

**HOUSE BILL NO. 1096, by Representatives Barkis, Ryu, Connors, Leavitt, Klicker, Reed, Fitzgibbon, Richards, Couture, Macri, Callan, Doglio, Bronoske, Tharinger, Wylie, Duerr, Timmons, Ormsby, Fosse, Stonier, Bernbaum and Hill**

**Increasing housing options through lot splitting.**

The bill was read the second time.

There being no objection, Second Substitute House Bill No. 1096 was substituted for House Bill No. 1096 and the second substitute bill was placed on the second reading calendar.

SECOND SUBSTITUTE HOUSE BILL NO. 1096 was read the second time.

Representative Barkis moved the adoption of the striking amendment (160):

Strike everything after the enacting clause and insert the following:

**"NEW SECTION. Sec. 1.** The legislature finds that allowing an existing residential lot to be split to create a new residential lot through a simple, administrative process can offer many advantages to both the existing homeowner and to prospective homebuyers. The legislature further finds that administrative lot splitting can provide current owners the opportunity to maintain homeownership in changing life circumstances while facilitating development of middle housing to provide homebuyers, including first-time homebuyers, with more affordable ownership opportunities. The legislature also finds that lot splitting can be combined with the review of a residential building permit application to create a single integrated process benefiting both homeowners and cities. Therefore, it is the intent of the legislature to ease restrictions on, and expand opportunities for, lot splitting in certain cities planning under chapter 36.70A RCW, the growth management act.

**NEW SECTION. Sec. 2.** A new section is added to chapter 58.17 RCW to read as follows:

(1) Cities required to comply with the minimum density requirements under RCW 36.70A.635 shall include in their development regulations a process through which an applicant can seek review and approval of an administrative lot split, which may be combined with concurrent review of a residential building permit to create new middle housing, as defined in RCW 36.70A.030, or single-family housing. The application process for a residential lot to be split may require only an administrative decision, through which the application is reviewed, approved, or denied by the planning director or other designee based on applicable development standards without a predecision public hearing. A new buildable residential lot and residential building

permit or permits must be administratively approved and are not subject to administrative appeal if they comply with applicable development standards and the following conditions are met:

(a) No more than one newly created lot is created through the administrative lot split;

(b) Both the parent lot and the newly created lot meet the minimum lot size allowed under applicable development regulations;

(c) The parent lot was not created through the splitting of a residential lot authorized by this section;

(d) The parent lot is located in a residential zone and not in an exclusively nonresidential zone including, but not limited to, zones that are exclusively commercial, retail, agricultural, or industrial;

(e) The applicable sewer and water purveyors have issued certificates of availability to serve the newly created lot and dwelling units;

(f) Access and utility rights are granted or conveyed as necessary on or before recording of the lot split survey to provide access for the maximum number of dwelling units that could be developed on the newly created lot, provided such access rights may be reduced consistent with a city's adopted codes, regulations, or design standards as applicable through review of a subsequent application for a building permit, short subdivision, unit lot subdivision, subdivision application, or short subdivision if less than the maximum number of dwelling units are built on the newly created lot;

(g) The planning director or other designee determines that the application follows all applicable development regulations; and

(h) The lot split survey has been approved by the planning director or other designee and includes a condition on the face of the survey that further lot splits of the parent lot and newly created lot are not authorized by this section.

(2) A proposed lot split may be conditioned upon dedication of right-of-way on the parent lot to the extent such dedication is required under applicable codes, regulations, and design standards for the development, short plat, or subdivision of the parent lot absent an administrative lot split.

(3) Development of dwelling units on the newly created lot may be conditioned upon construction of frontage improvements to a right-of-way adjacent to either the parent lot or the newly created lot to the extent required under applicable codes, regulations, and design standards.

(4) Any construction on the newly created lot is subject to all existing state and local laws including those specified in this section. Nothing in this section modifies the requirements for approval of residential building permits in chapter 19.27 RCW.

(5) A city subject to the requirements of this section may not impose a limit on the total number of dwelling units allowed on the parent lot or newly created lot that is less than the number of dwelling units



allowed by the underlying zoning of the parent lot prior to the administrative lot split.

(6) Notwithstanding the provisions of this section, lots that are not buildable according to locally adopted development regulations including, but not limited to, critical areas, shorelines, stormwater, setbacks, impervious surface areas, and building coverage standards, are not eligible for a lot split under this section.

(7) If a lot split results in a lot of a size that would allow for further land division, the lot is not eligible for a lot split but may be divided under other applicable land subdivision processes.

(8) The newly created lot must meet any locally adopted minimum density requirements.

(9) Cities are immune from any liability, loss, or other damage suffered by another that is related to the city's approval of a lot split under this act, including if the lot split creates a lot that is later determined to not be buildable.

(10) Parent lots and newly created lots approved under this section must have a lot split survey recorded with the county assessor with a notation that future lot splits are not allowed on the lot.

(11) Ordinances adopted to comply with this section are not subject to administrative or judicial appeal under chapter 43.21C RCW.

(12) The department of commerce must develop guidance for cities in implementing the lot splitting requirements.

(13) A city required to comply with the requirements of this section that has its next comprehensive plan update due in 2027, pursuant to RCW 36.70A.130, must adopt or amend by ordinance, and incorporate into its development regulations, zoning regulations, and other official controls, the requirements of this section in its next comprehensive plan update. All other cities required to comply with this section must implement the requirements within two years of the effective date of this section.

(14) For the purposes of this section, the following definitions apply unless the context clearly requires otherwise:

(a) "Lot split" means the administrative process of dividing an existing lot into two lots for the purpose of sale, lease, or transfer of ownership pursuant to this section.

(b) "Lot split survey" means the final survey prepared for filing for record with the county auditor and containing all elements and requirements for a lot split under this section and any local regulations.

(c) "Newly created lot" means a lot that was created by a lot split under this section.

(d) "Parent lot" means a lot that is subjected to a lot split under this section.

**Sec. 3.** RCW 36.70A.635 and 2024 c 152 s 2 are each amended to read as follows:

(1) Except as provided in subsection (4) of this section, any city that is required or chooses to plan under RCW 36.70A.040 must provide by ordinance and incorporate into

its development regulations, zoning regulations, and other official controls, authorization for the following:

(a) For cities with a population of at least 25,000 but less than 75,000 based on office of financial management population estimates:

(i) The development of at least two units per lot on all lots zoned predominantly for residential use, unless zoning permitting higher densities or intensities applies;

(ii) The development of at least four units per lot on all lots zoned predominantly for residential use, unless zoning permitting higher densities or intensities applies, within one-quarter mile walking distance of a major transit stop; and

(iii) The development of at least four units per lot on all lots zoned predominantly for residential use, unless zoning permitting higher densities or intensities applies, if at least one unit is affordable housing.

(b) For cities with a population of at least 75,000 based on office of financial management population estimates:

(i) The development of at least four units per lot on all lots zoned predominantly for residential use, unless zoning permitting higher densities or intensities applies;

(ii) The development of at least six units per lot on all lots zoned predominantly for residential use, unless zoning permitting higher densities or intensities applies, within one-quarter mile walking distance of a major transit stop; and

(iii) The development of at least six units per lot on all lots zoned predominantly for residential use, unless zoning permitting higher densities or intensities applies, if at least two units are affordable housing.

(c) For cities with a population of less than 25,000, that are within a contiguous urban growth area with the largest city in a county with a population of more than 275,000, based on office of financial management population estimates the development of at least two units per lot on all lots zoned predominantly for residential use, unless zoning permitting higher densities or intensities applies.

(2)(a) To qualify for the additional units allowed under subsection (1) of this section, the applicant must commit to renting or selling the required number of units as affordable housing. The units must be maintained as affordable for a term of at least 50 years, and the property must satisfy that commitment and all required affordability and income eligibility conditions adopted by the local government under this chapter. A city must require the applicant to record a covenant or deed restriction that ensures the continuing rental of units subject to these affordability requirements consistent with the conditions in chapter 84.14 RCW for a period of no less than 50 years. The covenant or deed restriction must also address criteria and policies to maintain public benefit if the property is converted

to a use other than which continues to provide for permanently affordable housing.

(b) The units dedicated as affordable must be provided in a range of sizes comparable to other units in the development. To the extent practicable, the number of bedrooms in affordable units must be in the same proportion as the number of bedrooms in units within the entire development. The affordable units must generally be distributed throughout the development and have substantially the same functionality as the other units in the development.

(c) If a city has enacted a program under RCW 36.70A.540, the terms of that program govern to the extent they vary from the requirements of this subsection.

(3) If a city has enacted a program under RCW 36.70A.540, subsection (1) of this section does not preclude the city from requiring any development, including development described in subsection (1) of this section, to provide affordable housing, either on-site or through an in-lieu payment, nor limit the city's ability to expand such a program or modify its requirements.

(4)(a) As an alternative to the density requirements in subsection (1) of this section, a city may implement the density requirements in subsection (1) of this section for at least 75 percent of lots in the city that are primarily dedicated to single-family detached housing units.

(b) The 25 percent of lots for which the requirements of subsection (1) of this section are not implemented must include but are not limited to:

(i) Any areas within the city for which the department has certified an extension of the implementation timelines under RCW 36.70A.637 due to the risk of displacement;

(ii) Any areas within the city for which the department has certified an extension of the implementation timelines under RCW 36.70A.638 due to a lack of infrastructure capacity;

(iii) Any lots, parcels, and tracts designated with critical areas or their buffers that are exempt from the density requirements as provided in subsection (8) of this section;

(iv) Any portion of a city within a one-mile radius of a commercial airport with at least 9,000,000 annual enplanements that is exempt from the parking requirements under subsection (7)(b) of this section; and

(v) Any areas subject to sea level rise, increased flooding, susceptible to wildfires, or geological hazards over the next 100 years.

(c) Unless identified as at higher risk of displacement under RCW 36.70A.070(2)(g), the 25 percent of lots for which the requirements of subsection (1) of this section are not implemented may not include:

(i) Any areas for which the exclusion would further racially disparate impacts or result in zoning with a discriminatory effect;

(ii) Any areas within one-half mile walking distance of a major transit stop; or

(iii) Any areas historically covered by a covenant or deed restriction excluding racial minorities from owning property or

living in the area, as known to the city at the time of each comprehensive plan update.

(5) A city subject to the requirements of subsection (1)(a) or (b) of this section must allow at least six of the nine types of middle housing to achieve the unit density required in subsection (1) of this section. A city may allow accessory dwelling units to achieve the unit density required in subsection (1) of this section. Cities are not required to allow accessory dwelling units or middle housing types beyond the density requirements in subsection (1) of this section. A city must also allow zero lot line short subdivision where the number of lots created is equal to the unit density required in subsection (1) of this section.

(6) Any city subject to the requirements of this section:

(a) If applying design review for middle housing, only administrative design review shall be required;

(b) Except as provided in (a) of this subsection, shall not require through development regulations any standards for middle housing that are more restrictive than those required for detached single-family residences, but may apply any objective development regulations that are required for detached single-family residences, including, but not limited to, set-back, lot coverage, stormwater, clearing, and tree canopy and retention requirements;

(c) Shall apply to middle housing the same development permit and environmental review processes that apply to detached single-family residences, unless otherwise required by state law including, but not limited to, shoreline regulations under chapter 90.58 RCW, building codes under chapter 19.27 RCW, energy codes under chapter 19.27A RCW, or electrical codes under chapter 19.28 RCW;

(d) Shall not require off-street parking as a condition of permitting development of middle housing within one-half mile walking distance of a major transit stop;

(e) Shall not require more than one off-street parking space per unit as a condition of permitting development of middle housing on lots no greater than 6,000 square feet before any zero lot line subdivisions or lot splits;

(f) Shall not require more than two off-street parking spaces per unit as a condition of permitting development of middle housing on lots greater than 6,000 square feet before any zero lot line subdivisions or lot splits; and

(g) Are not required to achieve the per unit density under chapter 332, Laws of 2023 on lots after subdivision below 1,000 square feet unless the city chooses to enact smaller allowable lot sizes.

(7) The provisions of subsection (6)(d) through (f) of this section do not apply:

(a) If a local government submits to the department an empirical study prepared by a credentialed transportation or land use planning expert that clearly demonstrates, and the department finds and certifies, that the application of the parking limitations of subsection (6)(d) through (f) of this section for middle housing will be significantly less safe for vehicle drivers

or passengers, pedestrians, or bicyclists than if the jurisdiction's parking requirements were applied to the same location for the same number of detached houses. The department must develop guidance to assist cities on items to include in the study; or

(b) To portions of cities within a one-mile radius of a commercial airport in Washington with at least 9,000,000 annual enplanements.

(8) The provisions of this section do not apply to:

(a) Portions of a lot, parcel, or tract designated with critical areas designated under RCW 36.70A.170 or their buffers as required by RCW 36.70A.170, except for critical aquifer recharge areas where a single-family detached house is an allowed use provided that any requirements to maintain aquifer recharge are met;

(b) Areas designated as sole-source aquifers by the United States environmental protection agency on islands in the Puget Sound;

(c) A watershed serving a reservoir for potable water if that watershed is or was listed, as of July 23, 2023, as impaired or threatened under section 303(d) of the federal clean water act (33 U.S.C. Sec. 1313(d));

(d) Lots that have been designated urban separators by countywide planning policies as of July 23, 2023; or

(e) A lot that was created through the splitting of a single residential lot pursuant to section 2 of this act.

(9) Nothing in this section prohibits a city from permitting detached single-family residences.

(10) Nothing in this section requires a city to issue a building permit if other federal, state, and local requirements for a building permit are not met.

(11) A city must comply with the requirements of this section on the latter of:

(a) Six months after its next periodic comprehensive plan update required under RCW 36.70A.130 if the city meets the population threshold based on the 2020 office of financial management population data; or

(b) 12 months after their next implementation progress report required under RCW 36.70A.130 after a determination by the office of financial management that the city has reached a population threshold established under this section.

(12) A city complying with this section and not granted a timeline extension under RCW 36.70A.638 does not have to update its capital facilities plan element required by RCW 36.70A.070(3) to accommodate the increased housing required by chapter 332, Laws of 2023 until the first periodic comprehensive plan update required for the city under RCW 36.70A.130(5) that occurs on or after June 30, 2034.

(13) Until June 30, 2026, for cities subject to a growth target adopted under RCW 36.70A.210 that limit the maximum residential capacity of the jurisdiction, any additional residential capacity required by this section for lots, parcels, and tracts with critical areas or critical area buffers outside of critical areas or their

buffers may not be considered an inconsistency with the countywide planning policies, multicounty planning policies, or growth targets adopted under RCW 36.70A.210.

**NEW SECTION. Sec. 4.** If specific funding for the purposes of this act, referencing this act by bill or chapter number, is not provided by June 30, 2025, in the omnibus appropriations act, this act is null and void."

Correct the title.

Representative Peterson moved the adoption of amendment (219) to the striking amendment (160):

On page 2, line 13 of the striking amendment, after "(e)" insert "If the lot split would require demolition or alteration of any existing housing that would displace a renter, the applicant must recommend a displacement mitigation strategy that may include, but is not limited to, relocation assistance;

(f) "

Renumber the remaining subsections consecutively and correct any internal references accordingly.

Representatives Peterson and Barkis spoke in favor of the adoption of the amendment to the striking amendment.

Amendment (219) to the striking amendment (160) was adopted.

Representatives Barkis and Peterson spoke in favor of the adoption of the striking amendment as amended.

The striking amendment (160), as amended, was adopted.

The bill was ordered engrossed.

There being no objection, the rules were suspended, the second reading considered the third and the bill was placed on final passage.

Representatives Barkis, Peterson and Jacobsen spoke in favor of the passage of the bill.

The Speaker (Representative Shavers presiding) stated the question before the House to be the final passage of Engrossed Second Substitute House Bill No. 1096.

## ROLL CALL

The Clerk called the roll on the final passage of Engrossed Second Substitute House Bill No. 1096, and the bill passed the House by the following vote: Yeas, 93; Nays, 4; Absent, 0; Excused, 1

Voting Yea: Representatives Abell, Barkis, Barnard, Berg, Bergquist, Bernbaum, Berry, Bronoske, Burnett, Caldier, Callan, Chase, Connors, Corry, Cortes, Couture, Davis, Dent, Doglio, Donaghy, Duerr, Dye, Engell, Entenman, Eslick, Farivar, Fey, Fitzgibbon, Fosse, Goodman, Graham, Gregerson, Griffey, Hill, Hunt, Jacobsen, Keaton, Klicker, Kloba, Leavitt, Lekanoff, Ley, Low, Macri, Marshall, McClintock, McEntire, Mena, Morgan, Nance, Obras, Orcutt, Ormsby, Ortiz-Self, Parshley, Paul, Penner, Peterson, Pollet, Ramel, Reed, Reeves, Richards, Rude, Rule, Ryu, Salahuddin, Santos, Schmick, Schmidt, Scott, Shavers, Simmons, Springer, Stearns, Steele, Stokesbary, Stonier, Street, Stuebe, Taylor, Thai, Tharinger, Thomas, Timmons, Volz, Walen, Walsh, Waters, Wylie, Ybarra, Zahn and Mme. Speaker

Voting Nay: Representatives Abbarno, Dufault, Manjarrez and Mendoza

Excused: Representative Hackney

ENGROSSED SECOND SUBSTITUTE HOUSE BILL NO. 1096, having received the necessary constitutional majority, was declared passed.

#### STATEMENT FOR THE JOURNAL

I intended to vote NAY on Engrossed Second Substitute House Bill No. 1096.

Representative Corry, 15th District

#### STATEMENT FOR THE JOURNAL

I intended to vote NAY on Engrossed Second Substitute House Bill No. 1096.

Representative McClintock, 18th District

#### SECOND READING

**HOUSE BILL NO. 1293, by Representatives Klicker, Dye, Connors, Barkis, Eslick, Caldier and Kloba**

##### Concerning litter.

The bill was read the second time.

There being no objection, Substitute House Bill No. 1293 was substituted for House Bill No. 1293 and the substitute bill was placed on the second reading calendar.

SUBSTITUTE HOUSE BILL NO. 1293 was read the second time.

Representative Doglio moved the adoption of amendment (150):

On page 3, after line 3, insert the following:

**"Sec. 2.** RCW 70A.530.020 and 2021 c 65 s 78 are each amended to read as follows:

(1) Beginning January 1, 2021, except as provided in this section and RCW 70A.530.030, a retail establishment may not provide to a customer or a person at an event:

(a) A single-use plastic carryout bag;

(b) A paper carryout bag that does not meet the requirements of subsection (6)(a) of this section or a reusable carryout bag made of film plastic that does not meet recycled content requirements; or

(c) Beginning January 1, ((2026)) 2028, a reusable carryout bag made of film plastic with a thickness of less than four mils((, in the event that the 2025 legislature does not amend this section to reflect the recommendations to the legislature made consistent with RCW 70A.530.060)).

(2)(a) A retail establishment may provide a reusable carryout bag or a compliant paper carryout bag of any size to a customer at the point of sale. A retail establishment may make reusable carryout bags available to customers through sale.

(b)(i) Until December 31, 2025, a retail establishment must collect a pass-through charge of eight cents for every compliant paper carryout bag with a manufacturer's stated capacity of one-eighth barrel (eight hundred eighty-two cubic inches) or greater or reusable carryout bag made of film

plastic it provides, except as provided in subsection (5) of this section and RCW 70A.530.030.

(ii) Beginning January 1, 2026, a retail establishment must collect a pass-through charge of twelve cents for reusable carryout bags made of film plastic and eight cents for compliant paper carryout bags((, in the event that the 2025 legislature does not amend this section to reflect the recommendations to the legislature made consistent with RCW 70A.530.060. It is the intent of the legislature for the 2025 legislature to reassess the amount of the pass-through charge authorized under this subsection (2)(b), taking into consideration the content of the report to the legislature under RCW 70A.530.060)).

(c) A retail establishment must keep all revenue from pass-through charges. The pass-through charge is a taxable retail sale. A retail establishment must show all pass-through charges on a receipt provided to the customer.

(3) Carryout bags provided by a retail establishment do not include:

(a) Bags used by consumers inside stores to:

(i) Package bulk items, such as fruit, vegetables, nuts, grains, candy, greeting cards, or small hardware items such as nails, bolts, or screws;

(ii) Contain or wrap items where dampness or sanitation might be a problem including, but not limited to:

(A) Frozen foods;

(B) Meat;

(C) Fish;

(D) Flowers; and

(E) Potted plants;

(iii) Contain unwrapped prepared foods or bakery goods;

(iv) Contain prescription drugs; or

(v) Protect a purchased item from damaging or contaminating other purchased items when placed in a compliant paper carryout bag or reusable carryout bag; or

(b) Newspaper bags, mailing pouches, sealed envelopes, door hanger bags, laundry/dry cleaning bags, or bags sold in packages containing multiple bags for uses such as food storage, garbage, or pet waste.

(4)(a) Any compostable film bag that a retail establishment provides to customers for products, including for products bagged in stores prior to checkout, must meet the requirements for compostable products and film bags in chapter 70A.455 RCW.

(b) A retail establishment may not use or provide polyethylene or other noncompostable plastic bags for bagging of customer products in stores, as carryout bags, or for home delivery that do not meet the requirements for noncompostable products and film bags in chapter 70A.455 RCW.

(5) Except as provided by local regulations enacted as of April 1, 2020, a retail establishment may provide a bag restricted under subsection (1) of this section from existing inventory until one year after June 11, 2020. The retail establishment, upon request by the department, must provide purchase invoices, distribution receipts, or other information documenting that the bag was acquired prior to June 11, 2020.

(6) For the purposes of this section:

(a) A compliant paper carryout bag must:

(i) Contain a minimum of forty percent postconsumer recycled materials, a minimum of 40 percent nonwood renewable fiber, or a combination of postconsumer recycled materials and nonwood renewable fiber that totals at least 40 percent;

(ii) Be capable of composting, consistent with the timeline and specifications of the entire American society of testing materials D6868 and associated test methods that must be met, as it existed as of January 1, 2020; and

(iii) Display in print on the exterior of the paper bag the minimum percentage of postconsumer content, wheat straw fiber content, or both.

(b) A reusable carryout bag must:

(i) Have a minimum lifetime of one hundred twenty-five uses, which for purposes of this subsection means the capacity to carry a minimum of twenty-two pounds one hundred twenty-five times over a distance of at least one hundred seventy-five feet;

(ii) Be machine washable or made from a durable material that may be cleaned or disinfected; and

(iii) If made of film plastic:

(A) Be made from a minimum of twenty percent postconsumer recycled content until July 1, 2022, and thereafter must be made from a minimum of forty percent postconsumer recycled content;

(B) Display in print on the exterior of the plastic bag the minimum percentage of postconsumer recycled content, the mil thickness, and that the bag is reusable; and

(C) Have a minimum thickness of no less than 2.25 mils until December 31, ((2025))2027, and beginning January 1, ((2026))2028, must have a minimum thickness of four mils.

(c) Except for the purposes of subsection (4) of this section, food banks and other food assistance programs are not retail establishments, but are encouraged to take actions to reduce the use of single-use plastic carryout bags."

Representatives Doglio and Klicker spoke in favor of the adoption of the amendment.

Amendment (150) was adopted.

The bill was ordered engrossed.

There being no objection, the rules were suspended, the second reading considered the third and the bill was placed on final passage.

Representatives Klicker, Doglio, Jacobsen, Stuebe, Dye and Connors spoke in favor of the passage of the bill.

The Speaker (Representative Shavers presiding) stated the question before the House to be the final passage of Engrossed Substitute House Bill No. 1293.

### ROLL CALL

The Clerk called the roll on the final passage of Engrossed Substitute House Bill No. 1293, and the bill passed the House by the following vote: Yeas, 90; Nays, 7; Absent, 0; Excused, 1

Voting Yea: Representatives Abbarno, Abell, Barkis, Barnard, Berg, Bernbaum, Berry, Bronoske, Burnett, Caldier, Callan, Chase, Connors, Corry, Cortes, Couture, Davis, Dent, Doglio, Donaghy,

Duerr, Dufault, Dye, Engell, Entenman, Eslick, Farivar, Fey, Fitzgibbon, Fosse, Goodman, Graham, Griffey, Hill, Hunt, Jacobsen, Keaton, Klicker, Kloba, Leavitt, Lekanoff, Ley, Low, Manjarrez, Marshall, McClintock, McEntire, Mendoza, Morgan, Nance, Obras, Orcutt, Ormsby, Ortiz-Self, Parshley, Paul, Penner, Pollet, Ramel, Reed, Reeves, Richards, Rude, Rule, Ryu, Salahuddin, Santos, Schmick, Schmidt, Shavers, Simmons, Springer, Stearns, Steele, Stokesbary, Stonier, Street, Stuebe, Taylor, Thai, Tharinger, Timmons, Volz, Walen, Walsh, Waters, Wylie, Ybarra, Zahn and Mme. Speaker

Voting Nay: Representatives Bergquist, Gregerson, Macri, Mena, Peterson, Scott and Thomas

Excused: Representative Hackney

ENGROSSED SUBSTITUTE HOUSE BILL NO. 1293, having received the necessary constitutional majority, was declared passed.

There being no objection, the House advanced to the seventh order of business.

### RECONSIDERATION

There being no objection, the House immediately reconsidered the vote by which ENGROSSED SUBSTITUTE HOUSE BILL NO. 1688 passed the House.

### THIRD READING

**ENGROSSED SUBSTITUTE HOUSE BILL NO. 1688, by House Committee on Local Government (originally sponsored by Parshley, Duerr, Reed, Ormsby, Hill and Timmons)**

**Concerning electric security alarm systems.**

The bill was read the third time.

There being no objection, the rules were suspended, and ENGROSSED SUBSTITUTE HOUSE BILL NO. 1688 was returned to second reading for the purpose of amendment.

With the consent of the House, amendment (153) was withdrawn.

Representative Parshley moved the adoption of amendment (038):

On page 3, after line 33, insert the following:

"(4) Nothing in this section shall apply to a burglar alarm system as defined in RCW 18.170.010(4) or a fire alarm as defined in RCW 48.19.540 (3) (c)."

On page 5, after line 28, insert the following:

"(4) Nothing in this section shall apply to a burglar alarm system as defined in RCW 18.170.010(4) or a fire alarm as defined in RCW 48.19.540 (3) (c)."

On page 7, after line 22, insert the following:

"(4) Nothing in this section shall apply to a burglar alarm system as defined in RCW 18.170.010(4) or a fire alarm as defined in RCW 48.19.540 (3) (c)."

Representatives Parshley and Klicker spoke in favor of the adoption of the amendment.

Amendment (038) was adopted.

The bill was ordered engrossed.

The Speaker (Representative Shavers presiding) stated the question before the House to be the final passage of Engrossed Substitute House Bill No. 1688, on reconsideration.

### ROLL CALL

The Clerk called the roll on the final passage of Engrossed Substitute House Bill No. 1688, on reconsideration, and the bill passed the House by the following vote: Yeas, 97; Nays, 0; Absent, 0; Excused, 1

Voting Yea: Representatives Abbarno, Abell, Barkis, Barnard, Berg, Bergquist, Bernbaum, Berry, Bronoske, Burnett, Caldier, Callan, Chase, Connors, Corry, Cortes, Couture, Davis, Dent, Doglio, Donaghy, Duerr, Dufault, Dye, Engell, Entenman, Eslick, Farivar, Fey, Fitzgibbon, Fosse, Goodman, Graham, Gregerson, Griffey, Hill, Hunt, Jacobsen, Keaton, Klicker, Kloba, Leavitt, Lekanoff, Ley, Low, Macri, Manjarrez, Marshall, McClintock, McEntire, Mena, Mendoza, Morgan, Nance, Obras, Orcutt, Ormsby, Ortiz-Self, Parshley, Paul, Penner, Peterson, Pollet, Ramel, Reed, Reeves, Richards, Rude, Rule, Ryu, Salahuddin, Santos, Schmick, Schmidt, Scott, Shavers, Simmons, Springer, Stearns, Steele, Stokesbary, Stonier, Street, Stuebe, Taylor, Thai, Tharinger, Thomas, Timmons, Volz, Walen, Walsh, Waters, Wylie, Ybarra, Zahn and Mme. Speaker

Excused: Representative Hackney

ENGROSSED SUBSTITUTE HOUSE BILL NO. 1688, on reconsideration, having received the necessary constitutional majority, was declared passed.

The Speaker (Representative Shavers presiding) called upon Representative Simmons to preside.

There being no objection, the House reverted to the sixth order of business.

### SECOND READING

**HOUSE BILL NO. 1696, by Representatives Taylor, Peterson, Ryu, Ortiz-Self, Stearns, Salahuddin, Duerr, Reed, Cortes, Street, Mena, Entenman, Hill, Gregerson, Simmons, Obras, Santos, Ramel, Donaghy, Berry, Goodman, Parshley, Stonier, Scott, Fosse, Berg, Macri, Kloba, Nance, Hunt, Springer, Fey, Walen, Leavitt, Reeves, Bergquist, Bernbaum, Doglio, Zahn, Pollet, Ormsby and Thomas**

#### Modifying the covenant homeownership program.

The bill was read the second time.

There being no objection, Second Substitute House Bill No. 1696 was substituted for House Bill No. 1696 and the second substitute bill was placed on the second reading calendar.

SECOND SUBSTITUTE HOUSE BILL NO. 1696 was read the second time.

Representative Low moved the adoption of amendment (178):

On page 2, line 33, after "assistance" insert ". The special purpose credit program must prioritize loans for program participants with incomes at or below 80 percent of the area median income for the county where the home is located"

Representatives Low, Dufault, Connors, Ley and Penner spoke in favor of the adoption of the amendment.

Representative Taylor spoke against the adoption of the amendment.

Amendment (178) was not adopted.

Representative Connors moved the adoption of amendment (177):

On page 2, line 34, after "(b)(ii)" insert "and (iii)"

On page 3, line 4, after "years" insert "(iii) A special purpose credit program authorized under this section may not forgive any loans until all program applicants have been served"

Representatives Connors and Dufault spoke in favor of the adoption of the amendment.

Representative Thomas spoke against the adoption of the amendment.

Amendment (177) was not adopted.

Representative Barkis moved the adoption of amendment (183):

On page 2, line 38, after "who" insert "is at least 70 years old and"

Representatives Barkis, Caldier, Dufault, Jacobsen, Couture, McEntire, Penner and Eslick spoke in favor of the adoption of the amendment.

Representative Reeves spoke against the adoption of the amendment.

Amendment (183) was not adopted.

Representative Corry moved the adoption of amendment (184):

On page 2, line 40, after "loan is" strike "made" and insert "forgiven"

Representatives Corry, Walsh, Engell, Orcutt, Caldier, Jacobsen and Couture spoke in favor of the adoption of the amendment.

Representative Berg spoke against the adoption of the amendment.

Amendment (184) was not adopted.

Representative Couture moved the adoption of amendment (174):

On page 3, line 3, after "least" strike "five" and insert "10"

Representatives Couture, Walsh, Penner, Caldier, Jacobsen and Abell spoke in favor of the adoption of the amendment.

Representative Morgan spoke against the adoption of the amendment.

Amendment (174) was not adopted.

Representative Caldier moved the adoption of amendment (164):

On page 3, line 4, after "years" insert "and the remaining amount owed does not exceed three percent of the amount paid by the program participant to purchase the house"

Representatives Caldier and Dufault spoke in favor of the adoption of the amendment.

Representative Taylor spoke against the adoption of the amendment.

### MOTION

On motion of Representative Ramel, Representative Nance was excused.

Representatives Jacobsen, Volz, Low and Couture spoke in favor of the adoption of the amendment.

Amendment (164) was not adopted.

Representative Caldier moved the adoption of amendment (172):

On page 3, line 4, after "years" insert "if the program participant has been employed full-time with a qualifying employer for at least 60 months"

On page 4, after line 10, insert the following:

"(8) For the purposes of this section, "qualifying employer" means a federal, state, local, or tribal government, a branch of the United States armed forces, or a nonprofit entity that is exempt from taxation under Title 26 U.S.C. Sec. 501(c)(3) of the federal internal revenue code of 1986."

Representatives Caldier, Jacobsen and Caldier (again) spoke in favor of the adoption of the amendment.

Representative Street spoke against the adoption of the amendment.

Amendment (172) was not adopted.

Representative Jacobsen moved the adoption of amendment (165):

On page 3, line 4, after "years" insert "unless the program participant has been convicted of a felony while participating in the program"

With the consent of the House, Representative Jacobsen withdrew amendment (165).

Representative Griffey moved the adoption of amendment (192):

On page 3, line 4, after "years" insert "unless the program participant has ever been convicted of a sex offense as defined in RCW 9A.44.128"

Representatives Griffey and Couture spoke in favor of the adoption of the amendment.

Representative Ortiz-Self spoke against the adoption of the amendment.

An electronic roll call was requested.

### ROLL CALL

The Clerk called the roll on the adoption of amendment (192) and the amendment was not adopted by the following vote: Yeas, 45; Nays, 51; Absent, 0; Excused, 2

Voting Yea: Representatives Abbarno, Abell, Barkis, Barnard, Burnett, Caldier, Chase, Connors, Corry, Couture, Dent, Dufault, Dye, Engell, Eslick, Graham, Griffey, Jacobsen, Keaton, Klicker, Leavitt, Ley, Low, Manjarrez, Marshall, McClintock, McEntire, Mendoza, Orcutt, Paul, Penner, Richards, Rude, Rule, Schmick, Schmidt, Shavers, Steele, Stokesbary, Stuebe, Timmons, Volz, Walsh, Waters and Ybarra

Voting Nay: Representatives Berg, Bergquist, Bernbaum, Berry, Bronoske, Callan, Cortes, Davis, Doglio, Donaghy, Duerr, Entenman, Farivar, Fey, Fitzgibbon, Fosse, Goodman, Gregerson, Hill, Hunt, Kloba, Lekanoff, Macri, Mena, Morgan, Obras, Ormsby, Ortiz-Self, Parshley, Peterson, Pollet, Ramel, Reed, Reeves, Ryu, Salahuddin, Santos, Scott, Simmons, Springer, Stearns, Stonier, Street, Taylor, Thai, Tharinger, Thomas, Walen, Wylie, Zahn and Mme. Speaker

Excused: Representatives Hackney and Nance

Amendment (192) was not adopted.

Representative Manjarrez moved the adoption of amendment (182):

On page 3, line 10, after "((100))" strike "120" and insert "80"

Representatives Manjarrez, Manjarrez (again), Mendoza, Barkis, Walsh, Jacobsen and Penner spoke in favor of the adoption of the amendment.

Representative Entenman spoke against the adoption of the amendment.

Amendment (182) was not adopted.

Representative Engell moved the adoption of amendment (176):

On page 3, line 29, after "(5)" insert "In each fiscal year, the commission may provide down payment and closing cost assistance through a special purpose credit program authorized under this section to applicants with household incomes that exceed 100 percent of the area median income for the county where the home is located only if the revenues collected under the covenant homeownership program assessment established in RCW 36.22.185 for the prior fiscal year increased by at least 10 percent compared to revenues collected during the fiscal year two years prior."

(6)"

Renumber the remaining subsections consecutively and correct any internal references accordingly.

Representatives Engell and Couture spoke in favor of the adoption of the amendment.

Representative Berg spoke against the adoption of the amendment.

Amendment (176) was not adopted.

Representative Dufault moved the adoption of amendment (171):

On page 7, after line 18, insert the following:

"NEW SECTION. Sec. 3. A new section is added to chapter 43.181 RCW to read as follows:

(1) This act may not be implemented unless:

(a) The commission requests a formal opinion by the attorney general on the following questions:

(i) Whether the restrictions on gifting of funds and lending of credit in article VIII, sections 5 and 7 of the state Constitution prohibit the commission from using funds from the assessment established in RCW 36.22.185 to create one or more special purpose credit programs to provide down payment and closing cost assistance to program participants who meet the eligibility criteria specified in this chapter, including the requirement in RCW 43.181.040(4) that a special purpose credit program applicant must have a household income at or below 120 percent of the area median income for the county where the home is located; and

(ii) Whether the restrictions on gifting of funds and lending of credit in article VIII, sections 5 and 7 of the state Constitution prohibit a special purpose credit program authorized under this chapter from fully forgiving a loan for down payment and closing cost assistance once the loan has been outstanding for at least five years for program participants who have a household income at or below 80 percent of the area median income for the county where the home is located at the time that the loan is made as specified under RCW 43.181.040(3)(b); and

(b) The attorney general opinion finds that the restrictions on gifting of funds and lending of credit in article VIII, sections 5 and 7 of the state Constitution do not prohibit the commission from engaging in the activity described in (a) of this subsection.

(2) The commission must provide the attorney general opinion to the appropriate committees of the legislature within 30 days of the issuance of the opinion."

Representatives Dufault, Jacobsen, Walsh, Engell, Couture, Couture (again), Penner, Orcutt, Ybarra and Connors spoke in favor of the adoption of the amendment.

Representatives Hill, Reed and Thomas spoke against the adoption of the amendment.

Amendment (171) was not adopted.

The Speaker (Representative Simmons presiding) called upon Representative Shavers to preside.

Representative Couture moved the adoption of amendment (173):

On page 7, after line 18, insert the following:

"NEW SECTION. Sec. 3. The secretary of state shall submit this act to the people for their adoption and ratification, or rejection, at the next general election to be held in this state, in accordance with Article II, section 1 of the state Constitution and the laws adopted to facilitate its operation."

Representatives Couture, Caldier, Walsh, Mendoza and Dufault spoke in favor of the adoption of the amendment.

Representatives Mena, Scott and Reeves spoke against the adoption of the amendment.

An electronic roll call was requested.

### ROLL CALL

The Clerk called the roll on the adoption of amendment (173) and the amendment was not adopted by the following vote: Yeas, 42; Nays, 54; Absent, 0; Excused, 2

Voting Yea: Representatives Abbarno, Abell, Barkis, Barnard, Burnett, Caldier, Chase, Connors, Corry, Couture, Dent, Dufault, Dye, Engell, Eslick, Graham, Griffey, Jacobsen, Keaton, Klicker, Ley, Low, Manjarrez, Marshall, McClintock, McEntire, Mendoza, Orcutt, Penner, Richards, Rude, Rule, Schmick, Schmidt, Shavers, Steele, Stokesbary, Stuebe, Volz, Walsh, Waters and Ybarra

Voting Nay: Representatives Berg, Bergquist, Bernbaum, Berry, Bronoske, Callan, Cortes, Davis, Doglio, Donaghy, Duerr, Entenman, Farivar, Fey, Fitzgibbon, Fosse, Goodman, Gregerson, Hill, Hunt, Kloba, Leavitt, Lekanoff, Macri, Mena, Morgan, Obras, Ormsby, Ortiz-Self, Parshley, Paul, Peterson, Pollet, Ramel, Reed, Reeves, Ryu, Salahuddin, Santos, Scott, Simmons, Springer, Stearns, Stonier, Street, Taylor, Thai, Tharinger, Thomas, Timmons, Walen, Wylie, Zahn and Mme. Speaker

Excused: Representatives Hackney and Nance

Amendment (173) was not adopted.

Representative Low moved the adoption of amendment (180):

On page 7, after line 18, insert the following:

"NEW SECTION. Sec. 3. Section 1 of this act takes effect July 1, 2035."

Representatives Low, Couture and Penner spoke in favor of the adoption of the amendment.

Representatives Parshley, Taylor and Thomas spoke against the adoption of the amendment.

Amendment (180) was not adopted.

Representative Dufault moved the adoption of amendment (181):

On page 7, after line 18, insert the following:

"NEW SECTION. Sec. 3. A new section is added to chapter 43.181 RCW to read as follows:

If any court cases challenging the covenant homeownership program are commenced before the effective date of this section, this act may not be implemented until on or after the date that any such court cases are fully resolved and no longer subject to appeal."

Representatives Dufault, Dufault (again), Abbarno and Abell spoke in favor of the adoption of the amendment.

Representatives Thomas and Taylor spoke against the adoption of the amendment.

Amendment (181) was not adopted.

There being no objection, the rules were suspended, the second reading considered the third and the bill was placed on final passage.

Representatives Peterson, Reed and Taylor spoke in favor of the passage of the bill.



Representatives Corry, Abell and Connors spoke against the passage of the bill.

The Speaker (Representative Shavers presiding) stated the question before the House to be the final passage of Second Substitute House Bill No. 1696.

There being no objection, the House adjourned until 10:30 a.m., Friday, March 7, 2025, the 54th Day of the 2025 Regular Session.

LAURIE JINKINS, Speaker

BERNARD DEAN, Chief Clerk

### ROLL CALL

The Clerk called the roll on the final passage of Second Substitute House Bill No. 1696, and the bill passed the House by the following vote: Yeas, 57; Nays, 39; Absent, 0; Excused, 2

Voting Yea: Representatives Berg, Bergquist, Bernbaum, Berry, Bronoske, Callan, Cortes, Davis, Doglio, Donaghy, Duerr, Entenman, Farivar, Fey, Fitzgibbon, Fosse, Goodman, Gregerson, Hill, Hunt, Kloba, Leavitt, Lekanoff, Macri, Mena, Morgan, Obras, Ormsby, Ortiz-Self, Parshley, Paul, Peterson, Pollet, Ramel, Reed, Reeves, Richards, Rule, Ryu, Salahuddin, Santos, Scott, Shavers, Simmons, Springer, Stearns, Stonier, Street, Taylor, Thai, Tharinger, Thomas, Timmons, Walen, Wylie, Zahn and Mme. Speaker

Voting Nay: Representatives Abbarno, Abell, Barkis, Barnard, Burnett, Caldier, Chase, Connors, Corry, Couture, Dent, Dufault, Dye, Engell, Eslick, Graham, Griffey, Jacobsen, Keaton, Klicker, Ley, Low, Manjarrez, Marshall, McClintock, McEntire, Mendoza, Orcutt, Penner, Rude, Schmick, Schmidt, Steele, Stokesbary, Stuebe, Volz, Walsh, Waters and Ybarra

Excused: Representatives Hackney and Nance

SECOND SUBSTITUTE HOUSE BILL NO. 1696, having received the necessary constitutional majority, was declared passed.

There being no objection, the House advanced to the eighth order of business.

### MOTIONS

There being no objection, the Committee on Agriculture & Natural Resources was relieved of ENGROSSED SENATE BILL NO. 5065, and the bill was referred to the Committee on Community Safety.

There being no objection, the Committee on Housing was relieved of SUBSTITUTE SENATE BILL NO. 5221, and the bill was referred to the Committee on Finance.

There being no objection, the Committee on Rules was relieved of the following bills and the bills were placed on the :

HOUSE BILL NO. 1023  
HOUSE BILL NO. 1106  
HOUSE BILL NO. 1133  
HOUSE BILL NO. 1155  
HOUSE BILL NO. 1175  
HOUSE BILL NO. 1273  
HOUSE BILL NO. 1308  
HOUSE BILL NO. 1345  
HOUSE BILL NO. 1399  
HOUSE BILL NO. 1403  
HOUSE BILL NO. 1423  
HOUSE BILL NO. 1516  
HOUSE BILL NO. 1531  
HOUSE BILL NO. 1563  
HOUSE BILL NO. 1587  
HOUSE BILL NO. 1615  
HOUSE BILL NO. 1636  
HOUSE BILL NO. 1646  
HOUSE BILL NO. 1686  
HOUSE BILL NO. 1710  
HOUSE BILL NO. 1755  
HOUSE BILL NO. 1758  
HOUSE BILL NO. 1821  
HOUSE BILL NO. 1834  
HOUSE BILL NO. 2015

|         |                                   |       |                                   |                                   |
|---------|-----------------------------------|-------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 1023    | Other Action .....                | 17    | Third Reading Final Passage ..... | 3, 13                             |
| 1060    | Second Reading .....              | 4     | Other Action .....                | 13                                |
|         | Third Reading Final Passage ..... | 4     | 1696                              | Second Reading .....              |
| 1096    | Second Reading .....              | 8     | 1696-S2                           | Second Reading .....              |
| 1096-S2 | Second Reading .....              | 8     |                                   | Amendment Offered .....           |
|         | Amendment Offered .....           | 8, 11 |                                   | 14-16                             |
|         | Third Reading Final Passage ..... | 11    |                                   | Third Reading Final Passage ..... |
| 1106    | Other Action .....                | 17    | 1705                              | Second Reading .....              |
| 1133    | Other Action .....                | 17    |                                   | Amendment Offered .....           |
| 1155    | Other Action .....                | 17    |                                   | 7                                 |
| 1175    | Other Action .....                | 17    | 1710                              | Third Reading Final Passage ..... |
| 1230    | Other Action .....                | 17    |                                   | 7                                 |
|         | Second Reading .....              | 3     | 1710                              | Other Action .....                |
|         | Third Reading Final Passage ..... | 3     |                                   | 17                                |
| 1273    | Other Action .....                | 17    | 1715                              | Second Reading .....              |
| 1293    | Second Reading .....              | 12    |                                   | 4                                 |
| 1293-S  | Second Reading .....              | 12    | 1715-S2                           | Second Reading .....              |
|         | Amendment Offered .....           | 12    |                                   | 4                                 |
|         | Third Reading Final Passage ..... | 13    |                                   | Third Reading Final Passage ..... |
| 1308    | Other Action .....                | 17    |                                   | 4                                 |
| 1345    | Other Action .....                | 17    | 1755                              | Other Action .....                |
| 1399    | Other Action .....                | 17    |                                   | 17                                |
| 1403    | Other Action .....                | 17    | 1758                              | Other Action .....                |
| 1423    | Other Action .....                | 17    |                                   | 17                                |
| 1490    | Second Reading .....              | 4     | 1791                              | Second Reading .....              |
| 1490-S  | Second Reading .....              | 4     |                                   | 5                                 |
|         | Third Reading Final Passage ..... | 4     | 1791-S                            | Second Reading .....              |
| 1516    | Other Action .....                | 17    |                                   | 5                                 |
| 1531    | Other Action .....                | 17    |                                   | Amendment Offered .....           |
| 1563    | Other Action .....                | 17    |                                   | 5                                 |
| 1587    | Other Action .....                | 17    |                                   | Third Reading Final Passage ..... |
| 1615    | Other Action .....                | 17    |                                   | 6                                 |
| 1636    | Other Action .....                | 17    | 1821                              | Other Action .....                |
| 1646    | Other Action .....                | 17    |                                   | 17                                |
| 1647    | Second Reading .....              | 7     | 1834                              | Other Action .....                |
|         | Amendment Offered .....           | 7     |                                   | 17                                |
|         | Third Reading Final Passage ..... | 7     | 1875                              | Second Reading .....              |
| 1648    | Second Reading .....              | 3     |                                   | 6                                 |
| 1648-S2 | Second Reading .....              | 3     | 1875-S                            | Second Reading .....              |
|         | Amendment Offered .....           | 3     |                                   | 6                                 |
|         | Third Reading Final Passage ..... | 3     |                                   | Amendment Offered .....           |
| 1686    | Other Action .....                | 17    |                                   | 6                                 |
| 1688    | Second Reading .....              | 2     | 2015                              | Other Action .....                |
| 1688-S  | Second Reading .....              | 2     |                                   | 17                                |
|         | Amendment Offered .....           | 2, 13 | 5023-S                            | Messages .....                    |
|         |                                   |       |                                   | 1                                 |
|         |                                   |       | 5055-S                            | Introduction & 1st Reading .....  |
|         |                                   |       |                                   | 1                                 |
|         |                                   |       | 5065                              | Other Action .....                |
|         |                                   |       |                                   | 17                                |
|         |                                   |       | 5098-S2                           | Messages .....                    |
|         |                                   |       |                                   | 1                                 |
|         |                                   |       | 5102                              | Introduction & 1st Reading .....  |
|         |                                   |       |                                   | 1                                 |
|         |                                   |       | 5193-S                            | Messages .....                    |
|         |                                   |       |                                   | 5                                 |
|         |                                   |       | 5221-S                            | Other Action .....                |
|         |                                   |       |                                   | 17                                |
|         |                                   |       | 5268-S                            | Messages .....                    |
|         |                                   |       |                                   | 1                                 |
|         |                                   |       | 5292-S                            | Messages .....                    |
|         |                                   |       |                                   | 5                                 |
|         |                                   |       | 5298-S                            | Messages .....                    |
|         |                                   |       |                                   | 5                                 |
|         |                                   |       | 5356-S2                           | Introduction & 1st Reading .....  |
|         |                                   |       |                                   | 1                                 |
|         |                                   |       | 5358-S2                           | Messages .....                    |
|         |                                   |       |                                   | 5                                 |
|         |                                   |       | 5360-S                            | Introduction & 1st Reading .....  |
|         |                                   |       |                                   | 1                                 |
|         |                                   |       | 5361                              | Introduction & 1st Reading .....  |
|         |                                   |       |                                   | 1                                 |
|         |                                   |       | 5391                              | Introduction & 1st Reading .....  |
|         |                                   |       |                                   | 1                                 |
|         |                                   |       | 5414                              | Introduction & 1st Reading .....  |
|         |                                   |       |                                   | 1                                 |
|         |                                   |       | 5420                              | Messages .....                    |
|         |                                   |       |                                   | 5                                 |
|         |                                   |       | 5503-S                            |                                   |

|  |  |    |
|--|--|----|
|  | Introduction & 1st Reading .....                         | 1  |
| 5525-S   | Introduction & 1st Reading .....                         | 1  |
| 5542   | Messages.....  | 5  |
| 5552-S   | Introduction & 1st Reading .....                         | 2  |
| 5557-S   | Messages.....  | 1  |
| 5632   | Introduction & 1st Reading .....                         | 2  |
| 5656   | Introduction & 1st Reading .....                         | 2  |
| 5662   | Messages.....  | 1  |
| 5663-S   | Introduction & 1st Reading .....                         | 2  |
| 5677-S   | Introduction & 1st Reading .....                         | 2  |
| 5689   | Messages.....  | 1  |
| 5690-S   | Introduction & 1st Reading .....                         | 2  |
| 5701-S   | Messages.....  | 1  |
| HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES (Representative Shavers<br>presiding) |  |    |
|  | Statement for the Journal Representative Corry.....      | 12 |
|  | Statement for the Journal Representative McClintock..... | 12 |