

SIXTY NINTH LEGISLATURE - REGULAR SESSION

SEVENTY THIRD DAY

House Chamber, Olympia, Wednesday, March 26, 2025

The House was called to order at 10:30 a.m. by the Speaker (Representative Timmons presiding). The Clerk called the roll and a quorum was present.

The flags were escorted to the rostrum by a Sergeant at Arms Color Guard, Pages Jiadong Gu and Wendy Landis. The Speaker (Representative Timmons presiding) led the Chamber in the Pledge of Allegiance. The prayer was offered by Pastor Jonathan Pierre-Puckett, Urban Grace Church, Tacoma.

Reading of the Journal of the previous day was dispensed with and it was ordered to stand approved.

SPEAKER'S PRIVILEGE

The Speaker (Representative Timmons presiding) recognized Filipino American educators seated in the gallery who were recognized by House Resolution No. 4644.

There being no objection, the House advanced to the fourth order of business.

INTRODUCTION & FIRST READING

HB 2061 by Representatives Fitzgibbon, Gregerson, Kloba and Ramel

AN ACT Relating to concession fees by duty-free sales enterprises; amending RCW 14.08.330; adding a new chapter to Title 19 RCW; creating a new section; and providing an effective date.

Referred to Committee on Appropriations.

There being no objection, the bill listed on the day's introduction sheet under the fourth order of business was referred to the committee so designated.

There being no objection, the House advanced to the fifth order of business.

REPORTS OF STANDING COMMITTEES

March 24, 2025

SSB 5033 Prime Sponsor, Environment, Energy & Technology: Concerning sampling or testing of biosolids for PFAS chemicals. Reported by Committee on Environment & Energy

MAJORITY recommendation: Do pass. Signed by Representatives Doglio, Chair; Hunt, Vice Chair; Dye, Ranking Minority Member; Klicker, Assistant Ranking Member; Abbarno; Abell; Barnard; Berry; Duerr; Fey; Kloba; Ley; Mena; Ramel; Stearns; Street; Stuebe; Wylie and Ybarra.

MINORITY recommendation: Without recommendation. Signed by Representative Mendoza.

Referred to Committee on Appropriations

March 21, 2025

ESSB 5129 Prime Sponsor, Housing: Concerning common interest communities. Reported by Committee on Civil Rights & Judiciary

MAJORITY recommendation: Do pass. Signed by Representatives Taylor, Chair; Farivar, Vice Chair; Abell, Assistant Ranking Minority Member; Burnett; Entenman; Goodman; Graham; Salahuddin; Thai and Walen.

MINORITY recommendation: Without recommendation. Signed by Representatives Walsh, Ranking Minority Member; and Jacobsen.

Referred to Committee on Rules for second reading

March 20, 2025

SSB 5168

Prime Sponsor, Ways & Means: Concerning the appointment, removal, and salary of the state actuary. Reported by Committee on Appropriations

MAJORITY recommendation: Do pass as amended.

Strike everything after the enacting clause and insert the following:

"**Sec. 1.** RCW 41.04.281 and 2003 c 295 s 5 are each amended to read as follows:

The select committee on pension policy has the following powers and duties:

(1) Study pension issues, develop pension policies for public employees in state retirement systems, and make recommendations to the legislature;

(2) Study the financial condition of the state pension systems, develop funding policies, and make recommendations to the legislature; and

(3) ~~((Consult with the chair and vice chair on appointing members to the state actuary appointment committee upon the convening of the state actuary appointment committee established under RCW 44.44.013; and~~

~~(4))~~ Receive the results of the actuarial audits of the actuarial valuations and experience studies administered by the pension funding council pursuant to RCW 41.45.110. The select committee on pension policy shall study and make recommendations on changes to assumptions or contribution rates to the pension funding council prior to adoption of changes under RCW 41.45.030, 41.45.035, or 41.45.060.

NEW SECTION. **Sec. 2.** A new section is added to chapter 41.45 RCW to read as follows:

Subject to RCW 44.44.030, the council shall appoint, remove, and set the salary of the state actuary, by affirmative vote of four members of the council. When considering the appointment, removal, and salary setting of the state actuary, the council shall consider recommendations from the executive committee of the select committee on pension policy.

Sec. 3. RCW 44.44.030 and 2003 c 295 s 14 are each amended to read as follows:

(1) Subject to RCW 44.04.260, the state actuary shall have the authority to select and employ such research, technical, clerical personnel, and consultants as the actuary deems necessary, whose salaries shall be fixed by the actuary and approved by the ~~((state actuary appointment committee))~~ pension funding council, and who shall be exempt from the provisions of the state civil service law, chapter 41.06 RCW.

(2) All actuarial valuations and experience studies performed by the office of the state actuary shall be signed by a member of the American academy of actuaries. If the state actuary is not such a member, the state actuary, after approval by the select committee, shall contract for a period not to exceed two years with a member of the American academy of actuaries to assist in developing actuarial valuations and experience studies.

NEW SECTION. **Sec. 4.** RCW 44.44.013 (State actuary appointment committee—Creation—Membership—Powers) and 2003 c 295 s 13 are each repealed."

Correct the title.

Signed by Representatives Ormsby, Chair; Gregerson, Vice Chair; Macri, Vice Chair; Couture, Ranking Minority Member; Connors, Assistant Ranking Minority Member; Penner, Assistant Ranking Minority Member; Schmick, Assistant Ranking Minority Member; Berg; Bergquist; Burnett; Caldier; Callan; Corry; Cortes; Doglio; Dye; Fitzgibbon; Keaton; Leavitt; Lekanoff; Manjarrez; Marshall; Pollet; Rude; Ryu; Springer; Stonier; Street; Thai and Tharinger.

Referred to Committee on Rules for second reading

March 21, 2025

ESSB 5184 Prime Sponsor, Housing: Concerning minimum parking requirements. Reported by Committee on Local Government

MAJORITY recommendation: Do pass as amended.

Strike everything after the enacting clause and insert the following:

"NEW SECTION. **Sec. 1.** The legislature finds that predetermined on-site parking requirements needlessly drive up the cost of development, particularly housing; discourage walking and multimodal transit usage; and encourage excessive reliance of automobiles with attendant impacts on human health and greenhouse gas emissions. The legislature further finds that the amount of parking that a project actually needs should be determined on a case-by-case basis by permit applicants sensitive to actual market conditions rather than a one-size-fits-all regulation.

NEW SECTION. **Sec. 2.** A new section is added to chapter 35.21 RCW to read as follows:

(1) A city may not require more than 0.5 parking space per multifamily dwelling unit

or more than one parking space per single-family home.

(2) A city may not require more than two parking spaces per 1,000 square feet of commercial space.

(3) A city may not require any minimum parking requirements for:

- (a) Residences under 1,200 square feet;
- (b) Commercial spaces under 3,000 square feet;
- (c) Affordable housing;
- (d) Senior housing;
- (e) Child care centers as defined in RCW

43.216.010 that are licensed or certified by the department of children, youth, and families;

(f) Ground level nonresidential spaces in mixed-use buildings; and

(g) A building undergoing a change of use from a nonresidential to a residential use.

(4) For purposes of this section, "affordable housing" has the same meaning as in RCW 36.70A.030.

(5) This section does not apply to requirements for parking spaces permanently marked for the exclusive use of individuals with disabilities in compliance with the Americans with disabilities act.

(6) The provisions of this section do not apply:

(a) To cities with a population of 30,000 or less, as determined by the population estimate of the office of financial management under RCW 43.62.030;

(b) If a city submits to the department of commerce an empirical study prepared by a credentialed transportation or land use planning expert that clearly demonstrates, and the department finds and certifies, that the application of the parking limitations of this section will be significantly less safe for vehicle drivers or passengers, pedestrians, or bicyclists than the city's current parking requirements; or

(c) To portions of cities within a one-mile radius of a commercial airport in Washington with at least 9,000,000 annual enplanements.

(7) Cities may require parking in excess of the limitations in this section for religious organizations and parking requirements for carpools.

(8) Cities are not prohibited from requiring temporary or time-restricted parking.

(9) Cities that have adopted substantially similar policies to the requirements established in this section may apply to the department of commerce for a determination of compliance with the requirements of this section. In determining what is substantially similar, the department of commerce shall consider whether:

(a) The city's parking requirements as of July 2025 have the same or lower parking minimums than the requirements of this section;

(b) The city's parking requirements are equal to the average number of parking stalls required per residential unit and the average number of parking stalls required per 1,000 square feet of commercial space; and

(c) The city's parking requirements for affordable housing, senior housing, housing

for people with disabilities, and child care facilities are equivalent to the requirements of this section.

(10) Cities may submit a request for a variance from the requirements of this section to the department of commerce if compliance with the requirements of this section would be hazardous to the life, health, and safety of residents as confirmed by a building official or fire marshal, or their designees.

(11) Cities with a population between 30,000 and 100,000 shall implement the requirements of this section within three years of the effective date of this act. Cities with a population of 100,000 or greater shall implement the requirements of this act within 18 months of the effective date of this act.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 3. A new section is added to chapter 35A.21 RCW to read as follows:

(1) A code city may not require more than 0.5 parking space per multifamily dwelling unit or more than one parking space per single-family home.

(2) A code city may not require more than two parking spaces per 1,000 square feet of commercial space.

(3) A code city may not require any minimum parking requirements for:

(a) Residences under 1,200 square feet;

(b) Commercial spaces under 3,000 square feet;

(c) Affordable housing;

(d) Senior housing;

(e) Child care centers as defined in RCW 43.216.010 that are licensed or certified by the department of children, youth, and families;

(f) Ground level nonresidential spaces in mixed-use buildings; and

(g) A building undergoing a change of use from a nonresidential to a residential use.

(4) For purposes of this section, "affordable housing" has the same meaning as in RCW 36.70A.030.

(5) This section does not apply to requirements for parking spaces permanently marked for the exclusive use of individuals with disabilities in compliance with the Americans with disabilities act.

(6) The provisions of this section do not apply:

(a) To code cities with a population of 30,000 or less, as determined by the population estimate of the office of financial management under RCW 43.62.030;

(b) If a code city submits to the department of commerce an empirical study prepared by a credentialed transportation or land use planning expert that clearly demonstrates, and the department finds and certifies, that the application of the parking limitations of this section will be significantly less safe for vehicle drivers or passengers, pedestrians, or bicyclists than the code city's current parking requirements; or

(c) To portions of code cities within a one-mile radius of a commercial airport in Washington with at least 9,000,000 annual enplanements.

(7) Code cities may require parking in excess of the limitations in this section for religious organizations and parking requirements for carpools.

(8) Code cities are not prohibited from requiring temporary or time-restricted parking.

(9) Code cities that have adopted substantially similar policies to the requirements established in this section may apply to the department of commerce for a determination of compliance with the requirements of this section. In determining what is substantially similar, the department of commerce shall consider whether:

(a) The code city's parking requirements as of July 2025 have the same or lower parking minimums than the requirements of this section;

(b) The code city's parking requirements are equal to the average number of parking stalls required per residential unit and the average number of parking stalls required per 1,000 square feet of commercial space; and

(c) The code city's parking requirements for affordable housing, senior housing, housing for people with disabilities, and child care facilities are equivalent to the requirements of this section.

(10) Code cities may submit a request for a variance from the requirements of this section to the department of commerce if compliance with the requirements of this section would be hazardous to the life, health, and safety of residents as confirmed by a building official or fire marshal, or their designees.

(11) Code cities with a population between 30,000 and 100,000 shall implement the requirements of this section within three years of the effective date of this act. Code cities with a population of 100,000 or greater shall implement the requirements of this act within 18 months of the effective date of this act.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 4. A new section is added to chapter 36.01 RCW to read as follows:

(1) A county may not require more than 0.5 parking space per multifamily dwelling unit or more than one parking space per single-family home.

(2) A county may not require more than two parking spaces per 1,000 square feet of commercial space.

(3) A county may not require any minimum parking requirements for:

(a) Residences under 1,200 square feet;

(b) Commercial spaces under 3,000 square feet;

(c) Affordable housing;

(d) Senior housing;

(e) Child care centers as defined in RCW 43.216.010 that are licensed or certified by the department of children, youth, and families;

(f) Ground level nonresidential spaces in mixed-use buildings; and

(g) A building undergoing a change of use from a nonresidential to a residential use.

(4) For purposes of this section, "affordable housing" has the same meaning as in RCW 36.70A.030.

(5) This section does not apply to requirements for parking spaces permanently marked for the exclusive use of individuals with disabilities in compliance with the Americans with disabilities act.

(6) The provisions of this section do not apply:

(a) If a county submits to the department of commerce an empirical study prepared by a credentialed transportation or land use planning expert that clearly demonstrates, and the department finds and certifies, that the application of the parking limitations of this section will be significantly less safe for vehicle drivers or passengers, pedestrians, or bicyclists than the county's current parking requirements; or

(b) To portions of counties within a one-mile radius of a commercial airport in Washington with at least 9,000,000 annual enplanements.

(7) A county may require off-street parking if the county's roads are not developed to the standards for streets and roads adopted by the cities within that county.

(8) Counties may require parking in excess of the limitations in this section for religious organizations and parking requirements for carpools.

(9) Counties are not prohibited from requiring temporary or time-restricted parking.

(10) Counties that have adopted substantially similar policies to the requirements established in this section may apply to the department of commerce for a determination of compliance with the requirements of this section. In determining what is substantially similar, the department of commerce shall consider whether:

(a) The county's parking requirements as of July 2025 have the same or lower parking minimums than the requirements of this section;

(b) The county's parking requirements are equal to the average number of parking stalls required per residential unit and the average number of parking stalls required per 1,000 square feet of commercial space; and

(c) The county's parking requirements for affordable housing, senior housing, housing for people with disabilities, and child care facilities are equivalent to the requirements of this section.

(11) Counties with a population between 30,000 and 100,000 shall implement the requirements of this section within three years of the effective date of this act. Counties with a population of 100,000 or greater shall implement the requirements of this act within 18 months of the effective date of this act.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 5. A new section is added to chapter 19.27 RCW to read as follows:

The state building code council shall research and, if necessary, adopt by rule updated accessible parking space

requirements in the state building code promulgated under this chapter to align with current research on disability rates among drivers.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 6. RCW 36.70A.620 (Cities planning under RCW 36.70A.040—Minimum residential parking requirements) and 2020 c 173 s 3 & 2019 c 348 s 5 are each repealed.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 7. This act may be known and cited as the parking reform and modernization act."

Correct the title.

Signed by Representatives Duerr, Chair; Parshley, Vice Chair; Stuebe, Assistant Ranking Minority Member; Hunt and Zahn.

MINORITY recommendation: Without recommendation. Signed by Representatives Klicker, Ranking Minority Member; and Griffey.

Referred to Committee on Rules for second reading

March 21, 2025

SSB 5212

Prime Sponsor, Agriculture & Natural Resources: Concerning filing of adjudication claims for precode uses of groundwater and surface water in the water resource inventory area 1 water rights adjudication. Reported by Committee on Agriculture & Natural Resources

MAJORITY recommendation: Do pass. Signed by Representatives Reeves, Chair; Morgan, Vice Chair; Dent, Ranking Minority Member; Engell, Assistant Ranking Minority Member; Bernbaum; McClintock; Nance; Orcutt; Richards; Schmick and Springer.

Referred to Committee on Rules for second reading

March 24, 2025

SSB 5238

Prime Sponsor, Law & Justice: Addressing reckless driving in cases involving excessive speed. Reported by Committee on Community Safety

MAJORITY recommendation: Do pass as amended.

Strike everything after the enacting clause and insert the following:

"Sec. 1. RCW 46.61.500 and 2020 c 330 s 14 are each amended to read as follows:

(1) ~~((Any person who drives any vehicle in))~~ (a) A person is guilty of reckless driving if the person drives a vehicle:

(i) In willful or wanton disregard for the safety of persons or property ((is guilty of reckless driving)); or

(ii) More than 30 miles per hour over the posted speed limit.

(b) Violation of the provisions of this section is a gross misdemeanor punishable by imprisonment for up to ((three hundred sixty-four)) 364 days and by a fine of not more than ((five thousand dollars)) \$5,000.

(2) (a) Subject to (b) of this subsection, the license or permit to drive or any nonresident privilege of any person

convicted of reckless driving shall be suspended by the department for not less than ~~((thirty))~~ 30 days.

(b) When a reckless driving conviction is a result of a charge that was originally filed as a violation of RCW 46.61.502 or 46.61.504, or an equivalent local ordinance, the department shall grant credit on a day-for-day basis for any portion of a suspension, revocation, or denial already served under an administrative action arising out of the same incident. In the case of a person whose day-for-day credit is for a period equal to or greater than the period of suspension required under this section, the department shall provide notice of full credit, shall provide for no further suspension under this section, and shall impose no additional reissue fees for this credit. During any period of suspension, revocation, or denial due to a conviction for reckless driving as the result of a charge originally filed as a violation of RCW 46.61.502 or 46.61.504, any person who has obtained an ignition interlock driver's license under RCW 46.20.385 may continue to drive a motor vehicle pursuant to the provision of the ignition interlock driver's license without obtaining a separate temporary restricted driver's license under RCW 46.20.391.

(3) (a) Except as provided under (b) of this subsection, a person convicted of reckless driving who has one or more prior offenses as defined in RCW 46.61.5055(14) within seven years shall be required, under RCW 46.20.720, to install an ignition interlock device on all vehicles operated by the person if the conviction is the result of a charge that was originally filed as a violation of RCW 46.61.502, 46.61.504, or an equivalent local ordinance.

(b) A person convicted of reckless driving shall be required, under RCW 46.20.720, to install an ignition interlock device on all vehicles operated by the person if the conviction is the result of a charge that was originally filed as a violation of RCW 46.61.520 committed while under the influence of intoxicating liquor or any drug or RCW 46.61.522 committed while under the influence of intoxicating liquor or any drug.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 2. This act takes effect September 1, 2025."

Correct the title.

Signed by Representatives Goodman, Chair; Simmons, Vice Chair; Graham, Ranking Minority Member; Griffey, Assistant Ranking Minority Member; Burnett; Davis; Farivar; Fosse and Obras.

Referred to Committee on Rules for second reading

March 24, 2025

SB 5286

Prime Sponsor, Senator Holy: Concerning policing costs driven by proximity to state hospitals. Reported by Committee on Community Safety

MAJORITY recommendation: Do pass. Signed by Representatives Goodman, Chair; Simmons, Vice Chair;

Graham, Ranking Minority Member; Griffey, Assistant Ranking Minority Member; Burnett; Davis; Farivar; Fosse and Obras.

Referred to Committee on Appropriations

March 20, 2025

ESSB 5294

Prime Sponsor, Business, Financial Services & Trade: Transferring dedicated accounts for certain professional licenses to the business and professions account. Reported by Committee on Appropriations

MAJORITY recommendation: Do pass. Signed by Representatives Ormsby, Chair; Gregerson, Vice Chair; Macri, Vice Chair; Couture, Ranking Minority Member; Connors, Assistant Ranking Minority Member; Penner, Assistant Ranking Minority Member; Schmick, Assistant Ranking Minority Member; Berg; Bergquist; Burnett; Caldier; Callan; Corry; Cortes; Doglio; Dye; Fitzgibbon; Keaton; Leavitt; Lekanoff; Manjarrez; Marshall; Pollet; Rude; Ryu; Springer; Stonier; Street; Thai and Tharinger.

Referred to Committee on Rules for second reading

March 24, 2025

SSB 5298

Prime Sponsor, Housing: Concerning the notice of sale or lease of manufactured/mobile home communities. Reported by Committee on Housing

MAJORITY recommendation: Do pass as amended.

Strike everything after the enacting clause and insert the following:

"NEW SECTION. Sec. 1. RCW 59.20.300 (Manufactured/mobile home communities—Notice of sale) and 2023 c 40 s 6, 2011 c 158 s 5, & 2008 c 116 s 4 are each repealed.

Sec. 2. RCW 59.20.325 and 2024 c 325 s 2 are each amended to read as follows:

(1) An owner shall give written notice of an opportunity to compete to purchase indicating the owner's interest in selling the manufactured/mobile home community before the owner markets the manufactured/mobile home community for sale or includes the sale of the manufactured/mobile home community in a multiple listing, and when the owner receives an offer to purchase that the owner intends to consider (~~unless that offer is received during the process under RCW 59.20.330~~)).

(2) The owner shall give the notice in subsection (1) of this section (~~by certified mail or personal delivery~~) to:

(a) ~~((All))~~ Each tenant ~~((s))~~ of the manufactured/mobile home community;

(b) ~~((A qualified tenant organization, if there is an existing qualified tenant organization within the manufactured/mobile home community))~~ The officers of any known qualified tenant organization;

(c) The department of commerce; ~~((and))~~

(d) The local government within whose jurisdiction all or part of the manufactured/mobile home community exists;

(e) Any housing authority within whose jurisdiction all or part of the manufactured/mobile home community exists; and

(f) The Washington state housing finance commission.

(3) The notice required in subsection (1) of this section must include:

(a) The date that the notice was (~~mailed by certified mail or personally delivered~~) served per RCW 59.20.150 to all tenants referenced in subsection (2)(a) of this section and distributed to all recipients set forth in subsection (2) (b) through (f) of this section;

(b) A statement that the owner is considering selling the manufactured/mobile home community or the property on which it sits;

(c) A statement that the tenants, through a qualified tenant organization representing a majority of the tenants in the community, based on home sites, or an eligible organization, have an opportunity to compete to purchase the manufactured/mobile home community;

(d) A statement that in order to compete to purchase the manufactured/mobile home community, within 70 days after the certified mailing or personal delivery date stated in accordance with (a) of this subsection of the notice of the owner's interest in selling the manufactured/mobile home community, the tenants must form or identify a single qualified tenant organization for the purpose of purchasing the manufactured/mobile home community and notify the owner in writing of:

(i) The tenants' interest in competing to purchase the manufactured/mobile home community; and

(ii) The name and contact information of the representative or representatives of the qualified tenant organization with whom the owner may communicate about the purchase; and

(e) A statement that information about purchasing a manufactured/mobile home community is available from the department of commerce.

(4) The representative or representatives of the tenants committee will be able to request park operating expenses (~~described in RCW 59.20.330~~) from the owner within a 20-day information period following delivery of the qualified tenant organization's notice to the owner indicating interest in competing to purchase the manufactured/mobile home community.

(5) An eligible organization may also compete to purchase and is subject to the same time constraints and applicable conditions as a qualified tenant organization.

(6) Electronic delivery of the notice of opportunity to compete to purchase is acceptable to:

(a) The department of commerce;

(b) The local government within whose jurisdiction all or part of the manufactured/mobile home community exists;

(c) Any housing authority within whose jurisdiction all or part of the manufactured/mobile home community exists; and

(d) The Washington state housing finance commission.

(7) Delivery of the notice of opportunity to compete to purchase to the department of commerce must include one copy of the notice

as sent to each tenant of the manufactured/mobile home community.

(8) Notices sent under subsection (2)(c) through (f) of this section must be sent within 10 days of notices sent under subsection (2)(a) and (b) of this section.

Sec. 3. RCW 59.20.335 and 2024 c 325 s 4 are each amended to read as follows:

(1) During the process described in RCW 59.20.325 (~~and 59.20.330~~), the parties shall act in good faith and in a commercially reasonable manner, which includes a duty for the tenants to notify the owner promptly if there is no intent to purchase the manufactured/mobile home community or the property on which it sits. The parties have an overall duty to act in good faith. With respect to negotiation, this overall duty of good faith requirement means that the owner must allow the tenants to develop an offer, must give their offer reasonable consideration, and to further competition, must inform any qualified tenant organization, eligible organizations, and competing potential buyers participating in negotiations upon receipt if a preferred offer is submitted. Furthermore, the owner may not deny residents the same access to the community and to information, such as operating expenses and rent rolls, that the landowner would give to a commercial buyer. With respect to financial information, all parties shall agree to keep this information confidential.

(2) Except as provided in RCW 59.20.340(1), before selling a manufactured/mobile home community to an entity that is not formed by or associated with the tenants, or to an eligible organization, the owner of the manufactured/mobile home community must give the notice required by RCW 59.20.325 (~~and comply with the requirements of RCW 59.20.330~~).

(3) A minor error in providing the notice required by RCW 59.20.325 (~~or in providing operating expenses information required by RCW 59.20.330~~) does not prevent the owner from selling the manufactured/mobile home community to an entity that is not formed by or associated with the tenants and does not cause the owner to be liable to the tenants for damages or a penalty.

(4) During the process described in RCW 59.20.325 (~~and 59.20.330~~), the owner may seek, negotiate with, or enter into a contract subject to the rights of the tenants in chapter 40, Laws of 2023 with potential purchasers other than the tenants or an entity formed by or associated with the tenants or another eligible organization.

(5) If the owner does not comply with the requirements of chapter 40, Laws of 2023 in a substantial way that prevents the tenants or an eligible organization from competing to purchase the manufactured/mobile home community, the tenants or eligible organization may:

(a) Obtain injunctive relief to prevent a sale or transfer to an entity that is not formed by or associated with the tenants; and

(b) Recover actual damages not to exceed twice the monthly rent from the owner for each tenant.

(6) If a party misuses or discloses, in a substantial way, confidential information (~~in violation of RCW 59.20.330~~), that party may recover actual damages from the other party.

(7) The department of commerce shall prepare and make available information for tenants about purchasing a manufactured dwelling or manufactured/mobile home community.

(8) Every six months from the date of delivery of a notice of opportunity to compete to purchase as provided in RCW 59.20.325 until sold or no longer for sale or actively listed, the owner must provide the department of commerce by mail, electronic delivery, or personal delivery an update on the status of the notification and an update on the status of sale. The update will be made publicly available by the department of commerce within 10 business days of receipt. The notice must include:

(a) The date that the notice was sent by mail, electronically delivered, or personally delivered to all recipients as set forth in RCW 59.20.325;

(b) The status of the sale or the opportunity to compete to purchase of the property as active, under contract, closed, or removed from the market;

(c) If the property has sold, the date of closing;

(d) If the property is under contract, the anticipated closing date;

(e) If the property is active, any change in listing price and other information noted in subsection (1) of this section."

Correct the title.

Signed by Representatives Peterson, Chair; Hill, Vice Chair; Richards, Vice Chair; Low, Ranking Minority Member; Jacobsen, Assistant Ranking Minority Member; Manjarrez, Assistant Ranking Minority Member; Barkis; Connors; Dufault; Engell; Entenman; Gregerson; Lekanoff; Reed; Timmons and Zahn.

Referred to Committee on Rules for second reading

March 20, 2025

SB 5306

Prime Sponsor, Senator Holy: Concerning the purchase of pension service credit for authorized leaves of absence. Reported by Committee on Appropriations

MAJORITY recommendation: Do pass. Signed by Representatives Ormsby, Chair; Gregerson, Vice Chair; Macri, Vice Chair; Couture, Ranking Minority Member; Connors, Assistant Ranking Minority Member; Penner, Assistant Ranking Minority Member; Schmick, Assistant Ranking Minority Member; Berg; Bergquist; Burnett; Caldier; Callan; Corry; Cortes; Doglio; Dye; Fitzgibbon; Keaton; Leavitt; Lekanoff; Manjarrez; Marshall; Pollet; Rude; Ryu; Springer; Stonier; Street; Thai and Tharinger.

Referred to Committee on Rules for second reading

March 24, 2025

SB 5317

Prime Sponsor, Senator Goehner: Exempting local governments providing certain services for projects under the jurisdiction of the

energy facility siting evaluation council from certain appeals. Reported by Committee on Environment & Energy

MAJORITY recommendation: Do pass as amended.

Strike everything after the enacting clause and insert the following:

"**Sec. 1.** RCW 80.50.120 and 1977 ex.s. c 371 s 10 are each amended to read as follows:

(1) Subject to the conditions set forth therein any certification shall bind the state and each of its departments, agencies, divisions, bureaus, commissions, boards, and political subdivisions, whether a member of the council or not, as to the approval of the site and the construction and operation of the proposed energy facility.

(2) The certification shall authorize the person named therein to construct and operate the proposed energy facility subject only to the conditions set forth in such certification.

(3) The issuance of a certification shall be in lieu of any permit, certificate or similar document required by any department, agency, division, bureau, commission, board, or political subdivision of this state, whether a member of the council or not.

(4) Any city or county entering into an agreement with the council for providing technical assistance, conducting application or plan review, or conducting inspections for the siting, construction, or operation of any proposed or certificated energy facility included under this chapter, is deemed in compliance with all other state and local laws which may be in conflict and any such actions are not subject to appeal under chapter 43.21C or 36.70C RCW.

"**Sec. 2.** RCW 43.21C.075 and 1997 c 429 s 49 are each amended to read as follows:

(1) Because a major purpose of this chapter is to combine environmental considerations with public decisions, any appeal brought under this chapter shall be linked to a specific governmental action. The State Environmental Policy Act provides a basis for challenging whether governmental action is in compliance with the substantive and procedural provisions of this chapter. The State Environmental Policy Act is not intended to create a cause of action unrelated to a specific governmental action.

(2) Unless otherwise provided by this section:

(a) Appeals under this chapter shall be of the governmental action together with its accompanying environmental determinations.

(b) Appeals of environmental determinations made (or lacking) under this chapter shall be commenced within the time required to appeal the governmental action which is subject to environmental review.

(3) If an agency has a procedure for appeals of agency environmental determinations made under this chapter, such procedure:

(a) Shall allow no more than one agency appeal proceeding on each procedural determination (the adequacy of a

determination of significance/nonsignificance or of a final environmental impact statement);

(b) Shall consolidate an appeal of procedural issues and of substantive determinations made under this chapter (such as a decision to require particular mitigation measures or to deny a proposal) with a hearing or appeal on the underlying governmental action by providing for a single simultaneous hearing before one hearing officer or body to consider the agency decision or recommendation on a proposal and any environmental determinations made under this chapter, with the exception of:

(i) An appeal of a determination of significance;

(ii) An appeal of a procedural determination made by an agency when the agency is a project proponent, or is funding a project, and chooses to conduct its review under this chapter, including any appeals of its procedural determinations, prior to submitting an application for a project permit;

(iii) An appeal of a procedural determination made by an agency on a nonproject action; or

(iv) An appeal to the local legislative authority under RCW 43.21C.060 or other applicable state statutes;

(c) Shall provide for the preparation of a record for use in any subsequent appeal proceedings, and shall provide for any subsequent appeal proceedings to be conducted on the record, consistent with other applicable law. An adequate record consists of findings and conclusions, testimony under oath, and taped or written transcript. An electronically recorded transcript will suffice for purposes of review under this subsection; and

(d) Shall provide that procedural determinations made by the responsible official shall be entitled to substantial weight.

(4) If a person aggrieved by an agency action has the right to judicial appeal and if an agency has an administrative appeal procedure, such person shall, prior to seeking any judicial review, use such agency procedure if any such procedure is available, unless expressly provided otherwise by state statute.

(5) Some statutes and ordinances contain time periods for challenging governmental actions which are subject to review under this chapter, such as various local land use approvals (the "underlying governmental action"). RCW 43.21C.080 establishes an optional "notice of action" procedure which, if used, imposes a time period for appealing decisions under this chapter. This subsection does not modify any such time periods. In this subsection, the term "appeal" refers to a judicial appeal only.

(a) If there is a time period for appealing the underlying governmental action, appeals under this chapter shall be commenced within such time period. The agency shall give official notice stating the date and place for commencing an appeal.

(b) If there is no time period for appealing the underlying governmental action, and a notice of action under RCW

43.21C.080 is used, appeals shall be commenced within the time period specified by RCW 43.21C.080.

(6)(a) Judicial review under subsection (5) of this section of an appeal decision made by an agency under subsection (3) of this section shall be on the record, consistent with other applicable law.

(b) A taped or written transcript may be used. If a taped transcript is to be reviewed, a record shall identify the location on the taped transcript of testimony and evidence to be reviewed. Parties are encouraged to designate only those portions of the testimony necessary to present the issues raised on review, but if a party alleges that a finding of fact is not supported by evidence, the party should include in the record all evidence relevant to the disputed finding. Any other party may designate additional portions of the taped transcript relating to issues raised on review. A party may provide a written transcript of portions of the testimony at the party's own expense or apply to that court for an order requiring the party seeking review to pay for additional portions of the written transcript.

(c) Judicial review under this chapter shall without exception be of the governmental action together with its accompanying environmental determinations.

(7) Jurisdiction over the review of determinations under this chapter in an appeal before an agency or superior court shall upon consent of the parties be transferred in whole or part to the shorelines hearings board. The shorelines hearings board shall hear the matter and sign the final order expeditiously. The superior court shall certify the final order of the shorelines hearings board and the certified final order may only be appealed to an appellate court. In the case of an appeal under this chapter regarding a project or other matter that is also the subject of an appeal to the shorelines hearings board under chapter 90.58 RCW, the shorelines hearings board shall have sole jurisdiction over both the appeal under this section and the appeal under chapter 90.58 RCW, shall consider them together, and shall issue a final order within one hundred eighty days as provided in RCW 90.58.180.

(8) For purposes of this section and RCW 43.21C.080, the words "action", "decision", and "determination" mean substantive agency action including any accompanying procedural determinations under this chapter (except where the word "action" means "appeal" in RCW 43.21C.080(2)). The word "action" in this section and RCW 43.21C.080 does not mean a procedural determination by itself made under this chapter. The word "determination" includes any environmental document required by this chapter and state or local implementing rules. The word "agency" refers to any state or local unit of government. Except as provided in subsection (5) of this section, the word "appeal" refers to administrative, legislative, or judicial appeals.

(9) The court in its discretion may award reasonable attorneys' fees of up to one thousand dollars in the aggregate to the prevailing party, including a governmental

agency, on issues arising out of this chapter if the court makes specific findings that the legal position of a party is frivolous and without reasonable basis.

(10) An action taken by a city or county that is in accordance with an agreement with the energy facility site evaluation council as described in RCW 80.50.120(4) is not subject to appeal under this chapter.

Sec. 3. RCW 36.70C.030 and 2010 1st sp.s. c 7 s 38 are each amended to read as follows:

(1) This chapter replaces the writ of certiorari for appeal of land use decisions and shall be the exclusive means of judicial review of land use decisions, except that this chapter does not apply to:

(a) Judicial review of:

(i) Land use decisions made by bodies that are not part of a local jurisdiction;

(ii) Land use decisions of a local jurisdiction that are subject to review by a quasi-judicial body created by state law, such as the shorelines hearings board or the growth management hearings board;

(b) Judicial review of applications for a writ of mandamus or prohibition; or

(c) Claims provided by any law for monetary damages or compensation. If one or more claims for damages or compensation are set forth in the same complaint with a land use petition brought under this chapter, the claims are not subject to the procedures and standards, including deadlines, provided in this chapter for review of the petition. The judge who hears the land use petition may, if appropriate, preside at a trial for damages or compensation.

(2) The superior court civil rules govern procedural matters under this chapter to the extent that the rules are consistent with this chapter.

(3) An action taken by a city or county that is in accordance with an agreement with the energy facility site evaluation council as described in RCW 80.50.120(4) is not subject to appeal under this chapter."

Correct the title.

Signed by Representatives Doglio, Chair; Hunt, Vice Chair; Dye, Ranking Minority Member; Klicker, Assistant Ranking Member; Abbarno; Abell; Barnard; Berry; Duerr; Fey; Kloba; Ley; Mena; Mendoza; Ramel; Stearns; Street; Stuebe; Wylie and Ybarra.

Referred to Committee on Rules for second reading

March 21, 2025

SB 5571 Prime Sponsor, Senator Bateman: Regulating exterior cladding materials. Reported by Committee on Local Government

MAJORITY recommendation: Do pass as amended.

Strike everything after the enacting clause and insert the following:

"NEW SECTION. Sec. 1. A new section is added to chapter 35.21 RCW to read as follows:

(1) Except as provided in subsection (3) of this section, a city is prohibited from

requiring or excluding exterior cladding materials that are in compliance with the state building code.

(2) "Exterior cladding" means a nonload-bearing material attached to the exterior of a building.

(3) The limitation in this section does not apply to homeowners' associations governed by chapter 64.38 RCW, plat communities governed by chapter 64.90 RCW, structures in an area designated as a local historic district, structures located in an area designated as a historic district on the national register of historic places, and structures designated as a local, state, or national historic landmark.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 2. A new section is added to chapter 35A.21 RCW to read as follows:

(1) Except as provided in subsection (3) of this section, a code city is prohibited from requiring or excluding exterior cladding materials that are in compliance with the state building code.

(2) "Exterior cladding" means a nonload-bearing material attached to the exterior of a building.

(3) The limitation in this section does not apply to homeowners' associations governed by chapter 64.38 RCW, plat communities governed by chapter 64.90 RCW, structures in an area designated as a local historic district, structures located in an area designated as a historic district on the national register of historic places, and structures designated as a local, state, or national historic landmark.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 3. A new section is added to chapter 36.01 RCW to read as follows:

(1) Except as provided in subsection (3) of this section, a county is prohibited from requiring or excluding exterior cladding materials that are in compliance with the state building code.

(2) "Exterior cladding" means a nonload-bearing material attached to the exterior of a building.

(3) The limitation in this section does not apply to homeowners' associations governed by chapter 64.38 RCW, plat communities governed by chapter 64.90 RCW, structures in an area designated as a local historic district, structures located in an area designated as a historic district on the national register of historic places, and structures designated as a local, state, or national historic landmark."

Correct the title.

Signed by Representatives Duerr, Chair; Klicker, Ranking Minority Member; Stuebe, Assistant Ranking Minority Member; Griffey; Hunt and Zahn.

Referred to Committee on Rules for second reading

There being no objection, the bills listed on the day's committee reports under the fifth order of business were referred to the committees so designated.

There being no objection, the House advanced to the sixth order of business.

SECOND READING

SUBSTITUTE SENATE BILL NO. 5025, by Senate Committee on Early Learning & K-12 Education (originally sponsored by Lovick, Nobles, Salomon, Trudeau, Valdez, Wellman and Wilson, C.)

Concerning educational interpreters.

The bill was read the second time.

There being no objection, the committee striking amendment by the Committee on Education was adopted. For Committee amendment, see Journal, Day 68, Friday, March 21, 2025.

There being no objection, the rules were suspended, the second reading considered the third and the bill, as amended by the House, was placed on final passage.

Representatives Shavers and McEntire spoke in favor of the passage of the bill.

The Speaker (Representative Timmons presiding) stated the question before the House to be the final passage of Substitute Senate Bill No. 5025, as amended by the House.

ROLL CALL

The Clerk called the roll on the final passage of Substitute Senate Bill No. 5025, as amended by the House, and the bill passed the House by the following vote: Yeas, 98; Nays, 0; Absent, 0; Excused, 0

Voting Yea: Representatives Abbarno, Abell, Barkis, Barnard, Berg, Bergquist, Bernbaum, Berry, Bronoske, Burnett, Caldier, Callan, Chase, Connors, Corry, Cortes, Couture, Davis, Dent, Doglio, Donaghy, Duerr, Dufault, Dye, Engell, Entenman, Eslick, Farivar, Fey, Fitzgibbon, Fosse, Goodman, Graham, Gregerson, Griffey, Hackney, Hill, Hunt, Jacobsen, Keaton, Klicker, Kloba, Leavitt, Lekanoff, Ley, Low, Macri, Manjarrez, Marshall, McClintock, McEntire, Mena, Mendoza, Morgan, Nance, Obras, Orcutt, Ormsby, Ortiz-Self, Parshley, Paul, Penner, Peterson, Pollet, Ramel, Reed, Reeves, Richards, Rude, Rule, Ryu, Salahuddin, Santos, Schmick, Schmidt, Scott, Shavers, Simmons, Springer, Stearns, Steele, Stokesbary, Stonier, Street, Stuebe, Taylor, Thai, Tharinger, Thomas, Timmons, Volz, Walen, Walsh, Waters, Wylie, Ybarra, Zahn and Mme. Speaker

SUBSTITUTE SENATE BILL NO. 5025, as amended by the House, having received the necessary constitutional majority, was declared passed.

SENATE BILL NO. 5122, by Senators Trudeau, Pedersen, Krishnadasan, Nobles, Salomon and Wellman

Enacting the uniform antitrust premerger notification act.

The bill was read the second time.

There being no objection, the rules were suspended, the second reading considered the third and the bill was placed on final passage.

Representative Taylor spoke in favor of the passage of the bill.

Representative Walsh spoke against the passage of the bill.

The Speaker (Representative Timmons presiding) stated the question before the House to be the final passage of Senate Bill No. 5122.

ROLL CALL

The Clerk called the roll on the final passage of Senate Bill No. 5122, and the bill passed the House by the following vote: Yeas, 59; Nays, 39; Absent, 0; Excused, 0

Voting Yea: Representatives Berg, Bergquist, Bernbaum, Berry, Bronoske, Callan, Cortes, Davis, Doglio, Donaghy, Duerr, Entenman, Farivar, Fey, Fitzgibbon, Fosse, Goodman, Gregerson, Hackney, Hill, Hunt, Kloba, Leavitt, Lekanoff, Macri, Mena, Morgan, Nance, Obras, Ormsby, Ortiz-Self, Parshley, Paul, Peterson, Pollet, Ramel, Reed, Reeves, Richards, Rule, Ryu, Salahuddin, Santos, Scott, Shavers, Simmons, Springer, Stearns, Stonier, Street, Taylor, Thai, Tharinger, Thomas, Timmons, Walen, Wylie, Zahn and Mme. Speaker

Voting Nay: Representatives Abbarno, Abell, Barkis, Barnard, Burnett, Caldier, Chase, Connors, Corry, Couture, Dent, Dufault, Dye, Engell, Eslick, Graham, Griffey, Jacobsen, Keaton, Klicker, Ley, Low, Manjarrez, Marshall, McClintock, McEntire, Mendoza, Orcutt, Penner, Rude, Schmick, Schmidt, Steele, Stokesbary, Stuebe, Volz, Walsh, Waters and Ybarra

SENATE BILL NO. 5122, having received the necessary constitutional majority, was declared passed.

SENATE BILL NO. 5051, by Senators Bateman, Riccelli, Cleveland, Nobles and Wellman

Consolidating regulatory authority for nursing assistants.

The bill was read the second time.

There being no objection, the rules were suspended, the second reading considered the third and the bill was placed on final passage.

Representatives Bronoske and Caldier spoke in favor of the passage of the bill.

The Speaker (Representative Timmons presiding) stated the question before the House to be the final passage of Senate Bill No. 5051.

ROLL CALL

The Clerk called the roll on the final passage of Senate Bill No. 5051, and the bill passed the House by the following vote: Yeas, 98; Nays, 0; Absent, 0; Excused, 0

Voting Yea: Representatives Abbarno, Abell, Barkis, Barnard, Berg, Bergquist, Bernbaum, Berry, Bronoske, Burnett, Caldier, Callan, Chase, Connors, Corry, Cortes, Couture, Davis, Dent, Doglio, Donaghy, Duerr, Dufault, Dye, Engell, Entenman, Eslick, Farivar, Fey, Fitzgibbon, Fosse, Goodman, Graham, Gregerson, Griffey, Hackney, Hill, Hunt, Jacobsen, Keaton, Klicker, Kloba, Leavitt, Lekanoff, Ley, Low, Macri, Manjarrez, Marshall, McClintock, McEntire, Mena, Mendoza, Morgan, Nance, Obras, Orcutt, Ormsby, Ortiz-Self, Parshley, Paul, Penner, Peterson, Pollet, Ramel, Reed, Reeves, Richards, Rude, Rule, Ryu, Salahuddin, Santos, Schmick, Schmidt, Scott, Shavers, Simmons, Springer, Stearns, Steele, Stokesbary, Stonier, Street, Stuebe, Taylor, Thai, Tharinger, Thomas, Timmons, Volz, Walen, Walsh, Waters, Wylie, Ybarra, Zahn and Mme. Speaker

SENATE BILL NO. 5051, having received the necessary constitutional majority, was declared passed.

SENATE BILL NO. 5084, by Senators Robinson, Muzzall, Dhingra, Hasegawa, Krishnadasan, Nobles and Salomon

Concerning health carrier reporting.

The bill was read the second time.

There being no objection, the rules were suspended, the second reading considered the third and the bill was placed on final passage.

Representatives Bronoske and Manjarrez spoke in favor of the passage of the bill.

The Speaker (Representative Timmons presiding) stated the question before the House to be the final passage of Senate Bill No. 5084.

ROLL CALL

The Clerk called the roll on the final passage of Senate Bill No. 5084, and the bill passed the House by the following vote: Yeas, 98; Nays, 0; Absent, 0; Excused, 0

Voting Yea: Representatives Abbarno, Abell, Barkis, Barnard, Berg, Bergquist, Bernbaum, Berry, Bronoske, Burnett, Calder, Callan, Chase, Connors, Corry, Cortes, Couture, Davis, Dent, Doglio, Donaghy, Duerr, Dufault, Dye, Engell, Entenman, Eslick, Farivar, Fey, Fitzgibbon, Fosse, Goodman, Graham, Gregerson, Griffey, Hackney, Hill, Hunt, Jacobsen, Keaton, Klicker, Kloba, Leavitt, Lekanoff, Ley, Low, Macri, Manjarrez, Marshall, McClintock, McEntire, Mena, Mendoza, Morgan, Nance, Obras, Orcutt, Ormsby, Ortiz-Self, Parshley, Paul, Penner, Peterson, Pollet, Ramel, Reed, Reeves, Richards, Rude, Rule, Ryu, Salahuddin, Santos, Schmick, Schmidt, Scott, Shavers, Simmons, Springer, Stearns, Steele, Stokesbary, Stonier, Street, Stuebe, Taylor, Thai, Tharinger, Thomas, Timmons, Volz, Walen, Walsh, Waters, Wylie, Ybarra, Zahn and Mme. Speaker

SENATE BILL NO. 5084, having received the necessary constitutional majority, was declared passed.

SUBSTITUTE SENATE BILL NO. 5118, by Senate Committee on Health & Long-Term Care (originally sponsored by Valdez, Orwall, Nobles, Saldaña and Stanford)

Updating the requirements for the clinical experience license for international medical graduates.

The bill was read the second time.

There being no objection, the rules were suspended, the second reading considered the third and the bill was placed on final passage.

Representatives Bronoske and Marshall spoke in favor of the passage of the bill.

The Speaker (Representative Timmons presiding) stated the question before the House to be the final passage of Substitute Senate Bill No. 5118.

ROLL CALL

The Clerk called the roll on the final passage of Substitute Senate Bill No. 5118, and the bill passed the House by the following vote: Yeas, 98; Nays, 0; Absent, 0; Excused, 0

Voting Yea: Representatives Abbarno, Abell, Barkis, Barnard, Berg, Bergquist, Bernbaum, Berry, Bronoske, Burnett, Calder, Callan, Chase, Connors, Corry, Cortes, Couture, Davis, Dent, Doglio, Donaghy, Duerr, Dufault, Dye, Engell, Entenman, Eslick, Farivar, Fey, Fitzgibbon, Fosse, Goodman, Graham, Gregerson, Griffey, Hackney, Hill, Hunt, Jacobsen, Keaton, Klicker, Kloba, Leavitt, Lekanoff, Ley, Low, Macri, Manjarrez, Marshall, McClintock, McEntire, Mena, Mendoza, Morgan, Nance, Obras, Orcutt, Ormsby, Ortiz-Self, Parshley, Paul, Penner, Peterson, Pollet, Ramel, Reed, Reeves, Richards, Rude, Rule, Ryu, Salahuddin, Santos, Schmick, Schmidt, Scott, Shavers, Simmons, Springer, Stearns, Steele, Stokesbary, Stonier, Street, Stuebe, Taylor, Thai, Tharinger, Thomas, Timmons, Volz, Walen, Walsh, Waters, Wylie, Ybarra, Zahn and Mme. Speaker

SUBSTITUTE SENATE BILL NO. 5118, having received the necessary constitutional majority, was declared passed.

SENATE BILL NO. 5006, by Senators Pedersen, Holy, Nobles and Wellman

Making updates to Washington's corporation acts.

The bill was read the second time.

There being no objection, the rules were suspended, the second reading considered the third and the bill was placed on final passage.

Representatives Taylor and Abell spoke in favor of the passage of the bill.

The Speaker (Representative Timmons presiding) stated the question before the House to be the final passage of Senate Bill No. 5006.

ROLL CALL

The Clerk called the roll on the final passage of Senate Bill No. 5006, and the bill passed the House by the following vote: Yeas, 92; Nays, 6; Absent, 0; Excused, 0

Voting Yea: Representatives Abbarno, Abell, Barkis, Barnard, Berg, Bergquist, Bernbaum, Berry, Bronoske, Burnett, Calder, Callan, Connors, Corry, Cortes, Couture, Davis, Dent, Doglio, Donaghy, Duerr, Dufault, Dye, Engell, Entenman, Eslick, Farivar, Fey, Fitzgibbon, Fosse, Goodman, Gregerson, Griffey, Hackney, Hill, Hunt, Jacobsen, Keaton, Klicker, Kloba, Leavitt, Lekanoff, Ley, Low, Macri, Manjarrez, Marshall, McClintock, Mena, Mendoza, Morgan, Nance, Obras, Ormsby, Ortiz-Self, Parshley, Paul, Penner, Peterson, Pollet, Ramel, Reed, Reeves, Richards, Rude, Rule, Ryu, Salahuddin, Santos, Schmick, Schmidt, Scott, Shavers, Simmons, Springer, Stearns, Steele, Stokesbary, Stonier, Street, Stuebe, Taylor, Thai, Tharinger, Thomas, Timmons, Walen, Waters, Wylie, Ybarra, Zahn and Mme. Speaker

Voting Nay: Representatives Chase, Graham, McEntire, Orcutt, Volz and Walsh

SENATE BILL NO. 5006, having received the necessary constitutional majority, was declared passed.

ENGROSSED SUBSTITUTE SENATE BILL NO. 5004, by Senate Committee on Early Learning & K-12 Education (originally sponsored by Torres, Christian, Dozier, Harris, Krishnadasan, McCune, Salomon, Schoesler and Wilson, J.)

Updating emergency response systems in public schools including panic or alert buttons.

The bill was read the second time.

There being no objection, the committee striking amendment by the Committee on Education was adopted. For Committee amendment, see Journal, Day 68, Friday, March 21, 2025.

There being no objection, the rules were suspended, the second reading considered the third and the bill, as amended by the House, was placed on final passage.

Representatives Shavers and Keaton spoke in favor of the passage of the bill.

The Speaker (Representative Timmons presiding) stated the question before the House to be the final passage of Engrossed Substitute Senate Bill No. 5004, as amended by the House.

ROLL CALL

The Clerk called the roll on the final passage of Engrossed Substitute Senate Bill No. 5004, as amended by the House, and the bill passed the House by the following vote: Yeas, 98; Nays, 0; Absent, 0; Excused, 0

Voting Yea: Representatives Abbarno, Abell, Barkis, Barnard, Berg, Bergquist, Bernbaum, Berry, Bronoske, Burnett, Calder, Callan, Chase, Connors, Corry, Cortes, Couture, Davis, Dent, Doglio, Donaghy, Duerr, Dufault, Dye, Engell, Entenman, Eslick, Farivar, Fey, Fitzgibbon, Fosse, Goodman, Graham, Gregerson,

Griffey, Hackney, Hill, Hunt, Jacobsen, Keaton, Klicker, Kloba, Leavitt, Lekanoff, Ley, Low, Macri, Manjarrez, Marshall, McClintock, McEntire, Mena, Mendoza, Morgan, Nance, Obras, Orcutt, Ormsby, Ortiz-Self, Parshley, Paul, Penner, Peterson, Pollet, Ramel, Reed, Reeves, Richards, Rude, Rule, Ryu, Salahuddin, Santos, Schmick, Schmidt, Scott, Shavers, Simmons, Springer, Stearns, Steele, Stokesbary, Stonier, Street, Stuebe, Taylor, Thai, Tharinger, Thomas, Timmons, Volz, Walen, Walsh, Waters, Wylie, Ybarra, Zahn and Mme. Speaker

ENGROSSED SUBSTITUTE SENATE BILL NO. 5004, as amended by the House, having received the necessary constitutional majority, was declared passed.

There being no objection, the House adjourned until 10:30 a.m., Thursday, March 27, 2025, the 74th Day of the 2025 Regular Session.

LAURIE JINKINS, Speaker

BERNARD DEAN, Chief Clerk

Draft

2061	Introduction & 1st Reading	1
5004-S	Second Reading	11
	Third Reading Final Passage	11
5006	Second Reading	11
	Third Reading Final Passage	11
5025-S	Second Reading	10
	Third Reading Final Passage	10
5033-S	Committee Report	1
5051	Second Reading	10
	Third Reading Final Passage	10
5084	Second Reading	10
	Third Reading Final Passage	11
5118-S	Second Reading	11
	Third Reading Final Passage	11
5122	Second Reading	10
	Third Reading Final Passage	10
5129-S	Committee Report	1
5168-S	Committee Report	1
5184-S	Committee Report	2
5212-S	Committee Report	4
5238-S	Committee Report	4
5286	Committee Report	5
5294-S	Committee Report	5
5298-S	Committee Report	5
5306	Committee Report	7
5317	Committee Report	7
5571	Committee Report	9
SPEAKER OF THE HOUSE (Representative Timmons presiding)		
	Speaker's Privilege	1