

SIXTY NINTH LEGISLATURE - REGULAR SESSION

FIFTY SECOND DAY

House Chamber, Olympia, Wednesday, March 5, 2025

The House was called to order at 9:00 a.m. by the Speaker (Representative Timmons presiding). The Clerk called the roll and a quorum was present.

The flags were escorted to the rostrum by a Sergeant at Arms Color Guard, Pages Tanner Ferguson and Lucia Mourer. The Speaker (Representative Timmons presiding) led the Chamber in the Pledge of Allegiance. The prayer was offered by Elder Theodis Brown, Alzheimer Memorial Church, Tacoma.

Reading of the Journal of the previous day was dispensed with and it was ordered to stand approved.

There being no objection, the House advanced to the third order of business.

MESSAGE FROM THE SENATE

Tuesday, March 4, 2025

Mme. Speaker:

The Senate has passed:

ENGROSSED SUBSTITUTE SENATE BILL NO. 5663
ENGROSSED SUBSTITUTE SENATE BILL NO. 5677

and the same are herewith transmitted.

Colleen Pehar, Deputy Secretary

There being no objection, the House advanced to the fourth order of business.

INTRODUCTION & FIRST READING

SSB 5074 by Senate Committee on Agriculture & Natural Resources (originally sponsored by Boehnke, Chapman and Krishnadasan)

AN ACT Relating to payment of seed contracts; and adding a new chapter to Title 15 RCW.

Referred to Committee on Agriculture & Natural Resources.

SSB 5085 by Senate Committee on Ways & Means (originally sponsored by Robinson)

AN ACT Relating to three of Washington state's closed retirement plans; amending RCW 41.50.030, 41.50.075, 41.50.110, 41.32.4992, 41.40.1987, 41.45.010, 41.45.020, 41.45.035, 41.45.050, 41.45.060, 41.45.070, 41.45.150, 41.45.200, 41.45.203, 41.45.207, 6.15.020, 41.04.440, 41.04.445, 41.04.450, 41.04.803, 41.05.320, 41.24.400, 41.50.080, 41.50.235, 41.50.255, 41.50.500, 41.54.010, and 41.54.040; reenacting and amending RCW 43.84.092 and 43.84.092; adding a new section to chapter 41.50 RCW; adding new sections to chapter 41.32 RCW; adding new sections to chapter 41.40 RCW; adding a new section to chapter 41.26 RCW; adding a new section to chapter 41.35 RCW; adding a new section to chapter 41.37 RCW; adding a new chapter to Title 41 RCW; creating new sections; providing effective dates; providing an expiration date; providing a contingent expiration date; and declaring an emergency.

Referred to Committee on Appropriations.

SB 5109 by Senators Kauffman, Stanford, Lovelett and Nobles

AN ACT Relating to the mortgage lending fraud prosecution account; and amending RCW 36.22.181 and 43.320.140.

Referred to Committee on Appropriations.

SSB 5124 by Senate Committee on Health & Long-Term Care (originally sponsored by Muzzall, Chapman and Dozier)

AN ACT Relating to increasing patient access to timely and medically necessary postacute care by establishing network adequacy standards for skilled nursing facilities and rehabilitation hospitals within managed care contracts for medical assistance programs; amending RCW 74.09.522; and adding a new section to chapter 74.09 RCW.

Referred to Committee on Health Care & Wellness.

SSB 5127 by Senate Committee on Transportation (originally sponsored by Lovick, Fortunato, Liias and Nobles)

AN ACT Relating to creating additional requirements for collector vehicle and horseless carriage license plates to improve compliance and public safety; amending RCW 46.30.020, 46.04.199, 46.18.255, and 46.18.220; and providing an effective date.

Referred to Committee on Transportation.

SSB 5139 by Senate Committee on Human Services (originally sponsored by Wilson, C., Frame, Hasegawa and Nobles)

AN ACT Relating to reentry council; and amending RCW 43.380.030, 43.380.060, and 43.380.070.

Referred to Committee on Community Safety.

SB 5178 by Senators Trudeau, Harris, Frame, Hasegawa, Liias, Nobles, Orwall, Pedersen, Salomon and Shewmake

AN ACT Relating to protecting public health and safety by regulating the transfer of sodium nitrite; adding a new chapter to Title 69 RCW; prescribing penalties; and declaring an emergency.

Referred to Committee on Consumer Protection & Business.

ESSB 5200 by Senate Committee on Health & Long-Term Care (originally sponsored by Wagoner, Christian and Fortunato)

AN ACT Relating to veterans' medical foster homes; and amending RCW 70.128.030, 74.39A.009, 74.34.020, and 74.39A.056.

Referred to Committee on Health Care & Wellness.

SSB 5262 by Senate Committee on Business, Financial Services & Trade (originally sponsored by Kauffman, Wilson, J., Nobles, Shewmake and Trudeau)

AN ACT Relating to correcting obsolete or erroneous references in statutes administered by the insurance

commissioner, by repealing defunct statutes and reports, aligning policy with federal law and current interpretations, making timeline adjustments, protecting patient data, and making technical corrections; amending RCW 42.56.400, 48.14.070, 48.19.460, 48.19.540, 48.37.050, 48.38.010, 48.38.012, 48.43.0128, 48.43.135, 48.43.743, 48.135.030, 48.140.040, 48.140.050, 48.150.100, and 48.160.020; repealing RCW 48.02.230, 48.02.240, 48.43.049, 48.43.650, 48.140.070, and 48.160.005; and providing an effective date.

Referred to Committee on Consumer Protection & Business.

ESSB 5294 by Senate Committee on Business, Financial Services & Trade (originally sponsored by Gildon, Stanford, Dozier and Nobles)

AN ACT Relating to transferring dedicated accounts for certain professional licenses to the business and professions account; amending RCW 43.24.150; creating a new section; repealing RCW 18.08.240, 18.39.810, 18.96.210, 18.140.260, 18.220.120, and 18.310.160; and providing an effective date.

Referred to Committee on Appropriations.

SSB 5314 by Senate Committee on Ways & Means (originally sponsored by Stanford, Harris and Nobles)

AN ACT Relating to modifying the capital gains tax under chapter 82.87 RCW and related statutes by closing loopholes, replacing the business and occupation tax credit with a capital gains tax credit, clarifying ambiguities and making technical corrections in a manner that is not estimated to affect state or local tax collections, modifying the credit for taxes paid in other jurisdictions, treating spouses and domestic partners more consistently, modifying and adding definitions, creating a late payment penalty waiver, modifying the publication schedule for inflation adjustments, modifying the distributions of moneys to the following fiscal year instead of calendar year, adding a nonclaim period, and adding a new requirement for brokers and barter exchanges; amending RCW 82.04.4497, 82.87.020, 82.87.050, 82.87.070, 82.87.080, 82.87.100, 82.87.110, 82.87.120, 82.87.150, 82.32.060, and 82.32.090; reenacting and amending RCW 82.32.050; adding new sections to chapter 82.87 RCW; creating a new section; providing an effective date; and providing an expiration date.

Referred to Committee on Finance.

SB 5325 by Senators Warnick, Nobles, Riccelli, Shewmake and Valdez

AN ACT Relating to designating the state cactus; and adding a new section to chapter 1.20 RCW.

Referred to Committee on State Government & Tribal Relations.

SSB 5331 by Senate Committee on Business, Financial Services & Trade (originally sponsored by Cortes, Frame, Hasegawa, Kauffman, Lovelett, Lovick, Nobles, Orwall, Stanford, Trudeau and Wilson, C.)

AN ACT Relating to strengthening consumer protection through increased insurer accountability for violations of the insurance code; and amending RCW 48.02.080 and 48.05.185.

Referred to Committee on Consumer Protection & Business.

SB 5334 by Senators Short, Chapman, Bateman and Nobles

AN ACT Relating to adding the department of natural resources' civil enforcement decisions under RCW 76.04.205 to appeals that may be heard by the pollution control hearings board; and reenacting and amending RCW 43.21B.110.

Referred to Committee on Agriculture & Natural Resources.

SSB 5436 by Senate Committee on Law & Justice (originally sponsored by Salomon, Valdez, Wellman, Braun, Chapman, Cortes, Dhingra, Hasegawa, Orwall, Saldaña and Schoesler)

AN ACT Relating to interfering with access to a place of religious worship; adding a new chapter to Title 9A RCW; and prescribing penalties.

Referred to Committee on Community Safety.

SB 5458 by Senators Frame, Cleveland, Robinson, Liias, Braun, Chapman, Conway, Hasegawa, Lovick, Nobles, Orwall, Saldaña, Salomon, Shewmake, Slatter and Valdez

AN ACT Relating to newspapers and eligible digital content; amending RCW 82.04.759; reenacting and amending RCW 82.04.759; providing an effective date; and providing expiration dates.

Referred to Committee on Finance.

ESSB 5459 by Senate Committee on Labor & Commerce (originally sponsored by Stanford, Saldaña, Conway, Hasegawa, Valdez, Nobles and Wilson, C.)

AN ACT Relating to call center retention; adding a new chapter to Title 50 RCW; and prescribing penalties.

Referred to Committee on Labor & Workplace Standards.

SB 5473 by Senators Conway, Chapman and Holy

AN ACT Relating to law enforcement personnel grievance arbitration procedures; and amending RCW 41.58.070.

Referred to Committee on Labor & Workplace Standards.

SB 5498 by Senators Alvarado, Slatter, Bateman, Cleveland, Frame, Liias, Lovelett, Nobles, Pedersen, Saldaña, Salomon, Stanford, Trudeau, Valdez and Wilson, C.

AN ACT Relating to contraceptive coverage; amending RCW 48.43.195; and providing an effective date.

Referred to Committee on Health Care & Wellness.

SSB 5516 by Senate Committee on Ways & Means (originally sponsored by Conway and Nobles)

AN ACT Relating to modifying the property tax exemption for community centers; amending RCW 84.36.010; and creating new sections.

Referred to Committee on Finance.

SSB 5545 by Senate Committee on Early Learning & K-12 Education (originally sponsored by Riccelli, Holy, Christian, Conway, Nobles and Valdez)

AN ACT Relating to modifying provisions regarding family home providers overseen and certified by a federal military service; amending RCW 26.44.210; and reenacting and amending RCW 43.216.010.

Referred to Committee on Early Learning & Human Services.

SB 5589 by Senators Hasegawa, Kauffman, Lovelett, Nobles, Saldaña, Stanford and Wilson, C.

AN ACT Relating to conducting a study of credit history, credit-based insurance scores, and other rate factors that may disparately impact Washington residents, in making rates for

personal insurance; creating a new section; and providing an expiration date.

Referred to Committee on Consumer Protection & Business.

SB 5669 by Senators Torres, Short, Dozier, Schoesler and Warnick

AN ACT Relating to irrigation district elections; amending RCW 87.03.031, 87.03.032, 87.03.033, 87.03.045, 87.03.051, 87.03.071, 87.03.075, 87.03.085, and 87.03.105; adding new sections to chapter 87.03 RCW; and prescribing penalties.

Referred to Committee on Local Government.

SB 5672 by Senators Muzzall, Cleveland, Chapman, Dozier and Nobles

AN ACT Relating to delaying the home care aide certification requirements; amending RCW 18.88B.021; adding a new section to chapter 18.88B RCW; and providing an expiration date.

Referred to Committee on Health Care & Wellness.

SSB 5691 by Senate Committee on Health & Long-Term Care (originally sponsored by Cleveland and Nobles)

AN ACT Relating to adopting the department of social and health services report recommendations addressing a regulatory oversight plan for continuing care retirement communities; and amending RCW 18.390.080.

Referred to Committee on Health Care & Wellness.

SSB 5714 by Senate Committee on Law & Justice (originally sponsored by Trudeau, Torres, Hasegawa, Nobles, Valdez and Wilson, C.)

AN ACT Relating to declaring civil immigration enforcement as unprofessional conduct of bail bond agents and bail bond recovery agents; and amending RCW 18.185.110.

Referred to Committee on Consumer Protection & Business.

There being no objection, the bills listed on the day's introduction sheet under the fourth order of business were referred to the committees so designated.

There being no objection, the House advanced to the sixth order of business.

SECOND READING

HOUSE BILL NO. 1551, by Representatives Reeves, Entenman, Morgan, Kloba, Ormsby, Santos, Doglio and Hill

Extending the cannabis social equity program.

The bill was read the second time.

There being no objection, Substitute House Bill No. 1551 was substituted for House Bill No. 1551 and the substitute bill was placed on the second reading calendar.

SUBSTITUTE HOUSE BILL NO. 1551 was read the second time.

Representative Reeves moved the adoption of amendment (113):

On page 1, beginning on line 15, after "appropriate" strike all material through "program" on line 17

On page 1, line 19, after "2034" insert ", and remove a restriction on the issuance

of grants to cannabis licensees who meet the social equity applicant criteria"

On page 2, beginning on line 8, after "(1)(a)" strike all material through "until" on line 9 and insert "~~((Beginning December 1, 2020, and until))~~ Until"

On page 2, beginning on line 19, after "subsection," strike all material through "section," on line 20

On page 4, beginning on line 12, after "(6)" strike all material through "(b)" on line 18

On page 4, at the beginning of line 27, strike "(i)" and insert "(a)"

On page 4, at the beginning of line 31, strike "(ii)" and insert "(b)"

On page 4, at the beginning of line 38, strike "(iii)" and insert "(c)"

On page 5, at the beginning of line 3, strike "(iv)" and insert "(d)"

On page 5, at the beginning of line 7, strike "(v)" and insert "(e)"

On page 5, at the beginning of line 12, strike "(vi)" and insert "(f)"

On page 5, beginning on line 32, strike all of subsection (c)

On page 6, after line 40, insert the following:

"Sec. 1. RCW 43.330.540 and 2023 c 220 s 1 are each amended to read as follows:

(1) The cannabis social equity technical assistance grant program is established and is to be administered by the department.

(2)(a) The cannabis social equity technical assistance grant program must award grants to:

(i) Cannabis license applicants who are social equity applicants as defined in RCW 69.50.335 submitting social equity plans as defined in RCW 69.50.101; and

(ii) Cannabis licensees (~~((holding a license issued after April 1, 2023, and before July 1, 2024,))~~) who meet the social equity applicant criteria under RCW 69.50.335.

(b) Grant recipients under this subsection (2) must demonstrate completion of their project within 12 months of receiving a grant, unless a grant recipient requests, and the department approves, additional time to complete the project.

(3) The department must award grants primarily based on the strength of the social equity plans submitted by cannabis license applicants and cannabis licensees (~~((holding a license issued after April 1, 2023, and before July 1, 2024,))~~) identified in subsection (2)(a) of this section, but may also consider additional criteria if deemed necessary or appropriate by the department. Technical assistance activities eligible for funding include, but are not limited to:

(a) Assistance navigating the cannabis licensure process;

(b) Cannabis-business specific education and business plan development;

(c) Regulatory compliance training;

(d) Financial management training and assistance in seeking financing;

(e) Strengthening a social equity plan as defined in RCW 69.50.101; and

(f) Connecting social equity applicants with established industry members and tribal cannabis enterprises and programs for mentoring and other forms of support.

(4) The department may contract to establish a roster of mentors who are available to support and advise social equity applicants and current licensees who meet the social equity applicant criteria under RCW 69.50.335. Contractors under this section must:

(a) Have knowledge and experience demonstrating their ability to effectively advise eligible applicants and licensees in navigating the state's licensing and regulatory framework or on producing and processing cannabis;

(b) Be a business that is at least 51 percent minority or woman-owned; and

(c) Meet department reporting and invoicing requirements.

(5) Funding for the cannabis social equity technical assistance grant program must be provided under RCW 69.50.540. Additionally, the department may solicit, receive, and expend private contributions to support the grant program.

(6) The department may adopt rules to implement this section.

(7) For the purposes of this section, "cannabis" has the meaning provided under RCW 69.50.101."

Renumber the remaining sections consecutively and correct any internal references accordingly.

Representatives Reeves and Dufault spoke in favor of the adoption of the amendment.

MOTION

On motion of Representative Leavitt, Representatives Hackney and Simmons were excused.

Amendment (113) was adopted.

The bill was ordered engrossed.

There being no objection, the rules were suspended, the second reading considered the third and the bill was placed on final passage.

Representative Reeves spoke in favor of the passage of the bill.

Representative Dufault spoke against the passage of the bill.

The Speaker (Representative Timmons presiding) stated the question before the House to be the final passage of Engrossed Substitute House Bill No. 1551.

ROLL CALL

The Clerk called the roll on the final passage of Engrossed Substitute House Bill No. 1551, and the bill passed the House by the following vote: Yeas, 57; Nays, 39; Absent, 0; Excused, 2

Voting Yea: Representatives Berg, Bergquist, Bernbaum, Berry, Bronoske, Callan, Cortes, Davis, Doglio, Donaghy, Duerr, Entenman, Farivar, Fey, Fitzgibbon, Fosse, Goodman, Gregerson, Hill, Hunt, Kloba, Leavitt, Lekanoff, Macri, Mena, Morgan, Nance, Obras, Ormsby, Ortiz-Self, Parshley, Paul, Peterson, Pollet, Ramel, Reed, Reeves, Richards, Rule, Ryu, Salahuddin, Santos, Scott, Shavers, Springer, Stearns, Stonier, Street, Taylor, Thai, Tharinger, Thomas, Timmons, Walen, Wylie, Zahn and Mme. Speaker

Voting Nay: Representatives Abbarno, Abell, Barkis, Barnard, Burnett, Caldier, Chase, Connors, Corry, Couture, Dent, Dufault, Dye, Engell, Eslick, Graham, Griffey, Jacobsen, Keaton, Klicker, Ley, Low, Manjarrez, Marshall, McClintock, McEntire, Mendoza, Orcutt, Penner, Rude, Schmick, Schmidt, Steele, Stokesbary, Stuebe, Volz, Walsh, Waters and Ybarra

Excused: Representatives Hackney and Simmons

ENGROSSED SUBSTITUTE HOUSE BILL NO. 1551, having received the necessary constitutional majority, was declared passed.

HOUSE BILL NO. 1731, by Representative Waters

Regarding unclaimed property held by a museum or historical society.

The bill was read the second time.

There being no objection, the rules were suspended, the second reading considered the third and the bill was placed on final passage.

Representatives Waters and Taylor spoke in favor of the passage of the bill.

The Speaker (Representative Timmons presiding) stated the question before the House to be the final passage of House Bill No. 1731.

ROLL CALL

The Clerk called the roll on the final passage of House Bill No. 1731, and the bill passed the House by the following vote: Yeas, 96; Nays, 0; Absent, 0; Excused, 2

Voting Yea: Representatives Abbarno, Abell, Barkis, Barnard, Berg, Bergquist, Bernbaum, Berry, Bronoske, Burnett, Caldier, Callan, Chase, Connors, Corry, Cortes, Couture, Davis, Dent, Doglio, Donaghy, Duerr, Dufault, Dye, Engell, Entenman, Eslick, Farivar, Fey, Fitzgibbon, Fosse, Goodman, Graham, Gregerson, Griffey, Hill, Hunt, Jacobsen, Keaton, Klicker, Kloba, Leavitt, Lekanoff, Ley, Low, Macri, Manjarrez, Marshall, McClintock, McEntire, Mena, Mendoza, Morgan, Nance, Obras, Orcutt, Ormsby, Ortiz-Self, Parshley, Paul, Penner, Peterson, Pollet, Ramel, Reed, Reeves, Richards, Rude, Rule, Ryu, Salahuddin, Santos, Schmick, Schmidt, Scott, Shavers, Springer, Stearns, Steele, Stokesbary, Stonier, Street, Stuebe, Taylor, Thai, Tharinger, Thomas, Timmons, Volz, Walen, Walsh, Waters, Wylie, Ybarra, Zahn and Mme. Speaker

Excused: Representatives Hackney and Simmons

HOUSE BILL NO. 1731, having received the necessary constitutional majority, was declared passed.

The Speaker assumed the chair.

SECOND READING

HOUSE BILL NO. 1788, by Representatives Richards, Bronoske, Berry, Wylie, Fosse, Taylor, Ormsby, Nance, Salahuddin, Pollet and Obras

Concerning workers' compensation benefits.

The bill was read the second time.

There being no objection, Second Substitute House Bill No. 1788 was substituted for House Bill No. 1788 and the second substitute bill was placed on the second reading calendar.

SECOND SUBSTITUTE HOUSE BILL NO. 1788 was read the second time.

There being no objection, the rules were suspended, the second reading considered the third and the bill was placed on final passage.

Representatives Richards and Schmidt spoke in favor of the passage of the bill.

The Speaker stated the question before the House to be the final passage of Second Substitute House Bill No. 1788.

ROLL CALL

The Clerk called the roll on the final passage of Second Substitute House Bill No. 1788, and the bill passed the House by the following vote: Yeas, 78; Nays, 18; Absent, 0; Excused, 2

Voting Yea: Representatives Abbarno, Abell, Barnard, Berg, Bergquist, Bernbaum, Berry, Bronoske, Burnett, Caldier, Chase, Cortes, Davis, Doglio, Donaghy, Duerr, Engell, Entenman, Eslick, Farivar, Fey, Fitzgibbon, Fosse, Goodman, Graham, Gregerson, Griffey, Hill, Hunt, Jacobsen, Keaton, Kloba, Leavitt, Lekanoff, Ley, Low, Macri, McEntire, Mena, Morgan, Nance, Obras, Ormsby, Ortiz-Self, Parshley, Paul, Peterson, Pollet, Ramel, Reed, Reeves, Richards, Rule, Ryu, Salahuddin, Santos, Schmidt, Scott, Shavers, Springer, Stearns, Steele, Stonier, Street, Stuebe, Taylor, Thai, Tharinger, Thomas, Timmons, Volz, Walen, Walsh, Waters, Wylie, Zahn and Mme. Speaker

Voting Nay: Representatives Barkis, Connors, Corry, Couture, Dent, Dufault, Dye, Klicker, Manjarrez, Marshall, McClintock, Mendoza, Orcutt, Penner, Rude, Schmick, Stokesbary and Ybarra

Excused: Representatives Hackney and Simmons

SECOND SUBSTITUTE HOUSE BILL NO. 1788, having received the necessary constitutional majority, was declared passed.

POINT OF PERSONAL PRIVILEGE

Representative Caldier congratulated Representative Richards on the passage of his first bill through the House and asked the Chamber to acknowledge his accomplishment.

The Speaker called upon Representative Timmons to preside.

SECOND READING

HOUSE BILL NO. 1391, by Representatives Cortes, Eslick, Ryu, Stonier, Simmons, Peterson, Reed, Parshley, Goodman, Doglio, Taylor, Salahuddin, Street, Timmons, Scott and Santos

Improving developmentally appropriate alternatives for youth outside the formal court process.

The bill was read the second time.

There being no objection, Second Substitute House Bill No. 1391 was substituted for House Bill No. 1391 and the second substitute bill was placed on the second reading calendar.

SECOND SUBSTITUTE HOUSE BILL NO. 1391 was read the second time.

There being no objection, the rules were suspended, the second reading considered the third and the bill was placed on final passage.

Representatives Cortes and Eslick spoke in favor of the passage of the bill.

MOTION

On motion of Representative Ramel, Representatives Reed, Richards and Thomas were excused.

Representative Stonier spoke in favor of the passage of the bill.

Representative Dufault spoke against the passage of the bill.

The Speaker (Representative Timmons presiding) stated the question before the House to be the final passage of Second Substitute House Bill No. 1391.

ROLL CALL

The Clerk called the roll on the final passage of Second Substitute House Bill No. 1391, and the bill passed the House by the following vote: Yeas, 91; Nays, 2; Absent, 0; Excused, 5

Voting Yea: Representatives Abbarno, Abell, Barkis, Barnard, Berg, Bergquist, Bernbaum, Berry, Bronoske, Burnett, Caldier, Callan, Chase, Connors, Corry, Cortes, Couture, Davis, Dent, Doglio, Donaghy, Duerr, Dye, Engell, Entenman, Eslick, Farivar, Fey, Fitzgibbon, Fosse, Goodman, Graham, Gregerson, Griffey, Hill, Hunt, Jacobsen, Keaton, Klicker, Kloba, Leavitt, Lekanoff, Ley, Low, Macri, Manjarrez, Marshall, McClintock, McEntire, Mena, Mendoza, Morgan, Nance, Obras, Orcutt, Ormsby, Ortiz-Self, Parshley, Paul, Penner, Peterson, Pollet, Ramel, Reeves, Rude, Rule, Ryu, Salahuddin, Santos, Schmick, Schmidt, Scott, Shavers, Springer, Stearns, Steele, Stokesbary, Stonier, Street, Stuebe, Taylor, Thai, Tharinger, Timmons, Volz, Walen, Waters, Wylie, Ybarra, Zahn and Mme. Speaker

Voting Nay: Representatives Dufault and Walsh

Excused: Representatives Hackney, Reed, Richards, Simmons and Thomas

SECOND SUBSTITUTE HOUSE BILL NO. 1391, having received the necessary constitutional majority, was declared passed.

HOUSE BILL NO. 1260, by Representatives Schmidt, Ormsby and Hill

Concerning administrative costs associated with the document recording fee.

The bill was read the second time.

There being no objection, Substitute House Bill No. 1260 was substituted for House Bill No. 1260 and the substitute bill was placed on the second reading calendar.

SUBSTITUTE HOUSE BILL NO. 1260 was read the second time.

There being no objection, the rules were suspended, the second reading considered the third and the bill was placed on final passage.

Representatives Schmidt and Stonier spoke in favor of the passage of the bill.

The Speaker (Representative Timmons presiding) stated the question before the House to be the final passage of Substitute House Bill No. 1260.

ROLL CALL

The Clerk called the roll on the final passage of Substitute House Bill No. 1260, and the bill passed the House by the following vote: Yeas, 96; Nays, 0; Absent, 0; Excused, 2

Voting Yea: Representatives Abbarno, Abell, Barkis, Barnard, Berg, Bergquist, Bernbaum, Berry, Bronoske, Burnett, Caldier, Callan, Chase, Connors, Corry, Cortes, Couture, Davis, Dent, Doglio, Donaghy, Duerr, Dufault, Dye, Engell, Entenman, Eslick,

Farivar, Fey, Fitzgibbon, Fosse, Goodman, Graham, Gregerson, Griffey, Hill, Hunt, Jacobsen, Keaton, Klicker, Kloba, Leavitt, Lekanoff, Ley, Low, Macri, Manjarrez, Marshall, McClintock, McEntire, Mena, Mendoza, Morgan, Nance, Obras, Orcutt, Ormsby, Ortiz-Self, Parshley, Paul, Penner, Peterson, Pollet, Ramel, Reed, Reeves, Richards, Rude, Rule, Ryu, Salahuddin, Santos, Schmick, Schmidt, Scott, Shavers, Springer, Stearns, Steele, Stokesbary, Stonier, Street, Stuebe, Taylor, Thai, Tharinger, Thomas, Timmons, Volz, Walen, Walsh, Waters, Wylie, Ybarra, Zahn and Mme. Speaker

Excused: Representatives Hackney and Simmons

SUBSTITUTE HOUSE BILL NO. 1260, having received the necessary constitutional majority, was declared passed.

HOUSE BILL NO. 1167, by Representatives Shavers, Reed, Ryu, Paul, Simmons, Nance and Timmons

Directing the statewide career and technical education task force to consider educational opportunities for careers in maritime professions.

The bill was read the second time.

There being no objection, the rules were suspended, the second reading considered the third and the bill was placed on final passage.

Representatives Shavers and Keaton spoke in favor of the passage of the bill.

The Speaker (Representative Timmons presiding) stated the question before the House to be the final passage of House Bill No. 1167.

ROLL CALL

The Clerk called the roll on the final passage of House Bill No. 1167, and the bill passed the House by the following vote: Yeas, 96; Nays, 0; Absent, 0; Excused, 2

Voting Yea: Representatives Abbarno, Abell, Barkis, Barnard, Berg, Bergquist, Bernbaum, Berry, Bronoske, Burnett, Caldier, Callan, Chase, Connors, Corry, Cortes, Couture, Davis, Dent, Doglio, Donaghy, Duerr, Dufault, Dye, Engell, Entenman, Eslick, Farivar, Fey, Fitzgibbon, Fosse, Goodman, Graham, Gregerson, Griffey, Hill, Hunt, Jacobsen, Keaton, Klicker, Kloba, Leavitt, Lekanoff, Ley, Low, Macri, Manjarrez, Marshall, McClintock, McEntire, Mena, Mendoza, Morgan, Nance, Obras, Orcutt, Ormsby, Ortiz-Self, Parshley, Paul, Penner, Peterson, Pollet, Ramel, Reed, Reeves, Richards, Rude, Rule, Ryu, Salahuddin, Santos, Schmick, Schmidt, Scott, Shavers, Springer, Stearns, Steele, Stokesbary, Stonier, Street, Stuebe, Taylor, Thai, Tharinger, Thomas, Timmons, Volz, Walen, Walsh, Waters, Wylie, Ybarra, Zahn and Mme. Speaker

Excused: Representatives Hackney and Simmons

HOUSE BILL NO. 1167, having received the necessary constitutional majority, was declared passed.

HOUSE BILL NO. 1264, by Representatives Fey, Macri, Fitzgibbon, Lekanoff, Berry, Bronoske, Leavitt, Callan, Ryu, Ramel, Reed, Paul, Parshley, Nance and Alvarado

Concerning the salaries of ferry system collective bargaining units.

The bill was read the second time.

There being no objection, Substitute House Bill No. 1264 was substituted for House Bill No. 1264 and the substitute bill was placed on the second reading calendar.

SUBSTITUTE HOUSE BILL NO. 1264 was read the second time.

There being no objection, the rules were suspended, the second reading considered the third and the bill was placed on final passage.

Representatives Fey, Schmidt and Walsh spoke in favor of the passage of the bill.

Representative Dufault spoke against the passage of the bill.

The Speaker (Representative Timmons presiding) stated the question before the House to be the final passage of Substitute House Bill No. 1264.

ROLL CALL

The Clerk called the roll on the final passage of Substitute House Bill No. 1264, and the bill passed the House by the following vote: Yeas, 93; Nays, 3; Absent, 0; Excused, 2

Voting Yea: Representatives Abbarno, Abell, Barkis, Barnard, Berg, Bergquist, Bernbaum, Berry, Bronoske, Burnett, Caldier, Callan, Chase, Connors, Corry, Cortes, Couture, Davis, Dent, Doglio, Donaghy, Duerr, Engell, Entenman, Eslick, Farivar, Fey, Fitzgibbon, Fosse, Goodman, Graham, Gregerson, Griffey, Hill, Hunt, Jacobsen, Keaton, Klicker, Kloba, Leavitt, Lekanoff, Low, Macri, Manjarrez, Marshall, McClintock, McEntire, Mena, Mendoza, Morgan, Nance, Obras, Orcutt, Ormsby, Ortiz-Self, Parshley, Paul, Penner, Peterson, Pollet, Ramel, Reed, Reeves, Richards, Rude, Rule, Ryu, Salahuddin, Santos, Schmick, Schmidt, Scott, Shavers, Springer, Stearns, Steele, Stokesbary, Stonier, Street, Stuebe, Taylor, Thai, Tharinger, Thomas, Timmons, Volz, Walen, Walsh, Waters, Wylie, Ybarra, Zahn and Mme. Speaker

Voting Nay: Representatives Dufault, Dye and Ley

Excused: Representatives Hackney and Simmons

SUBSTITUTE HOUSE BILL NO. 1264, having received the necessary constitutional majority, was declared passed.

The Speaker assumed the chair.

SECOND READING

HOUSE BILL NO. 1524, by Representatives Obras, Scott, Fosse, Hill, Gregerson, Reed, Berry, Parshley, Salahuddin, Peterson, Simmons, Ormsby, Macri and Pollet

Concerning workplace standards and requirements applicable to employers of isolated employees.

The bill was read the second time.

There being no objection, Second Substitute House Bill No. 1524 was substituted for House Bill No. 1524 and the second substitute bill was placed on the second reading calendar.

SECOND SUBSTITUTE HOUSE BILL NO. 1524 was read the second time.

There being no objection, the rules were suspended, the second reading considered the third and the bill was placed on final passage.

Representatives Obras and McEntire spoke in favor of the passage of the bill.

The Speaker stated the question before the House to be the final passage of Second Substitute House Bill No. 1524.

ROLL CALL

The Clerk called the roll on the final passage of Second Substitute House Bill No. 1524, and the bill passed the House by the following vote: Yeas, 86; Nays, 10; Absent, 0; Excused, 2

Voting Yea: Representatives Abbarno, Abell, Barkis, Barnard, Berg, Bergquist, Bernbaum, Berry, Bronoske, Burnett, Caldier, Callan, Chase, Connors, Cortes, Couture, Davis, Doglio, Donaghy,

Duerr, Engell, Entenman, Eslick, Farivar, Fey, Fitzgibbon, Fosse, Goodman, Graham, Gregerson, Griffey, Hill, Hunt, Jacobsen, Keaton, Kloba, Leavitt, Lekanoff, Ley, Low, Macri, Manjarrez, McClintock, McEntire, Mena, Mendoza, Morgan, Nance, Obras, Ormsby, Ortiz-Self, Parshley, Paul, Peterson, Pollet, Ramel, Reed, Reeves, Richards, Rude, Rule, Ryu, Salahuddin, Santos, Schmidt, Scott, Shavers, Springer, Stearns, Steele, Stokesbary, Stonier, Street, Stuebe, Taylor, Thai, Tharinger, Thomas, Timmons, Volz, Walen, Waters, Wylie, Ybarra, Zahn and Mme. Speaker

Voting Nay: Representatives Corry, Dent, Dufault, Dye, Klicker, Marshall, Orcutt, Penner, Schmick and Walsh

Excused: Representatives Hackney and Simmons

SECOND SUBSTITUTE HOUSE BILL NO. 1524, having received the necessary constitutional majority, was declared passed.

POINT OF PERSONAL PRIVILEGE

Representative Gregerson congratulated Representative Obras on the passage of his first bill through the House and asked the Chamber to acknowledge his accomplishment.

The Speaker called upon Representative Timmons to preside.

There being no objection, the House reverted to the third order of business.

MESSAGE FROM THE SENATE

Wednesday, March 5, 2025

Mme. Speaker:

The Senate has passed:

SENATE BILL NO. 5189
SUBSTITUTE SENATE BILL NO. 5327
SENATE BILL NO. 5463
SUBSTITUTE SENATE BILL NO. 5558
SENATE BILL NO. 5641

and the same are herewith transmitted.

Colleen Pehar, Deputy Secretary

There being no objection, the House advanced to the sixth order of business.

SECOND READING

HOUSE BILL NO. 1382, by Representatives Tharinger, Macri, Stonier, Thai, Parshley, Obras, Lekanoff, Davis, Simmons, Hill and Ormsby

Modernizing the all payers claim database.

The bill was read the second time.

Representative Kloba moved the adoption of amendment (109):

On page 7, line 31, after "confidentiality" insert "including by agreeing to not reidentify any deidentified patient information,"

Representatives Kloba and Schmick spoke in favor of the adoption of the amendment.

Amendment (109) was adopted.

Representative Schmick moved the adoption of amendment (074):

On page 1, beginning on line 6, strike all of section 1

Renummer the remaining sections consecutively and correct any internal references accordingly.

On page 4, line 37, after "identifiers" strike "((7)) and" and insert ",,"

On page 4, line 38, after "identifiers" strike "((7) and proprietary financial information)" and insert ", and proprietary financial information"

On page 6, beginning on line 28, strike all of sections 3 through 5

Renummer the remaining sections consecutively and correct any internal references accordingly.

Representative Schmick spoke in favor of the adoption of the amendment.

Representative Bronoske spoke against the adoption of the amendment.

Amendment (074) was not adopted.

The bill was ordered engrossed.

There being no objection, the rules were suspended, the second reading considered the third and the bill was placed on final passage.

Representative Tharinger spoke in favor of the passage of the bill.

Representative Schmick spoke against the passage of the bill.

The Speaker (Representative Timmons presiding) stated the question before the House to be the final passage of Engrossed House Bill No. 1382.

ROLL CALL

The Clerk called the roll on the final passage of Engrossed House Bill No. 1382, and the bill passed the House by the following vote: Yeas, 58; Nays, 39; Absent, 0; Excused, 1

Voting Yea: Representatives Berg, Bergquist, Bernbaum, Berry, Bronoske, Callan, Cortes, Davis, Doglio, Donaghy, Duerr, Entenman, Farivar, Fey, Fitzgibbon, Fosse, Goodman, Gregerson, Hill, Hunt, Kloba, Leavitt, Lekanoff, Macri, Mena, Morgan, Nance, Obras, Ormsby, Ortiz-Self, Parshley, Paul, Peterson, Pollet, Ramel, Reed, Reeves, Richards, Rule, Ryu, Salahuddin, Santos, Scott, Shavers, Simmons, Springer, Stearns, Stonier, Street, Taylor, Thai, Tharinger, Thomas, Timmons, Walen, Wylie, Zahn and Mme. Speaker

Voting Nay: Representatives Abbarno, Abell, Barkis, Barnard, Burnett, Calder, Chase, Connors, Corry, Couture, Dent, Dufault, Dye, Engell, Eslick, Graham, Griffey, Jacobsen, Keaton, Klicker, Ley, Low, Manjarrez, Marshall, McClintock, McEntire, Mendoza, Orcutt, Penner, Rude, Schmick, Schmidt, Steele, Stokesbary, Stuebe, Volz, Walsh, Waters and Ybarra

Excused: Representative Hackney

ENGROSSED HOUSE BILL NO. 1382, having received the necessary constitutional majority, was declared passed.

HOUSE BILL NO. 1760, by Representatives Volz, Peterson, Connors, Griffey, Schmidt, Waters, Barnard, Low, Chase, Eslick and Ramel

Removing barriers for organizations selling manufactured homes to low-income households.

The bill was read the second time.

There being no objection, the rules were suspended, the second reading considered the third and the bill was placed on final passage.

Representative Volz spoke in favor of the passage of the bill.

The Speaker (Representative Timmons presiding) stated the question before the House to be the final passage of House Bill No. 1760.

ROLL CALL

The Clerk called the roll on the final passage of House Bill No. 1760, and the bill passed the House by the following vote: Yeas, 97; Nays, 0; Absent, 0; Excused, 1

Voting Yea: Representatives Abbarno, Abell, Barkis, Barnard, Berg, Bergquist, Bernbaum, Berry, Bronoske, Burnett, Caldier, Callan, Chase, Connors, Corry, Cortes, Couture, Davis, Dent, Doglio, Donaghy, Duerr, Dufault, Dye, Engell, Entenman, Eslick, Farivar, Fey, Fitzgibbon, Fosse, Goodman, Graham, Gregerson, Griffey, Hill, Hunt, Jacobsen, Keaton, Klicker, Kloba, Leavitt, Lekanoff, Ley, Low, Macri, Manjarrez, Marshall, McClintock, McEntire, Mena, Mendoza, Morgan, Nance, Obras, Orcutt, Ormsby, Ortiz-Self, Parshley, Paul, Penner, Peterson, Pollet, Ramel, Reed, Reeves, Richards, Rude, Rule, Ryu, Salahuddin, Santos, Schmick, Schmidt, Scott, Shavers, Simmons, Springer, Stearns, Steele, Stokesbary, Stonier, Street, Stuebe, Taylor, Thai, Tharinger, Thomas, Timmons, Volz, Walen, Walsh, Waters, Wylie, Ybarra, Zahn and Mme. Speaker

Excused: Representative Hackney

HOUSE BILL NO. 1760, having received the necessary constitutional majority, was declared passed.

The Speaker (Representative Timmons presiding) called upon Representative Stearns to preside.

SECOND READING

HOUSE BILL NO. 1418, by Representatives Timmons, Ramel, Duerr, Simmons, Parshley, Reed, Doglio, Pollet, Hill and Donaghy

Adding two voting members that are transit users to the governing body of public transportation benefit areas.

The bill was read the second time.

There being no objection, Substitute House Bill No. 1418 was substituted for House Bill No. 1418 and the substitute bill was placed on the second reading calendar.

SUBSTITUTE HOUSE BILL NO. 1418 was read the second time.

There being no objection, the rules were suspended, the second reading considered the third and the bill was placed on final passage.

Representatives Timmons and Low spoke in favor of the passage of the bill.

Representative Volz spoke against the passage of the bill.

The Speaker (Representative Stearns presiding) stated the question before the House to be the final passage of Substitute House Bill No. 1418.

ROLL CALL

The Clerk called the roll on the final passage of Substitute House Bill No. 1418, and the bill passed the House by the following vote: Yeas, 64; Nays, 33; Absent, 0; Excused, 1

Voting Yea: Representatives Barkis, Berg, Bergquist, Bernbaum, Berry, Bronoske, Callan, Connors, Cortes, Davis, Dent, Doglio, Donaghy, Duerr, Entenman, Eslick, Farivar, Fey, Fitzgibbon, Fosse, Goodman, Gregerson, Hill, Hunt, Kloba, Leavitt, Lekanoff, Low, Macri, Mena, Morgan, Nance, Obras, Ormsby, Ortiz-Self, Parshley, Paul, Peterson, Pollet, Ramel, Reed, Reeves, Richards, Rule, Ryu, Salahuddin, Santos, Scott, Shavers, Simmons, Springer, Stearns, Stonier, Street, Stuebe, Taylor, Thai, Tharinger, Thomas, Timmons, Walen, Wylie, Zahn and Mme. Speaker

Voting Nay: Representatives Abbarno, Abell, Barnard, Burnett, Caldier, Chase, Corry, Couture, Dufault, Dye, Engell, Graham, Griffey, Jacobsen, Keaton, Klicker, Ley, Manjarrez, Marshall, McClintock, McEntire, Mendoza, Orcutt, Penner, Rude, Schmick, Schmidt, Steele, Stokesbary, Volz, Walsh, Waters and Ybarra

Excused: Representative Hackney

SUBSTITUTE HOUSE BILL NO. 1418, having received the necessary constitutional majority, was declared passed.

The Speaker (Representative Stearns presiding) called upon Representative Timmons to preside.

SECOND READING

HOUSE BILL NO. 1936, by Representatives Chase, Leavitt and Pollet

Extending the expiration of certain school employee postretirement employment restrictions.

The bill was read the second time.

There being no objection, the rules were suspended, the second reading considered the third and the bill was placed on final passage.

Representatives Chase and Ormsby spoke in favor of the passage of the bill.

The Speaker (Representative Timmons presiding) stated the question before the House to be the final passage of House Bill No. 1936.

ROLL CALL

The Clerk called the roll on the final passage of House Bill No. 1936, and the bill passed the House by the following vote: Yeas, 97; Nays, 0; Absent, 0; Excused, 1

Voting Yea: Representatives Abbarno, Abell, Barkis, Barnard, Berg, Bergquist, Bernbaum, Berry, Bronoske, Burnett, Caldier, Callan, Chase, Connors, Corry, Cortes, Couture, Davis, Dent, Doglio, Donaghy, Duerr, Dufault, Dye, Engell, Entenman, Eslick, Farivar, Fey, Fitzgibbon, Fosse, Goodman, Graham, Gregerson, Griffey, Hill, Hunt, Jacobsen, Keaton, Klicker, Kloba, Leavitt, Lekanoff, Ley, Low, Macri, Manjarrez, Marshall, McClintock, McEntire, Mena, Mendoza, Morgan, Nance, Obras, Orcutt, Ormsby, Ortiz-Self, Parshley, Paul, Penner, Peterson, Pollet, Ramel, Reed, Reeves, Richards, Rude, Rule, Ryu, Salahuddin, Santos, Schmick, Schmidt, Scott, Shavers, Simmons, Springer, Stearns, Steele, Stokesbary, Stonier, Street, Stuebe, Taylor, Thai, Tharinger, Thomas, Timmons, Volz, Walen, Walsh, Waters, Wylie, Ybarra, Zahn and Mme. Speaker

Excused: Representative Hackney

HOUSE BILL NO. 1936, having received the necessary constitutional majority, was declared passed.

The Speaker assumed the chair.

SECOND READING

HOUSE BILL NO. 1633, by Representatives Hill, Waters, Bergquist, Obras, Taylor, Ormsby, Berry, Peterson, Gregerson, Parshley, Reed, Reeves, Simmons, Thomas and Nance

Concerning prime contractor bidding submission requirements on public works contracts.

The bill was read the second time.

There being no objection, the rules were suspended, the second reading considered the third and the bill was placed on final passage.

Representatives Hill and Waters spoke in favor of the passage of the bill.

The Speaker stated the question before the House to be the final passage of House Bill No. 1633.

ROLL CALL

The Clerk called the roll on the final passage of House Bill No. 1633, and the bill passed the House by the following vote: Yeas, 97; Nays, 0; Absent, 0; Excused, 1

Voting Yea: Representatives Abbarno, Abell, Barkis, Barnard, Berg, Bergquist, Bernbaum, Berry, Bronoske, Burnett, Caldier, Callan, Chase, Connors, Corry, Cortes, Couture, Davis, Dent, Doglio, Donaghy, Duerr, Dufault, Dye, Engell, Entenman, Eslick, Farivar, Fey, Fitzgibbon, Fosse, Goodman, Graham, Gregerson, Griffey, Hill, Hunt, Jacobsen, Keaton, Klicker, Kloba, Leavitt, Lekanoff, Ley, Low, Macri, Manjarrez, Marshall, McClintock, McEntire, Mena, Mendoza, Morgan, Nance, Obras, Orcutt, Ormsby, Ortiz-Self, Parshley, Paul, Penner, Peterson, Pollet, Ramel, Reed, Reeves, Richards, Rude, Rule, Ryu, Salahuddin, Santos, Schmick, Schmidt, Scott, Shavers, Simmons, Springer, Stearns, Steele, Stokesbary, Stonier, Street, Stuebe, Taylor, Thai, Tharinger, Thomas, Timmons, Volz, Walen, Walsh, Waters, Wylie, Ybarra, Zahn and Mme. Speaker

Excused: Representative Hackney

HOUSE BILL NO. 1633, having received the necessary constitutional majority, was declared passed.

POINT OF PERSONAL PRIVILEGE

Representative Ormsby congratulated Representative Hill on the passage of her first bill through the House and asked the Chamber to acknowledge her accomplishment.

The Speaker called upon Representative Timmons to preside.

SECOND READING

HOUSE BILL NO. 1980, by Representatives Zahn, Salahuddin, Parshley, Springer, Timmons, Street, Berg, Leavitt, Thai and Low

Allowing certain private employer transportation services to use certain public transportation facilities.

The bill was read the second time.

There being no objection, Substitute House Bill No. 1980 was substituted for House Bill No. 1980 and the substitute bill was placed on the second reading calendar.

SUBSTITUTE HOUSE BILL NO. 1980 was read the second time.

There being no objection, the rules were suspended, the second reading considered the third and the bill was placed on final passage.

Representatives Zahn and Low spoke in favor of the passage of the bill.

The Speaker (Representative Timmons presiding) stated the question before the House to be the final passage of Substitute House Bill No. 1980.

ROLL CALL

The Clerk called the roll on the final passage of Substitute House Bill No. 1980, and the bill passed the House by the following vote: Yeas, 97; Nays, 0; Absent, 0; Excused, 1

Voting Yea: Representatives Abbarno, Abell, Barkis, Barnard, Berg, Bergquist, Bernbaum, Berry, Bronoske, Burnett, Caldier, Callan, Chase, Connors, Corry, Cortes, Couture, Davis, Dent, Doglio, Donaghy, Duerr, Dufault, Dye, Engell, Entenman, Eslick, Farivar, Fey, Fitzgibbon, Fosse, Goodman, Graham, Gregerson, Griffey, Hill, Hunt, Jacobsen, Keaton, Klicker, Kloba, Leavitt, Lekanoff, Ley, Low, Macri, Manjarrez, Marshall, McClintock, McEntire, Mena, Mendoza, Morgan, Nance, Obras, Orcutt, Ormsby, Ortiz-Self, Parshley, Paul, Penner, Peterson, Pollet, Ramel, Reed, Reeves, Richards, Rude, Rule, Ryu, Salahuddin, Santos, Schmick, Schmidt, Scott, Shavers, Simmons, Springer, Stearns, Steele, Stokesbary, Stonier, Street, Stuebe, Taylor, Thai, Tharinger, Thomas, Timmons, Volz, Walen, Walsh, Waters, Wylie, Ybarra, Zahn and Mme. Speaker

Excused: Representative Hackney

SUBSTITUTE HOUSE BILL NO. 1980, having received the necessary constitutional majority, was declared passed.

HOUSE BILL NO. 1281, by Representatives Goodman, Simmons and Hill

Making technical corrections and removing obsolete language from the Revised Code of Washington pursuant to RCW 1.08.025.

The bill was read the second time.

There being no objection, Substitute House Bill No. 1281 was substituted for House Bill No. 1281 and the substitute bill was placed on the second reading calendar.

SUBSTITUTE HOUSE BILL NO. 1281 was read the second time.

There being no objection, the rules were suspended, the second reading considered the third and the bill was placed on final passage.

Representatives Goodman and Walsh spoke in favor of the passage of the bill.

The Speaker (Representative Timmons presiding) stated the question before the House to be the final passage of Substitute House Bill No. 1281.

ROLL CALL

The Clerk called the roll on the final passage of Substitute House Bill No. 1281, and the bill passed the House by the following vote: Yeas, 97; Nays, 0; Absent, 0; Excused, 1

Voting Yea: Representatives Abbarno, Abell, Barkis, Barnard, Berg, Bergquist, Bernbaum, Berry, Bronoske, Burnett, Caldier, Callan, Chase, Connors, Corry, Cortes, Couture, Davis, Dent, Doglio, Donaghy, Duerr, Dufault, Dye, Engell, Entenman, Eslick, Farivar, Fey, Fitzgibbon, Fosse, Goodman, Graham, Gregerson, Griffey, Hill, Hunt, Jacobsen, Keaton, Klicker, Kloba, Leavitt, Lekanoff, Ley, Low, Macri, Manjarrez, Marshall, McClintock, McEntire, Mena, Mendoza, Morgan, Nance, Obras, Orcutt, Ormsby, Ortiz-Self, Parshley, Paul, Penner, Peterson, Pollet, Ramel, Reed, Reeves, Richards, Rude, Rule, Ryu, Salahuddin, Santos, Schmick, Schmidt, Scott, Shavers, Simmons, Springer, Stearns, Steele, Stokesbary, Stonier, Street, Stuebe, Taylor, Thai, Tharinger, Thomas, Timmons, Volz, Walen, Walsh, Waters, Wylie, Ybarra, Zahn and Mme. Speaker

Excused: Representative Hackney

SUBSTITUTE HOUSE BILL NO. 1281, having received the necessary constitutional majority, was declared passed.

HOUSE BILL NO. 1556, by Representatives Entenman, Davis, Leavitt, Ortiz-Self, Reed, Kloba, Pollet, Hill and Simmons

Expanding tuition waivers for high school completers at community and technical colleges.

The bill was read the second time.

There being no objection, the rules were suspended, the second reading considered the third and the bill was placed on final passage.

Representatives Entenman and Ybarra spoke in favor of the passage of the bill.

The Speaker (Representative Timmons presiding) stated the question before the House to be the final passage of House Bill No. 1556.

ROLL CALL

The Clerk called the roll on the final passage of House Bill No. 1556, and the bill passed the House by the following vote: Yeas, 97; Nays, 0; Absent, 0; Excused, 1

Voting Yea: Representatives Abbarno, Abell, Barkis, Barnard, Berg, Bergquist, Bernbaum, Berry, Bronoske, Burnett, Caldier, Callan, Chase, Connors, Corry, Cortes, Couture, Davis, Dent, Doglio, Donaghy, Duerr, Dufault, Dye, Engell, Entenman, Eslick, Farivar, Fey, Fitzgibbon, Fosse, Goodman, Graham, Gregerson, Griffey, Hill, Hunt, Jacobsen, Keaton, Klicker, Kloba, Leavitt, Lekanoff, Ley, Low, Macri, Manjarrez, Marshall, McClintock, McEntire, Mena, Mendoza, Morgan, Nance, Obras, Orcutt, Ormsby, Ortiz-Self, Parshley, Paul, Penner, Peterson, Pollet, Ramel, Reed, Reeves, Richards, Rude, Rule, Ryu, Salahuddin, Santos, Schmick, Schmidt, Scott, Shavers, Simmons, Springer, Stearns, Steele, Stokesbary, Stonier, Street, Stuebe, Taylor, Thai, Tharinger, Thomas, Timmons, Volz, Walen, Walsh, Waters, Wylie, Ybarra, Zahn and Mme. Speaker

Excused: Representative Hackney

HOUSE BILL NO. 1556, having received the necessary constitutional majority, was declared passed.

The Speaker (Representative Timmons presiding) called upon Representative Stearns to preside.

There being no objection, the House reverted to the third order of business.

MESSAGE FROM THE SENATE

Wednesday, March 5, 2025

Mme. Speaker:

The Senate has passed:

ENGROSSED SECOND SUBSTITUTE SENATE BILL NO.
5175
SENATE BILL NO. 5696

and the same are herewith transmitted.

Colleen Pehar, Deputy Secretary

There being no objection, the House advanced to the sixth order of business.

SECOND READING

HOUSE BILL NO. 1385, by Representatives Taylor, Burnett, Leavitt, Ley, Davis, Reeves, Obras, Salahuddin and Wylie

Concerning fingerprint background check on applicants.

The bill was read the second time.

There being no objection, Substitute House Bill No. 1385 was substituted for House Bill No. 1385 and the substitute bill was placed on the second reading calendar.

SUBSTITUTE HOUSE BILL NO. 1385 was read the second time.

Representative Taylor moved the adoption of amendment (134):

On page 5, line 22, after "amended," insert "34 U.S.C. Sec. 40102,"

On page 5, line 23, after "Sec." strike "40102" and insert "40316"

Representatives Taylor and Burnett spoke in favor of the adoption of the amendment.

Amendment (134) was adopted.

The bill was ordered engrossed.

There being no objection, the rules were suspended, the second reading considered the third and the bill was placed on final passage.

Representatives Taylor and Burnett spoke in favor of the passage of the bill.

The Speaker (Representative Stearns presiding) stated the question before the House to be the final passage of Engrossed Substitute House Bill No. 1385.

ROLL CALL

The Clerk called the roll on the final passage of Engrossed Substitute House Bill No. 1385, and the bill passed the House by the following vote: Yeas, 97; Nays, 0; Absent, 0; Excused, 1

Voting Yea: Representatives Abbarno, Abell, Barkis, Barnard, Berg, Bergquist, Bernbaum, Berry, Bronoske, Burnett, Caldier, Callan, Chase, Connors, Corry, Cortes, Couture, Davis, Dent, Doglio, Donaghy, Duerr, Dufault, Dye, Engell, Entenman, Eslick, Farivar, Fey, Fitzgibbon, Fosse, Goodman, Graham, Gregerson, Griffey, Hill, Hunt, Jacobsen, Keaton, Klicker, Kloba, Leavitt, Lekanoff, Ley, Low, Macri, Manjarrez, Marshall, McClintock, McEntire, Mena, Mendoza, Morgan, Nance, Obras, Orcutt, Ormsby, Ortiz-Self, Parshley, Paul, Penner, Peterson, Pollet, Ramel, Reed, Reeves, Richards, Rude, Rule, Ryu, Salahuddin, Santos, Schmick, Schmidt, Scott, Shavers, Simmons, Springer, Stearns, Steele, Stokesbary, Stonier, Street, Stuebe, Taylor, Thai, Tharinger, Thomas, Timmons, Volz, Walen, Walsh, Waters, Wylie, Ybarra, Zahn and Mme. Speaker

Excused: Representative Hackney

ENGROSSED SUBSTITUTE HOUSE BILL NO. 1385, having received the necessary constitutional majority, was declared passed.

HOUSE BILL NO. 1361, by Representatives Hill, Taylor, Fosse and Ormsby

Updating process service requirements.

The bill was read the second time.

There being no objection, the rules were suspended, the second reading considered the third and the bill was placed on final passage.

Representatives Hill and Walsh spoke in favor of the passage of the bill.

The Speaker (Representative Stearns presiding) stated the question before the House to be the final passage of House Bill No. 1361.

ROLL CALL

The Clerk called the roll on the final passage of House Bill No. 1361, and the bill passed the House by the following vote: Yeas, 97; Nays, 0; Absent, 0; Excused, 1

Voting Yea: Representatives Abbarno, Abell, Barkis, Barnard, Berg, Bergquist, Bernbaum, Berry, Bronoske, Burnett, Caldier, Callan, Chase, Connors, Corry, Cortes, Couture, Davis, Dent, Doglio, Donaghy, Duerr, Dufault, Dye, Engell, Entenman, Eslick, Farivar, Fey, Fitzgibbon, Fosse, Goodman, Graham, Gregerson, Griffey, Hill, Hunt, Jacobsen, Keaton, Klicker, Kloba, Leavitt, Lekanoff, Ley, Low, Macri, Manjarrez, Marshall, McClintock, McEntire, Mena, Mendoza, Morgan, Nance, Obras, Orcutt, Ormsby, Ortiz-Self, Parshley, Paul, Penner, Peterson, Pollet, Ramel, Reed, Reeves, Richards, Rude, Rule, Ryu, Salahuddin, Santos, Schmick, Schmidt, Scott, Shavers, Simmons, Springer, Stearns, Steele, Stokesbary, Stonier, Street, Stuebe, Taylor, Thai, Tharinger, Thomas, Timmons, Volz, Walen, Walsh, Waters, Wylie, Ybarra, Zahn and Mme. Speaker

Excused: Representative Hackney

HOUSE BILL NO. 1361, having received the necessary constitutional majority, was declared passed.

HOUSE BILL NO. 1494, by Representatives Ramel, Donaghy, Nance, Walen, Duerr, Reed, Parshley and Salahuddin

Concerning the property tax exemptions for new and rehabilitated multiple-unit dwellings in urban centers.

The bill was read the second time.

Representative Orcutt moved the adoption of amendment (127):

On page 19, after line 14, insert the following:

"NEW SECTION. Sec. 9. The state and local levies must be reduced as necessary to prevent the value exempted under the exemptions in section 2 of this act from resulting in a higher state or local tax rate than would have occurred in the absence of the exemptions in section 2 of this act."

Representatives Orcutt, Walsh and Jacobsen spoke in favor of the adoption of the amendment.

Representative Ramel spoke against the adoption of the amendment.

Amendment (127) was not adopted.

There being no objection, the rules were suspended, the second reading considered the third and the bill was placed on final passage.

Representatives Ramel and Barkis spoke in favor of the passage of the bill.

Representatives Orcutt and Graham spoke against the passage of the bill.

The Speaker (Representative Stearns presiding) stated the question before the House to be the final passage of House Bill No. 1494.

ROLL CALL

The Clerk called the roll on the final passage of House Bill No. 1494, and the bill passed the House by the following vote: Yeas, 63; Nays, 34; Absent, 0; Excused, 1

Voting Yea: Representatives Barkis, Berg, Bergquist, Bernbaum, Berry, Bronoske, Caldier, Callan, Connors, Cortes, Couture, Davis, Doglio, Donaghy, Duerr, Entenman, Eslick, Farivar, Fey, Fitzgibbon, Fosse, Goodman, Gregerson, Griffey, Hill, Hunt, Klicker, Kloba, Leavitt, Lekanoff, Low, Macri, Mena, Morgan, Nance, Obras, Ormsby, Ortiz-Self, Parshley, Paul, Peterson, Pollet, Ramel, Reed, Reeves, Rude, Ryu, Salahuddin, Santos, Scott, Simmons, Springer, Stearns, Stonier, Street, Taylor, Thai, Tharinger, Thomas, Walen, Wylie, Zahn and Mme. Speaker

Voting Nay: Representatives Abbarno, Abell, Barnard, Burnett, Chase, Corry, Dent, Dufault, Dye, Engell, Graham, Jacobsen, Keaton, Ley, Manjarrez, Marshall, McClintock, McEntire, Mendoza, Orcutt, Penner, Richards, Rule, Schmick, Schmidt, Shavers, Steele, Stokesbary, Stuebe, Timmons, Volz, Walsh, Waters and Ybarra

Excused: Representative Hackney

HOUSE BILL NO. 1494, having received the necessary constitutional majority, was declared passed.

The Speaker (Representative Stearns presiding) called upon Representative Timmons to preside.

SECOND READING

HOUSE BILL NO. 1640, by Representatives Zahn, Bronoske, Ormsby and Thai

Placing licenses issued in chapters 18.71B and 18.71C RCW under the authority of the uniform disciplinary act.

The bill was read the second time.

There being no objection, the rules were suspended, the second reading considered the third and the bill was placed on final passage.

Representatives Zahn and Marshall spoke in favor of the passage of the bill.

The Speaker (Representative Timmons presiding) stated the question before the House to be the final passage of House Bill No. 1640.

ROLL CALL

The Clerk called the roll on the final passage of House Bill No. 1640, and the bill passed the House by the following vote: Yeas, 97; Nays, 0; Absent, 0; Excused, 1

Voting Yea: Representatives Abbarno, Abell, Barkis, Barnard, Berg, Bergquist, Bernbaum, Berry, Bronoske, Burnett, Caldier, Callan, Chase, Connors, Corry, Cortes, Couture, Davis, Dent, Doglio, Donaghy, Duerr, Dufault, Dye, Engell, Entenman, Eslick, Farivar, Fey, Fitzgibbon, Fosse, Goodman, Graham, Gregerson, Griffey, Hill, Hunt, Jacobsen, Keaton, Klicker, Kloba, Leavitt, Lekanoff, Ley, Low, Macri, Manjarrez, Marshall, McClintock, McEntire, Mena, Mendoza, Morgan, Nance, Obras, Orcutt, Ormsby, Ortiz-Self, Parshley, Paul, Penner, Peterson, Pollet, Ramel, Reed, Reeves, Richards, Rude, Rule, Ryu, Salahuddin, Santos, Schmick, Schmidt, Scott, Shavers, Simmons, Springer, Stearns, Steele, Stokesbary, Stonier, Street, Stuebe, Taylor, Thai, Tharinger, Thomas, Timmons, Volz, Walen, Walsh, Waters, Wylie, Ybarra, Zahn and Mme. Speaker

Excused: Representative Hackney

HOUSE BILL NO. 1640, having received the necessary constitutional majority, was declared passed.

HOUSE BILL NO. 1162, by Representatives Leavitt, Schmidt, Berry, Ryu, Macri, Bronoske, Pollet, Nance, Timmons, Ormsby and Hill

Concerning workplace violence in health care settings.

The bill was read the second time.

There being no objection, Second Substitute House Bill No. 1162 was substituted for House Bill No. 1162 and the second substitute bill was placed on the second reading calendar.

SECOND SUBSTITUTE HOUSE BILL NO. 1162 was read the second time.

There being no objection, the rules were suspended, the second reading considered the third and the bill was placed on final passage.

Representatives Leavitt, Schmidt and Graham spoke in favor of the passage of the bill.

The Speaker (Representative Timmons presiding) stated the question before the House to be the final passage of Second Substitute House Bill No. 1162.

ROLL CALL

The Clerk called the roll on the final passage of Second Substitute House Bill No. 1162, and the bill passed the House by the following vote: Yeas, 97; Nays, 0; Absent, 0; Excused, 1

Voting Yea: Representatives Abbarno, Abell, Barkis, Barnard, Berg, Bergquist, Bernbaum, Berry, Bronoske, Burnett, Caldier, Callan, Chase, Connors, Corry, Cortes, Couture, Davis, Dent, Doglio, Donaghy, Duerr, Dufault, Dye, Engell, Entenman, Eslick, Farivar, Fey, Fitzgibbon, Fosse, Goodman, Graham, Gregerson, Griffey, Hill, Hunt, Jacobsen, Keaton, Klicker, Kloba, Leavitt, Lekanoff, Ley, Low, Macri, Manjarrez, Marshall, McClintock, McEntire, Mena, Mendoza, Morgan, Nance, Obras, Orcutt, Ormsby, Ortiz-Self, Parshley, Paul, Penner, Peterson, Pollet, Ramel, Reed, Reeves, Richards, Rude, Rule, Ryu, Salahuddin, Santos, Schmick, Schmidt, Scott, Shavers, Simmons, Springer, Stearns, Steele, Stokesbary, Stonier, Street, Stuebe, Taylor, Thai, Tharinger, Thomas, Timmons, Volz, Walen, Walsh, Waters, Wylie, Ybarra, Zahn and Mme. Speaker

Excused: Representative Hackney

SECOND SUBSTITUTE HOUSE BILL NO. 1162, having received the necessary constitutional majority, was declared passed.

HOUSE BILL NO. 1064, by Representatives Abbarno, Tharinger, Steele, Pollet, Rude, Ryu, Waters, Hackney, Low, Springer, Callan, Leavitt, Timmons, Wylie and Scott

Eliminating the expiration of the interagency, multijurisdictional system improvement team.

The bill was read the second time.

There being no objection, the rules were suspended, the second reading considered the third and the bill was placed on final passage.

Representatives Abbarno and Zahn spoke in favor of the passage of the bill.

The Speaker (Representative Timmons presiding) stated the question before the House to be the final passage of House Bill No. 1064.

ROLL CALL

The Clerk called the roll on the final passage of House Bill No. 1064, and the bill passed the House by the following vote: Yeas, 97; Nays, 0; Absent, 0; Excused, 1

Voting Yea: Representatives Abbarno, Abell, Barkis, Barnard, Berg, Bergquist, Bernbaum, Berry, Bronoske, Burnett, Caldier, Callan, Chase, Connors, Corry, Cortes, Couture, Davis, Dent, Doglio, Donaghy, Duerr, Dufault, Dye, Engell, Entenman, Eslick, Farivar, Fey, Fitzgibbon, Fosse, Goodman, Graham, Gregerson, Griffey, Hill, Hunt, Jacobsen, Keaton, Klicker, Kloba, Leavitt, Lekanoff, Ley, Low, Macri, Manjarrez, Marshall, McClintock, McEntire, Mena, Mendoza, Morgan, Nance, Obras, Orcutt, Ormsby, Ortiz-Self, Parshley, Paul, Penner, Peterson, Pollet, Ramel, Reed, Reeves, Richards, Rude, Rule, Ryu, Salahuddin, Santos, Schmick, Schmidt, Scott, Shavers, Simmons, Springer, Stearns, Steele, Stokesbary, Stonier, Street, Stuebe, Taylor, Thai, Tharinger, Thomas, Timmons, Volz, Walen, Walsh, Waters, Wylie, Ybarra, Zahn and Mme. Speaker

Excused: Representative Hackney

HOUSE BILL NO. 1064, having received the necessary constitutional majority, was declared passed.

HOUSE BILL NO. 1079, by Representatives Ortiz-Self, Rude, McEntire, Reed, Shavers, Callan, Simmons, Rule, Nance, Berg and Reeves

Supporting remote testing options for students enrolled in online school programs.

The bill was read the second time.

There being no objection, Substitute House Bill No. 1079 was substituted for House Bill No. 1079 and the substitute bill was placed on the second reading calendar.

SUBSTITUTE HOUSE BILL NO. 1079 was read the second time.

There being no objection, the rules were suspended, the second reading considered the third and the bill was placed on final passage.

Representatives Ortiz-Self and Rude spoke in favor of the passage of the bill.

The Speaker (Representative Timmons presiding) stated the question before the House to be the final passage of Substitute House Bill No. 1079.

ROLL CALL

The Clerk called the roll on the final passage of Substitute House Bill No. 1079, and the bill passed the House by the following vote: Yeas, 97; Nays, 0; Absent, 0; Excused, 1

Voting Yea: Representatives Abbarno, Abell, Barkis, Barnard, Berg, Bergquist, Bernbaum, Berry, Bronoske, Burnett, Caldier, Callan, Chase, Connors, Corry, Cortes, Couture, Davis, Dent, Doglio, Donaghy, Duerr, Dufault, Dye, Engell, Entenman, Eslick, Farivar, Fey, Fitzgibbon, Fosse, Goodman, Graham, Gregerson, Griffey, Hill, Hunt, Jacobsen, Keaton, Klicker, Kloba, Leavitt, Lekanoff, Ley, Low, Macri, Manjarrez, Marshall, McClintock, McEntire, Mena, Mendoza, Morgan, Nance, Obras, Orcutt, Ormsby, Ortiz-Self, Parshley, Paul, Penner, Peterson, Pollet, Ramel, Reed, Reeves, Richards, Rude, Rule, Ryu, Salahuddin, Santos, Schmick, Schmidt, Scott, Shavers, Simmons, Springer, Stearns, Steele, Stokesbary, Stonier, Street, Stuebe, Taylor, Thai, Tharinger, Thomas, Timmons, Volz, Walen, Walsh, Waters, Wylie, Ybarra, Zahn and Mme. Speaker

Excused: Representative Hackney

SUBSTITUTE HOUSE BILL NO. 1079, having received the necessary constitutional majority, was declared passed.

HOUSE BILL NO. 1174, by Representatives Peterson, Thai, Ryu, Taylor, Ortiz-Self, Simmons, Goodman, Davis, Ormsby, Lekanoff, Salahuddin and Hill

Concerning court interpreters.

The bill was read the second time.

There being no objection, Second Substitute House Bill No. 1174 was substituted for House Bill No. 1174 and the second substitute bill was placed on the second reading calendar.

SECOND SUBSTITUTE HOUSE BILL NO. 1174 was read the second time.

Representative Peterson moved the adoption of the striking amendment (149):

Strike everything after the enacting clause and insert the following:

"Sec. 1. RCW 2.43.010 and 1989 c 358 s 1 are each amended to read as follows:

It is hereby declared to be the policy of this state to secure the rights, constitutional or otherwise, of persons who, because of a non-English-speaking cultural background, are unable to readily understand or communicate in the English language, and who consequently cannot be fully protected in legal proceedings unless ((qualified)) interpreters are available to assist them.

It is the intent of the legislature in the passage of this chapter to provide for the use and procedure for the appointment of such interpreters. ((Nothing in chapter 358, Laws of 1989 abridges the parties' rights or obligations under other statutes or court rules or other law.))

Sec. 2. RCW 2.43.020 and 2010 c 190 s 2 are each reenacted and amended to read as follows:

As used in this chapter:

(1) (("Appointing authority" means the presiding officer or similar official of any court, department, board, commission, agency, licensing authority, or legislative body of the state or of any political subdivision thereof.

(2) "Certified interpreter" means an interpreter who is certified by the administrative office of the courts.

(3)) "Credentialed interpreter" means an interpreter who is credentialed by the administrative office of the courts in a spoken language.

(2) "Judicial officer" means a judge, commissioner, or magistrate of any court.

(3) "Language access plan" means a plan that is publicly available which contains the elements required by RCW 2.43.090.

(4) "Legal proceeding" means (a) any proceeding in any court ((in this state, grand jury hearing, or hearing)), and in any type of hearing before ((an inquiry judge)) a judicial officer, an administrative law judge, or before an administrative board, commission, agency, or licensing body of the state or any political subdivision ((thereof)).

((~~(4)~~ "Non-English-speaking person" (5) "Person with limited English proficiency" means ((any)) a person involved in a legal

proceeding who cannot readily speak or understand the English language, but does not include ((hearing-impaired persons)) deaf, deaf-blind, and hard of hearing individuals who are covered under chapter 2.42 RCW.

((~~(5)~~ "Qualified interpreter" means a person who is able readily to interpret or translate spoken and written English for non-English-speaking persons and to interpret or translate oral or written statements of non-English-speaking persons into spoken English.))

(6) (("Registered interpreter" means an interpreter who is registered by the administrative office of the courts.)) "Presiding officer" means the judicial officer or similar official of any court, department, board, commission, agency, or licensing authority of the state or of any political subdivision thereof.

Sec. 3. RCW 2.43.030 and 2005 c 282 s 3 are each amended to read as follows:

(1) ((Whenever an interpreter is appointed to assist a non-English-speaking person in a legal proceeding, the appointing authority shall, in the absence of a written waiver by the person, appoint a certified or a qualified interpreter to assist the person throughout the proceedings.

(a) Except as otherwise provided for in (b) of this subsection, the interpreter appointed shall be a qualified interpreter.

(b) Beginning on July 1, 1990, when a non-English-speaking person is a party to a legal proceeding, or is subpoenaed or summoned by an appointing authority or is otherwise compelled by an appointing authority to appear at a legal proceeding, the appointing authority shall use the services of only those language interpreters who have been certified by the administrative office of the courts, unless good cause is found and noted on the record by the appointing authority. For purposes of chapter 358, Laws of 1989, "good cause" includes but is not limited to a determination that:

(i) Given the totality of the circumstances, including the nature of the proceeding and the potential penalty or consequences involved, the services of a certified interpreter are not reasonably available to the appointing authority; or

(ii) The current list of certified interpreters maintained by the administrative office of the courts does not include an interpreter certified in the language spoken by the non-English-speaking person.

(c) Except as otherwise provided in this section, when a non-English-speaking person is involved in a legal proceeding, the appointing authority shall appoint a qualified interpreter. (a) Credentialed interpreters shall be appointed in legal proceedings involving participation of persons with limited English proficiency, unless good cause is found on the record for appointing a noncredentialed interpreter.

(b) For purposes of this chapter, "good cause" includes, but is not limited to, a determination that:

(i) Given the totality of the circumstances, including the nature of the proceeding and the potential penalty or consequences involved, the services of a credentialed interpreter are not reasonably available; or

(ii) The current list of interpreters maintained by the administrative office of the courts does not include an interpreter credentialed in the language spoken by the person with limited English proficiency.

(2) If good cause is found for using an interpreter who is not ((certified or if a qualified interpreter is appointed, the appointing authority shall make a preliminary determination, on the basis of testimony or stated needs of the non-English-speaking person, that the proposed interpreter is able to interpret accurately all communications to and from such person in that particular proceeding. The appointing authority shall satisfy itself on the record that the proposed interpreter:

(a) Is capable of communicating effectively with the court or agency and the person for whom the interpreter would interpret; and

(b) Has read, understands, and will abide by the code of ethics for language interpreters established by court rules)) credentialed, the judicial or presiding officer shall make a preliminary determination on the record that the proposed interpreter is able to interpret accurately all communications to and from the person with limited English proficiency in that particular proceeding. The judicial or presiding officer shall consider testimony and the needs of the person with limited English proficiency in making this determination.

(3) After an appropriate colloquy or other process permitted by statute or regulation, the judicial or presiding officer shall satisfy itself and state on the record that:

(a) The proposed interpreter is capable of communicating effectively in English and in the non-English language. If the interpreter is assigned to interpret between two non-English languages (relay interpreter), the interpreter shall not be required to communicate in English;

(b) The proposed interpreter has read, understands, and will abide by the code of professional responsibility for judiciary interpreters established by court rule. If the interpreter does not meet this requirement, the interpreter may be given time to review the code of professional responsibility for judiciary interpreters; and

(c) The person with limited English proficiency can understand the interpreter.

(4) The court shall inquire whether the interpreter can accurately interpret:

(a) In the consecutive mode, if that mode of interpretation is expected to be used; and

(b) In the simultaneous mode, if that mode of interpretation is expected to be used.

(5) If the proposed interpreter does not meet the criteria in subsection (3) of this section, another interpreter must be used.

Sec. 4. RCW 2.43.050 and 2017 c 83 s 2 are each amended to read as follows:

(1) (a) Upon ((certification or registration with the administrative office of the courts, certified or registered)) obtaining an interpreter credential with the administrative office of the courts, credentialed interpreters shall take ((an)) a permanent oath, affirming that the interpreter will make a true interpretation ((to the person being examined)) of all the proceedings ((in a language which the person understands,)) and that the interpreter will repeat the statements of the person ((being examined)) with limited English proficiency to the court or agency conducting the proceedings, in the English language, to the best of the interpreter's skill and judgment.

(b) The administrative office of the courts shall maintain the list of credentialed interpreters and a record of the oath in the same manner ((that the list of certified and registered interpreters is maintained)).

(2) ((Before)) Subject to other processes permitted by statute or regulation, before any person serving as an interpreter for the court or agency begins to interpret, the ((appointing authority)) judicial or presiding officer shall require the interpreter to state the interpreter's name on the record and whether the interpreter is a ((certified or registered)) credentialed interpreter. If the interpreter is not a ((certified or registered)) credentialed interpreter, the interpreter must ((submit the interpreter's qualifications)) be qualified on the record.

(3) Before beginning to interpret, every interpreter appointed under this chapter shall take an oath unless the interpreter is a ((certified or registered)) credentialed interpreter who has taken the oath as required in subsection (1) of this section. The oath must affirm that the interpreter will make a true interpretation to the person being examined of all the proceedings in a language which the person understands, and that the interpreter will repeat the statements of the person being examined to the court or agency conducting the proceedings, in the English language, to the best of the interpreter's skill and judgment.

Sec. 5. RCW 2.43.060 and 1989 c 358 s 6 are each amended to read as follows:

(1) The right to ((a qualified)) an interpreter may not be waived except when:

(a) A ((non-English-speaking)) person with limited English proficiency requests a waiver on the record; and

(b) The ((appointing authority)) judicial or presiding officer determines on the record that the waiver has been made knowingly, voluntarily, and intelligently.

(2) ((Waiver of a qualified interpreter)) The waiver of the right to an interpreter may be set aside and an interpreter appointed ((, in)) at the discretion of the ((appointing authority)) judicial or presiding officer at any time during the proceedings.

(3) The waiver of the right to an interpreter does not preclude a person with limited English proficiency from exercising the right to an interpreter at a later time.

Sec. 6. RCW 2.43.080 and 1989 c 358 s 8 are each amended to read as follows:

All language interpreters serving in a legal proceeding, whether or not ~~((certified or qualified))~~credentialed, shall abide by a code of ~~((ethics))~~professional responsibility for judiciary interpreters established by supreme court rule.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 7. A new section is added to chapter 2.43 RCW to read as follows:

The court shall appoint a team of interpreters as required by supreme court rule.

Sec. 8. RCW 2.43.070 and 2005 c 282 s 4 are each amended to read as follows:

(1) Subject to the availability of funds, the administrative office of the courts shall establish and maintain a credentialing program for spoken language interpreters and administer ((a)) comprehensive testing ((and certification program for language interpreters)).

(2) The administrative office of the courts shall work cooperatively with ~~((community colleges and other))~~public or private ((or public)) educational institutions, and with other public or private organizations to establish ~~((a certification preparation curriculum and))~~suitable training programs and engage in recruitment efforts to ensure the availability of ~~((certified))~~credentialed interpreters. Training programs shall be made readily available in both eastern and western Washington locations.

(3) The administrative office of the courts shall establish and adopt standards of proficiency, written and oral, in English and the language to be interpreted.

(4) The administrative office of the courts shall conduct periodic examinations to ensure the availability of ~~((certified))~~credentialed interpreters. Periodic examinations shall be made readily available in both eastern and western Washington locations.

(5) The administrative office of the courts shall compile, maintain, and disseminate a current list of interpreters of ~~((certified))~~credentialed by the office.

(6) The administrative office of the courts may charge reasonable fees for testing, training, and ~~((certification))~~credentialing.

(7) The administrative office of the courts may create different credentials and provide guidance for the selection and use of credentialed and noncredentialed interpreters to ensure the highest standards of accuracy are maintained in all judicial proceedings.

Sec. 9. RCW 2.43.040 and 2023 c 102 s 1 are each amended to read as follows:

(1) Interpreters appointed according to this chapter are entitled to a reasonable

fee for their services and shall be reimbursed for actual expenses which are reasonable as provided in this section.

~~(2) (a) In all legal proceedings ((in which the non-English-speaking person is a party, or is subpoenaed or summoned by the appointing authority or is otherwise compelled by the appointing authority to appear, including criminal proceedings, grand jury proceedings, coroner's inquests, mental health commitment proceedings, and other legal proceedings initiated by agencies of government, the cost of providing the interpreter shall be borne by the governmental body initiating the legal proceedings.~~

~~(3) In other legal proceedings, the cost of providing the interpreter shall be borne by the non-English-speaking person unless such person is indigent according to adopted standards of the body. In such a case the cost shall be an administrative cost of the governmental body under the authority of which the legal proceeding is conducted.~~

~~(4))~~, a person with limited English proficiency is not responsible for the cost of the interpreter if that person is:

- ~~(i) A party;~~
- ~~(ii) Subpoenaed or summoned;~~
- ~~(iii) A parent, guardian, or custodian of a juvenile; or~~
- ~~(iv) Compelled to appear.~~

~~(b) In legal proceedings initiated by agencies of government, the cost of providing the interpreter shall be borne by the governmental body initiating the legal proceedings.~~

~~(3) Subject to the availability of funds specifically appropriated ((therefor)) for this purpose, the administrative office of the courts shall reimburse the ((appointing authority for up to one-half of the payment to the interpreter where an interpreter is appointed by a judicial officer in a proceeding before a court at public expense and:~~

~~(a) The interpreter appointed is an interpreter certified by the administrative office of the courts or is a qualified interpreter registered by the administrative office of the courts in a noncertified language, or where the necessary language is not certified or registered, the interpreter has been qualified by the judicial officer pursuant to this chapter;~~

~~(b) The court conducting the legal proceeding has an approved language assistance plan that complies with RCW 2.43.090; and~~

~~(c) The fee paid to the interpreter for services is in accordance with standards established by the administrative office of the courts)) participating state court for language access services costs and one-half of the payment of interpreter costs for legal proceedings unless a higher reimbursement rate is established in the omnibus budget.~~

Sec. 10. RCW 2.43.090 and 2008 c 291 s 1 are each amended to read as follows:

(1) ~~((Each trial court))~~Trial courts organized under this title and Titles 3 and 35 RCW must develop and maintain a written language ~~((assistance))~~access plan to

provide a framework for the provision of ~~((interpreter))~~ language access services for ~~((non-English-speaking))~~ persons with limited English proficiency accessing the court system and its programs in both civil and criminal legal matters. Courts may use a template developed by the administrative office of the courts in developing their language access plan.

(2) The language ~~((assistance))~~ access plan must at a minimum include ~~((, at a minimum, provisions addressing))~~ provisions designed to provide procedures for court staff and the public, as may be necessary, that address the following:

(a) Procedures to identify and ~~((assess))~~ provide the language needs of ~~((non-English-speaking))~~ persons with limited English proficiency using the court system;

(b) Procedures for ~~((the appointment of))~~ requesting and appointing interpreters as required under RCW 2.43.030 ~~((, Such procedures shall not require the non-English-speaking person to make the arrangements for the interpreter to appear in court))~~;

(c) Procedures for notifying court users of the right to an interpreter and the availability of interpreter services. Such information shall be prominently displayed in the courthouse in the five ~~((foreign))~~ or more languages other than English that ~~((census))~~ reputable data indicates are predominate in the jurisdiction;

(d) A process for providing timely communication ~~((with non-English speakers by))~~ between individuals with limited English proficiency and all court employees who have regular contact with the public and ~~((meaningful))~~ effective access to court ~~((services, including access to))~~ services provided by the clerk's office and other court-managed programs;

(e) Procedures for evaluating the need for translation of written materials, and prioritizing and providing those ~~((translation needs, and translating the highest priority materials. These procedures))~~ translated materials. Courts should take into account the frequency of use of forms by the language group, and the cost of ~~((orally interpreting))~~ providing the forms by other means;

(f) A process for ~~((requiring and providing))~~ training ~~((to))~~ judges, court clerks, and ~~((other))~~ court staff on ~~((the requirements of the language assistance plan))~~ best practices in serving individuals with limited English proficiency in legal proceedings and how to effectively ~~((access))~~ assign and work with interpreters and provide interpretation; and

(g) A process for an ongoing evaluation of the language ~~((assistance))~~ access plan and a process for monitoring ~~((of))~~ the implementation of the language ~~((assistance))~~ access plan.

~~((2-))~~ (3) Each court, when developing its language ~~((assistance))~~ access plan, must consult with judges, court administrators ~~((and))~~, court staff, court clerks, interpreters, and members of the community, such as domestic violence organizations, pro bono programs, courthouse facilitators, legal services programs, and/or other

community groups whose members speak a language other than English.

~~((3))~~ Each court must provide a copy of its language assistance plan to the interpreter commission established by supreme court rule for approval prior to receiving state reimbursement for interpreter costs under this chapter.

(4) Each court receiving reimbursement for interpreter costs under RCW 2.42.120 or 2.43.040 must provide to the administrative office of the courts by November 15, 2009, a report detailing an assessment of the need for interpreter services for non-English speakers in court-mandated classes or programs, the extent to which interpreter services are currently available for court-mandated classes or programs, and the resources that would be required to ensure that interpreters are provided to non-English speakers in court-mandated classes or programs. The report shall also include the amounts spent annually on interpreter services for fiscal years 2005, 2006, 2007, 2008, and 2009. The administrative office of the courts shall compile these reports and provide them along with the specific reimbursements provided, by court and fiscal year, to the appropriate committees of the legislature by December 15, 2009.)

(4) Beginning January 1, 2026, and every two years thereafter, all courts must submit their most recent language access plan to the administrative office of the courts.

(5) The administrative office of the courts shall provide technical assistance to trial courts in developing their language access plans.

(6) Each court must provide a copy of its language access plan to the administrative office of the courts in accordance with criteria for approval recommended by the interpreter and language access commission for approval prior to receiving state reimbursement for interpreter costs under this chapter.

(7) Each court shall make available on its website translated information that informs the public of procedures necessary to access a court's language access services and programs. The information shall be provided in five or more languages other than English that reputable data indicates are predominant in the jurisdiction.

Sec. 11. RCW 2.56.030 and 2019 c 271 s 5 are each amended to read as follows:

The administrator for the courts shall, under the supervision and direction of the chief justice:

(1) Examine the administrative methods and systems employed in the offices of the judges, clerks, stenographers, and employees of the courts and make recommendations, through the chief justice, for the improvement of the same;

(2) Examine the state of the dockets of the courts and determine the need for assistance by any court;

(3) Make recommendations to the chief justice relating to the assignment of judges where courts are in need of assistance and carry out the direction of the chief justice as to the assignments of judges to counties

and districts where the courts are in need of assistance;

(4) Collect and compile statistical and other data and make reports of the business transacted by the courts and transmit the same to the chief justice to the end that proper action may be taken in respect thereto;

(5) Prepare and submit budget estimates of state appropriations necessary for the maintenance and operation of the judicial system and make recommendations in respect thereto;

(6) Collect statistical and other data and make reports relating to the expenditure of public moneys, state and local, for the maintenance and operation of the judicial system and the offices connected therewith;

(7) Obtain reports from clerks of courts in accordance with law or rules adopted by the supreme court of this state on cases and other judicial business in which action has been delayed beyond periods of time specified by law or rules of court and make report thereof to supreme court of this state;

(8) Act as secretary of the judicial conference referred to in RCW 2.56.060;

(9) Submit annually, as of February 1st, to the chief justice, a report of the activities of the administrator's office for the preceding calendar year including activities related to courthouse security;

(10) Administer programs and standards for the training and education of judicial personnel;

(11) Examine the need for new superior court and district court judge positions under an objective workload analysis. The results of the objective workload analysis shall be reviewed by the board for judicial administration which shall make recommendations to the legislature. It is the intent of the legislature that an objective workload analysis become the basis for creating additional district and superior court positions, and recommendations should address that objective;

(12) Provide staff to the judicial retirement account plan under chapter 2.14 RCW;

(13) Attend to such other matters as may be assigned by the supreme court of this state;

(14) Within available funds, develop a curriculum for a general understanding of child development, placement, and treatment resources, as well as specific legal skills and knowledge of relevant statutes including chapters 13.32A, 13.34, and 13.40 RCW, cases, court rules, interviewing skills, and special needs of the abused or neglected child. This curriculum shall be completed and made available to all juvenile court judges, court personnel, and service providers and be updated yearly to reflect changes in statutes, court rules, or case law;

(15) Develop, in consultation with the entities set forth in RCW 2.56.150(3), a comprehensive statewide curriculum for persons who act as guardians ad litem under Title 13 or 26 RCW. The curriculum shall be made available July 1, 2008, and include specialty sections on child development,

child sexual abuse, child physical abuse, child neglect, domestic violence, clinical and forensic investigative and interviewing techniques, family reconciliation and mediation services, and relevant statutory and legal requirements. The curriculum shall be made available to all superior court judges, court personnel, and all persons who act as guardians ad litem;

(16) Develop a curriculum for a general understanding of hate crime offenses, as well as specific legal skills and knowledge of RCW 9A.36.080, relevant cases, court rules, and the special needs of hate crime offense victims. This curriculum shall be made available to all superior court and court of appeals judges and to all justices of the supreme court;

(17) Develop, in consultation with the criminal justice training commission and the commissions established under chapters 43.113, 43.115, and 43.117 RCW, a curriculum for a general understanding of ethnic and cultural diversity and its implications for working with youth of color and their families. The curriculum shall be available to all superior court judges and court commissioners assigned to juvenile court, and other court personnel. Ethnic and cultural diversity training shall be provided annually so as to incorporate cultural sensitivity and awareness into the daily operation of juvenile courts statewide;

(18) Authorize the use of closed circuit television and other electronic equipment in judicial proceedings. The administrator shall promulgate necessary standards and procedures and shall provide technical assistance to courts as required;

(19) Develop a Washington family law handbook in accordance with RCW 2.56.180;

(20) Administer state funds for improving the operation of the courts and provide support for court coordinating councils, under the direction of the board for judicial administration;

(21) Administer the family and juvenile court improvement grant program;

(22)(a) Administer and distribute amounts appropriated under RCW 43.08.250(2) for district court judges' and qualifying elected municipal court judges' salary contributions. The administrator for the courts shall develop a distribution formula for these amounts that does not differentiate between district and elected municipal court judges.

(b) A city qualifies for state contribution of elected municipal court judges' salaries under (a) of this subsection if:

(i) The judge is serving in an elected position;

(ii) The city has established by ordinance that a full-time judge is compensated at a rate equivalent to at least ninety-five percent, but not more than one hundred percent, of a district court judge salary or for a part-time judge on a pro rata basis the same equivalent; and

(iii) The city has certified to the office of the administrator for the courts that the conditions in (b)(i) and (ii) of this subsection have been met;

(23) Subject to the availability of funds specifically appropriated therefor, assist courts in the development and implementation of language ~~((assistance))~~ access plans required under RCW 2.43.090.

Sec. 12. RCW 7.105.245 and 2021 c 215 s 33 are each amended to read as follows:

(1) Pursuant to chapter 2.42 RCW, in order to ensure that parties have meaningful access to the court, an interpreter shall be appointed for any party who is deaf, hard of hearing, deaf-blind, or has a speech impairment and cannot readily understand or communicate in spoken language. Notwithstanding the provisions of chapter 2.42 RCW, the court shall not:

(a) Appoint an interpreter who is not credentialed or duly qualified by the court to provide interpretation services; or

(b) Appoint a person to provide interpretation services if that person is serving as an advocate for the party.

(2) Pursuant to chapter 2.43 RCW, in order to ensure that parties have meaningful access to the court, an interpreter shall be appointed for any party who ~~((cannot readily speak or understand the English language))~~ has limited English proficiency. Notwithstanding the provisions of chapter 2.43 RCW, the court shall not:

(a) Appoint an interpreter who is not credentialed or duly qualified by the court to provide interpretation services; or

(b) Appoint a person to provide interpretation services if that person is serving as an advocate for the party.

(3) Once an interpreter has been appointed for a party, the party shall no longer be required to make further requests for the appointment of an interpreter for subsequent hearings or proceedings. The clerk shall identify the party as a person who needs interpreter services and the clerk or the court administrator shall be responsible for ensuring that an interpreter is available for every subsequent hearing.

(4) The interpreter shall interpret for the party meeting with either counsel or court staff, or both, for the purpose of preparing forms and participating in the hearing and court-ordered assessments, and the interpreter shall sight translate any orders.

(5) The same interpreter shall not serve parties on both sides of the proceeding when not on the record, nor shall the interpreter appointed by the court for the proceeding be the same interpreter appointed for any court-ordered assessments, unless the court finds good cause on the record to do so because it is not possible to obtain more than one interpreter for the proceeding, or the safety of the litigants is not compromised, or any other reasons identified by the court.

(6) Courts shall make a private space available for parties, counsel, and/or court staff and interpreters to sight translate any written documents or to meet and confer.

(7) When a hearing is conducted through telephone, video, or other electronic means, the court must make appropriate arrangements to permit interpreters to serve the parties and the court as needed.

Sec. 13. RCW 13.04.043 and 1993 c 415 s 6 are each amended to read as follows:

The administrator of juvenile court shall obtain interpreters as needed consistent with the intent and practice of chapter 2.43 RCW, to enable ~~((non-English-speaking))~~ youth with limited English proficiency and their families to participate in detention, probation, or court proceedings and programs.

NEW SECTION. **Sec. 14.** RCW 2.43.040 and 2.43.080 are each recodified as sections in chapter 2.43 RCW.

Sec. 15. RCW 2.42.120 and 2008 c 291 s 2 are each amended to read as follows:

(1) If a hearing impaired person is a party or witness at any stage of a judicial or quasi-judicial proceeding in the state or in a political subdivision, including but not limited to civil and criminal court proceedings, grand jury proceedings, proceedings before a magistrate, juvenile proceedings, adoption proceedings, mental health commitment proceedings, and any proceeding in which a hearing impaired person may be subject to confinement or criminal sanction, the appointing authority shall appoint and pay for a qualified interpreter to interpret the proceedings.

(2) If the parent, guardian, or custodian of a juvenile brought before a court is hearing impaired, the appointing authority shall appoint and pay for a qualified interpreter to interpret the proceedings.

~~((3) If a hearing impaired person participates in a program or activity ordered by a court as part of the sentence or order of disposition, required as part of a diversion agreement or deferred prosecution program, or required as a condition of probation or parole, the appointing authority shall appoint and pay for a qualified interpreter to interpret exchange of information during the program or activity.~~

~~((4) If a law enforcement agency conducts a criminal investigation involving the interviewing of a hearing impaired person, whether as a victim, witness, or suspect, the appointing authority shall appoint and pay for a qualified interpreter throughout the investigation. Whenever a law enforcement agency conducts a criminal investigation involving the interviewing of a minor child whose parent, guardian, or custodian is hearing impaired, whether as a victim, witness, or suspect, the appointing authority shall appoint and pay for a qualified interpreter throughout the investigation. No employee of the law enforcement agency who has responsibilities other than interpreting may be appointed as the qualified interpreter.~~

~~((5) If a hearing impaired person is arrested for an alleged violation of a criminal law the arresting officer or the officer's supervisor shall, at the earliest possible time, procure and arrange payment for a qualified interpreter for any notification of rights, warning, interrogation, or taking of a statement. No employee of the law enforcement agency who has responsibilities other than interpreting~~

~~may be appointed as the qualified interpreter.~~

((6)) Where it is the policy and practice of a court of this state or of a political subdivision to appoint and pay counsel for persons who are indigent, the appointing authority shall appoint and pay for a qualified interpreter for hearing impaired persons to facilitate communication with counsel in all phases of the preparation and presentation of the case.

((7)) (4) Subject to the availability of funds specifically appropriated therefor, the administrative office of the courts shall reimburse the appointing authority for up to one-half of the payment to the interpreter where a qualified interpreter is appointed for a hearing impaired person by a judicial officer in a proceeding before a court under subsection (1) ~~((7)) or~~ (2) ~~((7) or~~ (3)) of this section in compliance with the provisions of RCW 2.42.130 and 2.42.170."

Correct the title.

Representative Peterson spoke in favor of the adoption of the striking amendment.

Representative Walsh spoke against the adoption of the striking amendment.

Division was demanded and the demand was sustained. The Speaker (Representative Timmons presiding) divided the House. The result was 58 - YEAS; 39 - NAYS.

The striking amendment (149) was adopted.

The bill was ordered engrossed.

There being no objection, the rules were suspended, the second reading considered the third and the bill was placed on final passage.

Representative Peterson spoke in favor of the passage of the bill.

Representative Walsh spoke against the passage of the bill.

The Speaker (Representative Timmons presiding) stated the question before the House to be the final passage of Engrossed Second Substitute House Bill No. 1174.

ROLL CALL

The Clerk called the roll on the final passage of Engrossed Second Substitute House Bill No. 1174, and the bill passed the House by the following vote: Yeas, 66; Nays, 31; Absent, 0; Excused, 1

Voting Yea: Representatives Barkis, Berg, Bergquist, Bernbaum, Berry, Bronoske, Callan, Cortes, Davis, Doglio, Donaghy, Duerr, Dufault, Entenman, Eslick, Farivar, Fey, Fitzgibbon, Fosse, Goodman, Gregerson, Hill, Hunt, Kloba, Leavitt, Lekanoff, Low, Macri, Manjarrez, Mena, Mendoza, Morgan, Nance, Obras, Ormsby, Ortiz-Self, Parshley, Paul, Peterson, Pollet, Ramel, Reed, Reeves, Richards, Rule, Ryu, Salahuddin, Santos, Scott, Shavers, Simmons, Springer, Stearns, Stonier, Street, Stuebe, Taylor, Thai, Tharinger, Thomas, Timmons, Walen, Waters, Wylie, Zahn and Mme. Speaker

Voting Nay: Representatives Abbarno, Abell, Barnard, Burnett, Caldier, Chase, Connors, Corry, Couture, Dent, Dye, Engell, Graham, Griffey, Jacobsen, Keaton, Klicker, Ley, Marshall, McClintock, McEntire, Orcutt, Penner, Rude, Schmick, Schmidt, Steele, Stokesbary, Volz, Walsh and Ybarra

Excused: Representative Hackney

ENGROSSED SECOND SUBSTITUTE HOUSE BILL NO. 1174, having received the necessary constitutional majority, was declared passed.

HOUSE BILL NO. 1553, by Representatives Richards, Dent, Hackney, Bernbaum, Kloba and Springer

Extending the dairy inspection program until June 30, 2031.

The bill was read the second time.

There being no objection, the rules were suspended, the second reading considered the third and the bill was placed on final passage.

Representatives Richards and Dent spoke in favor of the passage of the bill.

The Speaker (Representative Timmons presiding) stated the question before the House to be the final passage of House Bill No. 1553.

ROLL CALL

The Clerk called the roll on the final passage of House Bill No. 1553, and the bill passed the House by the following vote: Yeas, 97; Nays, 0; Absent, 0; Excused, 1

Voting Yea: Representatives Abbarno, Abell, Barkis, Barnard, Berg, Bergquist, Bernbaum, Berry, Bronoske, Burnett, Caldier, Callan, Chase, Connors, Corry, Cortes, Couture, Davis, Dent, Doglio, Donaghy, Duerr, Dufault, Dye, Engell, Entenman, Eslick, Farivar, Fey, Fitzgibbon, Fosse, Goodman, Graham, Gregerson, Griffey, Hill, Hunt, Jacobsen, Keaton, Klicker, Kloba, Leavitt, Lekanoff, Ley, Low, Macri, Manjarrez, Marshall, McClintock, McEntire, Mena, Mendoza, Morgan, Nance, Obras, Orcutt, Ormsby, Ortiz-Self, Parshley, Paul, Penner, Peterson, Pollet, Ramel, Reed, Reeves, Richards, Rude, Rule, Ryu, Salahuddin, Santos, Schmick, Schmidt, Scott, Shavers, Simmons, Springer, Stearns, Steele, Stokesbary, Stonier, Street, Stuebe, Taylor, Thai, Tharinger, Thomas, Timmons, Volz, Walen, Walsh, Waters, Wylie, Ybarra, Zahn and Mme. Speaker

Excused: Representative Hackney

HOUSE BILL NO. 1553, having received the necessary constitutional majority, was declared passed.

The Speaker assumed the chair.

SECOND READING

HOUSE BILL NO. 1833, by Representatives Keaton, Barnard, Penner, Eslick and Salahuddin

Creating an artificial intelligence grant program.

The bill was read the second time.

There being no objection, Substitute House Bill No. 1833 was substituted for House Bill No. 1833 and the substitute bill was placed on the second reading calendar.

SUBSTITUTE HOUSE BILL NO. 1833 was read the second time.

There being no objection, the rules were suspended, the second reading considered the third and the bill was placed on final passage.

Representatives Keaton and Stonier spoke in favor of the passage of the bill.

The Speaker stated the question before the House to be the final passage of Substitute House Bill No. 1833.

ROLL CALL

The Clerk called the roll on the final passage of Substitute House Bill No. 1833, and the bill passed the House by the following vote: Yeas, 92; Nays, 5; Absent, 0; Excused, 1

Voting Yea: Representatives Abbarno, Abell, Barkis, Barnard, Berg, Bergquist, Bernbaum, Berry, Bronoske, Burnett, Caldier, Callan, Chase, Connors, Corry, Cortes, Couture, Davis, Dent, Doglio, Duerr, Dufault, Dye, Engell, Entenman, Eslick, Farivar, Fey, Fitzgibbon, Fosse, Goodman, Graham, Gregerson, Griffey, Hill, Hunt, Jacobsen, Keaton, Klicker, Leavitt, Lekanoff, Ley, Low, Macri, Manjarrez, Marshall, McClintock, McEntire, Mena, Mendoza, Morgan, Nance, Obras, Orcutt, Ormsby, Ortiz-Self, Parshley, Paul, Penner, Peterson, Pollet, Ramel, Reed, Reeves, Richards, Rude, Rule, Salahuddin, Schmick, Schmidt, Scott, Shavers, Simmons, Springer, Stearns, Steele, Stokesbary, Stonier, Street, Stuebe, Taylor, Tharinger, Thomas, Timmons, Volz, Walen, Walsh, Waters, Wylie, Ybarra, Zahn and Mme. Speaker

Voting Nay: Representatives Donaghy, Kloba, Ryu, Santos and Thai

Excused: Representative Hackney

SUBSTITUTE HOUSE BILL NO. 1833, having received the necessary constitutional majority, was declared passed.

POINT OF PERSONAL PRIVILEGE

Representative Jacobsen congratulated Representative Keaton on the passage of his first bill through the House and asked the Chamber to acknowledge his accomplishment.

The Speaker called upon Representative Timmons to preside.

SECOND READING

HOUSE BILL NO. 1102, by Representatives Shavers, Ryu, Leavitt, Callan, Simmons, Goodman, Wylie, Nance, Fosse and Reeves

Increasing support and services for veterans.

The bill was read the second time.

There being no objection, Second Substitute House Bill No. 1102 was substituted for House Bill No. 1102 and the second substitute bill was placed on the second reading calendar.

SECOND SUBSTITUTE HOUSE BILL NO. 1102 was read the second time.

With the consent of the House, amendment (141) was withdrawn.

Representative Shavers moved the adoption of amendment (144):

On page 2, beginning on line 19, beginning with "Additionally" strike all material through "rate." on line 22

Representatives Shavers and Orcutt spoke in favor of the adoption of the amendment.

Amendment (144) was adopted.

Representative Orcutt moved the adoption of amendment (126):

On page 4, beginning on line 15, strike all of sections 4 through 11

Representatives Orcutt and Ryu spoke in favor of the adoption of the amendment.

Amendment (126) was adopted.

The bill was ordered engrossed.

There being no objection, the rules were suspended, the second reading considered the third and the bill was placed on final passage.

Representatives Shavers, Barnard, Stuebe, Couture, Reeves and Orcutt spoke in favor of the passage of the bill.

The Speaker (Representative Timmons presiding) stated the question before the House to be the final passage of Engrossed Second Substitute House Bill No. 1102.

ROLL CALL

The Clerk called the roll on the final passage of Engrossed Second Substitute House Bill No. 1102, and the bill passed the House by the following vote: Yeas, 96; Nays, 1; Absent, 0; Excused, 1

Voting Yea: Representatives Abbarno, Abell, Barkis, Barnard, Berg, Bergquist, Bernbaum, Berry, Bronoske, Burnett, Caldier, Callan, Chase, Connors, Corry, Cortes, Couture, Davis, Dent, Doglio, Donaghy, Duerr, Dufault, Dye, Engell, Entenman, Eslick, Farivar, Fey, Fitzgibbon, Fosse, Goodman, Graham, Gregerson, Griffey, Hill, Hunt, Jacobsen, Keaton, Klicker, Leavitt, Lekanoff, Ley, Low, Macri, Manjarrez, Marshall, McClintock, McEntire, Mena, Mendoza, Morgan, Nance, Obras, Orcutt, Ormsby, Ortiz-Self, Parshley, Paul, Penner, Peterson, Pollet, Ramel, Reed, Reeves, Richards, Rude, Rule, Ryu, Salahuddin, Santos, Schmick, Schmidt, Scott, Shavers, Simmons, Springer, Stearns, Steele, Stokesbary, Stonier, Street, Stuebe, Taylor, Thai, Tharinger, Thomas, Timmons, Volz, Walen, Walsh, Waters, Wylie, Ybarra, Zahn and Mme. Speaker

Voting Nay: Representative Kloba

Excused: Representative Hackney

ENGROSSED SECOND SUBSTITUTE HOUSE BILL NO. 1102, having received the necessary constitutional majority, was declared passed.

HOUSE BILL NO. 1970, by Representatives Zahn and Donaghy

Concerning state highway construction project alternative contracting procedures.

The bill was read the second time.

There being no objection, the rules were suspended, the second reading considered the third and the bill was placed on final passage.

Representatives Zahn and Low spoke in favor of the passage of the bill.

The Speaker (Representative Timmons presiding) stated the question before the House to be the final passage of House Bill No. 1970.

ROLL CALL

The Clerk called the roll on the final passage of House Bill No. 1970, and the bill passed the House by the following vote: Yeas, 97; Nays, 0; Absent, 0; Excused, 1

Voting Yea: Representatives Abbarno, Abell, Barkis, Barnard, Berg, Bergquist, Bernbaum, Berry, Bronoske, Burnett, Caldier, Callan, Chase, Connors, Corry, Cortes, Couture, Davis, Dent, Doglio, Donaghy, Duerr, Dufault, Dye, Engell, Entenman, Eslick, Farivar, Fey, Fitzgibbon, Fosse, Goodman, Graham, Gregerson, Griffey, Hill, Hunt, Jacobsen, Keaton, Klicker, Kloba, Leavitt, Lekanoff, Ley, Low, Macri, Manjarrez, Marshall, McClintock, McEntire, Mena, Mendoza, Morgan, Nance, Obras, Orcutt, Ormsby, Ortiz-Self, Parshley, Paul, Penner, Peterson, Pollet, Ramel, Reed, Reeves, Richards, Rude, Rule, Ryu, Salahuddin, Santos, Schmick, Schmidt, Scott, Shavers, Simmons, Springer,

Stearns, Steele, Stokesbary, Stonier, Street, Stuebe, Taylor, Thai, Tharinger, Thomas, Timmons, Volz, Walen, Walsh, Waters, Wylie, Ybarra, Zahn and Mme. Speaker

Excused: Representative Hackney

HOUSE BILL NO. 1970, having received the necessary constitutional majority, was declared passed.

HOUSE BILL NO. 1359, by Representatives Thai, Abbarno, Eslick, Goodman and Davis

Reviewing laws related to criminal insanity and competency to stand trial.

The bill was read the second time.

There being no objection, Second Substitute House Bill No. 1359 was substituted for House Bill No. 1359 and the second substitute bill was placed on the second reading calendar.

SECOND SUBSTITUTE HOUSE BILL NO. 1359 was read the second time.

There being no objection, the rules were suspended, the second reading considered the third and the bill was placed on final passage.

Representatives Thai and Abell spoke in favor of the passage of the bill.

The Speaker (Representative Timmons presiding) stated the question before the House to be the final passage of Second Substitute House Bill No. 1359.

ROLL CALL

The Clerk called the roll on the final passage of Second Substitute House Bill No. 1359, and the bill passed the House by the following vote: Yeas, 69; Nays, 28; Absent, 0; Excused, 1

Voting Yea: Representatives Abbarno, Abell, Barkis, Berg, Bergquist, Bernbaum, Berry, Bronoske, Callan, Chase, Cortes, Davis, Dent, Doglio, Donaghy, Duerr, Engell, Entenman, Eslick, Farivar, Fey, Fitzgibbon, Fosse, Goodman, Gregerson, Hill, Hunt, Kloba, Leavitt, Lekanoff, Low, Macri, Manjarrez, Marshall, Mena, Morgan, Nance, Obras, Orcutt, Ormsby, Ortiz-Self, Parshley, Paul, Penner, Peterson, Pollet, Ramel, Reed, Reeves, Richards, Rule, Ryu, Salahuddin, Santos, Scott, Shavers, Simmons, Springer, Stearns, Stonier, Street, Taylor, Thai, Tharinger, Thomas, Timmons, Walen, Wylie, Zahn and Mme. Speaker

Voting Nay: Representatives Barnard, Burnett, Caldier, Connors, Corry, Couture, Dufault, Dye, Graham, Griffey, Jacobsen, Keaton, Klicker, Ley, McClintock, McEntire, Mendoza, Penner, Rude, Schmick, Schmidt, Steele, Stokesbary, Stuebe, Volz, Walsh, Waters and Ybarra

Excused: Representative Hackney

SECOND SUBSTITUTE HOUSE BILL NO. 1359, having received the necessary constitutional majority, was declared passed.

HOUSE BILL NO. 1784, by Representatives Marshall, Simmons, Parshley and Schmidt

Concerning certified medical assistants.

The bill was read the second time.

There being no objection, Substitute House Bill No. 1784 was substituted for House Bill No. 1784 and the substitute bill was placed on the second reading calendar.

SUBSTITUTE HOUSE BILL NO. 1784 was read the second time.

There being no objection, the rules were suspended, the second reading considered the third and the bill was placed on final passage.

Representatives Marshall and Bronoske spoke in favor of the passage of the bill.

The Speaker (Representative Timmons presiding) stated the question before the House to be the final passage of Substitute House Bill No. 1784.

ROLL CALL

The Clerk called the roll on the final passage of Substitute House Bill No. 1784, and the bill passed the House by the following vote: Yeas, 97; Nays, 0; Absent, 0; Excused, 1

Voting Yea: Representatives Abbarno, Abell, Barkis, Barnard, Berg, Bergquist, Bernbaum, Berry, Bronoske, Burnett, Caldier, Callan, Chase, Connors, Corry, Cortes, Couture, Davis, Dent, Doglio, Donaghy, Duerr, Dufault, Dye, Engell, Entenman, Eslick, Farivar, Fey, Fitzgibbon, Fosse, Goodman, Graham, Gregerson, Griffey, Hill, Hunt, Jacobsen, Keaton, Klicker, Kloba, Leavitt, Lekanoff, Ley, Low, Macri, Manjarrez, Marshall, McClintock, McEntire, Mena, Mendoza, Morgan, Nance, Obras, Orcutt, Ormsby, Ortiz-Self, Parshley, Paul, Penner, Peterson, Pollet, Ramel, Reed, Reeves, Richards, Rude, Rule, Ryu, Salahuddin, Santos, Schmick, Schmidt, Scott, Shavers, Simmons, Springer, Stearns, Steele, Stokesbary, Stonier, Street, Stuebe, Taylor, Thai, Tharinger, Thomas, Timmons, Volz, Walen, Walsh, Waters, Wylie, Ybarra, Zahn and Mme. Speaker

Excused: Representative Hackney

SUBSTITUTE HOUSE BILL NO. 1784, having received the necessary constitutional majority, was declared passed.

The Speaker (Representative Timmons presiding) called upon Representative Stearns to preside.

SECOND READING

HOUSE BILL NO. 1757, by Representatives Walen, Fitzgibbon, Parshley, Paul, Ramel and Reed

Modifying regulations for existing buildings used for residential purposes.

The bill was read the second time.

There being no objection, the rules were suspended, the second reading considered the third and the bill was placed on final passage.

Representatives Walen and Low spoke in favor of the passage of the bill.

The Speaker (Representative Stearns presiding) stated the question before the House to be the final passage of House Bill No. 1757.

ROLL CALL

The Clerk called the roll on the final passage of House Bill No. 1757, and the bill passed the House by the following vote: Yeas, 95; Nays, 2; Absent, 0; Excused, 1

Voting Yea: Representatives Abbarno, Abell, Barkis, Barnard, Berg, Bergquist, Bernbaum, Berry, Bronoske, Burnett, Caldier, Callan, Chase, Connors, Corry, Cortes, Couture, Davis, Dent, Doglio, Donaghy, Duerr, Dye, Engell, Entenman, Eslick, Farivar, Fey, Fitzgibbon, Fosse, Goodman, Graham, Gregerson, Griffey, Hill, Hunt, Jacobsen, Keaton, Klicker, Kloba, Leavitt, Lekanoff, Ley, Low, Macri, Manjarrez, Marshall, McClintock, McEntire, Mena, Mendoza, Morgan, Nance, Obras, Orcutt, Ormsby, Ortiz-Self, Parshley, Paul, Penner, Peterson, Pollet, Ramel, Reed, Reeves, Richards, Rude, Rule, Ryu, Salahuddin, Santos, Schmick, Schmidt, Scott, Shavers, Simmons, Springer, Stearns, Steele,

Stokesbary, Stonier, Street, Stuebe, Taylor, Thai, Tharinger, Thomas, Timmons, Volz, Walen, Waters, Wylie, Ybarra, Zahn and Mme. Speaker

Voting Nay: Representatives Dufault and Walsh
Excused: Representative Hackney

HOUSE BILL NO. 1757, having received the necessary constitutional majority, was declared passed.

HOUSE BILL NO. 1233, by Representatives Simmons, Scott, Peterson, Davis, Ormsby and Hill

Concerning work programs for incarcerated persons.

The bill was read the second time.

There being no objection, Substitute House Bill No. 1233 was substituted for House Bill No. 1233 and the substitute bill was placed on the second reading calendar.

SUBSTITUTE HOUSE BILL NO. 1233 was read the second time.

Representative Graham moved the adoption of amendment (152):

On page 12, line 35, after "RCW 72.09.100" insert "; provided, however, that if an incarcerated person has agreed to work or participate in a work program, the incarcerated person must comply with all established rules related to attending and disenrolling from work or the work program"

Representatives Graham and Goodman spoke in favor of the adoption of the amendment.

Amendment (152) was adopted.

Representative Walsh moved the adoption of amendment (155):

On page 1, beginning on line 5, strike all of section 1

Renumber the remaining sections consecutively and correct any internal references accordingly.

Representatives Walsh and Goodman spoke in favor of the adoption of the amendment.

Amendment (155) was adopted.

Representative Jacobsen moved the adoption of amendment (147):

On page 5, beginning on line 27, strike all of section 3

Renumber the remaining sections consecutively and correct any internal references accordingly.

Representatives Jacobsen, Walsh and Graham spoke in favor of the adoption of the amendment.

Representative Scott spoke against the adoption of the amendment.

Amendment (147) was not adopted.

Representative Burnett moved the adoption of amendment (157):

On page 6, beginning on line 20, strike all of section 4

Renumber the remaining section consecutively and correct any internal references accordingly.

Representative Burnett spoke in favor of the adoption of the amendment.

Representative Reed spoke against the adoption of the amendment.

Amendment (157) was not adopted.

The bill was ordered engrossed.

There being no objection, the rules were suspended, the second reading considered the third and the bill was placed on final passage.

Representatives Simmons and Santos spoke in favor of the passage of the bill.

Representatives Graham, Couture, Jacobsen and Caldier spoke against the passage of the bill.

The Speaker (Representative Stearns presiding) stated the question before the House to be the final passage of Engrossed Substitute House Bill No. 1233.

ROLL CALL

The Clerk called the roll on the final passage of Engrossed Substitute House Bill No. 1233, and the bill passed the House by the following vote: Yeas, 58; Nays, 39; Absent, 0; Excused, 1

Voting Yea: Representatives Berg, Bergquist, Bernbaum, Berry, Bronoske, Callan, Cortes, Davis, Doglio, Donaghy, Duerr, Entenman, Farivar, Fey, Fitzgibbon, Fosse, Goodman, Gregerson, Hill, Hunt, Kloba, Leavitt, Lekanoff, Macri, Mena, Morgan, Nance, Obras, Ormsby, Ortiz-Self, Parshley, Paul, Peterson, Pollet, Ramel, Reed, Reeves, Richards, Rule, Ryu, Salahuddin, Santos, Scott, Shavers, Simmons, Springer, Stearns, Stonier, Street, Taylor, Thai, Tharinger, Thomas, Timmons, Walen, Wylie, Zahn and Mme. Speaker

Voting Nay: Representatives Abbarno, Abell, Barkis, Barnard, Burnett, Caldier, Chase, Connors, Corry, Couture, Dent, Dufault, Dye, Engell, Eslick, Graham, Griffey, Jacobsen, Keaton, Klicker, Ley, Low, Manjarrez, Marshall, McClintock, McEntire, Mendoza, Orcutt, Penner, Rude, Schmick, Schmidt, Steele, Stokesbary, Stuebe, Volz, Walsh, Waters and Ybarra

Excused: Representative Hackney

ENGROSSED SUBSTITUTE HOUSE BILL NO. 1233, having received the necessary constitutional majority, was declared passed.

HOUSE BILL NO. 1491, by Representatives Reed, Richards, Berry, Duerr, Cortes, Doglio, Ryu, Fitzgibbon, Alvarado, Davis, Ramel, Parshley, Mena, Peterson, Nance, Macri, Fosse, Kloba, Ormsby, Scott, Pollet, Hill, Obras and Simmons

Promoting transit-oriented housing development.

The bill was read the second time.

There being no objection, Third Substitute House Bill No. 1491 was substituted for House Bill No. 1491 and the third substitute bill was placed on the second reading calendar.

THIRD SUBSTITUTE HOUSE BILL NO. 1491 was read the second time.

With the consent of the House, amendment (179) was withdrawn.

Representative Barkis moved the adoption of amendment (158):

On page 12, beginning on line 27, after "(7)(a)" strike all material through "(8)" on page 13, line 21 and insert "A building constructed within a station area is eligible for a property tax exemption under RCW 84.14.020(1)(a)(ii)(D) if 10 percent of all residential units are dedicated as affordable housing or 20 percent of all residential units are dedicated as workforce housing for at least 50 years."

(b) "

Renumber the remaining subsections consecutively and correct any internal references accordingly.

Representatives Barkis and Dufault spoke in favor of the adoption of the amendment.

Representative Peterson spoke against the adoption of the amendment.

Amendment (158) was not adopted.

There being no objection, the rules were suspended, the second reading considered the third and the bill was placed on final passage.

Representative Reed spoke in favor of the passage of the bill.

Representatives Low and Dye spoke against the passage of the bill.

The Speaker (Representative Stearns presiding) stated the question before the House to be the final passage of Third Substitute House Bill No. 1491.

ROLL CALL

The Clerk called the roll on the final passage of Third Substitute House Bill No. 1491, and the bill passed the House by the following vote: Yeas, 58; Nays, 39; Absent, 0; Excused, 1

Voting Yea: Representatives Berg, Bergquist, Bernbaum, Berry, Bronoske, Callan, Cortes, Davis, Doglio, Donaghy, Duerr, Entenman, Farivar, Fey, Fitzgibbon, Fosse, Goodman, Gregerson, Hill, Hunt, Kloba, Leavitt, Lekanoff, Macri, Mena, Morgan, Nance, Obras, Ormsby, Ortiz-Self, Parshley, Paul, Peterson, Pollet, Ramel, Reed, Reeves, Richards, Rule, Ryu, Salahuddin, Santos, Scott, Shavers, Simmons, Springer, Stearns, Stonier, Street, Taylor, Thai, Tharinger, Thomas, Timmons, Walen, Wylie, Zahn and Mme. Speaker

Voting Nay: Representatives Abbarno, Abell, Barkis, Barnard, Burnett, Caldier, Chase, Connors, Corry, Couture, Dent, Dufault, Dye, Engell, Eslick, Graham, Griffey, Jacobsen, Keaton, Klicker, Ley, Low, Manjarrez, Marshall, McClintock, McEntire, Mendoza, Orcutt, Penner, Rude, Schmick, Schmidt, Steele, Stokesbary, Stuebe, Volz, Walsh, Waters and Ybarra

Excused: Representative Hackney

THIRD SUBSTITUTE HOUSE BILL NO. 1491, having received the necessary constitutional majority, was declared passed.

HOUSE BILL NO. 1829, by Representatives Lekanoff, Goodman and Pollet

Concerning tribal warrants.

The bill was read the second time.

There being no objection, Substitute House Bill No. 1829 was substituted for House Bill No. 1829 and the substitute bill was placed on the second reading calendar.

SUBSTITUTE HOUSE BILL NO. 1829 was read the second time.

Representative Goodman moved the adoption of the striking amendment (154):

Strike everything after the enacting clause and insert the following:

"Sec. 1. RCW 10.32.070 and 2024 c 207 s 8 are each amended to read as follows:

(1) Subject to the provisions of RCW 10.32.050, a place of detention shall deliver or make available a person in custody to the noncertified tribe without a judicial order of surrender provided that:

((41)) (a) Such person is alleged to have broken the terms of his or her probation, parole, bail, or any other release of the noncertified tribe; and

((42)) (b) The place of detention has received from the noncertified tribe an authenticated copy of a prior waiver of extradition signed by such person as a term of his or her probation, parole, bail, or any other release of the noncertified tribe and photographs or fingerprints or other evidence properly identifying the person as the person who signed the waiver.

(2) As used in this section, "authenticated copy" means a copy of a prior waiver of extradition signed by an authorized representative of the tribal court attesting the document is a true record of the tribal court waiver of extradition.

NEW SECTION. **Sec. 2.** A new section is added to chapter 10.32 RCW to read as follows:

The certified or noncertified tribe demanding the extradition of a tribal fugitive pursuant to this chapter shall have standing in any hearing in state court testing the legality of the extradition.

NEW SECTION. **Sec. 3.** A new section is added to chapter 10.32 RCW to read as follows:

(1) Upon issuing a tribal warrant, the court of a tribe may file such warrant with the superior court of the county in which the tribe is physically located along with:

(a) A certified copy of the charging document;

(b) The tribal code provision, constitutional provision, or federal statute authorizing the certified tribe to exercise criminal jurisdiction over the tribal fugitive for whom the tribal warrant has been issued; and

(c) Identifying information for the tribal fugitive.

(2) A warrant so filed shall be timely reviewed by a superior court. If the court makes a finding of probable cause that a tribal fugitive subject to a filed tribal warrant has been charged with a crime by the filing tribe, the court must order the

issuance of a state warrant of arrest for such tribal fugitive from justice under section 4 of this act, which shall expire six months after issuance, unless withdrawn earlier under subsection (4) of this section.

(3) Any judicial proceedings involving a tribal fugitive subject to a warrant filed under this section must occur in the county where the tribal fugitive is first detained.

(4) A warrant filed under this section must be withdrawn once the person who is the subject of the tribal warrant has submitted to the tribe's tribal court jurisdiction or been arrested.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 4. A new section is added to chapter 10.32 RCW to read as follows:

Whenever any person within this state shall be charged on the oath of any credible person before any judge or magistrate of this state with the commission of any crime by any federally recognized tribe with territory located within the borders of the state of Washington and with having fled from justice, or with having been convicted of a crime by any federally recognized tribe with territory located in the state of Washington and having escaped from confinement, or having broken the terms of such person's bail, probation, or parole, or whenever complaint shall have been made before any judge or magistrate in this state setting forth on the affidavit of any credible person of a federally recognized tribe with territory within this state that a crime has been committed for which the tribe has criminal jurisdiction and that the accused has been charged by such tribe with the commission of the crime, and has fled from justice, or with having been convicted of a crime in that tribe's courts and having escaped from confinement, or having broken the terms of such person's bail, probation, or parole and is believed to be in this state, the judge or magistrate shall issue a warrant directed to any peace officer commanding such officer to apprehend the person named therein, wherever such person may be found in this state, and to bring such person before the same or any other judge, magistrate, or court who or which may be available in or convenient of access to the place where the arrest may be made, to answer the charge or complaint and affidavit, and a certified copy of the sworn charge or complaint and affidavit upon which the warrant is issued shall be attached to the warrant.

Sec. 5. RCW 9A.72.010 and 2019 c 232 s 10 are each amended to read as follows:

The following definitions are applicable in this chapter unless the context otherwise requires:

(1) "Materially false statement" means any false statement oral or written, regardless of its admissibility under the rules of evidence, which could have affected the course or outcome of the proceeding;

(2) "Oath" includes an affirmation and every other mode authorized by law of attesting to the truth of that which is

stated; in this chapter, written statements shall be treated as if made under oath if:

(a) The statement was made on or pursuant to instructions on an official form bearing notice, authorized by law, to the effect that false statements made therein are punishable;

(b) The statement recites that it was made under oath, the declarant was aware of such recitation at the time he or she made the statement, intended that the statement should be represented as a sworn statement, and the statement was in fact so represented by its delivery or utterance with the signed jurat of an officer authorized to administer oaths appended thereto; or

(c) It is a statement, declaration, verification, or certificate, made within or outside the state of Washington, which is declared to be true under penalty of perjury as provided in chapter 5.50 RCW or under the code of any federally recognized tribe.

(3) An oath is "required or authorized by law" when the use of the oath is specifically provided for by statute or regulatory provision or when the oath is administered by a person authorized by state, a federally recognized tribe, or federal law to administer oaths;

(4) "Official proceeding" means a proceeding heard before any state, federally recognized tribal, or federal legislative, judicial, administrative, or other government agency or official authorized to hear evidence under oath, including any tribal court, referee, hearing examiner, commissioner, notary, or other person taking testimony or depositions;

(5) "Juror" means any person who is a member of any jury, including a grand jury, impaneled by any court of this state, or tribal court, or by any public servant authorized by law to impanel a jury; the term juror also includes any person who has been drawn or summoned to attend as a prospective juror;

(6) "Testimony" includes oral or written statements, documents, or any other material that may be offered by a witness in an official proceeding;

(7) "Tribal" means a federally recognized Indian tribe as defined by 25 U.S.C. Sec. 1301;

(8) "Tribal court" means an Indian court as defined by 25 U.S.C. Sec. 1301;

(9) "Tribal law" means the Constitution, codes, ordinance, regulations, case law, and customary law of a federally recognized tribe.

Sec. 6. RCW 10.32.010 and 2024 c 207 s 2 are each amended to read as follows:

The definitions in this section apply throughout this chapter unless the context clearly requires otherwise.

(1) "Noncertified tribe" means a federally recognized tribe located within the borders of the state of Washington that is requesting that a tribal fugitive be surrendered to the duly authorized agent of the tribe, but has not received approval to exercise jurisdiction under the tribal law and order act of 2010, section 234, codified at 25 U.S.C. Sec. 1302, and which has agreed by treaty or practice not to shelter or

conceal offenders against the laws of the state of Washington but to deliver them up to state authorities for prosecution.

(2) "Certified tribe" means a federally recognized tribe located within the borders of the state of Washington that (a) may impose a term of imprisonment of greater than one year, or a fine greater than \$5,000, or both, pursuant to the tribal law and order act of 2010, section 234, codified at 25 U.S.C. Sec. 1302; and (b) has agreed not to shelter or conceal offenders against the laws of the state of Washington but to deliver them up to state authorities for prosecution.

(3) "Peace officer" has the same meaning as in RCW 10.93.020(4).

(4) "Place of detention" means a jail as defined in RCW 70.48.020, a correctional facility as defined in RCW 72.09.015, and any similar adult facility contracted by a city or county.

(5) "Tribal court judge" includes every judicial officer authorized alone or with others, to hold or preside over the criminal court of a certified tribe or noncertified tribe.

(6) "Tribal fugitive" or "fugitive" means any person who is subject to tribal court criminal jurisdiction, committed an alleged crime under the tribal code, and thereafter fled tribal jurisdiction, including by escaping or evading confinement, breaking the terms of their probation, bail, or parole, or absenting themselves from the jurisdiction of the tribal court.

(7) "Tribal police officer" has the same meaning as in RCW 10.92.010.

Sec. 7. RCW 10.32.130 and 2024 c 207 s 14 are each amended to read as follows:

(1) A peace officer ~~((or a peace officer)) as defined in RCW 43.101.010, limited authority Washington peace officer as defined in RCW 10.93.020, specially commissioned Washington peace officer as defined in RCW 10.93.020, local or state corrections officer as defined in RCW 43.101.010, jail as defined in RCW 70.48.020, or such officer's or jail facility's~~ legal advisor may not be held criminally or civilly liable for making an arrest or not making an arrest under chapter 207, Laws of 2024 if the peace officer or the peace officer's legal advisor acted in good faith and without malice.

(2) Chapter 207, Laws of 2024 is not intended to limit, abrogate, or modify existing immunities for prosecuting attorneys for good faith conduct consistent with statutory duties.

Sec. 8. RCW 10.32.090 and 2024 c 207 s 10 are each amended to read as follows:

(1) A peace officer may arrest a person subject to a tribal arrest warrant from a noncertified tribe when the warrant is presented by a tribal court representative or tribal law enforcement officer to the peace officer or a general authority Washington law enforcement agency as defined in RCW 10.93.020 or entered in the national crime information center ~~((interstate identification index)) or Washington information center~~. The arrested person must be brought to an appropriate place of

detention and then to the nearest available superior court judge ~~((without unnecessary delay)) the next judicial day~~. The superior court judge shall issue an order continuing custody upon presentation of the tribal arrest warrant.

(2) The judge shall inform the person appearing under subsection (1) of this section of the name of the noncertified tribe that has subjected the person to an arrest warrant, the basis of the arrest warrant, the right to assistance of counsel, and the right to require a judicial hearing before transfer of custody to the applicable noncertified tribe.

(3) After being informed by the judge of the effect of a waiver, the arrested person may waive the right to require a judicial hearing and consent to return to the applicable noncertified tribe by executing a written waiver. If the waiver is executed, the judge shall issue an order to transfer custody under subsection (5) of this section or, with consent of the applicable noncertified tribe, authorize the voluntary return of the person to that tribe.

(4) If a hearing is not waived under subsection (3) of this section, the court shall hold a hearing within ~~((three days)) 72 hours~~, excluding weekends and holidays, after the initial appearance. The arrested person and the prosecuting attorney's office shall be informed of the time and place of the hearing. The court shall release the person upon conditions that will reasonably assure availability of the person for the hearing or direct a peace officer to maintain custody of the person until the time of the hearing. Following the hearing, the judge shall issue an order to transfer custody under subsection (5) of this section unless the arrested person established by clear and convincing evidence that the arrested person is not the person identified in the warrant. If the court does not order transfer of custody, the judge shall order the arrested person to be released.

(5) A judicial order to transfer custody issued under subsection (4) of this section shall be directed to a peace officer to take or retain custody of the person until a representative of the applicable noncertified tribe is available to take custody. If the noncertified tribe has not taken custody ~~((with [within])) within~~ three days, excluding weekends and holidays, the court may order the release of the person upon conditions that will assure the person's availability on a specified date ~~((with [within])) within~~ seven days. If the noncertified tribe has not taken custody within the time specified in the order, the person shall be released. Thereafter, an order to transfer custody may be entered only if a new arrest warrant is issued. The court may authorize the voluntary return of the person with the consent of the applicable noncertified tribe.

Sec. 9. RCW 10.32.100 and 2024 c 207 s 11 are each amended to read as follows:

(1) Any arrest warrant issued by the court of a certified tribe shall be accorded full faith and credit by the courts of the state of Washington and enforced by the

court and peace officers of the state as if it were the arrest warrant of the state. A Washington state peace officer who arrests a person pursuant to the arrest warrant of a certified tribe, if no other grounds for detention exist under state law, shall, as soon as practical after detaining the person, and in accordance with standard practices, contact the tribal law enforcement agency that issued the warrant to establish the warrant's validity.

(2) A place of detention shall allow a certified tribe to place a detainee on an inmate based on a tribal warrant. For the purposes of this section, detainer means a request by a certified tribe's tribal court, tribal police department, or tribal prosecutor's office, filed with the place of detention in which a person is incarcerated, to hold the person for the certified tribe and to notify the tribe when release of the person is imminent so that the person can be transferred to tribal custody within 72 hours of their release from all other holds.

(3) The privilege of the writ of habeas corpus shall be available to any person detained under this provision. The issues in the habeas corpus proceeding shall be limited to those identified in RCW 10.32.060 (4) and (5)."

Representatives Goodman and Graham spoke in favor of the adoption of the striking amendment.

The striking amendment (154) was adopted.

The bill was ordered engrossed.

There being no objection, the rules were suspended, the second reading considered the third and the bill was placed on final passage.

Representative Lekanoff spoke in favor of the passage of the bill.

Representative Graham spoke against the passage of the bill.

The Speaker (Representative Stearns presiding) stated the question before the House to be the final passage of Engrossed Substitute House Bill No. 1829.

ROLL CALL

The Clerk called the roll on the final passage of Engrossed Substitute House Bill No. 1829, and the bill passed the House by the following vote: Yeas, 61; Nays, 36; Absent, 0; Excused, 1

Voting Yea: Representatives Barkis, Berg, Bergquist, Bernbaum, Berry, Bronoske, Callan, Cortes, Couture, Davis, Doglio, Donaghy, Duerr, Entenman, Farivar, Fey, Fitzgibbon, Fosse, Goodman, Gregerson, Griffey, Hill, Hunt, Kloba, Leavitt, Lekanoff, Macri, Mena, Morgan, Nance, Obras, Ormsby, Ortiz-Self, Parshley, Paul, Peterson, Pollet, Ramel, Reed, Reeves, Richards, Rule, Ryu, Salahuddin, Santos, Scott, Shavers, Simmons, Springer, Stearns, Stonier, Street, Taylor, Thai, Tharinger, Thomas, Timmons, Walen, Wylie, Zahn and Mme. Speaker

Voting Nay: Representatives Abbarno, Abell, Barnard, Burnett, Caldier, Chase, Connors, Corry, Dent, Dufault, Dye, Engell, Eslick, Graham, Jacobsen, Keaton, Klicker, Ley, Low, Manjarrez, Marshall, McClintock, McEntire, Mendoza, Orcutt, Penner, Rude, Schmick, Schmidt, Steele, Stokesbary, Stuebe, Volz, Walsh, Waters and Ybarra

Excused: Representative Hackney

ENGROSSED SUBSTITUTE HOUSE BILL NO. 1829, having received the necessary constitutional majority, was declared passed.

HOUSE BILL NO. 1440, by Representatives Goodman, Hackney, Peterson and Ormsby

Concerning seizure and forfeiture procedures and reporting.

The bill was read the second time.

There being no objection, Second Substitute House Bill No. 1440 was substituted for House Bill No. 1440 and the second substitute bill was placed on the second reading calendar.

SECOND SUBSTITUTE HOUSE BILL NO. 1440 was read the second time.

Representative Goodman moved the adoption of amendment (163):

On page 45, line 8, after "Sec. 18." strike "Except for section 7 of this act, this" and insert "This"

Representatives Goodman and Walsh spoke in favor of the adoption of the amendment.

Amendment (163) was adopted.

The bill was ordered engrossed.

There being no objection, the rules were suspended, the second reading considered the third and the bill was placed on final passage.

Representatives Goodman and Couture spoke in favor of the passage of the bill.

Representative Walsh spoke against the passage of the bill.

The Speaker (Representative Stearns presiding) stated the question before the House to be the final passage of Engrossed Second Substitute House Bill No. 1440.

ROLL CALL

The Clerk called the roll on the final passage of Engrossed Second Substitute House Bill No. 1440, and the bill passed the House by the following vote: Yeas, 64; Nays, 33; Absent, 0; Excused, 1

Voting Yea: Representatives Barkis, Berg, Bergquist, Bernbaum, Berry, Bronoske, Burnett, Callan, Connors, Cortes, Couture, Davis, Doglio, Donaghy, Duerr, Entenman, Farivar, Fey, Fitzgibbon, Fosse, Goodman, Gregerson, Griffey, Hill, Hunt, Kloba, Leavitt, Lekanoff, Macri, Mena, Morgan, Nance, Obras, Ormsby, Ortiz-Self, Parshley, Paul, Peterson, Pollet, Ramel, Reed, Reeves, Richards, Rule, Ryu, Salahuddin, Santos, Scott, Shavers, Simmons, Springer, Stearns, Steele, Stonier, Street, Taylor, Thai, Tharinger, Thomas, Timmons, Walen, Wylie, Zahn and Mme. Speaker

Voting Nay: Representatives Abbarno, Abell, Barnard, Caldier, Chase, Corry, Dent, Dufault, Dye, Engell, Eslick, Graham, Jacobsen, Keaton, Klicker, Ley, Low, Manjarrez, Marshall, McClintock, McEntire, Mendoza, Orcutt, Penner, Rude, Schmick, Schmidt, Stokesbary, Stuebe, Volz, Walsh, Waters and Ybarra

Excused: Representative Hackney

ENGROSSED SECOND SUBSTITUTE HOUSE BILL NO. 1440, having received the necessary constitutional majority, was declared passed.

HOUSE BILL NO. 1294, by Representatives Dent, Reeves, Timmons and Hill

Extending the pesticide application safety committee.

The bill was read the second time.

There being no objection, Substitute House Bill No. 1294 was substituted for House Bill No. 1294 and the substitute bill was placed on the second reading calendar.

SUBSTITUTE HOUSE BILL NO. 1294 was read the second time.

There being no objection, the rules were suspended, the second reading considered the third and the bill was placed on final passage.

Representatives Dent and Reeves spoke in favor of the passage of the bill.

The Speaker (Representative Stearns presiding) stated the question before the House to be the final passage of Substitute House Bill No. 1294.

ROLL CALL

The Clerk called the roll on the final passage of Substitute House Bill No. 1294, and the bill passed the House by the following vote: Yeas, 97; Nays, 0; Absent, 0; Excused, 1

Voting Yea: Representatives Abbarno, Abell, Barkis, Barnard, Berg, Bergquist, Bernbaum, Berry, Bronoske, Burnett, Caldier, Callan, Chase, Connors, Corry, Cortes, Couture, Davis, Dent, Doglio, Donaghy, Duerr, Dufault, Dye, Engell, Entenman, Eslick, Farivar, Fey, Fitzgibbon, Fosse, Goodman, Graham, Gregerson, Griffey, Hill, Hunt, Jacobsen, Keaton, Klicker, Kloba, Leavitt, Lekanoff, Ley, Low, Macri, Manjarrez, Marshall, McClintock, McEntire, Mena, Mendoza, Morgan, Nance, Obras, Orcutt, Ormsby, Ortiz-Self, Parshley, Paul, Penner, Peterson, Pollet, Ramel, Reed, Reeves, Richards, Rude, Rule, Ryu, Salahuddin, Santos, Schmick, Schmidt, Scott, Shavers, Simmons, Springer, Stearns, Steele, Stokesbary, Stonier, Street, Stuebe, Taylor, Thai, Tharinger, Thomas, Timmons, Volz, Walen, Walsh, Waters, Wylie, Ybarra, Zahn and Mme. Speaker

Excused: Representative Hackney

SUBSTITUTE HOUSE BILL NO. 1294, having received the necessary constitutional majority, was declared passed.

HOUSE BILL NO. 1154, by Representatives Duerr, Doglio, Ramel, Berry, Ryu, Callan, Pollet, Berg, Davis, Kloba and Hunt

Ensuring environmental and public health protection from solid waste handling facility operations.

The bill was read the second time.

There being no objection, Second Substitute House Bill No. 1154 was substituted for House Bill No. 1154 and the second substitute bill was placed on the second reading calendar.

SECOND SUBSTITUTE HOUSE BILL NO. 1154 was read the second time.

Representative Dye moved the adoption of the striking amendment (118):

Strike everything after the enacting clause and insert the following:

"Sec. 1. RCW 70A.205.015 and 2022 c 180 s 105 are each reenacted and amended to read as follows:

The definitions in this section apply throughout this chapter unless the context clearly requires otherwise.

(1) "City" means every incorporated city and town.

(2) "Commission" means the utilities and transportation commission.

(3) "Composted material" means organic solid waste that has been subjected to controlled aerobic degradation at a solid waste facility in compliance with the requirements of this chapter. Natural decay of organic solid waste under uncontrolled conditions does not result in composted material.

(4) "Department" means the department of ecology.

(5) "Director" means the director of the department of ecology.

(6) "Disposal site" means the location where any final treatment, utilization, processing, or deposit of solid waste occurs.

(7) "Energy recovery" means a process operating under federal and state environmental laws and regulations for converting solid waste into usable energy and for reducing the volume of solid waste.

(8) "Functional standards" means criteria for solid waste handling expressed in terms of expected performance or solid waste handling functions.

(9) "Incineration" means a process of reducing the volume of solid waste operating under federal and state environmental laws and regulations by use of an enclosed device using controlled flame combustion.

(10) "Inert waste landfill" means a landfill that receives only inert waste, as determined under RCW 70A.205.030, and includes facilities that use inert wastes as a component of fill.

(11) "Jurisdictional health department" means city, county, city-county, or district public health department.

(12) "Landfill" means a disposal facility or part of a facility at which solid waste is placed in or on land and which is not a land treatment facility.

(13) "Limited purpose landfill" has the same meaning as provided in WAC 173-350-100 as it existed as of January 1, 2025.

(14) "Local government" means a city, town, or county.

~~((14))~~ (15) "Modify" means to substantially change the design or operational plans including, but not limited to, removal of a design element previously set forth in a permit application or the addition of a disposal or processing activity that is not approved in the permit.

~~((15))~~ (16) "Multiple-family residence" means any structure housing two or more dwelling units.

~~((16))~~ (17) (a) (i) "Organic materials" means any solid waste that is a biological substance of plant or animal origin capable of microbial degradation.

(ii) Organic materials include, but are not limited to, manure, yard debris, food waste, food processing waste, wood waste, and garden waste.

(b) "Organic materials" does not include any materials contaminated by herbicides, pesticides, pests, or other sources of chemical or biological contamination that would render a finished product of an organic material management process unsuitable for general public or agricultural use.

~~((17))~~ (18) "Organic materials management" means management of organic materials through composting, anaerobic

digestion, vermiculture, black soldier fly, or similar technologies.

~~((18))~~ (19) "Person" means individual, firm, association, copartnership, political subdivision, government agency, municipality, industry, public or private corporation, or any other entity whatsoever.

~~((19))~~ (20) "Recyclable materials" means those solid wastes that are separated for recycling or reuse, such as papers, metals, and glass, that are identified as recyclable material pursuant to a local comprehensive solid waste plan. Prior to the adoption of the local comprehensive solid waste plan, adopted pursuant to RCW 70A.205.075(2), local governments may identify recyclable materials by ordinance from July 23, 1989.

~~((20))~~ (21) "Recycling" means transforming or remanufacturing waste materials into usable or marketable materials for use other than landfill disposal or incineration.

~~((21))~~ (22) "Residence" means the regular dwelling place of an individual or individuals.

~~((22))~~ (23) "Sewage sludge" means a semisolid substance consisting of settled sewage solids combined with varying amounts of water and dissolved materials, generated from a wastewater treatment system, that does not meet the requirements of chapter 70A.226 RCW.

~~((23))~~ (24) "Soil amendment" means any substance that is intended to improve the physical characteristics of the soil, except composted material, commercial fertilizers, agricultural liming agents, unmanipulated animal manures, unmanipulated vegetable manures, food wastes, food processing wastes, and materials exempted by rule of the department, such as biosolids as defined in chapter 70A.226 RCW and wastewater as regulated in chapter 90.48 RCW.

~~((24))~~ (25) "Solid waste" or "wastes" means all putrescible and nonputrescible solid and semisolid wastes including, but not limited to, garbage, rubbish, ashes, industrial wastes, swill, sewage sludge, demolition and construction wastes, abandoned vehicles or parts thereof, and recyclable materials.

~~((25))~~ (26) "Solid waste handling" means the management, storage, collection, transportation, treatment, utilization, processing, and final disposal of solid wastes, including the recovery and recycling of materials from solid wastes, the recovery of energy resources from solid wastes or the conversion of the energy in solid wastes to more useful forms or combinations thereof.

~~((26))~~ (27) "Source separation" means the separation of different kinds of solid waste at the place where the waste originates.

~~((27))~~ (28) "Vehicle" includes every device physically capable of being moved upon a public or private highway, road, street, or watercourse and in, upon, or by which any person or property is or may be transported or drawn upon a public or private highway, road, street, or watercourse, except devices moved by human or animal power or used exclusively upon stationary rails or tracks.

~~((28))~~ (29) "Waste-derived soil amendment" means any soil amendment as

defined in this chapter that is derived from solid waste as defined in this section, but does not include biosolids or biosolids products regulated under chapter 70A.226 RCW or wastewaters regulated under chapter 90.48 RCW.

~~((29))~~ (30) "Waste reduction" means reducing the amount or toxicity of waste generated or reusing materials.

~~((30))~~ (31) "Yard debris" means plant material commonly created in the course of maintaining yards and gardens, and through horticulture, gardening, landscaping, or similar activities. Yard debris includes but is not limited to grass clippings, leaves, branches, brush, weeds, flowers, roots, windfall fruit, vegetable garden debris, holiday trees, and tree prunings four inches or less in diameter.

Sec. 2. RCW 70A.205.125 and 2016 c 119 s 4 are each amended to read as follows:

(1) Applications for permits to operate a new or modified solid waste handling facility shall be on forms prescribed by the department and shall contain a description of the proposed facilities and operations at the site, plans and specifications for any new or additional facilities to be constructed, and such other information as the jurisdictional health department may deem necessary in order to determine whether the site and solid waste disposal facilities located thereon will comply with local regulations and state rules.

(2) Upon receipt of an application for a permit to establish or modify a solid waste handling facility, the jurisdictional health department shall refer one copy of the application to the department which shall report its findings to the jurisdictional health department. When the application is for a permit to establish or modify a solid waste handling facility located in an area that is not under a quarantine, as defined in RCW 17.24.007, and when the facility will receive material for composting from an area under a quarantine, the jurisdictional health department shall also provide a copy of the application to the department of agriculture. The department of agriculture shall review the application to determine whether it contains information demonstrating that the proposed facility presents a risk of spreading disease, plant pathogens, or pests to areas that are not under a quarantine. For the purposes of this subsection, "composting" means the biological degradation and transformation of organic solid waste under controlled conditions designed to promote aerobic decomposition.

(3) The jurisdictional health department shall investigate every application as may be necessary to determine whether a proposed or modified site and facilities meet all solid waste, air, and other applicable laws and regulations, and conforms with the approved comprehensive solid waste handling plan, and complies with all zoning requirements.

(4) When the jurisdictional health department finds that the permit should be issued, ~~((it))~~ and the department has approved the permit under RCW

70A.205.130(4), the jurisdictional health department shall issue such permit. Every application shall be approved or disapproved within ~~((ninety))~~ 90 days after its receipt by the jurisdictional health department.

(5) The jurisdictional board of health may establish reasonable fees for permits and renewal of permits. All permit fees collected by the health department shall be deposited in the treasury and to the account from which the health department's operating expenses are paid.

Sec. 3. RCW 70A.205.130 and 2020 c 20 s 1173 are each amended to read as follows:

~~((Every))~~ (1) Except as provided in subsection (4) of this section, every permit issued by a jurisdictional health department under RCW 70A.205.125 shall be reviewed by the department to ensure that the proposed site or facility conforms with:

~~((1-))~~ (a) All applicable laws and regulations including the ~~((minimal))~~ minimum functional standards for solid waste handling; and

~~((2-))~~ (b) The approved comprehensive solid waste management plan.

(2) The department shall review the permit within ~~((thirty))~~ 30 days after the issuance of the permit by the jurisdictional health department. ~~((The))~~ For solid waste handling facilities other than limited purpose landfills, the department may appeal the issuance of the permit by the jurisdictional health department to the pollution control hearings board, as described in chapter 43.21B RCW, for noncompliance with subsection (1) ~~((or (2-)))~~ (a) or (b) of this section.

(3) No permit issued pursuant to RCW 70A.205.125 after June 7, 1984, shall be considered valid unless it has been reviewed by the department.

(4)(a) Every permit issued by a jurisdictional health department under RCW 70A.205.125 for a limited purpose landfill must be reviewed and approved by the department to ensure that the proposed landfill conforms with:

(i) All applicable laws and regulations including the minimum functional standards for solid waste handling; and

(ii) The approved comprehensive solid waste management plan.

(b) The department shall review the permit prior to the issuance of the permit by the jurisdictional health department. The department may only approve a permit that ensures that the limited purpose landfill conforms with all applicable laws and regulations, including the minimum functional standards for solid waste handling. The department may require a jurisdictional health department to amend the contents of a proposed permit to ensure conformance with applicable laws and regulations, including the minimum functional standards for solid waste handling.

(c) A jurisdictional health department or applicant may appeal the department's denial or amendment of a limited purpose landfill permit under this section, including the denial of the renewal of a permit, to the pollution control hearings board.

(d) No permit issued under this subsection after August 1, 2027, is considered valid unless it has been approved by the department.

Sec. 4. RCW 70A.205.135 and 2020 c 20 s 1174 are each amended to read as follows:

(1) Every permit for an existing solid waste handling facility issued pursuant to RCW 70A.205.125 shall be renewed at least every five years on a date established by the jurisdictional health department having jurisdiction of the site and as specified in the permit. If a permit is to be renewed for longer than one year, the local jurisdictional health department may hold a public hearing before making such a decision. Prior to renewing a permit, the health department shall conduct a review as it deems necessary to assure that the solid waste handling facility or facilities located on the site continues to meet minimum functional standards of the department, applicable local regulations, and are not in conflict with the approved solid waste management plan. A jurisdictional health department shall approve or disapprove a permit renewal within ~~((forty-five))~~ 45 days of conducting its review. The department shall review and may appeal the renewal of permits for solid waste handling facilities other than limited purpose landfills as set forth for the approval of permits in RCW 70A.205.130(2). The department must review and approve or disapprove renewal of permits for limited purpose landfills as set forth in RCW 70A.205.130(4).

(2) The jurisdictional board of health may establish reasonable fees for permits reviewed under this section. All permit fees collected by the health department shall be deposited in the treasury and to the account from which the health department's operating expenses are paid.

Sec. 5. RCW 70A.205.140 and 2016 c 119 s 5 are each amended to read as follows:

(1) Any permit for a solid waste disposal site issued as provided herein shall be subject to suspension at any time the jurisdictional health department determines that the site or the solid waste disposal facilities located on the site are being operated in violation of this chapter, the regulations of the department, the rules of the department of agriculture, or local laws and regulations.

(2) Any permit for a limited purpose landfill issued as provided herein shall be subject to suspension at any time the department determines that the site or the limited purpose landfill located on the site are being operated in violation of this chapter, the regulations of the department, the rules of the department of agriculture, or local laws and regulations.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 6. A new section is added to chapter 70A.205 RCW to read as follows:

(1) In addition to the provisions of RCW 70A.205.140, a jurisdictional health department or the department may:

(a) Impose a civil penalty not to exceed \$5,000 per day for the first 14 days of operation. If the violation is not resolved within 14 days, the agency imposing the penalty may increase the penalty not to exceed \$10,000 per day of operation of a limited purpose landfill in violation of the requirements of this chapter or a permit issued under this chapter; and

(b) Issue an order requiring compliance by a limited purpose landfill in violation of the requirements of this chapter or a permit issued under this chapter. A person who fails to take corrective action as specified in a compliance order is liable for a civil penalty as provided in (a) of this subsection. Before issuing a civil penalty, a jurisdictional health department will attempt to reach compliance with the landfill operator through education and outreach.

(2)(a) A jurisdictional health department may send written notice to the department that it is deferring to the department's authority under this section to enforce the requirements of this chapter with respect to a limited purpose landfill in a jurisdiction.

(b) The department may exercise the department's authority under this section to take enforcement action in the absence of a deferral by the jurisdictional health department if, in the department's judgment, the jurisdictional health department's enforcement response fails to adequately address violations of this chapter by a limited purpose landfill operator. When the department begins enforcement activities, the department must notify the jurisdictional health department with a letter of intent that includes:

(i) The start and any end date of the department's enforcement activities; and

(ii) The geographical boundaries of limited purpose landfills at which the enforcement activities are planned.

(c) If a limited purpose landfill owner or operator pays a penalty under this section for a violation to a government entity, any penalty imposed by a different government entity for a violation based on the same incident and conduct shall be reduced by the amount of the prior penalty.

(d) Upon receipt of an order by the jurisdictional health department or department, a limited purpose landfill owner or operator must provide information necessary to determine compliance with the requirements of this chapter applicable to limited purpose landfills.

(e) An applicant or permittee must allow the jurisdictional health department and department to conduct inspections and collect samples.

(3)(a) Penalties levied by a jurisdictional health department shall be deposited in the treasury and to the account from which such jurisdictional health department's operating expenses are paid.

(b) Penalties levied by the department under this section must be deposited in the model toxics control operating account created in RCW 70A.305.180.

(4) A person who is issued an order or incurs a penalty from:

(a) A jurisdictional health department may appeal the order or penalty to the local health officer;

(b) The department under this section may appeal the order or penalty to the pollution control hearings board established by chapter 43.21B RCW.

(5) This section does not apply to actions taken by the department under chapter 70A.305 RCW.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 7. A new section is added to chapter 70A.205 RCW to read as follows:

By January 1, 2026, and each five years thereafter, each jurisdictional health department must transmit to the department a list of any violations of the requirements of this chapter currently identified by the jurisdictional health department with respect to each limited purpose landfill that is currently operating in the jurisdiction. This list must include a description of any enforcement actions taken against the limited purpose landfill and whether the identified violation has been resolved to the satisfaction of the jurisdictional health department.

Sec. 8. RCW 43.21B.110 and 2024 c 347 s 5, 2024 c 340 s 4, and 2024 c 339 s 16 are each reenacted and amended to read as follows:

(1) The hearings board shall only have jurisdiction to hear and decide appeals from the following decisions of the department, the director, local conservation districts, the air pollution control boards or authorities as established pursuant to chapter 70A.15 RCW, local health departments, the department of natural resources, the department of fish and wildlife, the parks and recreation commission, and authorized public entities described in chapter 79.100 RCW:

(a) Civil penalties imposed pursuant to chapter 70A.230 RCW and RCW 18.104.155, 70A.15.3160, 70A.300.090, 70A.20.050, 70A.230.020, section 6 of this act, 70A.205.280, 70A.355.070, 70A.430.070, 70A.500.260, 70A.505.100, 70A.505.110, 70A.530.040, 70A.350.070, 70A.515.060, 70A.245.040, 70A.245.050, 70A.245.070, 70A.245.080, 70A.245.130, 70A.245.140, 70A.65.200, 70A.455.090, 70A.550.030, 70A.555.110, 70A.560.020, 70A.565.030, 76.09.170, 77.55.440, 78.44.250, 88.46.090, 90.03.600, 90.46.270, 90.48.144, 90.56.310, 90.56.330, and 90.64.102.

(b) Orders issued pursuant to RCW 18.104.043, 18.104.060, 18.104.130, 43.27A.190, 70A.15.2520, 70A.15.3010, 70A.15.4530, 70A.15.6010, section 6 of this act, 70A.205.280, 70A.214.140, 70A.300.120, 70A.350.070, 70A.245.020, 70A.65.200, 70A.505.100, 70A.555.110, 70A.560.020, 70A.565.030, 86.16.020, 88.46.070, 90.03.665, 90.14.130, 90.46.250, 90.48.120, 90.48.240, 90.56.330, and 90.64.040.

(c) Except as provided in RCW 90.03.210(2), the issuance, modification, or termination of any permit, certificate, or license by the department or any air authority in the exercise of its jurisdiction, including the issuance or

termination of a waste disposal permit, the denial of an application for a waste disposal permit, the modification of the conditions or the terms of a waste disposal permit, a decision to approve or deny a solid waste management plan under RCW 70A.205.055, approval or denial of an application for a beneficial use determination under RCW 70A.205.260, an application for a change under RCW 90.03.383, or a permit to distribute reclaimed water under RCW 90.46.220.

(d) Decisions of local health departments regarding the granting or denial of solid waste permits pursuant to chapter 70A.205 RCW, including appeals by the department as provided in RCW 70A.205.130.

(e) Decisions of local health departments regarding the issuance and enforcement of permits to use or dispose of biosolids under RCW 70A.226.090.

(f) Decisions of the department regarding waste-derived fertilizer or micronutrient fertilizer under RCW 15.54.820.

(g) Decisions of local conservation districts related to the denial of approval or denial of certification of a dairy nutrient management plan; conditions contained in a plan; application of any dairy nutrient management practices, standards, methods, and technologies to a particular dairy farm; and failure to adhere to the plan review and approval timelines in RCW 90.64.026 as provided in RCW 90.64.028.

(h) Any other decision by the department or an air authority which pursuant to law must be decided as an adjudicative proceeding under chapter 34.05 RCW.

(i) Decisions of the department of natural resources, the department of fish and wildlife, and the department that are reviewable under chapter 76.09 RCW, and the department of natural resources' appeals of county, city, or town objections under RCW 76.09.050(7).

(j) Forest health hazard orders issued by the commissioner of public lands under RCW 76.06.180.

(k) Decisions of the department of fish and wildlife to issue, deny, condition, or modify a hydraulic project approval permit under chapter 77.55 RCW, to issue a stop work order, to issue a notice to comply, to issue a civil penalty, or to issue a notice of intent to disapprove applications.

(l) Decisions of the department of natural resources that are reviewable under RCW 78.44.270.

(m) Decisions of an authorized public entity under RCW 79.100.010 to take temporary possession or custody of a vessel or to contest the amount of reimbursement owed that are reviewable by the hearings board under RCW 79.100.120.

(n) Decisions of the department of ecology that are appealable under RCW 70A.245.020 to set recycled minimum postconsumer content for covered products or to temporarily exclude types of covered products in plastic containers from minimum postconsumer recycled content requirements.

(o) Orders by the department of ecology under RCW 70A.455.080.

(2) The following hearings shall not be conducted by the hearings board:

(a) Hearings required by law to be conducted by the shorelines hearings board pursuant to chapter 90.58 RCW, except where appeals to the pollution control hearings board and appeals to the shorelines hearings board have been consolidated pursuant to RCW 43.21B.340.

(b) Hearings conducted by the department pursuant to RCW 70A.15.3010, 70A.15.3070, 70A.15.3080, 70A.15.3090, 70A.15.3100, 70A.15.3110, and 90.44.180.

(c) Appeals of decisions by the department under RCW 90.03.110 and 90.44.220.

(d) Hearings conducted by the department to adopt, modify, or repeal rules.

(3) Review of rules and regulations adopted by the hearings board shall be subject to review in accordance with the provisions of the administrative procedure act, chapter 34.05 RCW.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 9. If specific funding for the purposes of this act, referencing this act by bill or chapter number, is not provided by June 30, 2025, in the omnibus appropriations act, this act is null and void."

Correct the title.

Representative Dye spoke in favor of the adoption of the striking amendment.

Representative Duerr spoke against the adoption of the striking amendment.

The striking amendment (118) was not adopted.

There being no objection, the rules were suspended, the second reading considered the third and the bill was placed on final passage.

Representative Duerr spoke in favor of the passage of the bill.

Representatives Dye and Dufault spoke against the passage of the bill.

The Speaker (Representative Stearns presiding) stated the question before the House to be the final passage of Second Substitute House Bill No. 1154.

ROLL CALL

The Clerk called the roll on the final passage of Second Substitute House Bill No. 1154, and the bill passed the House by the following vote: Yeas, 58; Nays, 39; Absent, 0; Excused, 1

Voting Yea: Representatives Berg, Bergquist, Bernbaum, Berry, Bronoske, Callan, Cortes, Davis, Doglio, Donaghy, Duerr, Entenman, Farivar, Fey, Fitzgibbon, Fosse, Goodman, Gregerson, Hill, Hunt, Kloba, Leavitt, Lekanoff, Macri, Mena, Morgan, Nance, Obras, Ormsby, Ortiz-Self, Parshley, Paul, Peterson, Pollet, Ramel, Reed, Reeves, Richards, Rule, Ryu, Salahuddin, Santos, Scott, Shavers, Simmons, Springer, Stearns, Stonier, Street, Taylor, Thai, Tharinger, Thomas, Timmons, Walen, Wylie, Zahn and Mme. Speaker

Voting Nay: Representatives Abbarno, Abell, Barkis, Barnard, Burnett, Caldier, Chase, Connors, Corry, Couture, Dent, Dufault, Dye, Engell, Eslick, Graham, Griffey, Jacobsen, Keaton, Klicker, Ley, Low, Manjarrez, Marshall, McClintock, McEntire, Mendoza, Orcutt, Penner, Rude, Schmick, Schmidt, Steele, Stokesbary, Stuebe, Volz, Walsh, Waters and Ybarra

Excused: Representative Hackney

SECOND SUBSTITUTE HOUSE BILL NO. 1154, having received the necessary constitutional majority, was declared passed.

HOUSE BILL NO. 1314, by Representatives Callan, Abbarno, Fosse, Davis, Waters, Reed, Salahuddin, Tharinger, Nance, Eslick and Doglio

Concerning the early learning facilities grant and loan program.

The bill was read the second time.

There being no objection, the rules were suspended, the second reading considered the third and the bill was placed on final passage.

Representatives Callan and Abbarno spoke in favor of the passage of the bill.

The Speaker (Representative Stearns presiding) stated the question before the House to be the final passage of House Bill No. 1314.

ROLL CALL

The Clerk called the roll on the final passage of House Bill No. 1314, and the bill passed the House by the following vote: Yeas, 97; Nays, 0; Absent, 0; Excused, 1

Voting Yea: Representatives Abbarno, Abell, Barkis, Barnard, Berg, Bergquist, Bernbaum, Berry, Bronoske, Burnett, Caldier, Callan, Chase, Connors, Corry, Cortes, Couture, Davis, Dent, Doglio, Donaghy, Duerr, Dufault, Dye, Engell, Entenman, Eslick, Farivar, Fey, Fitzgibbon, Fosse, Goodman, Graham, Gregerson, Griffey, Hill, Hunt, Jacobsen, Keaton, Klicker, Kloba, Leavitt, Lekanoff, Ley, Low, Macri, Manjarrez, Marshall, McClintock, McEntire, Mena, Mendoza, Morgan, Nance, Obras, Orcutt, Ormsby, Ortiz-Self, Parshley, Paul, Penner, Peterson, Pollet, Ramel, Reed, Reeves, Richards, Rude, Rule, Ryu, Salahuddin, Santos, Schmick, Schmidt, Scott, Shavers, Simmons, Springer, Stearns, Steele, Stokesbary, Stonier, Street, Stuebe, Taylor, Thai, Tharinger, Thomas, Timmons, Volz, Walen, Walsh, Waters, Wylie, Ybarra, Zahn and Mme. Speaker

Excused: Representative Hackney

HOUSE BILL NO. 1314, having received the necessary constitutional majority, was declared passed.

HOUSE BILL NO. 1462, by Representatives Duerr, Berry, Doglio, Fitzgibbon, Reed, Ramel, Parshley, Goodman, Macri, Kloba and Hunt

Reducing greenhouse gas emissions associated with hydrofluorocarbons.

The bill was read the second time.

There being no objection, Second Substitute House Bill No. 1462 was substituted for House Bill No. 1462 and the second substitute bill was placed on the second reading calendar.

SECOND SUBSTITUTE HOUSE BILL NO. 1462 was read the second time.

Representative Dye moved the adoption of amendment (120):

On page 3, line 10, after "(iii)" insert "Hydrofluorocarbons acquired for use in commercial or agricultural buildings used primarily for the storage of potatoes or onions;
(iv) "

Renumber the remaining subsections consecutively and correct any internal references accordingly.

On page 6, line 15, after "requirement" insert ". The rules adopted under this section may not restrict the use of refrigerants in commercial or agricultural buildings used primarily for the storage of potatoes or onions"

Representatives Dye and Schmick spoke in favor of the adoption of the amendment.

Representative Duerr spoke against the adoption of the amendment.

Amendment (120) was not adopted.

Representative Dye moved the adoption of amendment (121):

On page 6, beginning on line 4, strike all of section 4

Renumber the remaining sections consecutively and correct any internal references accordingly.

Representative Dye spoke in favor of the adoption of the amendment.

Representative Duerr spoke against the adoption of the amendment.

Amendment (121) was not adopted.

There being no objection, the rules were suspended, the second reading considered the third and the bill was placed on final passage.

Representative Duerr spoke in favor of the passage of the bill.

Representative Dye spoke against the passage of the bill.

The Speaker (Representative Stearns presiding) stated the question before the House to be the final passage of Second Substitute House Bill No. 1462.

ROLL CALL

The Clerk called the roll on the final passage of Second Substitute House Bill No. 1462, and the bill passed the House by the following vote: Yeas, 59; Nays, 38; Absent, 0; Excused, 1

Voting Yea: Representatives Berg, Bergquist, Bernbaum, Berry, Bronoske, Callan, Cortes, Davis, Doglio, Donaghy, Duerr, Entenman, Farivar, Fey, Fitzgibbon, Fosse, Goodman, Gregerson, Hill, Hunt, Kloba, Leavitt, Lekanoff, Macri, Mena, Morgan, Nance, Obras, Ormsby, Ortiz-Self, Parshley, Paul, Peterson, Pollet, Ramel, Reed, Reeves, Richards, Rule, Ryu, Salahuddin, Santos, Scott, Shavers, Simmons, Springer, Stearns, Stonier, Street, Taylor, Thai, Tharinger, Thomas, Timmons, Walen, Wylie, Ybarra, Zahn and Mme. Speaker

Voting Nay: Representatives Abbarno, Abell, Barkis, Barnard, Burnett, Caldier, Chase, Connors, Corry, Couture, Dent, Dufault, Dye, Engell, Eslick, Graham, Griffey, Jacobsen, Keaton, Klicker, Ley, Low, Manjarrez, Marshall, McClintock, McEntire, Mendoza, Orcutt, Penner, Rude, Schmick, Schmidt, Steele, Stokesbary, Stuebe, Volz, Walsh and Waters

Excused: Representative Hackney

SECOND SUBSTITUTE HOUSE BILL NO. 1462, having received the necessary constitutional majority, was declared passed.

HOUSE BILL NO. 1285, by Representatives Rude, Stonier, Lekanoff, Doglio, Couture, Connors, Berry, Bronoske,

Leavitt, Ryu, Davis, Barkis, Orcutt, Jacobsen, Goodman, Walsh, Steele, Paul, Tharinger, Klicker, Nance, Eslick, Taylor, Caldier, Parshley, Keaton, Ley, Timmons, Pollet, Fey, Simmons and Hill

There being no objection, the House adjourned until 9:00 a.m., Thursday, March 6, 2025, the 53rd Day of the 2025 Regular Session.

Making financial education instruction a graduation requirement in public schools.

LAURIE JINKINS, Speaker

BERNARD DEAN, Chief Clerk

The bill was read the second time.

There being no objection, Second Substitute House Bill No. 1285 was substituted for House Bill No. 1285 and the second substitute bill was placed on the second reading calendar.

SECOND SUBSTITUTE HOUSE BILL NO. 1285 was read the second time.

There being no objection, the rules were suspended, the second reading considered the third and the bill was placed on final passage.

Representatives Rude, Santos and Ley spoke in favor of the passage of the bill.

Representative Ybarra spoke against the passage of the bill.

The Speaker (Representative Stearns presiding) stated the question before the House to be the final passage of Second Substitute House Bill No. 1285.

ROLL CALL

The Clerk called the roll on the final passage of Second Substitute House Bill No. 1285, and the bill passed the House by the following vote: Yeas, 94; Nays, 3; Absent, 0; Excused, 1

Voting Yea: Representatives Abbarno, Abell, Barkis, Barnard, Berg, Bergquist, Bernbaum, Berry, Bronoske, Burnett, Caldier, Callan, Chase, Connors, Corry, Cortes, Couture, Davis, Dent, Doglio, Donaghy, Dufault, Dye, Engell, Entenman, Eslick, Farivar, Fey, Fitzgibbon, Fosse, Goodman, Graham, Gregerson, Griffey, Hill, Hunt, Jacobsen, Keaton, Klicker, Leavitt, Lekanoff, Ley, Low, Macri, Manjarrez, Marshall, McClintock, McEntire, Mena, Mendoza, Morgan, Nance, Obras, Orcutt, Ormsby, Ortiz-Self, Parshley, Paul, Penner, Peterson, Pollet, Ramel, Reed, Reeves, Richards, Rude, Rule, Ryu, Salahuddin, Santos, Schmick, Schmidt, Scott, Shavers, Simmons, Springer, Stearns, Steele, Stokesbary, Stonier, Street, Stuebe, Taylor, Thai, Tharinger, Thomas, Timmons, Volz, Walen, Walsh, Waters, Wylie, Zahn and Mme. Speaker

Voting Nay: Representatives Duerr, Kloba and Ybarra

Excused: Representative Hackney

SECOND SUBSTITUTE HOUSE BILL NO. 1285, having received the necessary constitutional majority, was declared passed.

There being no objection, the House advanced to the eighth order of business.

There being no objection, the Committee on Rules was relieved of the following bills and the bills were placed on the second reading calendar:

HOUSE BILL NO. 1060
HOUSE BILL NO. 1096
HOUSE BILL NO. 1173
HOUSE BILL NO. 1217
HOUSE BILL NO. 1230
HOUSE BILL NO. 1232
HOUSE BILL NO. 1296
HOUSE BILL NO. 1490
HOUSE BILL NO. 1541
HOUSE BILL NO. 1647
HOUSE BILL NO. 1715
HOUSE BILL NO. 1814
HOUSE BILL NO. 1960
HOUSE BILL NO. 1971

1060	Other Action	33	1296	Third Reading Final Passage	27
1064	Second Reading	12	1314	Other Action	33
	Third Reading Final Passage	12		Second Reading	32
1079	Second Reading	12		Third Reading Final Passage	32
1079-S	Second Reading	12	1359	Second Reading	21
	Third Reading Final Passage	12	1359-S2	Second Reading	21
1096	Other Action	33		Third Reading Final Passage	21
1102	Second Reading	20	1361	Second Reading	10
1102-S2	Second Reading	20		Third Reading Final Passage	11
	Amendment Offered	20	1382	Second Reading	7
	Third Reading Final Passage	20		Amendment Offered	7
1154	Second Reading	27		Third Reading Final Passage	7
1154-S2	Second Reading	27	1385	Second Reading	10
	Amendment Offered	27	1385-S	Second Reading	10
	Third Reading Final Passage	31		Amendment Offered	10
1162	Second Reading	12		Third Reading Final Passage	10
1162-S2	Second Reading	12	1391	Second Reading	5
	Third Reading Final Passage	12	1391-S2	Second Reading	5
1167	Second Reading	6		Third Reading Final Passage	5
	Third Reading Final Passage	6	1418	Second Reading	8
1173	Other Action	33	1418-S	Second Reading	8
1174	Second Reading	13		Third Reading Final Passage	8
1174-S2	Second Reading	13	1440	Second Reading	26
	Amendment Offered	13	1440-S2	Second Reading	26
	Third Reading Final Passage	19		Amendment Offered	26
1217	Other Action	33		Third Reading Final Passage	26
1230	Other Action	33	1462	Second Reading	32
1232	Other Action	33	1462-S2	Second Reading	32
1233	Second Reading	22		Amendment Offered	32
1233-S	Second Reading	22		Third Reading Final Passage	32
	Amendment Offered	22	1490	Other Action	33
	Third Reading Final Passage	22	1491	Second Reading	22
1260	Second Reading	5	1491-S3	Second Reading	22
1260-S	Second Reading	5		Amendment Offered	23
	Third Reading Final Passage	5		Third Reading Final Passage	23
1264	Second Reading	6	1494	Second Reading	11
1264-S	Second Reading	6		Amendment Offered	11
	Third Reading Final Passage	6		Third Reading Final Passage	11
1281	Second Reading	9	1524	Second Reading	6
1281-S	Second Reading	9	1524-S2	Second Reading	6
	Third Reading Final Passage	9		Third Reading Final Passage	6
1285	Second Reading	32	1541	Other Action	33
1285-S2	Second Reading	33	1551	Second Reading	3
	Third Reading Final Passage	33	1551-S	Second Reading	3
1294	Second Reading	26		Amendment Offered	3
1294-S	Second Reading	27		Third Reading Final Passage	4
			1553	Second Reading	19
				Third Reading Final Passage	19
			1556	Second Reading	10

1633	Third Reading Final Passage	10	5200-S	Introduction & 1st Reading	1
	Second Reading	8	5262-S	Introduction & 1st Reading	1
1640	Third Reading Final Passage	9	5294-S	Introduction & 1st Reading	2
	Second Reading	11	5314-S	Introduction & 1st Reading	2
1647	Third Reading Final Passage	11	5325	Introduction & 1st Reading	2
	Other Action	33	5327-S	Messages	7
1715	Other Action	33	5331-S	Introduction & 1st Reading	2
1731	Second Reading	4	5334	Introduction & 1st Reading	2
	Third Reading Final Passage	4	5436-S	Introduction & 1st Reading	2
1757	Second Reading	21	5458	Introduction & 1st Reading	2
	Third Reading Final Passage	21	5459-S	Introduction & 1st Reading	2
1760	Second Reading	7	5463	Messages	7
	Third Reading Final Passage	8	5473	Introduction & 1st Reading	2
1784	Second Reading	21	5498	Introduction & 1st Reading	2
1784-S	Second Reading	21	5516-S	Introduction & 1st Reading	2
	Third Reading Final Passage	21	5545-S	Introduction & 1st Reading	2
1788	Second Reading	4	5558-S	Messages	7
1788-S2	Second Reading	5	5589	Introduction & 1st Reading	2
	Third Reading Final Passage	5	5641	Messages	7
1814	Other Action	33	5663-S	Messages	1
1829	Second Reading	23	5669	Introduction & 1st Reading	3
1829-S	Second Reading	23	5672	Introduction & 1st Reading	3
	Amendment Offered	23	5677-S	Messages	1
	Third Reading Final Passage	26	5691-S	Introduction & 1st Reading	3
1833	Second Reading	19	5696	Messages	10
1833-S	Second Reading	19	5714-S	Introduction & 1st Reading	3
	Third Reading Final Passage	20	HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES (Speaker Jenkins presiding)		
1936	Second Reading	8	Point of Personal Privilege Representative Caldier	5	
	Third Reading Final Passage	8	Point of Personal Privilege Representative Gregerson	7	
1960	Other Action	33	Point of Personal Privilege Representative Jacobsen	20	
1970	Second Reading	20	Point of Personal Privilege Representative Ormsby	9	
	Third Reading Final Passage	20			
1971	Other Action	33			
1980	Second Reading	9			
1980-S	Second Reading	9			
	Third Reading Final Passage	9			
5074-S	Introduction & 1st Reading	1			
5085-S	Introduction & 1st Reading	1			
5109	Introduction & 1st Reading	1			
5124-S	Introduction & 1st Reading	1			
5127-S	Introduction & 1st Reading	1			
5139-S	Introduction & 1st Reading	1			
5175-S2	Messages	10			
5178	Introduction & 1st Reading	1			
5189	Messages	7			