SIXTY EIGHTH LEGISLATURE - REGULAR SESSION

FIFTY SECOND DAY

House Chamber, Olympia, Wednesday, February 28, 2024

The House was called to order at 10:00 a.m. by the Speaker (Representative Bronoske presiding). The Clerk called the roll and a quorum was present.

The flags were escorted to the rostrum by a Sergeant at Arms Color Guard, Girl Scout Troops 46836 and 46735. The Speaker (Representative Bronoske presiding) led the Chamber in the Pledge of Allegiance. The prayer was offered by Reverend Dr. Kelle Brown, Senior Pastor, Plymouth Church, Seattle.

Reading of the Journal of the previous day was dispensed with and it was ordered to stand approved.

SPEAKER'S PRIVILEGE

The Speaker (Representative Bronoske presiding) is pleased to recognize guests, including Andrea Anderson, CEO, Girl Scouts of Western Washington, who are with us today in recognition of House Resolution 4683, Celebrating the Girl Scouts.

The Speaker assumed the chair.

SIGNED BY THE SPEAKER

The Speaker signed the following bills:

HOUSE BILL NO. 1879
HOUSE BILL NO. 1890
HOUSE BILL NO. 1898
SUBSTITUTE HOUSE BILL NO. 1947
HOUSE BILL NO. 1948
HOUSE BILL NO. 1978
HOUSE BILL NO. 1978
SUBSTITUTE HOUSE BILL NO. 2015
SUBSTITUTE HOUSE BILL NO. 2015
SUBSTITUTE HOUSE BILL NO. 2086
ENGROSSED HOUSE BILL NO. 2088
SUBSTITUTE HOUSE BILL NO. 2156
SUBSTITUTE HOUSE BILL NO. 2165
ENGROSSED SUBSTITUTE HOUSE BILL NO. 2256

The Speaker called upon Representative Bronoske to preside.

There being no objection, the House advanced to the third order of business.

MESSAGE FROM THE SENATE

Tuesday, February 27, 2024

Mme. Speaker:

The Senate has passed:

| | | HOUSE | BILL NO. 1153 |
|------------------|-------------------|--------------|----------------------|
| | | HOUSE | BILL NO. 1876 |
| | SUBSTITUTE | HOUSE | BILL NO. 1889 |
| | SUBSTITUTE | HOUSE | BILL NO. 1905 |
| ENGROSSED | SUBSTITUTE | HOUSE | BILL NO. 2041 |
| | SUBSTITUTE | HOUSE | BILL NO. 2048 |
| | SUBSTITUTE | HOUSE | BILL NO. 2061 |
| | | HOUSE | BILL NO. 2137 |
| | SUBSTITUTE | HOUSE | BILL NO. 2329 |
| | | HOUSE | BILL NO. 2433 |
| | | | |

and the same are herewith transmitted.

Colleen Pehar, Deputy Secretary

There being no objection, the House advanced to the sixth order of business.

SECOND READING

SUBSTITUTE SENATE BILL NO. 5986, Cleveland, Muzzall, Hasegawa, Kuderer, Mullet, Nobles, Randall, Salomon, Valdez and Wellmanby Senate Committee on Ways & Means (originally sponsored by Cleveland, Muzzall, Hasegawa, Kuderer, Mullet, Nobles, Randall, Salomon, Valdez and Wellman)

Protecting consumers from out-of-network health care services charges.

The bill was read the second time.

There being no objection, the committee striking amendment by the Committee on Health Care & Wellness was adopted. For Committee amendment, see Journal, Day 45, Wednesday, February 21, 2024.

There being no objection, the rules were suspended, the second reading considered the third and the bill, as amended by the House, was placed on final passage.

Representatives Riccelli, Schmick and Hutchins spoke in favor of the passage of the bill.

MOTIONS

On motion of Representative Ramel, Representative Morgan was excused.

On motion of Representative Griffey, Representative Chandler was excused.

The Speaker (Representative Bronoske presiding) stated the question before the House to be the final passage of Substitute Senate Bill No. 5986, as amended by the House.

ROLL CALL

The Clerk called the roll on the final passage of Substitute Senate Bill No. 5986, as amended by the House, and the bill passed the House by the following vote: Yeas, 95; Nays, 1; Absent, 0; Excused, 2

Voting Yea: Representatives Abbarno, Alvarado, Barkis, Barnard, Bateman, Berg, Bergquist, Berry, Bronoske, Caldier, Callan, Chambers, Chapman, Cheney, Chopp, Christian, Connors, Corry, Cortes, Couture, Davis, Dent, Doglio, Donaghy, Duerr, Dye, Entenman, Eslick, Farivar, Fey, Fitzgibbon, Fosse, Goehner, Goodman, Graham, Gregerson, Griffey, Hackney, Hutchins, Jacobsen, Klicker, Kloba, Kretz, Leavitt, Lekanoff, Low, Macri, Maycumber, McClintock, McEntire, Mena, Mosbrucker, Nance, Orcutt, Ormsby, Ortiz-Self, Orwall, Paul, Peterson, Pollet, Ramel, Ramos, Reed, Reeves, Riccelli, Robertson, Rude, Rule, Ryu, Sandlin, Santos, Schmick, Schmidt, Senn, Shavers, Simmons, Slatter, Springer, Stearns, Steele, Stokesbary, Stonier, Street, Taylor, Thai, Tharinger, Timmons, Volz, Walen, Walsh, Waters, Wilcox, Wylie, Ybarra and Mme. Speaker

Voting Nay: Representative Harris

Excused: Representatives Chandler and Morgan

SUBSTITUTE SENATE BILL NO. 5986, as amended by the House, having received the necessary constitutional majority, was declared passed.

ENGROSSED SECOND SUBSTITUTE SENATE BILL NO. 5853, Dhingra, Wagoner, Frame, Hasegawa, Kuderer, Lovelett, Lovick, Muzzall, Nguyen, Nobles, Shewmake, Stanford, Torres, Valdez and Wilson, C.by Senate Committee on Ways & Means (originally sponsored by Dhingra, Wagoner, Frame, Hasegawa, Kuderer, Lovelett, Lovick, Muzzall, Nguyen, Nobles, Shewmake, Stanford, Torres, Valdez and Wilson, C.)

Extending the crisis relief center model to provide behavioral health crisis services for minors.

The bill was read the second time.

There being no objection, the rules were suspended, the second reading considered the third and the bill was placed on final passage.

Representatives Senn and Eslick spoke in favor of the passage of the bill.

The Speaker (Representative Bronoske presiding) stated the question before the House to be the final passage of Engrossed Second Substitute Senate Bill No. 5853.

ROLL CALL

The Clerk called the roll on the final passage of Engrossed Second Substitute Senate Bill No. 5853, and the bill passed the House by the following vote: Yeas, 92; Nays, 4; Absent, 0; Excused, 2

Voting Yea: Representatives Abbarno, Alvarado, Barkis, Barnard, Bateman, Berg, Bergquist, Berry, Bronoske, Caldier, Callan, Chambers, Chapman, Cheney, Chopp, Christian, Connors, Corry, Cortes, Couture, Davis, Doglio, Donaghy, Duerr, Dye, Entenman, Eslick, Farivar, Fey, Fitzgibbon, Fosse, Goehner, Goodman, Graham, Gregerson, Griffey, Hackney, Harris, Hutchins, Klicker, Kloba, Kretz, Leavitt, Lekanoff, Low, Macri, Maycumber, McClintock, Mena, Mosbrucker, Nance, Orcutt, Ormsby, Ortiz-Self, Orwall, Paul, Peterson, Pollet, Ramel, Ramos, Reed, Reeves, Riccelli, Robertson, Rude, Rule, Ryu, Sandlin, Santos, Schmick, Schmidt, Senn, Shavers, Simmons, Slatter, Springer, Stearns, Steele, Stokesbary, Stonier, Street, Taylor, Thai, Tharinger, Timmons, Volz, Walen, Waters, Wilcox, Wylie, Ybarra and Mme. Speaker

Voting Nay: Representatives Dent, Jacobsen, McEntire and Walsh

Excused: Representatives Chandler and Morgan

ENGROSSED SECOND SUBSTITUTE SENATE BILL NO. 5853, having received the necessary constitutional majority, was declared passed.

ENGROSSED SUBSTITUTE SENATE BILL NO. 5424, Lovick, Holy, Dhingra, Frame, Keiser, Kuderer, Shewmake, Stanford, Valdez, Warnick and Wellmanby Senate Committee on Labor & Commerce (originally sponsored by Lovick, Holy, Dhingra, Frame, Keiser, Kuderer, Shewmake, Stanford, Valdez, Warnick and Wellman)

Concerning flexible work for general and limited authority Washington peace officers.

The bill was read the second time.

There being no objection, the committee striking amendment by the Committee on Community Safety was adopted. For Committee amendment, see Journal, Day 45, Wednesday, February 21, 2024. There being no objection, the rules were suspended, the second reading considered the third and the bill, as amended by the House, was placed on final passage.

Representatives Shavers, Mosbrucker and Paul spoke in favor of the passage of the bill.

The Speaker (Representative Bronoske presiding) stated the question before the House to be the final passage of Engrossed Substitute Senate Bill No. 5424, as amended by the House.

ROLL CALL

The Clerk called the roll on the final passage of Engrossed Substitute Senate Bill No. 5424, as amended by the House, and the bill passed the House by the following vote: Yeas, 96; Nays, 0; Absent, 0; Excused, 2

Voting Yea: Representatives Abbarno, Alvarado, Barkis, Barnard, Bateman, Berg, Bergquist, Berry, Bronoske, Caldier, Callan, Chambers, Chapman, Cheney, Chopp, Christian, Connors, Corry, Cortes, Couture, Davis, Dent, Doglio, Donaghy, Duerr, Dye, Entenman, Eslick, Farivar, Fey, Fitzgibbon, Fosse, Goehner, Goodman, Graham, Gregerson, Griffey, Hackney, Harris, Hutchins, Jacobsen, Klicker, Kloba, Kretz, Leavitt, Lekanoff, Low, Macri, Maycumber, McClintock, McEntire, Mena, Mosbrucker, Nance, Orcutt, Ormsby, Ortiz-Self, Orwall, Paul, Peterson, Pollet, Ramel, Ramos, Reed, Reeves, Riccelli, Robertson, Rude, Rule, Ryu, Sandlin, Santos, Schmick, Schmidt, Senn, Shavers, Simmons, Slatter, Springer, Stearns, Steele, Stokesbary, Stonier, Street, Taylor, Thai, Tharinger, Timmons, Volz, Walen, Walsh, Waters, Wilcox, Wylie, Ybarra and Mme. Speaker

Excused: Representatives Chandler and Morgan

ENGROSSED SUBSTITUTE SENATE BILL NO. 5424, as amended by the House, having received the necessary constitutional majority, was declared passed.

SUBSTITUTE SENATE BILL NO. 5803, Conway, Boehnke, Dozier, Frame, Holy, Hunt, Kuderer, Liias, Lovelett, Lovick, MacEwen, Mullet, Nobles, Padden, Stanford, Wagoner, Warnick and Wellmanby Senate Committee on Ways & Means (originally sponsored by Conway, Boehnke, Dozier, Frame, Holy, Hunt, Kuderer, Liias, Lovelett, Lovick, MacEwen, Mullet, Nobles, Padden, Stanford, Wagoner, Warnick and Wellman)

Concerning the recruitment and retention of Washington national guard members.

The bill was read the second time.

There being no objection, the rules were suspended, the second reading considered the third and the bill was placed on final passage.

Representatives Leavitt and Volz spoke in favor of the passage of the bill.

The Speaker (Representative Bronoske presiding) stated the question before the House to be the final passage of Substitute Senate Bill No. 5803.

ROLL CALL

The Clerk called the roll on the final passage of Substitute Senate Bill No. 5803, and the bill passed the House by the following vote: Yeas, 96; Nays, 0; Absent, 0; Excused, 2

Voting Yea: Representatives Abbarno, Alvarado, Barkis, Barnard, Bateman, Berg, Bergquist, Berry, Bronoske, Caldier, Callan, Chambers, Chapman, Cheney, Chopp, Christian, Connors, Corry, Cortes, Couture, Davis, Dent, Doglio, Donaghy, Duerr, Dye, Entenman, Eslick, Farivar, Fey, Fitzgibbon, Fosse, Goehner, Goodman, Graham, Gregerson, Griffey, Hackney, Harris, Hutchins, Jacobsen, Klicker, Kloba, Kretz, Leavitt, Lekanoff, Low, Macri, Maycumber, McClintock, McEntire, Mena, Mosbrucker,

Nance, Orcutt, Ormsby, Ortiz-Self, Orwall, Paul, Peterson, Pollet, Ramel, Ramos, Reed, Reeves, Riccelli, Robertson, Rude, Rule, Ryu, Sandlin, Santos, Schmick, Schmidt, Senn, Shavers, Simmons, Slatter, Springer, Stearns, Steele, Stokesbary, Stonier, Street, Taylor, Thai, Tharinger, Timmons, Volz, Walen, Walsh, Waters, Wilcox, Wylie, Ybarra and Mme. Speaker

Excused: Representatives Chandler and Morgan

SUBSTITUTE SENATE BILL NO. 5803, having received the necessary constitutional majority, was declared passed.

ENGROSSED SUBSTITUTE SENATE BILL NO. 5801, Dozier, Pedersen, Hunt, Mullet and Wilson, J.by Senate Committee on Business, Financial Services, Gaming & Trade (originally sponsored by Dozier, Pedersen, Hunt, Mullet and Wilson, J.)

Concerning special deposits.

The bill was read the second time.

There being no objection, the rules were suspended, the second reading considered the third and the bill was placed on final passage.

Representatives Walen and Robertson spoke in favor of the passage of the bill.

The Speaker (Representative Bronoske presiding) stated the question before the House to be the final passage of Engrossed Substitute Senate Bill No. 5801.

ROLL CALL

The Clerk called the roll on the final passage of Engrossed Substitute Senate Bill No. 5801, and the bill passed the House by the following vote: Yeas, 96; Nays, 0; Absent, 0; Excused, 2

Voting Yea: Representatives Abbarno, Alvarado, Barkis, Barnard, Bateman, Berg, Bergquist, Berry, Bronoske, Caldier, Callan, Chambers, Chapman, Cheney, Chopp, Christian, Connors, Corry, Cortes, Couture, Davis, Dent, Doglio, Donaghy, Duerr, Dye, Entenman, Eslick, Farivar, Fey, Fitzgibbon, Fosse, Goehner, Goodman, Graham, Gregerson, Griffey, Hackney, Harris, Hutchins, Jacobsen, Klicker, Kloba, Kretz, Leavitt, Lekanoff, Low, Macri, Maycumber, McClintock, McEntire, Mena, Mosbrucker, Nance, Orcutt, Ormsby, Ortiz-Self, Orwall, Paul, Peterson, Pollet, Ramel, Ramos, Reed, Reeves, Riccelli, Robertson, Rude, Rule, Ryu, Sandlin, Santos, Schmick, Schmidt, Senn, Shavers, Simmons, Slatter, Springer, Stearns, Steele, Stokesbary, Stonier, Street, Taylor, Thai, Tharinger, Timmons, Volz, Walen, Walsh, Waters, Wilcox, Wylie, Ybarra and Mme. Speaker

Excused: Representatives Chandler and Morgan

ENGROSSED SUBSTITUTE SENATE BILL NO. 5801, having received the necessary constitutional majority, was declared passed.

The Speaker assumed the chair.

SECOND READING

ENGROSSED SUBSTITUTE SENATE BILL NO. 6009, Trudeau, Lovick, Frame, Hasegawa, Nguyen, Nobles, Saldaña, Stanford, Valdez, Wellman and Wilson, C.by Senate Committee on Law & Justice (originally sponsored by Trudeau, Lovick, Frame, Hasegawa, Nguyen, Nobles, Saldaña, Stanford, Valdez, Wellman and Wilson, C.)

Prohibiting the use of hog-tying.

The bill was read the second time.

With the consent of the House, amendments (1152) and (1153) were withdrawn.

Representative Graham moved the adoption of amendment (1130):

On page 2, after line 15, insert the following:

"NEW SECTION. Sec. 3. A new section is added to chapter 43.101

RCW to read as follows:

Subject to the availability of amounts appropriated for this specific purpose, the commission shall establish and administer a grant program for law enforcement agencies, as defined in RCW 10.116.010, to acquire alternatives to hog-tie restraints related training. The commission shall give priority to smaller agencies and agencies limited financial resources selecting grant recipients. Amounts awarded through the grant program must funding to acquire and properly train on the use of alternative equipment."

Correct the title.

Representative Graham spoke in favor of the adoption of the amendment.

Representative Goodman spoke against the adoption of the amendment.

Amendment (1130) was not adopted.

Representative Mena moved the adoption of the striking amendment (1129):

Strike everything after the enacting clause and insert the following:

"NEW SECTION. Sec. 1. The legislature is imperative that our criminal finds it including iustice systems, the enforcement profession, must secure public trust and ensure accountability. In order to do so, the legislature finds that it is practices important to discontinue tactics that dehumanize and unnecessary risk of harm and/or death to the people they serve. Additionally, important that law enforcement is using upto-date tactics that come with training from the criminal justice training continuity commission ensure to oversight in the standards applied across the profession. This includes tactics comply with the model use of force policies put forward by our state's attorney general.

The legislature finds that, in the quest to ensure that all communities are and feel safe, it is important to take guidance from published model policies, comport with statewide standards and training tactics, and prohibit restraint hog-tying and other similar tactics that are inhumane, outdated, and have led to the loss of human life.

 $\underline{\text{NEW SECTION.}}$ Sec. 2. A new section is added to chapter 10.116 RCW to read as follows:

- (1) A peace officer is prohibited from:
- (a) Hog-tying a person; or
- (b) Assisting in putting a person into a hog-tie.

- (2) Hog-tying shall constitute the use of force for the purposes excessive 10.93.190.
- (3) This section shall not be interpreted to prohibit the use of any other alternative device restraint. product or administered to reduce the incidence of respiratory fatigue or positional asphyxia if such restraint product or device does not violate this section.
- (4) For purposes of this section, or "hog-tying" means fastening together or restrained ankles restrained wrists. "Hog-tie" or "hog-tying" does not include the following:
- (a) Use of transport chains or waist chains to transport prisoners; or
- (b) Use of a product or device that does not require bound or restrained ankles to be fastened together to bound or restrained wrists.

Correct the title.

Representative Graham moved the adoption of amendment (1148) to the striking amendment (1129):

On page 1, after line 20 of the striking amendment, insert the following:

"NEW SECTION. Sec. 2. A new section is added to chapter 43.101

RCW to read as follows:

Subject to the availability of amounts appropriated for this specific purpose, the commission shall establish and administer a grant program for law enforcement agencies, as defined in RCW 10.116.010, to acquire alternatives to hog-tie restraints related training. The commission shall priority to smaller agencies and agencies with limited financial resources when selecting grant recipients. Amounts awarded through the grant program must include funding to acquire and properly train on the use of alternative equipment.'

Renumber the remaining sections consecutively and correct any internal references accordingly.

Representatives Graham and Robertson spoke in favor of the adoption of the amendment to the striking amendment.

Representative Goodman spoke against the adoption of the amendment to the striking amendment.

Amendment (1148) to the striking amendment (1129) was not adopted.

Representatives Mena and Mosbrucker spoke in favor of the adoption of the striking amendment.

The striking amendment (1129) was adopted.

There being no objection, the rules were suspended, the second reading considered the third and the bill, as amended by the House, was placed on final passage.

Representatives Mena, Mosbrucker and Graham spoke in favor of the passage of the bill.

The Speaker stated the question before the House to be the final passage of Engrossed Substitute Senate Bill No. 6009, as amended by the House.

The Clerk called the roll on the final passage of Engrossed Substitute Senate Bill No. 6009, as amended by the House, and the bill passed the House by the following vote: Yeas, 89; Nays, 7; Absent, 0; Excused, 2

Voting Yea: Representatives Alvarado, Barkis, Barnard, Bateman, Berg, Bergquist, Berry, Bronoske, Caldier, Callan, Chambers, Chapman, Cheney, Chopp, Connors, Corry, Cortes, Couture, Davis, Dent, Doglio, Donaghy, Duerr, Dye, Entenman, Eslick, Farivar, Fey, Fitzgibbon, Fosse, Goehner, Goodman, Graham, Gregerson, Griffey, Hackney, Harris, Hutchins, Kloba, Kretz, Leavitt, Lekanoff, Low, Macri, Maycumber, McClintock, Mena, Mosbrucker, Nance, Ormsby, Ortiz-Self, Orwall, Paul, Peterson, Pollet, Ramel, Ramos, Reed, Reeves, Riccelli, Robertson, Rude, Rule, Ryu, Sandlin, Santos, Schmick, Schmidt, Senn, Shavers, Simmons, Slatter, Springer, Stearns, Steele, Stokesbary, Stonier, Street, Taylor, Thai, Tharinger, Timmons, Volz, Walen, Waters, Wilcox, Wylie, Ybarra and Mme. Speaker Voting Nay: Representatives Abbarno, Christian, Jacobsen,

Klicker, McEntire, Orcutt and Walsh

Excused: Representatives Chandler and Morgan

ENGROSSED SUBSTITUTE SENATE BILL NO. 6009, as amended by the House, having received the necessary constitutional majority, was declared passed.

The Speaker called upon Representative Orwall to preside.

There being no objection, the House reverted to the third order of business.

MESSAGE FROM THE SENATE

Wednesday, February 28, 2024

Mme. Speaker:

The Senate has passed:

| | | HOUSE | BILL NO. 1726 |
|---------------|-------------------|--------------|---------------|
| | SUBSTITUTE | HOUSE | BILL NO. 1880 |
| | | HOUSE | BILL NO. 1901 |
| | | HOUSE | BILL NO. 1955 |
| | | HOUSE | BILL NO. 1962 |
| | SUBSTITUTE | HOUSE | BILL NO. 1974 |
| | | HOUSE | BILL NO. 2034 |
| | SUBSTITUTE | HOUSE | BILL NO. 2075 |
| SECOND | SUBSTITUTE | HOUSE | BILL NO. 2151 |
| | SUBSTITUTE | HOUSE | BILL NO. 2216 |
| | SUBSTITUTE | HOUSE | BILL NO. 2355 |
| | SUBSTITUTE | HOUSE | BILL NO. 2368 |

and the same are herewith transmitted.

Colleen Pehar, Deputy Secretary

MESSAGE FROM THE SENATE

Wednesday, February 28, 2024

Mme. Speaker:

The Senate has passed:

SUBSTITUTE SENATE BILL NO. 6316

and the same is herewith transmitted.

Colleen Pehar, Deputy Secretary

There being no objection, the House advanced to the sixth order of business.

SECOND READING

SENATE BILL NO. 5821, by Senators Muzzall, Randall, **Kuderer and Rivers**

Establishing a uniform standard for creating an established relationship for the purposes of coverage of audioonly telemedicine services.

The bill was read the second time.

Representative Caldier moved the adoption of amendment (1156):

On page 3, line 25, after "delivered" ert "and furnish the patient with the insert <u>patient with the</u> name of a provider or provider group, with a physical address in Washington, to which the will be referred if unable treated through audio-only telemedicine"

On page 7, line 37, after "delivered" ert "and furnish the patient with the insert the patient with the name of a provider or provider group, with a physical address in Washington, to which the patient will be referred if unable treated through audio-only telemedicine"

On page 12, line 14, after "delivered" strike "and" and insert "((and)),"

On page 12, line 15, after "recipients" ert ", and furnish the patient with the name of a provider or provider group, with a physical address in Washington, to which the patient will be referred if unable to be treated through audio-only telemedicine"

With the consent of the House, Representative Caldier withdrew amendment (1156).

Representative Caldier moved the adoption of amendment (1155):

On page 4, line 30, after "past" strike "three" and insert "(($\frac{\text{three}}{\text{two}}$)

On page 4, beginning on line 31, after "appointment" strike all material through "technology," on line 32 and insert "((τ or at least one real-time interactive appointment using both audio and technology,))"

On page 4, beginning on line 40, after strike all material through "technology," on page 5, line 2 and insert "((three)) two years, at least one in-person appointment((, or at least one real-time interactive appointment using both audio and video technology,))"

On page 9, line 1, after "past" strike "three" and insert "(($\frac{three}{}$)) $\frac{two}{}$ "

On page 9, beginning on line 2, after "appointment" strike all material through "technology," on line 3 and insert "((, or least one real-time interactive appointment using both audio and video technology,))"

On page 9, beginning on line 11, after "past" strike all material through strike all material "technology," on line 13 and "((three)) <u>two</u> years, at least one in-person appointment ((or at least one real-time interactive appointment using both audio and video technology,))"

On page 13, line 24, after "past" strike "three" and insert "(($\frac{\text{three}}{\text{two}}$ "

On page 13, beginning on line 25, after "appointment" strike all material through strike all material "technology," on line 26 and insert "((, or least one real-time interactive appointment using both audio technology,))"

On page 13, beginning on line 34, after "past" strike all material through all "technology," on line 36 and insert "(($\frac{\text{three}}{\text{two}}$)) $\underline{\text{two}}$ years, at least one in-person appointment((, or at least one interactive appointment using both audio and video technology,))"

Representatives Caldier, Walsh and Orcutt spoke in favor of the adoption of the amendment.

Representative Riccelli spoke against the adoption of the amendment.

Amendment (1155) was not adopted.

Representative Caldier moved the adoption of amendment (1123):

On page 14, after line 34, insert the following:

"NEW SECTION. Sec. 4. A new section is added to chapter 48.43 RCW to read follows:

(1) On January 31 of each year, a health carrier shall report to the commissioner on:

The number (a) and types of behavioral health services provided through audio-only telemedicine for which the health carrier reimbursed providers in the previous calendar year; and

(b) Whether the health carrier reimbursed provider for duplicate in-person services

provided to the same patient.

By March 31 of each vear, the commissioner shall aggregate the information received under subsection (1)of this section and submit а report to the appropriate standing committees the legislature."

Correct the title.

With the consent of the House, Representative Caldier withdrew amendment (1123).

There being no objection, the rules were suspended, the second reading considered the third and the bill was placed on final passage.

Representatives Riccelli and Harris spoke in favor of the passage of the bill.

Representatives Schmick, Orcutt and Caldier spoke against the passage of the bill.

MOTION

On motion of Representative Ramel, Representative Reeves was excused.

The Speaker (Representative Orwall presiding) stated the question before the House to be the final passage of Senate Bill No. 5821.

ROLL CALL

The Clerk called the roll on the final passage of Senate Bill No. 5821, and the bill passed the House by the following vote: Yeas, 79; Nays, 16; Absent, 0; Excused, 3

Voting Yea: Representatives Abbarno, Alvarado, Barnard, Bateman, Berg, Bergquist, Berry, Bronoske, Callan, Chapman, Cheney, Chopp, Connors, Corry, Cortes, Couture, Davis, Dent, Doglio, Donaghy, Duerr, Entenman, Eslick, Farivar, Fey, Fitzgibbon, Fosse, Goehner, Goodman, Graham, Gregerson, Griffey, Hackney, Harris, Hutchins, Jacobsen, Kloba, Kretz, Leavitt, Lekanoff, Low, Macri, Maycumber, McClintock, Mena, Nance, Ormsby, Ortiz-Self, Orwall, Paul, Peterson, Pollet, Ramel, Ramos, Reed, Riccelli, Rule, Ryu, Santos, Schmidt, Senn, Shavers, Simmons, Slatter, Springer, Stearns, Steele, Stonier, Street, Taylor, Thai, Tharinger, Timmons, Volz, Walen, Waters, Wylie, Ybarra and Mme. Speaker

Voting Nay: Representatives Barkis, Caldier, Chambers, Christian, Dye, Klicker, McEntire, Mosbrucker, Orcutt, Robertson, Rude, Sandlin, Schmick, Stokesbary, Walsh and Wilcox

Excused: Representatives Chandler, Morgan and Reeves

SENATE BILL NO. 5821, having received the necessary constitutional majority, was declared passed.

STATEMENT FOR THE JOURNAL

I intended to vote YEA on Senate Bill No. 5821. Representative Barkis, 2nd District

SECOND READING

ENGROSSED SENATE BILL NO. 5824, by Senators Hunt, Keiser, Kuderer, Liias and Nobles

Concerning the dissolution of libraries and library districts.

The bill was read the second time.

Representative Reed moved the adoption of amendment (1150):

On page 1, beginning on line 12, after "library"))" strike all material through "<u>(b)</u>" on line 13

Representative Reed spoke in favor of the adoption of the amendment.

Representative Cheney spoke against the adoption of the

Division was demanded and the demand was sustained. The Speaker (Representative Orwall presiding) divided the House. The result was 55 - YEAS; 39 - NAYS.

Amendment (1150) was adopted.

There being no objection, the rules were suspended, the second reading considered the third and the bill, as amended by the House, was placed on final passage.

Representatives Ramos and Low spoke in favor of the passage of the bill.

Representative Walsh spoke against the passage of the bill.

The Speaker (Representative Orwall presiding) stated the question before the House to be the final passage of Engrossed Senate Bill No. 5824, as amended by the House.

ROLL CALL

The Clerk called the roll on the final passage of Engrossed Senate Bill No. 5824, as amended by the House, and the bill passed the House by the following vote: Yeas, 90; Nays, 5; Absent, 0; Excused, 3

Voting Yea: Representatives Abbarno, Alvarado, Barkis, Barnard, Bateman, Berg, Bergquist, Berry, Bronoske, Caldier, Callan, Chambers, Chapman, Cheney, Chopp, Christian, Connors, Corry, Cortes, Couture, Davis, Dent, Doglio, Donaghy, Duerr, Dye, Entenman, Eslick, Farivar, Fey, Fitzgibbon, Fosse, Goehner, Goodman, Graham, Gregerson, Griffey, Hackney, Harris, Hutchins, Klicker, Kloba, Kretz, Leavitt, Lekanoff, Low, Macri, Maycumber, McClintock, Mena, Nance, Orcutt, Ormsby, Ortiz-Self, Orwall, Paul, Peterson, Pollet, Ramel, Ramos, Reed, Riccelli, Robertson, Rude, Rule, Ryu, Sandlin, Santos, Schmick, Schmidt, Senn, Shavers, Simmons, Slatter, Springer, Stearns, Steele, Stokesbary, Stonier, Street, Taylor, Thai, Tharinger, Timmons, Volz, Walen, Wilcox, Wylie, Ybarra and Mme. Speaker

Nay: Representatives McEntire, Voting Jacobsen, Mosbrucker, Walsh and Waters

Excused: Representatives Chandler, Morgan and Reeves

ENGROSSED SENATE BILL NO. 5824, as amended by the House, having received the necessary constitutional majority, was declared passed.

STATEMENT FOR THE JOURNAL

I intended to vote NAY on Engrossed Senate Bill No. 5824. Representative Graham, 6th District

SECOND READING

ENGROSSED SUBSTITUTE SENATE BILL NO. 5589, Stanfordby Senate Committee on Law & Justice (originally sponsored by Stanford)

Concerning probate.

The bill was read the second time.

There being no objection, the rules were suspended, the second reading considered the third and the bill was placed on final passage.

Representative Taylor spoke in favor of the passage of the bill

Representative Walsh spoke against the passage of the bill.

The Speaker (Representative Orwall presiding) stated the question before the House to be the final passage of Engrossed Substitute Senate Bill No. 5589.

ROLL CALL

The Clerk called the roll on the final passage of Engrossed Substitute Senate Bill No. 5589, and the bill passed the House by the following vote: Yeas, 93; Nays, 2; Absent, 0; Excused, 3

Voting Yea: Representatives Abbarno, Alvarado, Barkis, Barnard, Bateman, Berg, Bergquist, Berry, Bronoske, Caldier, Callan, Chambers, Chapman, Cheney, Chopp, Christian, Connors, Corry, Cortes, Couture, Davis, Dent, Doglio, Donaghy, Duerr, Dye, Entenman, Eslick, Farivar, Fey, Fitzgibbon, Fosse, Goehner, Gregerson, Griffey, Hackney, Harris, Goodman, Graham, Hutchins, Jacobsen, Klicker, Kloba, Kretz, Leavitt, Lekanoff, Low, Macri, Maycumber, McClintock, Mena, Mosbrucker, Nance, Orcutt, Ormsby, Ortiz-Self, Orwall, Paul, Peterson, Pollet, Ramel, Ramos, Reed, Riccelli, Robertson, Rude, Rule, Ryu, Sandlin, Santos, Schmick, Schmidt, Senn, Shavers, Simmons, Slatter, Springer, Stearns, Steele, Stokesbary, Stonier, Street, Taylor, Thai, Tharinger, Timmons, Volz, Walen, Waters, Wilcox, Wylie, Ybarra and Mme. Speaker

Voting Nay: Representatives McEntire and Walsh

Excused: Representatives Chandler, Morgan and Reeves

ENGROSSED SUBSTITUTE SENATE BILL NO. 5589, having received the necessary constitutional majority, was declared passed.

SUBSTITUTE SENATE BILL NO. 5774, Billig, Hawkins, Wilson, C., Wellman, Dozier, Frame, Kuderer, Lovick, Mullet, Nguyen, Nobles, Padden, Salomon and Shewmakeby Senate Committee on Early Learning & K-12 Education (originally sponsored by Billig, Hawkins, Wilson, C., Wellman, Dozier, Frame, Kuderer, Lovick, Mullet, Nguyen, Nobles, Padden, Salomon and Shewmake)

Increasing the capacity to conduct timely fingerprintbased background checks for prospective child care employees and other programs.

The bill was read the second time.

There being no objection, the committee striking amendment by the Committee on Early Learning & Human Services was adopted. For Committee amendment, see Journal, Day 45, Wednesday, February 21, 2024.

There being no objection, the rules were suspended, the second reading considered the third and the bill, as amended by the House, was placed on final passage.

Representatives Senn and Eslick spoke in favor of the passage of the bill.

The Speaker (Representative Orwall presiding) stated the question before the House to be the final passage of Substitute Senate Bill No. 5774, as amended by the House.

ROLL CALL

The Clerk called the roll on the final passage of Substitute Senate Bill No. 5774, as amended by the House, and the bill passed the House by the following vote: Yeas, 95; Nays, 0; Absent, 0; Excused, 3

Voting Yea: Representatives Abbarno, Alvarado, Barkis, Barnard, Bateman, Berg, Bergquist, Berry, Bronoske, Caldier, Callan, Chambers, Chapman, Cheney, Chopp, Christian, Connors, Corry, Cortes, Couture, Davis, Dent, Doglio, Donaghy, Duerr, Dye, Entenman, Eslick, Farivar, Fey, Fitzgibbon, Fosse, Goehner, Goodman, Graham, Gregerson, Griffey, Hackney, Harris, Hutchins, Jacobsen, Klicker, Kloba, Kretz, Leavitt, Lekanoff, Low, Macri, Maycumber, McClintock, McEntire, Mena, Mosbrucker, Nance, Orcutt, Ormsby, Ortiz-Self, Orwall, Paul, Peterson, Pollet, Ramel, Ramos, Reed, Riccelli, Robertson, Rude, Rule, Ryu, Sandlin, Santos, Schmick, Schmidt, Senn, Shavers, Simmons, Slatter, Springer, Stearns, Steele, Stokesbary, Stonier, Street, Taylor, Thai, Tharinger, Timmons, Volz, Walen, Walsh, Waters, Wilcox, Wylie, Ybarra and Mme. Speaker

Excused: Representatives Chandler, Morgan and Reeves

SUBSTITUTE SENATE BILL NO. 5774, as amended by the House, having received the necessary constitutional majority, was declared passed.

SUBSTITUTE SENATE BILL NO. 5829, Frame, Rivers, Shewmake, Trudeau, Lovelett, Dhingra, Hasegawa, Kuderer, Liias, Nobles, Valdez and Wilson, C.by Senate Committee on Health & Long Term Care (originally sponsored by Frame, Rivers, Shewmake, Trudeau, Lovelett, Dhingra, Hasegawa, Kuderer, Liias, Nobles, Valdez and Wilson, C.)

Screening newborn infants for congenital cytomegalovirus.

The bill was read the second time.

There being no objection, the rules were suspended, the second reading considered the third and the bill was placed on final passage.

Representatives Riccelli and Schmick spoke in favor of the passage of the bill.

The Speaker (Representative Orwall presiding) stated the question before the House to be the final passage of Substitute Senate Bill No. 5829.

ROLL CALL

The Clerk called the roll on the final passage of Substitute Senate Bill No. 5829, and the bill passed the House by the following vote: Yeas, 95; Nays, 0; Absent, 0; Excused, 3

Voting Yea: Representatives Abbarno, Alvarado, Barkis, Barnard, Bateman, Berg, Bergquist, Berry, Bronoske, Caldier, Callan, Chambers, Chapman, Cheney, Chopp, Christian, Connors, Corry, Cortes, Couture, Davis, Dent, Doglio, Donaghy, Duerr, Dye, Entenman, Eslick, Farivar, Fey, Fitzgibbon, Fosse, Goehner, Goodman, Graham, Gregerson, Griffey, Hackney, Harris, Hutchins, Jacobsen, Klicker, Kloba, Kretz, Leavitt, Lekanoff, Low, Macri, Maycumber, McClintock, McEntire, Mena, Mosbrucker, Nance, Orcutt, Ormsby, Ortiz-Self, Orwall, Paul, Peterson, Pollet, Ramel, Ramos, Reed, Riccelli, Robertson, Rude, Rule, Ryu, Sandlin, Santos, Schmick, Schmidt, Senn, Shavers, Simmons, Slatter, Springer, Stearns, Steele, Stokesbary, Stonier, Street, Taylor, Thai, Tharinger, Timmons, Volz, Walen, Walsh, Waters, Wilcox, Wylie, Ybarra and Mme. Speaker

Excused: Representatives Chandler, Morgan and Reeves

SUBSTITUTE SENATE BILL NO. 5829, having received the necessary constitutional majority, was declared passed.

SUBSTITUTE SENATE BILL NO. 5806, Kuderer, Hunt, Dhingra, Hasegawa and Noblesby Senate Committee on Business, Financial Services, Gaming & Trade (originally sponsored by Kuderer, Hunt, Dhingra, Hasegawa and Nobles)

Concerning the confidentiality of insurance company data.

The bill was read the second time.

There being no objection, the rules were suspended, the second reading considered the third and the bill was placed on final passage.

Representatives Walen and Robertson spoke in favor of the passage of the bill.

The Speaker (Representative Orwall presiding) stated the question before the House to be the final passage of Substitute Senate Bill No. 5806.

ROLL CALL

The Clerk called the roll on the final passage of Substitute Senate Bill No. 5806, and the bill passed the House by the following vote: Yeas, 95; Nays, 0; Absent, 0; Excused, 3

Voting Yea: Representatives Abbarno, Alvarado, Barkis, Barnard, Bateman, Berg, Bergquist, Berry, Bronoske, Caldier, Callan, Chambers, Chapman, Cheney, Chopp, Christian, Connors, Corry, Cortes, Couture, Davis, Dent, Doglio, Donaghy, Duerr, Dye, Entenman, Eslick, Farivar, Fey, Fitzgibbon, Fosse, Goehner, Goodman, Graham, Gregerson, Griffey, Hackney, Harris, Hutchins, Jacobsen, Klicker, Kloba, Kretz, Leavitt, Lekanoff, Low, Macri, Maycumber, McClintock, McEntire, Mena, Mosbrucker, Nance, Orcutt, Ormsby, Ortiz-Self, Orwall, Paul, Peterson, Pollet, Ramel, Ramos, Reed, Riccelli, Robertson, Rude, Rule, Ryu, Sandlin, Santos, Schmick, Schmidt, Senn, Shavers, Simmons, Slatter, Springer, Stearns, Steele, Stokesbary, Stonier, Street, Taylor, Thai, Tharinger, Timmons, Volz, Walen, Walsh, Waters, Wilcox, Wylie, Ybarra and Mme. Speaker

Excused: Representatives Chandler, Morgan and Reeves

SUBSTITUTE SENATE BILL NO. 5806, having received the necessary constitutional majority, was declared passed.

ENGROSSED SECOND SUBSTITUTE SENATE BILL NO. 5908, Wilson, C., Frame, Billig, Dhingra, Hasegawa, Hunt, Kuderer, Liias, Lovelett, Lovick, Nguyen, Nobles, Stanford, Trudeau and Valdezby Senate Committee on Ways & Means (originally sponsored by Wilson, C., Frame, Billig, Dhingra, Hasegawa, Hunt, Kuderer, Liias, Lovelett, Lovick, Nguyen, Nobles, Stanford, Trudeau and Valdez)

Providing extended foster care services to youth ages 18 to 21.

The bill was read the second time.

There being no objection, the committee striking amendment by the Committee on Early Learning & Human Services was adopted. For Committee amendment, see Journal, Day 45, Wednesday, February 21, 2024.

There being no objection, the rules were suspended, the second reading considered the third and the bill, as amended by the House, was placed on final passage.

Representative Cortes spoke in favor of the passage of the bill.

Representative Couture spoke against the passage of the bill.

The Speaker (Representative Orwall presiding) stated the question before the House to be the final passage of Engrossed Second Substitute Senate Bill No. 5908, as amended by the House.

ROLL CALL

The Clerk called the roll on the final passage of Engrossed Second Substitute Senate Bill No. 5908, as amended by the House, and the bill passed the House by the following vote: Yeas, 57; Nays, 38; Absent, 0; Excused, 3

Voting Yea: Representatives Alvarado, Bateman, Berg, Bergquist, Berry, Bronoske, Callan, Chapman, Chopp, Cortes, Davis, Dent, Doglio, Donaghy, Duerr, Entenman, Farivar, Fey, Fitzgibbon, Fosse, Goodman, Gregerson, Hackney, Kloba, Leavitt, Lekanoff, Macri, Mena, Nance, Ormsby, Ortiz-Self, Orwall, Paul, Peterson, Pollet, Ramel, Ramos, Reed, Riccelli, Rule, Ryu, Santos, Senn, Shavers, Simmons, Slatter, Springer, Stearns, Stonier, Street, Taylor, Thai, Tharinger, Timmons, Walen, Wylie and Mme. Speaker

Voting Nay: Representatives Abbarno, Barkis, Barnard, Caldier, Chambers, Cheney, Christian, Connors, Corry, Couture, Dye, Eslick, Goehner, Graham, Griffey, Harris, Hutchins, Jacobsen, Klicker, Kretz, Low, Maycumber, McClintock, McEntire, Mosbrucker, Orcutt, Robertson, Rude, Sandlin, Schmick, Schmidt, Steele, Stokesbary, Volz, Walsh, Waters, Wilcox and Ybarra

Excused: Representatives Chandler, Morgan and Reeves

ENGROSSED SECOND SUBSTITUTE SENATE BILL NO. 5908, as amended by the House, having received the necessary constitutional majority, was declared passed.

SUBSTITUTE SENATE BILL NO. 5427, Valdez, Billig, Cleveland, Dhingra, Frame, Hasegawa, Hunt, Keiser, Kuderer, Liias, Lovelett, Lovick, Saldaña, Salomon, Stanford and Wilson, C.by Senate Committee on Ways & Means (originally sponsored by Valdez, Billig, Cleveland, Dhingra, Frame, Hasegawa, Hunt, Keiser, Kuderer, Liias, Lovelett, Lovick, Saldaña, Salomon, Stanford and Wilson, C.)

Supporting people who have been targeted or affected by hate crimes and bias incidents by establishing a reporting hotline and tracking hate crimes and bias incidents.

The bill was read the second time.

There being no objection, the rules were suspended, the second reading considered the third and the bill was placed on final passage.

Representatives Taylor and Santos spoke in favor of the passage of the bill.

Representatives Walsh and Jacobsen spoke against the passage of the bill.

The Speaker (Representative Orwall presiding) stated the question before the House to be the final passage of Substitute Senate Bill No. 5427.

ROLL CALL

The Clerk called the roll on the final passage of Substitute Senate Bill No. 5427, and the bill passed the House by the following vote: Yeas, 56; Nays, 39; Absent, 0; Excused, 3

Voting Yea: Representatives Alvarado, Bateman, Berg, Bergquist, Berry, Bronoske, Callan, Chapman, Chopp, Cortes, Davis, Doglio, Donaghy, Duerr, Entenman, Farivar, Fey, Fitzgibbon, Fosse, Goodman, Gregerson, Hackney, Kloba, Leavitt, Lekanoff, Macri, Mena, Nance, Ormsby, Ortiz-Self, Orwall, Paul, Peterson, Pollet, Ramel, Ramos, Reed, Riccelli, Rule, Ryu, Santos, Senn, Shavers, Simmons, Slatter, Springer, Stearns, Stonier, Street, Taylor, Thai, Tharinger, Timmons, Walen, Wylie and Mme. Speaker

Voting Nay: Representatives Abbarno, Barkis, Barnard, Caldier, Chambers, Cheney, Christian, Connors, Corry, Couture, Dent, Dye, Eslick, Goehner, Graham, Griffey, Harris, Hutchins, Jacobsen, Klicker, Kretz, Low, Maycumber, McClintock, McEntire, Mosbrucker, Orcutt, Robertson, Rude, Sandlin, Schmick, Schmidt, Steele, Stokesbary, Volz, Walsh, Waters, Wilcox and Ybarra

Excused: Representatives Chandler, Morgan and Reeves

SUBSTITUTE SENATE BILL NO. 5427, having received the necessary constitutional majority, was declared passed.

SENATE BILL NO. 6229, by Senators Shewmake, Cleveland, King, Holy, Liias, Lovick and Nobles

Modifying match requirements for the green transportation capital grant program.

The bill was read the second time.

There being no objection, the rules were suspended, the second reading considered the third and the bill was placed on final passage.

Representatives Timmons and Robertson spoke in favor of the passage of the bill.

The Speaker (Representative Orwall presiding) stated the question before the House to be the final passage of Senate Bill No. 6229.

ROLL CALL

The Clerk called the roll on the final passage of Senate Bill No. 6229, and the bill passed the House by the following vote: Yeas, 74; Nays, 21; Absent, 0; Excused, 3

Voting Yea: Representatives Abbarno, Alvarado, Barkis, Barnard, Bateman, Berg, Bergquist, Berry, Bronoske, Callan, Chapman, Cheney, Chopp, Connors, Cortes, Davis, Doglio, Donaghy, Duerr, Entenman, Eslick, Farivar, Fey, Fitzgibbon, Fosse, Goodman, Gregerson, Hackney, Hutchins, Kloba, Kretz, Leavitt, Lekanoff, Low, Macri, Maycumber, McClintock, Mena, Mosbrucker, Nance, Orcutt, Ormsby, Ortiz-Self, Orwall, Paul, Peterson, Pollet, Ramel, Ramos, Reed, Riccelli, Robertson, Rude, Rule, Ryu, Santos, Schmidt, Senn, Shavers, Simmons, Slatter,

Springer, Stearns, Stokesbary, Stonier, Street, Taylor, Thai, Tharinger, Timmons, Walen, Wilcox, Wylie and Mme. Speaker

Voting Nay: Representatives Caldier, Chambers, Christian, Corry, Couture, Dent, Dye, Goehner, Graham, Griffey, Harris, Jacobsen, Klicker, McEntire, Sandlin, Schmick, Steele, Volz, Walsh, Waters and Ybarra

Excused: Representatives Chandler, Morgan and Reeves

SENATE BILL NO. 6229, having received the necessary constitutional majority, was declared passed.

SENATE BILL NO. 6079, by Senators Boehnke and Wilson, C.

Making juvenile detention records available to managed health care systems.

The bill was read the second time.

There being no objection, the rules were suspended, the second reading considered the third and the bill was placed on final passage.

Representatives Couture and Senn spoke in favor of the passage of the bill.

The Speaker (Representative Orwall presiding) stated the question before the House to be the final passage of Senate Bill No. 6079.

ROLL CALL

The Clerk called the roll on the final passage of Senate Bill No. 6079, and the bill passed the House by the following vote: Yeas, 95: Navs. 0: Absent. 0: Excused. 3

Yeas, 95; Nays, 0; Absent, 0; Excused, 3
Voting Yea: Representatives Abbarno, Alvarado, Barkis, Barnard, Bateman, Berg, Bergquist, Berry, Bronoske, Caldier, Callan, Chambers, Chapman, Cheney, Chopp, Christian, Connors, Corry, Cortes, Couture, Davis, Dent, Doglio, Donaghy, Duerr, Dye, Entenman, Eslick, Farivar, Fey, Fitzgibbon, Fosse, Goehner, Goodman, Graham, Gregerson, Griffey, Hackney, Harris, Hutchins, Jacobsen, Klicker, Kloba, Kretz, Leavitt, Lekanoff, Low, Macri, Maycumber, McClintock, McEntire, Mena, Mosbrucker, Nance, Orcutt, Ormsby, Ortiz-Self, Orwall, Paul, Peterson, Pollet, Ramel, Ramos, Reed, Riccelli, Robertson, Rude, Rule, Ryu, Sandlin, Santos, Schmick, Schmidt, Senn, Shavers, Simmons, Slatter, Springer, Stearns, Steele, Stokesbary, Stonier, Street, Taylor, Thai, Tharinger, Timmons, Volz, Walen, Walsh, Waters, Wilcox, Wylie, Ybarra and Mme. Speaker

Excused: Representatives Chandler, Morgan and Reeves

SENATE BILL NO. 6079, having received the necessary constitutional majority, was declared passed.

SENATE BILL NO. 6283, by Senators Nobles, Billig, Shewmake, Holy, King, Liias, Lovick, Wilson, C., Wilson, J., Valdez, Kauffman, Hawkins, Lovelett, Padden, Fortunato, Cleveland, Trudeau, Frame, Hasegawa, Kuderer, Saldaña and Stanford

Eliminating the expiration date for the Sandy Williams connecting communities program.

The bill was read the second time.

There being no objection, the rules were suspended, the second reading considered the third and the bill was placed on final passage.

Representatives Donaghy, Low and Riccelli spoke in favor of the passage of the bill.

Representative Goehner spoke against the passage of the bill.

The Speaker (Representative Orwall presiding) stated the question before the House to be the final passage of Senate Bill No. 6283.

ROLL CALL

The Clerk called the roll on the final passage of Senate Bill No. 6283, and the bill passed the House by the following vote: Yeas, 87; Nays, 8; Absent, 0; Excused, 3

Voting Yea: Representatives Abbarno, Alvarado, Barkis, Barnard, Bateman, Berg, Bergquist, Berry, Bronoske, Caldier, Callan, Chambers, Chapman, Cheney, Chopp, Christian, Connors, Corry, Cortes, Couture, Davis, Doglio, Donaghy, Duerr, Dye, Entenman, Eslick, Farivar, Fey, Fitzgibbon, Fosse, Goodman, Gregerson, Griffey, Hackney, Harris, Hutchins, Klicker, Kloba, Kretz, Leavitt, Lekanoff, Low, Macri, Maycumber, McClintock, Mena, Mosbrucker, Nance, Orcutt, Ormsby, Ortiz-Self, Orwall, Paul, Peterson, Pollet, Ramel, Ramos, Reed, Riccelli, Robertson, Rude, Rule, Ryu, Santos, Schmick, Schmidt, Senn, Shavers, Simmons, Slatter, Springer, Stearns, Stokesbary, Stonier, Street, Taylor, Thai, Tharinger, Timmons, Volz, Walen, Waters, Wilcox, Wylie, Ybarra and Mme. Speaker

Voting Nay: Representatives Dent, Goehner, Graham, Jacobsen, McEntire, Sandlin, Steele and Walsh

Excused: Representatives Chandler, Morgan and Reeves

SENATE BILL NO. 6283, having received the necessary constitutional majority, was declared passed.

SUBSTITUTE SENATE JOINT MEMORIAL NO. 8009, Hasegawa, Wagoner, Dozier, Fortunato, Frame and Stanfordby Senate Committee on Business, Financial Services, Gaming & Trade (originally sponsored by Hasegawa, Wagoner, Dozier, Fortunato, Frame and Stanford)

Concerning the federal harbor maintenance tax.

The bill was read the second time.

Representative Orcutt moved the adoption of amendment (1154):

Beginning on page 2, line 39, after "(1)" strike all material through "(2)" on page 3, line 6

On page 3, at the beginning of line 11, strike "(3)" and insert "(2)"

On page 3, at the beginning of line 17, strike "(4)" and insert "(3)" $\,$

On page 3, at the beginning of line 22, strike "(5)" and insert "(4)" $\,$

On page 3, after line 27, insert the following:

"(5) Congress shall conduct a study that includes the following:

(i) Assesses the degree to which the harbor maintenance tax causes the redirection of imports through foreign ports in Canada and Mexico; and

(ii) Assesses if additional harbor maintenance tax appropriations to donor would incentivize importers divert imports through foreign currently ports to begin to import through domestic ports."

Representative Orcutt spoke in favor of the adoption of the amendment.

Representative Berg spoke against the adoption of the amendment.

Amendment (1154) was not adopted.

There being no objection, the rules were suspended, the second reading considered the third and the bill was placed on final

Representative Berg spoke in favor of the passage of the memorial.

Representative Orcutt spoke against the passage of the memorial.

The Speaker (Representative Orwall presiding) stated the question before the House to be the final passage of Substitute Senate Joint Memorial No. 8009.

ROLL CALL

The Clerk called the roll on the final passage of Substitute Senate Joint Memorial No. 8009, and the bill passed the House by the following vote: Yeas, 83; Nays, 12; Absent, 0; Excused, 3

Voting Yea: Representatives Alvarado, Barkis, Bateman, Berg, Bergquist, Berry, Bronoske, Caldier, Callan, Chambers, Chapman, Cheney, Chopp, Connors, Corry, Cortes, Davis, Doglio, Donaghy, Duerr, Dye, Entenman, Eslick, Farivar, Fey, Fitzgibbon, Fosse, Goehner, Goodman, Gregerson, Hackney, Harris, Hutchins, Jacobsen, Kloba, Kretz, Leavitt, Lekanoff, Low, Macri, Maycumber, McClintock, McEntire, Mena, Mosbrucker, Nance, Ormsby, Ortiz-Self, Orwall, Paul, Peterson, Pollet, Ramel, Ramos, Reed, Riccelli, Robertson, Rude, Rule, Ryu, Santos, Schmick, Senn, Shavers, Simmons, Slatter, Springer, Stearns, Steele, Stokesbary, Stonier, Street, Taylor, Thai, Tharinger, Timmons, Walen, Walsh, Waters, Wilcox, Wylie, Ybarra and Mme. Speaker

Voting Nay: Representatives Abbarno, Barnard, Christian, Couture, Dent, Graham, Griffey, Klicker, Orcutt, Sandlin, Schmidt and Volz

Excused: Representatives Chandler, Morgan and Reeves

SUBSTITUTE SENATE JOINT MEMORIAL NO. 8009. having received the necessary constitutional majority, was declared passed.

The Speaker (Representative Orwall presiding) called upon Representative Bronoske to preside.

SECOND READING

SENATE BILL NO. 5800, by Senators Wilson, C., Torres, Billig, Kuderer, Mullet, Nobles and Shewmake

Improving access to department of licensing issued documents by clarifying the application requirements for a minor, modifying the requirements for at-cost identicards, and studying the feasibility of reduced-fee identicards.

The bill was read the second time.

There being no objection, the committee striking amendment by the Committee on Transportation was adopted. For Committee amendment, see Journal, Day 48, Saturday, February 24, 2024.

There being no objection, the rules were suspended, the second reading considered the third and the bill, as amended by the House, was placed on final passage.

Representatives Entenman and Robertson spoke in favor of the passage of the bill.

The Speaker (Representative Bronoske presiding) stated the question before the House to be the final passage of Senate Bill No. 5800, as amended by the House.

ROLL CALL

The Clerk called the roll on the final passage of Senate Bill No. 5800, as amended by the House, and the bill passed the House by the following vote: Yeas, 95; Nays, 0; Absent, 0; Excused, 3

Voting Yea: Representatives Abbarno, Alvarado, Barkis, Barnard, Bateman, Berg, Bergquist, Berry, Bronoske, Caldier, Callan, Chambers, Chapman, Cheney, Chopp, Christian, Connors, Corry, Cortes, Couture, Davis, Dent, Doglio, Donaghy, Duerr, Dye, Entenman, Eslick, Farivar, Fey, Fitzgibbon, Fosse, Goehner, Graham, Gregerson, Griffey, Hackney, Harris, Hutchins, Jacobsen, Klicker, Kloba, Kretz, Leavitt, Lekanoff, Low, Macri, Maycumber, McClintock, McEntire, Mena, Mosbrucker, Nance, Orcutt, Ormsby, Ortiz-Self, Orwall, Paul, Peterson, Pollet, Ramel, Ramos, Reed, Riccelli, Robertson, Rude, Rule, Ryu, Sandlin, Santos, Schmick, Schmidt, Senn, Shavers, Simmons, Slatter, Springer, Stearns, Steele, Stokesbary, Stonier, Street, Taylor, Thai, Tharinger, Timmons, Volz, Walen, Walsh, Waters, Wilcox, Wylie, Ybarra and Mme. Speaker Excused: Representatives Chandler, Morgan and Reeves

SENATE BILL NO. 5800, as amended by the House, having received the necessary constitutional majority, was declared passed.

ENGROSSED SECOND SUBSTITUTE SENATE BILL NO. 6109, Wilson, C., Boehnke, Braun, Gildon, Hasegawa, Kuderer, Liias, Lovelett, Lovick, Nguyen, Nobles, Saldaña, Short, Warnick and Wilson, J.by Senate Committee on Ways & Means (originally sponsored by Wilson, C., Boehnke, Braun, Gildon, Hasegawa, Kuderer, Liias, Lovelett, Lovick, Nguyen, Nobles, Saldaña, Short, Warnick and Wilson, J.)

Supporting children and families.

The bill was read the second time.

There being no objection, the committee striking amendment by the Committee on Early Learning & Human Services was not adopted. For Committee amendment, see Journal, Day 44, Tuesday, February 20, 2024.

There being no objection, the committee striking amendment by the Committee on Appropriations was before the House for purpose of amendment. For Committee amendment, see Journal, Day 50, Monday, February 26, 2024.

Representative Couture moved the adoption of amendment (1164) to the committee striking amendment:

On page 1, beginning on line 16 of the striking amendment, after "that" strike all material through "neglect" on line 20 and insert "there is a rebuttable presumption that removal of the child is necessary prevent imminent physical harm parent's use or possession of a high-potency synthetic opioid, or failure to protect from another individual's child possession of а high-potency synthetic opioid, creates a risk that a child will be exposed to, ingest, inhale, or have contact with a high-potency synthetic opioid"

On page 7, beginning on line 3 of the striking amendment, strike all of sections 102, 103, and 104 and insert the following:

"Sec. 1. RCW 13.34.050 and 2021 c 211 s 6 are each amended to read as follows:

(1) (a) The court may enter order an directing enforcement officer, а law probation counselor, or child protective services official to take a child into custody if: $((\frac{a}{a}))$ (i) A petition is filed the juvenile court with sufficient corroborating evidence to establish that the child is dependent; $((\frac{b}{b}))$ <u>(ii)</u> the allegations contained in the petition, if true, establish that there are reasonable grounds to believe that removal is necessary to prevent imminent physical harm to the child due to child abuse or neglect($(\frac{b}{b})$ including that which results from sexual abuse, sexual exploitation, or a pattern of severe neglect); and $((\frac{b}{b}))$ (iii) an affidavit or declaration is filed by the department in support of the petition setting forth specific factual information evidencing insufficient time to serve a parent with a dependency petition and hold a hearing prior to removal.

(b) The child abuse or neglect establishing the basis for a determination of imminent physical harm under (a) of this subsection may include, but is not limited to, child abuse or neglect resulting from:

(i) Sexual abuse;

(ii) Sexual exploitation;

(iii) A high-potency synthetic opioid; or

(iv) A pattern of severe neglect.

- (c) There is a rebuttable presumption that removal of the child is necessary to prevent imminent physical harm when a parent's use or possession of a high-potency synthetic opioid or failure to protect a child from another individual's use or possession of a high-potency synthetic opioid creates a risk that a child will be exposed to, ingest, inhale, or have contact with a high-potency synthetic opioid. A parent's promise to secure high-potency synthetic opioids or use them only when the child is not in the vicinity is not sufficient to overcome this rebuttable presumption.
- (2) Any petition that does not have the necessary affidavit or declaration demonstrating a risk of imminent harm requires that the parents are provided notice and an opportunity to be heard before the order may be entered.
- (3) The petition and supporting documentation must be served on the parent, and if the child is in custody at the time the child is removed, on the entity with custody other than the parent. If the court orders that a child be taken into custody under subsection (1) of this section, the petition and supporting documentation must be served on the parent at the time of the child's removal unless, after diligent efforts, the parents cannot be located at the time of removal. If the parent is not served at the time of removal, the department shall make diligent efforts to personally serve the parent. Failure to effect service does not invalidate the petition if service was attempted and the parent could not be found.
- Sec. 2. RCW 13.34.065 and 2021 c 211 s 9, 2021 c 208 s 1, and 2021 c 67 s 4 are each reenacted and amended to read as follows:
- (1) (a) When a child is removed or when the petitioner is seeking the removal of a child from the child's parent, guardian, or legal custodian, the court shall hold a shelter care hearing within 72 hours, excluding Saturdays, Sundays, and holidays. The primary purpose of the shelter care hearing is to determine whether the child

can be immediately and safely returned home while the adjudication of the dependency is pending. The court shall hold an additional shelter care hearing within 72 hours, excluding Saturdays, Sundays, and holidays if the child is removed from the care of a parent, guardian, or legal custodian at any time after an initial shelter care hearing under this section.

- (b) Any child's attorney, parent, guardian, or legal custodian who for good cause is unable to attend or adequately prepare for the shelter care hearing may request that the initial shelter care hearing be continued or that a subsequent shelter care hearing be scheduled. The request shall be made to the clerk of the court where the petition is filed prior to the initial shelter care hearing. Upon the request of the child's attorney, parent, guardian, or legal custodian, the court shall schedule the hearing within 72 hours of the request, excluding Saturdays, Sundays, and holidays. The clerk shall notify all other parties of the hearing by any reasonable means. If the parent, guardian, or legal custodian is not represented by counsel, the clerk shall provide information to the parent, guardian, or legal custodian regarding how to obtain counsel.
- (2)(a) If it is likely that the child will remain in shelter care longer than 72 hours, the department shall submit a recommendation to the court as to the further need for shelter care in all cases in which the child will remain in shelter care longer than the 72 hour period. In all other cases, the recommendation shall be submitted by the juvenile court probation counselor.
- (b) All parties have the right to present testimony to the court regarding the need or lack of need for shelter care.
- (c) Hearsay evidence before the court regarding the need or lack of need for shelter care must be supported by sworn testimony, affidavit, or declaration of the person offering such evidence.
- (3)(a) At the commencement of the hearing, the court shall notify the parent, guardian, or custodian of the following:
- (i) The parent, guardian, or custodian has the right to a shelter care hearing;
- (ii) The nature of the shelter care hearing, the rights of the parents, and the proceedings that will follow; and
- (iii) If the parent, guardian, or custodian is not represented by counsel, the right to be represented. If the parent, guardian, or custodian is indigent, the court shall appoint counsel as provided in RCW 13.34.090; and
- (b) If a parent, guardian, or legal custodian desires to waive the shelter care hearing, the court shall determine, on the record and with the parties present, whether such waiver is knowing and voluntary. A parent may not waive his or her right to the shelter care hearing unless he or she appears in court, in person, or by remote means, and the court determines that the waiver is knowing and voluntary. Regardless of whether the court accepts the parental waiver of the shelter care hearing, the court must provide notice to the parents of

their rights required under (a) of this subsection and make the finding required under subsection (4) of this section.

- (4) At the shelter care hearing the court shall examine the need for shelter care and inquire into the status of the case. The paramount consideration for the court shall be the health, welfare, and safety of the child. At a minimum, the court shall inquire into the following:
- (a) Whether the notice required under RCW 13.34.062 was given to all known parents, guardians, or legal custodians of the child. The court shall make an express finding as to whether the notice required under RCW 13.34.062 was given to the parent, guardian, or legal custodian. If actual notice was not given to the parent, guardian, or legal custodian and the whereabouts of such person is known or can be ascertained, the court shall order the department to make diligent efforts to advise the parent, guardian, or legal custodian of the status of the case, including the date and time of any subsequent hearings, and their rights under RCW 13.34.090;

(b) Whether the child can be safely returned home while the adjudication of the

dependency is pending;

- (c) What efforts have been made to place the child with a relative. The court shall ask the parents whether the department discussed with them the placement of the child with a relative or other suitable person described in RCW 13.34.130(1)(b) and shall determine what efforts have been made toward such a placement;
- (d) What services were provided to the family to prevent or eliminate the need for removal of the child from the child's home. If the dependency petition or other information before the court alleges that experiencing homelessness or the lack of suitable housing was a significant factor contributing to the removal of the child, the court shall inquire as to whether housing assistance was provided to the family to prevent or eliminate the need for removal of the child or children;
- (e) Is the placement proposed by the department the least disruptive and most family-like setting that meets the needs of the child:
- (f) Whether it is in the best interest of the child to remain enrolled in the school, developmental program, or child care the child was in prior to placement and what efforts have been made to maintain the child in the school, program, or child care if it would be in the best interest of the child to remain in the same school, program, or child care;
- (g) Appointment of a guardian ad litem or attorney;
- (h) Whether the child is or may be an Indian child as defined in RCW 13.38.040, whether the provisions of the federal Indian child welfare act or chapter 13.38 RCW apply, and whether there is compliance with the federal Indian child welfare act and chapter 13.38 RCW, including notice to the child's tribe;
- (i) Whether, as provided in RCW 26.44.063, restraining orders, or orders expelling an allegedly abusive household member from the home of a nonabusive parent,

- guardian, or legal custodian, will allow the child to safely remain in the home;
- (j) Whether any orders for examinations, evaluations, or immediate services are needed. The court may not order a parent to undergo examinations, evaluation, or services at the shelter care hearing unless the parent agrees to the examination, evaluation, or service;
- (k) The terms and conditions for parental, sibling, and family visitation.
- (5)(a) The court shall release a child alleged to be dependent to the care, custody, and control of the child's parent, guardian, or legal custodian unless the court finds there is reasonable cause to believe that:
- (i) After consideration of the specific services that have been provided, reasonable efforts have been made to prevent or eliminate the need for removal of the child from the child's home and to make it possible for the child to return home; and

(ii)(A) The child has no parent, guardian, or legal custodian to provide supervision and care for such child; or

- (B)(I) Removal of the child is necessary to prevent imminent physical harm due to child abuse or neglect, including that which results from sexual abuse, exploitation, <u>a high-potency synthetic</u> opioid, or a pattern of severe neglect, notwithstanding an order entered pursuant to RCW 26.44.063. There is a rebuttable presumption that removal of the child is necessary to prevent imminent physical harm when a parent's use or possession of a highpotency synthetic opioid or failure to protect a child from another individual's use or possession of a high-potency synthetic opioid creates a risk that a child will be exposed to, ingest, inhale, or have contact with a high-potency synthetic opioid. A parent's promise to secure highpotency synthetic opioids or use them only when the child is not in the vicinity is not sufficient to overcome this rebuttable presumption. The evidence must show a causal relationship between the particular conditions in the home and imminent physical harm to the child. The existence of community or family poverty, isolation. single parenthood, age of the parent, crowded or inadequate housing, substance abuse, prenatal drug or alcohol exposure, mental illness, disability or special needs of the parent or child, or nonconforming behavior does not by social itself constitute imminent physical harm;
- (II) It is contrary to the welfare of the child to be returned home; and
- (III) After considering the particular circumstances of the child, any imminent physical harm to the child outweighs the harm the child will experience as a result of removal; or
- (C) The parent, guardian, or custodian to whom the child could be released has been charged with violating RCW 9A.40.060 or 9A.40.070.
- (b) If the court finds that the elements
 of (a)(ii)(B) of this subsection require
 removal of the child, the court shall
 further consider:
- (i) Whether participation by the parents, guardians, or legal custodians in any

prevention services would prevent or eliminate the need for removal and, if so, or shall inquire of the parent whether they are willing to participate in such services. When a parent's use or possession of a highpotency synthetic opioid, or failure to protect a child from another individual's use or possession of a high-potency synthetic opioid, creates a risk that a child will be exposed to, ingest, inhale, or have contact with a high-potency synthetic opioid, prevention services that would prevent or eliminate the need for removal only those that are immediately <u>available</u> and <u>provide</u> for 24-hour supervision of the child. If the parent agrees to participate in the prevention services identified by the court that would prevent or eliminate the need for removal, the court shall place the child with the parent. The court shall not order a parent to participate in prevention services over the objection of the parent, however, parents shall have the opportunity to consult with counsel prior to deciding whether to agree to proposed prevention services as a condition of having the child return to or remain in the care of the parent; and

(ii) Whether the issuance of a temporary order of protection directing the removal of a person or persons from the child's residence would prevent the need for removal of the child.

(c)(i) If the court does not release the child to his or her parent, guardian, or legal custodian, the court shall order placement with a relative or other suitable person as described in RCW 13.34.130(1)(b), unless the petitioner establishes that there is reasonable cause to believe that:

(A) Placement in licensed foster care is necessary to prevent imminent physical harm to the child due to child abuse or neglect, including that which results from sexual abuse, sexual exploitation, a high-potency synthetic opioid, or a pattern of severe neglect, because no relative or other suitable person is capable of ensuring the basic safety of the child; or

(B) The efforts to reunite the parent and child will be hindered.

(ii) In making the determination in
(c)(i) of this subsection, the court shall:

(A) Inquire of the petitioner and any other person present at the hearing for the child whether there are any relatives or other suitable persons who are willing to care for the child. This inquiry must include whether any relative or other suitable person:

(I) Has expressed an interest in becoming a caregiver for the child;

(II) Is able to meet any special needs of the child;

(III) Is willing to facilitate the child's sibling and parent visitation if such visitation is ordered by the court; and

(IV) Supports reunification of the parent and child once reunification can safely occur; and

(B) Give great weight to the stated preference of the parent, guardian, or legal custodian, and the child.

(iii) If a relative or other suitable person expressed an interest in caring for

the child, can meet the child's special needs, can support parent-child reunification, and will facilitate court-ordered sibling or parent visitation, the following must not prevent the child's placement with such relative or other suitable person:

(A) An incomplete department or fingerprint-based background check, if such relative or other suitable person appears otherwise suitable and competent to provide care and treatment, but the background checks must be completed as soon as possible after placement;

(B) Uncertainty on the part of the relative or other suitable person regarding

potential adoption of the child;

(C) Disbelief on the part of the relative or other suitable person that the parent, guardian, or legal custodian presents a danger to the child, provided the caregiver will protect the safety of the child and comply with court orders regarding contact with a parent, guardian, or legal custodian; or

(D) The conditions of the relative or other suitable person's home are not sufficient to satisfy the requirements of a licensed foster home. The court may order the department to provide financial or other support to the relative or other suitable person necessary to ensure safe conditions in the home.

(d) If the child was not initially placed with a relative or other suitable person, and the court does not release the child to his or her parent, guardian, or legal custodian, the department shall make reasonable efforts to locate a relative or other suitable person pursuant to RCW 13.34.060(1).

(e) If the court does not order placement with a relative or other suitable person, the court shall place the child in licensed foster care and shall set forth its reasons for the order. If the court orders placement of the child with a person not related to the child and not licensed to provide foster care, the placement is subject to all terms and conditions of this section that apply to relative placements.

(f) Any placement with a relative, or other suitable person approved by the court pursuant to this section, shall be contingent upon cooperation with the department's or agency's case plan and compliance with court orders related to the care and supervision of the child including, but not limited to, court orders regarding parent-child contacts, sibling contacts, and any other conditions imposed by the court. Noncompliance with the case plan or court order is grounds for removal of the child from the home of the relative or other suitable person, subject to review by the court.

(g) If the child is placed in a qualified residential treatment program as defined in this chapter, the court shall, within 60 days of placement, hold a hearing to:

(i) Consider the assessment required under RCW 13.34.420 and submitted as part of the department's social study, and any related documentation;

(ii) Determine whether placement in foster care can meet the child's needs or if placement in another available placement setting best meets the child's needs in the least restrictive environment; and

- (iii) Approve or disapprove the child's placement in the qualified residential treatment program.
- (h) Uncertainty by a parent, guardian, legal custodian, relative, or other suitable person that the alleged abuser has in fact abused the child shall not, alone, be the basis upon which a child is removed from the care of a parent, guardian, or legal custodian under (a) of this subsection, nor shall it be a basis, alone, to preclude placement with a relative or other suitable person under (c) of this subsection.
- (i) If the court places with a relative or other suitable person, and that person has indicated a desire to become a licensed foster parent, the court shall order the department to commence an assessment of the home of such relative or other suitable person within 10 days and thereafter issue an initial license as provided under RCW 74.15.120 for such relative or other suitable person, if qualified, as a foster parent. The relative or other suitable person shall receive a foster care maintenance payment, starting on the date the department approves the initial license. If such home is found to be unqualified for licensure, the department shall report such fact to the court within one week of that determination. The department shall report on the status of the licensure process during the entry of any dispositional orders in the case.
- (j) If the court places the child in licensed foster care:
- (i) The petitioner shall report to the court, at the shelter care hearing, the location of the licensed foster placement the petitioner has identified for the child and the court shall inquire as to whether:
- (A) The identified placement is the least restrictive placement necessary to meet the needs of the child;
- (B) The child will be able to remain in the same school and whether any orders of the court are necessary to ensure educational stability for the child;
- (C) The child will be placed with a sibling or siblings, and whether court-ordered sibling contact would promote the well-being of the child;
- (D) The licensed foster placement is able to meet the special needs of the child;
- (E) The location of the proposed foster placement will impede visitation with the child's parent or parents;
- (ii) The court may order the department
- (A) Place the child in a less restrictive placement;
- (B) Place the child in a location in closer proximity to the child's parent, home, or school;
- (C) Place the child with the child's sibling or siblings;
- (D) Take any other necessary steps to ensure the child's health, safety, and wellbeing;
- (iii) The court shall advise the petitioner that:
- (A) Failure to comply with court orders while a child is in shelter care will be

- considered when determining whether reasonable efforts have been made by the department during a hearing under RCW 13.34.110; and
- (B) Placement moves while a child is in shelter care will be considered when determining whether reasonable efforts have been made by the department during a hearing under RCW 13.34.110.
- (6)(a) A shelter care order issued pursuant to this section shall include the requirement for a case conference as provided in RCW 13.34.067. However, if the parent is not present at the shelter care hearing, or does not agree to the case conference, the court shall not include the requirement for the case conference in the shelter care order.
- (b) If the court orders a case conference, the shelter care order shall include notice to all parties and establish the date, time, and location of the case conference which shall be no later than 30 days before the fact-finding hearing.
- (c) The court may order another conference, case staffing, or hearing as an alternative to the case conference required under RCW 13.34.067 so long as the conference, case staffing, or hearing ordered by the court meets all requirements under RCW 13.34.067, including the requirement of a written agreement specifying the services to be provided to the parent.
- (7) (a) (i) A shelter care order issued pursuant to this section may be amended at any time with notice and hearing thereon. The shelter care decision of placement shall be modified only upon a showing of change in circumstances. No child may be placed in shelter care for longer than thirty days without an order, signed by the judge, authorizing continued shelter care.
- (ii) If the court previously ordered that visitation between a parent and child be supervised or monitored, there shall be a presumption that such supervision or monitoring will no longer be necessary following a continued shelter care order under (a) (i) of this subsection. To overcome this presumption, a party must provide a report to the court including evidence establishing that removing visit supervision or monitoring would create a risk to the child's safety, and the court shall make a determination as to whether visit supervision or monitoring must continue.
- (b) (i) An order releasing the child on any conditions specified in this section may at any time be amended, with notice and hearing thereon, so as to return the child to shelter care for failure of the parties to conform to the conditions originally imposed.
- (ii) The court shall consider whether nonconformance with any conditions resulted from circumstances beyond the control of the parent, guardian, or legal custodian and give weight to that fact before ordering return of the child to shelter care.
- (8) The department and its employees shall not be held liable in any civil action for complying with an order issued under this section for placement: With a parent who has agreed to accept services, a relative, or a suitable person.

- (9)(a) If a child is placed out of the home of a parent, guardian, or legal custodian following a shelter care hearing, the court shall order the petitioner to provide regular visitation with the parent, guardian, or legal custodian, and siblings. Early, consistent, and frequent visitation is crucial for maintaining parent-child relationships and allowing family reunification. The court shall order a visitation plan individualized to the needs of the family with a goal of providing the maximum parent, child, and sibling contact possible.
- (b) Visitation under this subsection shall not be limited as a sanction for a parent's failure to comply with recommended services during shelter care.
- (c) Visitation under this subsection may only be limited where necessary to ensure the health, safety, or welfare of the child.(d) The first visit must take place
- (d) The first visit must take place within 72 hours of the child being delivered into the custody of the department, unless the court finds that extraordinary circumstances require delay.
- (e) If the first visit under (d) of this subsection occurs in an in-person format, this first visit must be supervised unless the department determines that visit supervision is not necessary.
- Sec. 3. RCW 13.34.130 and 2019 c 172 s 12 are each amended to read as follows:
- If, after a fact-finding hearing pursuant to RCW 13.34.110, it has been proven by a preponderance of the evidence that the child is dependent within the meaning of RCW 13.34.030 after consideration of the social study prepared pursuant to RCW 13.34.110 and after a disposition hearing has been held pursuant to RCW 13.34.110, the court shall enter an order of disposition pursuant to this section.
- (1) The court shall order one of the following dispositions of the case:
- (a) Order a disposition that maintains the child in his or her home, which shall provide a program designed to alleviate the immediate danger to the child, to mitigate or cure any damage the child has already suffered, and to aid the parents so that the child will not be endangered in the future. In determining the disposition, the court should choose services to assist the parents in maintaining the child in the home, including housing assistance, if appropriate, that least interfere with family autonomy and are adequate to protect the child.
- (b) (i) Order the child to be removed from his or her home and into the custody, control, and care of a relative or other suitable person, the department, or agency responsible for supervision of the child's placement. If the court orders that the child be placed with a caregiver over the objections of the parent or the department, the court shall articulate, on the record, his or her reasons for ordering the placement. The court may not order an Indian child, as defined in RCW 13.38.040, to be removed from his or her home unless the court finds, by clear and convincing evidence including testimony of qualified expert witnesses, that the continued custody

- of the child by the parent or Indian custodian is likely to result in serious emotional or physical damage to the child.
- (ii) The department has the authority to place the child, subject to review and approval by the court (A) with a relative as defined in RCW 74.15.020(2)(a), (B) in the home of another suitable person if the child or family has a preexisting relationship with that person, and the person has completed all required criminal history background checks and otherwise appears to the department to be suitable and competent to provide care for the child, or (C) in a foster family home or group care facility licensed pursuant to chapter 74.15 RCW.
- (iii) The department may also consider placing the child, subject to review and approval by the court, with a person with whom the child's sibling or half-sibling is residing or a person who has adopted the sibling or half-sibling of the child being placed as long as the person has completed all required criminal history background checks and otherwise appears to the department to be competent to provide care for the child.
- (2) Absent good cause, the department shall follow the wishes of the natural parent regarding the placement of the child in accordance with RCW 13.34.260.
- (3) The department may only place a child with a person not related to the child as defined in RCW 74.15.020(2)(a), including a placement provided for in subsection (1)(b)(iii) of this section, when the court placement finds that such placement is in the best interest of the child. Unless there reasonable cause to believe that the health, safety, or welfare of the child would be jeopardized or that efforts to reunite the parent and child will be hindered, the child shall be placed with a person who is willing, appropriate, and available to care for the child, and who is: (I) Related to the child as defined in RCW 74.15.020(2)(a) with whom the child has a relationship and is comfortable; or (II) a suitable person as described in subsection (1)(b) of this section. The court shall consider the existing relationships child's attachments when determining placement.
- (4) If the child is placed in a qualified residential treatment program as defined in this chapter, the court shall, within sixty days of placement, hold a hearing to:
- (((i) [(a)])) <u>(a)</u> Consider the assessment required under RCW 13.34.420 and submitted as part of the department's social study, and any related documentation;
- (((ii) [(b)])) (b) Determine whether placement in foster care can meet the child's needs or if placement in another available placement setting best meets the child's needs in the least restrictive environment; and
- $((\frac{\text{(iii)} \ (\text{(c)}))}{\text{(c)}}))$ Approve or disapprove the child's placement in the qualified residential treatment program.
- (5) When placing an Indian child in out-of-home care, the department shall follow the placement preference characteristics in RCW 13.38.180.
- (6) Placement of the child with a relative or other suitable person as described in subsection (1)(b) of this

section shall be given preference by the court. An order for out-of-home placement may be made only if the court finds that reasonable efforts have been made to prevent or eliminate the need for removal of the child from the child's home and to make it possible for the child to return home, specifying the services, including housing assistance, that have been provided to the child and the child's parent, guardian, or legal custodian, and that prevention services have been offered or provided and have failed to prevent the need for out-of-home placement, unless the health, safety, and welfare of the child cannot be protected adequately in the home, and that:

There is no parent or quardian available to care for such child. There is a rebuttable presumption that a parent is unavailable if the parent has deficiencies that jeopardize the child's right to be nurtured, physical health, mental health, or basic safety, including that which results from substance abuse or a parent's use or possession of a high-potency synthetic opioid, or failure to protect a child from another individual's use or possession of a high-potency synthetic opioid. A parent's promise to secure high-potency synthetic opioids or use them only when the child is not in the vicinity is not sufficient to overcome this rebuttable presumption;

(b) The parent, guardian, or legal custodian is not willing to take custody of the child; or

- (c) The court finds, by clear, cogent, and convincing evidence, a manifest danger exists that the child will suffer serious abuse or neglect if the child is not removed the home and an order under RCW 26.44.063 would not protect the child from danger. There is a rebuttable presumption that removal of the child is necessary and a manifest danger exists that the child will suffer serious abuse or neglect when a parent's use or possession of a high-potency synthetic opioid, or failure to protect a child from another individual's use possession of a high-potency synthetic opioid, creates a risk that a child will be exposed to, ingest, inhale, or have contact with a high-potency synthetic opioid. A parent's promise to secure high-potency synthetic opioids or use them only when the is not in the vicinity <u>sufficient</u> to overcome <u>this</u> rebuttable presumption.
- (7) If the court has ordered a child removed from his or her home pursuant to subsection (1)(b) of this section, the court shall consider whether it is in a child's best interest to be placed with, have contact with, or have visits with siblings.
- (a) There shall be a presumption that such placement, contact, or visits are in the best interests of the child provided that:
- (i) The court has jurisdiction over all siblings subject to the order of placement, contact, or visitation pursuant to petitions filed under this chapter or the parents of a child for whom there is no jurisdiction are willing to agree; and
- (ii) There is no reasonable cause to believe that the health, safety, or welfare of any child subject to the order of

placement, contact, or visitation would be jeopardized or that efforts to reunite the parent and child would be hindered by such placement, contact, or visitation. In no event shall parental visitation time be reduced in order to provide sibling visitation.

(b) The court may also order placement, contact, or visitation of a child with a stepbrother or stepsister provided that in addition to the factors in (a) of this subsection, the child has a relationship and is comfortable with the stepsibling.

- (8) If the court has ordered a child removed from his or her home pursuant to subsection (1) (b) of this section and placed into nonparental or nonrelative care, the court shall order a placement that allows the child to remain in the same school he or she attended prior to the initiation of the dependency proceeding when such a placement is practical and in the child's best interest.
- (9) If the court has ordered a child removed from his or her home pursuant to subsection (1)(b) of this section, the court may order that a petition seeking termination of the parent and child relationship be filed if the requirements of RCW 13.34.132 are met.
- (10) If there is insufficient information at the time of the disposition hearing upon which to base a determination regarding the suitability of a proposed placement with a relative or other suitable person, the child shall remain in foster care and the court shall direct the department to conduct necessary background investigations as provided in chapter 74.15 RCW and report the results of such investigation to the court within thirty days. However, i f relative or other person appears otherwise suitable and competent to provide care and treatment, the criminal history background need not be completed before placement, but as soon as possible after placement. Any placements with relatives or other suitable persons, pursuant to this section, shall be contingent cooperation by the relative or suitable person with the agency case plan and compliance with court orders related to care and supervision of the child including, but not limited to, court orders regarding parent-child contacts, sibling contacts, and any other conditions imposed by the court. Noncompliance with the case plan or court order shall be grounds for removal of the child from the relative's or other suitable person's home, subject to review by the court."

Representatives Couture, Corry, Cheney, Hutchins, Barnard, Christian, Connors, Caldier, Jacobsen, Griffey, Walsh and Maycumber spoke in favor of the adoption of the amendment to the committee striking amendment.

Representatives Taylor and Senn spoke against the adoption of the amendment to the committee striking amendment.

An electronic roll call was requested.

ROLL CALL

The Clerk called the roll on the adoption of amendment (1164) to the committee striking amendment and the amendment

was not adopted by the following vote: Yeas, 46; Nays, 49; Absent, 0; Excused, 3

Voting Yea: Representatives Abbarno, Barkis, Barnard, Caldier, Chambers, Chapman, Cheney, Christian, Connors, Corry, Couture, Dent, Dye, Eslick, Goehner, Graham, Griffey, Hackney, Harris, Hutchins, Jacobsen, Klicker, Kretz, Low, Maycumber, McClintock, McEntire, Mosbrucker, Nance, Orcutt, Paul, Robertson, Rude, Rule, Sandlin, Schmick, Schmidt, Shavers, Steele, Stokesbary, Timmons, Volz, Walsh, Waters, Wilcox and Ybarra

Voting Nay: Representatives Alvarado, Bateman, Berg, Bergquist, Berry, Bronoske, Callan, Chopp, Cortes, Davis, Doglio, Donaghy, Duerr, Entenman, Farivar, Fey, Fitzgibbon, Fosse, Goodman, Gregerson, Kloba, Leavitt, Lekanoff, Macri, Mena, Ormsby, Ortiz-Self, Orwall, Peterson, Pollet, Ramel, Ramos, Reed, Riccelli, Ryu, Santos, Senn, Simmons, Slatter, Springer, Stearns, Stonier, Street, Taylor, Thai, Tharinger, Walen, Wylie and Mme. Speaker

Excused: Representatives Chandler, Morgan and Reeves

Amendment (1164) to the committee striking amendment was not adopted.

There being no objection, the committee striking amendment by the Committee on Appropriations was adopted. For Committee amendment, see Journal, Day 50, Monday, February 26, 2024.

There being no objection, the rules were suspended, the second reading considered the third and the bill, as amended by the House, was placed on final passage.

Representatives Senn and Couture spoke in favor of the passage of the bill.

MOTION

On motion of Representative Griffey, Representative Wilcox was excused.

Representative Ortiz-Self spoke in favor of the passage of the bill.

The Speaker (Representative Bronoske presiding) stated the question before the House to be the final passage of Engrossed Second Substitute Senate Bill No. 6109, as amended by the House.

ROLL CALL

The Clerk called the roll on the final passage of Engrossed Second Substitute Senate Bill No. 6109, as amended by the House, and the bill passed the House by the following vote: Yeas, 94; Nays, 0; Absent, 0; Excused, 4

Voting Yea: Representatives Abbarno, Alvarado, Barkis, Barnard, Bateman, Berg, Bergquist, Berry, Bronoske, Caldier, Callan, Chambers, Chapman, Cheney, Chopp, Christian, Connors, Corry, Cortes, Couture, Davis, Dent, Doglio, Donaghy, Duerr, Dye, Entenman, Eslick, Farivar, Fey, Fitzgibbon, Fosse, Goehner, Goodman, Graham, Gregerson, Griffey, Hackney, Harris, Hutchins, Jacobsen, Klicker, Kloba, Kretz, Leavitt, Lekanoff, Low, Macri, Maycumber, McClintock, McEntire, Mena, Mosbrucker, Nance, Orcutt, Ormsby, Ortiz-Self, Orwall, Paul, Peterson, Pollet, Ramel, Ramos, Reed, Riccelli, Robertson, Rude, Rule, Ryu, Sandlin, Santos, Schmick, Schmidt, Senn, Shavers, Simmons, Slatter, Springer, Stearns, Steele, Stokesbary, Stonier, Street, Taylor, Thai, Tharinger, Timmons, Volz, Walen, Walsh, Waters, Wylie, Ybarra and Mme. Speaker

Excused: Representatives Chandler, Morgan, Reeves and Wilcox

ENGROSSED SECOND SUBSTITUTE SENATE BILL NO. 6109, as amended by the House, having received the necessary constitutional majority, was declared passed.

SENATE BILL NO. 5811, by Senators Kauffman, Wilson, C., Cleveland, Dhingra, Frame, Hasegawa, Keiser, Liias, Lovelett, Nguyen, Nobles, Shewmake, Trudeau and Wellman

Expanding the definition of family member for individual providers.

The bill was read the second time.

There being no objection, the committee striking amendment by the Committee on Health Care & Wellness was not adopted. For Committee amendment, see Journal, Day 45, Wednesday, February 21, 2024.

There being no objection, the committee striking amendment by the Committee on Appropriations was before the House for purpose of amendment. For Committee amendment, see Journal, Day 50, Monday, February 26, 2024.

Representative Alvarado moved the adoption of amendment (1165) to the committee striking amendment:

On page 5, line 20 of the striking amendment, after "Beginning" strike "July 1, 2024" and insert "January 1, 2025"

On page 6, beginning on line 15 of the striking amendment, after "effect" strike "July 1, 2024" and insert "January 1, 2025"

Representatives Alvarado and Schmick spoke in favor of the adoption of the amendment to the committee striking amendment.

Amendment (1165) to the committee striking amendment was adopted.

The committee striking amendment, as amended, was adopted.

There being no objection, the rules were suspended, the second reading considered the third and the bill, as amended by the House, was placed on final passage.

Representatives Alvarado and Schmick spoke in favor of the passage of the bill.

The Speaker (Representative Bronoske presiding) stated the question before the House to be the final passage of Senate Bill No. 5811, as amended by the House.

ROLL CALL

The Clerk called the roll on the final passage of Senate Bill No. 5811, as amended by the House, and the bill passed the House by the following vote: Yeas, 94; Nays, 0; Absent, 0; Excused, 4

Voting Yea: Representatives Abbarno, Alvarado, Barkis, Barnard, Bateman, Berg, Bergquist, Berry, Bronoske, Caldier, Callan, Chambers, Chapman, Cheney, Chopp, Christian, Connors, Corry, Cortes, Couture, Davis, Dent, Doglio, Donaghy, Duerr, Dye, Entenman, Eslick, Farivar, Fey, Fitzgibbon, Fosse, Goehner, Goodman, Graham, Gregerson, Griffey, Hackney, Harris, Hutchins, Jacobsen, Klicker, Kloba, Kretz, Leavitt, Lekanoff, Low, Macri, Maycumber, McClintock, McEntire, Mena, Mosbrucker, Nance, Orcutt, Ormsby, Ortiz-Self, Orwall, Paul, Peterson, Pollet, Ramel, Ramos, Reed, Riccelli, Robertson, Rude, Rule, Ryu, Sandlin, Santos, Schmick, Schmidt, Senn, Shavers, Simmons, Slatter, Springer, Stearns, Steele, Stokesbary, Stonier, Street, Taylor, Thai, Tharinger, Timmons, Volz, Walen, Walsh, Waters, Wylie, Ybarra and Mme. Speaker

Excused: Representatives Chandler, Morgan, Reeves and Wilcox

SENATE BILL NO. 5811, as amended by the House, having received the necessary constitutional majority, was declared passed.

SUBSTITUTE SENATE BILL NO. 5306, Short, Van De Wege, Nobles and Stanfordby Senate Committee on

Agriculture, Water, Natural Resources & Parks (originally sponsored by Short, Van De Wege, Nobles and Stanford)

Authorizing the department of fish and wildlife to establish disease interdiction and control check stations.

The bill was read the second time.

There being no objection, the rules were suspended, the second reading considered the third and the bill was placed on final passage.

Representatives Dent and Chapman spoke in favor of the passage of the bill.

The Speaker (Representative Bronoske presiding) stated the question before the House to be the final passage of Substitute Senate Bill No. 5306.

ROLL CALL

The Clerk called the roll on the final passage of Substitute Senate Bill No. 5306, and the bill passed the House by the following vote: Yeas, 93; Nays, 1; Absent, 0; Excused, 4

Voting Yea: Representatives Abbarno, Alvarado, Barkis, Barnard, Bateman, Berg, Bergquist, Berry, Bronoske, Caldier, Callan, Chambers, Chapman, Cheney, Chopp, Christian, Connors, Corry, Cortes, Couture, Davis, Dent, Doglio, Donaghy, Duerr, Dye, Entenman, Eslick, Farivar, Fey, Fitzgibbon, Fosse, Goehner, Goodman, Graham, Gregerson, Griffey, Hackney, Harris, Hutchins, Jacobsen, Klicker, Kloba, Kretz, Leavitt, Lekanoff, Low, Macri, Maycumber, McClintock, McEntire, Mosbrucker, Nance, Orcutt, Ormsby, Ortiz-Self, Orwall, Paul, Peterson, Pollet, Ramel, Ramos, Reed, Riccelli, Robertson, Rude, Rule, Ryu, Sandlin, Santos, Schmick, Schmidt, Senn, Shavers, Simmons, Slatter, Springer, Stearns, Steele, Stokesbary, Stonier, Street, Taylor, Thai, Tharinger, Timmons, Volz, Walen, Walsh, Waters, Wylie, Ybarra and Mme. Speaker

Voting Nay: Representative Mena

Excused: Representatives Chandler, Morgan, Reeves and Wilcox

SUBSTITUTE SENATE BILL NO. 5306, having received the necessary constitutional majority, was declared passed.

STATEMENT FOR THE JOURNAL

I intended to vote YEA on Substitute Senate Bill No. 5306.

Representative Mena, 29th District

SECOND READING

ENGROSSED SENATE BILL NO. 5632, by Senators Keiser, Cleveland, Conway, Hasegawa, Hunt, Kuderer, Lovelett, Stanford, Valdez and Wilson, C.

Protecting the health care of workers participating in a labor dispute.

The bill was read the second time.

There being no objection, the committee striking amendment by the Committee on Labor & Workplace Standards was adopted. For Committee amendment, see Journal, Day 45, Wednesday, February 21, 2024.

There being no objection, the rules were suspended, the second reading considered the third and the bill, as amended by the House, was placed on final passage.

Representative Berry spoke in favor of the passage of the bill.

Representative Schmidt spoke against the passage of the bill.

The Speaker (Representative Bronoske presiding) stated the question before the House to be the final passage of Engrossed Senate Bill No. 5632, as amended by the House.

ROLL CALL

The Clerk called the roll on the final passage of Engrossed Senate Bill No. 5632, as amended by the House, and the bill passed the House by the following vote: Yeas, 56; Nays, 38; Absent, 0; Excused, 4

Voting Yea: Representatives Alvarado, Bateman, Berg, Bergquist, Berry, Bronoske, Callan, Chapman, Chopp, Cortes, Davis, Doglio, Donaghy, Duerr, Entenman, Farivar, Fey, Fitzgibbon, Fosse, Goodman, Gregerson, Hackney, Kloba, Leavitt, Lekanoff, Macri, Mena, Nance, Ormsby, Ortiz-Self, Orwall, Paul, Peterson, Pollet, Ramel, Ramos, Reed, Riccelli, Rule, Ryu, Santos, Senn, Shavers, Simmons, Slatter, Springer, Stearns, Stonier, Street, Taylor, Thai, Tharinger, Timmons, Walen, Wylie and Mme. Speaker

Voting Nay: Representatives Abbarno, Barkis, Barnard, Caldier, Chambers, Cheney, Christian, Connors, Corry, Couture, Dent, Dye, Eslick, Goehner, Graham, Griffey, Harris, Hutchins, Jacobsen, Klicker, Kretz, Low, Maycumber, McClintock, McEntire, Mosbrucker, Orcutt, Robertson, Rude, Sandlin, Schmick, Schmidt, Steele, Stokesbary, Volz, Walsh, Waters and Ybarra

Excused: Representatives Chandler, Morgan, Reeves and Wilcox

ENGROSSED SENATE BILL NO. 5632, as amended by the House, having received the necessary constitutional majority, was declared passed.

ENGROSSED SECOND SUBSTITUTE SENATE BILL NO. 5937, Dhingra, Braun, Hasegawa, Keiser, Kuderer, Liias, Lovelett, Lovick, Nguyen, Nobles, Stanford, Trudeau, Valdez, Wellman and Wilson, C.by Senate Committee on Ways & Means (originally sponsored by Dhingra, Braun, Hasegawa, Keiser, Kuderer, Liias, Lovelett, Lovick, Nguyen, Nobles, Stanford, Trudeau, Valdez, Wellman and Wilson, C.)

Supporting crime victims and witnesses by promoting victim-centered, trauma-informed responses.

The bill was read the second time.

There being no objection, the rules were suspended, the second reading considered the third and the bill was placed on final passage.

Representatives Orwall and Mosbrucker spoke in favor of the passage of the bill.

The Speaker (Representative Bronoske presiding) stated the question before the House to be the final passage of Engrossed Second Substitute Senate Bill No. 5937.

ROLL CALL

The Clerk called the roll on the final passage of Engrossed Second Substitute Senate Bill No. 5937, and the bill passed the House by the following vote: Yeas, 94; Nays, 0; Absent, 0; Fyeured 4

Voting Yea: Representatives Abbarno, Alvarado, Barkis, Barnard, Bateman, Berg, Bergquist, Berry, Bronoske, Caldier, Callan, Chambers, Chapman, Cheney, Chopp, Christian, Connors, Corry, Cortes, Couture, Davis, Dent, Doglio, Donaghy, Duerr, Dye, Entenman, Eslick, Farivar, Fey, Fitzgibbon, Fosse, Goehner, Goodman, Graham, Gregerson, Griffey, Hackney, Harris, Hutchins, Jacobsen, Klicker, Kloba, Kretz, Leavitt, Lekanoff, Low, Macri, Maycumber, McClintock, McEntire, Mena, Mosbrucker, Nance, Orcutt, Ormsby, Ortiz-Self, Orwall, Paul, Peterson, Pollet, Ramel, Ramos, Reed, Riccelli, Robertson, Rude, Rule, Ryu, Sandlin, Santos, Schmick, Schmidt, Senn, Shavers, Simmons, Slatter, Springer, Stearns, Steele, Stokesbary, Stonier, Street,

Taylor, Thai, Tharinger, Timmons, Volz, Walen, Walsh, Waters, Wylie, Ybarra and Mme. Speaker

Excused: Representatives Chandler, Morgan, Reeves and Wilcox

ENGROSSED SECOND SUBSTITUTE SENATE BILL NO. 5937, having received the necessary constitutional majority, was declared passed.

SUBSTITUTE SENATE BILL NO. 6146, Dhingra, Kauffman, Robinson, Stanford, Hasegawa, Randall, Wellman, Kuderer, Lovelett, Nobles, Saldaña, Shewmake, Valdez and Wilson, C.by Senate Committee on Law & Justice (originally sponsored by Dhingra, Kauffman, Robinson, Stanford, Hasegawa, Randall, Wellman, Kuderer, Lovelett, Nobles, Saldaña, Shewmake, Valdez and Wilson, C.)

Concerning tribal warrants.

The bill was read the second time.

There being no objection, the committee striking amendment by the Committee on Community Safety was before the House for purpose of amendment. For Committee amendment, see Journal, Day 45, Wednesday, February 21, 2024.

Representative Lekanoff moved the adoption of amendment (1114) to the committee striking amendment:

On page 9, line 7 of the striking amendment, after "judges;" strike "and"

On page 9, line 8 of the striking amendment, after "(e)" insert "State and tribal court clerks;

(f) State and tribal jail administrators
and directors; and
 (g)"

Representatives Lekanoff and Mosbrucker spoke in favor of the adoption of the amendment to the committee striking amendment.

Amendment (1114) to the committee striking amendment was adopted.

The committee striking amendment, as amended, was adopted.

There being no objection, the rules were suspended, the second reading considered the third and the bill, as amended by the House, was placed on final passage.

Representatives Goodman and Mosbrucker spoke in favor of the passage of the bill.

The Speaker (Representative Bronoske presiding) stated the question before the House to be the final passage of Substitute Senate Bill No. 6146, as amended by the House.

ROLL CALL

The Clerk called the roll on the final passage of Substitute Senate Bill No. 6146, as amended by the House, and the bill passed the House by the following vote: Yeas, 94; Nays, 0; Absent, 0; Excused, 4

Voting Yea: Representatives Abbarno, Alvarado, Barkis, Barnard, Bateman, Berg, Bergquist, Berry, Bronoske, Caldier, Callan, Chambers, Chapman, Cheney, Chopp, Christian, Connors, Corry, Cortes, Couture, Davis, Dent, Doglio, Donaghy, Duerr, Dye, Entenman, Eslick, Farivar, Fey, Fitzgibbon, Fosse, Goehner, Goodman, Graham, Gregerson, Griffey, Hackney, Harris, Hutchins, Jacobsen, Klicker, Kloba, Kretz, Leavitt, Lekanoff, Low, Macri, Maycumber, McClintock, McEntire, Mena, Mosbrucker, Nance, Orcutt, Ormsby, Ortiz-Self, Orwall, Paul, Peterson, Pollet,

Ramel, Ramos, Reed, Riccelli, Robertson, Rude, Rule, Ryu, Sandlin, Santos, Schmick, Schmidt, Senn, Shavers, Simmons, Slatter, Springer, Stearns, Steele, Stokesbary, Stonier, Street, Taylor, Thai, Tharinger, Timmons, Volz, Walen, Walsh, Waters, Wylie, Ybarra and Mme. Speaker

Excused: Representatives Chandler, Morgan, Reeves and Wilcox

SUBSTITUTE SENATE BILL NO. 6146, as amended by the House, having received the necessary constitutional majority, was declared passed.

SUBSTITUTE SENATE BILL NO. 6192, King, Stanford, Mullet and Noblesby Senate Committee on Labor & Commerce (originally sponsored by King, Stanford, Mullet and Nobles)

Addressing additional work and change orders on public and private construction projects.

The bill was read the second time.

There being no objection, the rules were suspended, the second reading considered the third and the bill was placed on final passage.

Representatives Abbarno and Tharinger spoke in favor of the passage of the bill.

The Speaker (Representative Bronoske presiding) stated the question before the House to be the final passage of Substitute Senate Bill No. 6192.

ROLL CALL

The Clerk called the roll on the final passage of Substitute Senate Bill No. 6192, and the bill passed the House by the following vote: Yeas, 94; Nays, 0; Absent, 0; Excused, 4

Voting Yea: Representatives Abbarno, Alvarado, Barkis, Barnard, Bateman, Berg, Bergquist, Berry, Bronoske, Caldier, Callan, Chambers, Chapman, Cheney, Chopp, Christian, Connors, Corry, Cortes, Couture, Davis, Dent, Doglio, Donaghy, Duerr, Dye, Entenman, Eslick, Farivar, Fey, Fitzgibbon, Fosse, Goehner, Goodman, Graham, Gregerson, Griffey, Hackney, Harris, Hutchins, Jacobsen, Klicker, Kloba, Kretz, Leavitt, Lekanoff, Low, Macri, Maycumber, McClintock, McEntire, Mena, Mosbrucker, Nance, Orcutt, Ormsby, Ortiz-Self, Orwall, Paul, Peterson, Pollet, Ramel, Ramos, Reed, Riccelli, Robertson, Rude, Rule, Ryu, Sandlin, Santos, Schmick, Schmidt, Senn, Shavers, Simmons, Slatter, Springer, Stearns, Steele, Stokesbary, Stonier, Street, Taylor, Thai, Tharinger, Timmons, Volz, Walen, Walsh, Waters, Wylie, Ybarra and Mme. Speaker

Excused: Representatives Chandler, Morgan, Reeves and Wilcox

SUBSTITUTE SENATE BILL NO. 6192, having received the necessary constitutional majority, was declared passed.

SUBSTITUTE SENATE BILL NO. 6047, Warnick, Boehnke and Shortby Senate Committee on State Government & Elections (originally sponsored by Warnick, Boehnke and Short)

Concerning executive sessions under the open public meetings act in order to comply with the climate commitment act.

The bill was read the second time.

There being no objection, the committee striking amendment by the Committee on State Government & Tribal Relations was adopted. For Committee amendment, see Journal, Day 43, Monday, February 19, 2024. There being no objection, the rules were suspended, the second reading considered the third and the bill, as amended by the House, was placed on final passage.

Representatives Ybarra and Ramos spoke in favor of the passage of the bill.

The Speaker (Representative Bronoske presiding) stated the question before the House to be the final passage of Substitute Senate Bill No. 6047, as amended by the House.

ROLL CALL

The Clerk called the roll on the final passage of Substitute Senate Bill No. 6047, as amended by the House, and the bill passed the House by the following vote: Yeas, 92; Nays, 2; Absent, 0; Excused, 4

Voting Yea: Representatives Abbarno, Alvarado, Barkis, Barnard, Bateman, Berg, Bergquist, Berry, Bronoske, Caldier, Callan, Chambers, Chapman, Cheney, Chopp, Christian, Connors, Corry, Cortes, Couture, Davis, Dent, Doglio, Donaghy, Duerr, Dye, Entenman, Eslick, Farivar, Fey, Fitzgibbon, Fosse, Goehner, Goodman, Graham, Gregerson, Griffey, Hackney, Harris, Hutchins, Jacobsen, Klicker, Kloba, Kretz, Leavitt, Lekanoff, Low, Macri, Maycumber, McClintock, Mena, Mosbrucker, Nance, Orcutt, Ormsby, Ortiz-Self, Orwall, Paul, Peterson, Pollet, Ramel, Ramos, Reed, Riccelli, Robertson, Rude, Rule, Ryu, Sandlin, Santos, Schmick, Schmidt, Senn, Shavers, Simmons, Slatter, Springer, Stearns, Steele, Stokesbary, Stonier, Street, Taylor, Thai, Tharinger, Timmons, Volz, Walen, Waters, Wylie, Ybarra and Mme. Speaker

Voting Nay: Representatives McEntire and Walsh

Excused: Representatives Chandler, Morgan, Reeves and Wilcox

SUBSTITUTE SENATE BILL NO. 6047, as amended by the House, having received the necessary constitutional majority, was declared passed.

SECOND ENGROSSED SECOND SUBSTITUTE SENATE BILL NO. 5580, Muzzall, Cleveland, Braun, Rivers, Warnick, Hasegawa, Kuderer, Lovelett, Randall, Shewmake and Wilson, J.by Senate Committee on Ways & Means (originally sponsored by Muzzall, Cleveland, Braun, Rivers, Warnick, Hasegawa, Kuderer, Lovelett, Randall, Shewmake and Wilson, J.)

Improving maternal health outcomes.

The bill was read the second time.

There being no objection, the committee striking amendment by the Committee on Health Care & Wellness was not adopted. For Committee amendment, see Journal, Day 45, Wednesday, February 21, 2024.

There being no objection, the committee striking amendment by the Committee on Appropriations was before the House for purpose of amendment. For Committee amendment, see Journal, Day 50, Monday, February 26, 2024.

With the consent of the House, amendment (1159) was withdrawn.

Representative Davis moved the adoption of amendment (1168) to the committee striking amendment:

On page 1, line 24 of the striking amendment, after "(2)" insert "In order to technical provide assistance to participating hospitals regarding the postdelivery and transitional care program, authority shall contract chapter Washington state of a national organization that provides a physician-led professional community for who prevent, treat, and promote remission and recovery from the disease of addiction guidelines whose comprehensive set of for determining placement, continued and transfer or discharge of enrollees substance use disorders and co-occurring disorders have been incorporated medicaid managed care contracts.

(3)"

On page 1, line 28 of the striking amendment, after "act," insert "the federal family first prevention services act,"

Representatives Davis and Schmick spoke in favor of the adoption of the amendment to the committee striking amendment.

Amendment (1168) to the committee striking amendment was adopted.

The committee striking amendment, as amended, was adopted.

There being no objection, the rules were suspended, the second reading considered the third and the bill, as amended by the House, was placed on final passage.

Representatives Hutchins and Stonier spoke in favor of the passage of the bill.

The Speaker (Representative Bronoske presiding) stated the question before the House to be the final passage of Second Engrossed Second Substitute Senate Bill No. 5580, as amended by the House.

ROLL CALL

The Clerk called the roll on the final passage of Second Engrossed Second Substitute Senate Bill No. 5580, as amended by the House, and the bill passed the House by the following vote: Yeas, 94; Nays, 0; Absent, 0; Excused, 4

Voting Yea: Representatives Abbarno, Alvarado, Barkis, Barnard, Bateman, Berg, Bergquist, Berry, Bronoske, Caldier, Callan, Chambers, Chapman, Cheney, Chopp, Christian, Connors, Corry, Cortes, Couture, Davis, Dent, Doglio, Donaghy, Duerr, Dye, Entenman, Eslick, Farivar, Fey, Fitzgibbon, Fosse, Goehner, Goodman, Graham, Gregerson, Griffey, Hackney, Harris, Hutchins, Jacobsen, Klicker, Kloba, Kretz, Leavitt, Lekanoff, Low, Macri, Maycumber, McClintock, McEntire, Mena, Mosbrucker, Nance, Orcutt, Ormsby, Ortiz-Self, Orwall, Paul, Peterson, Pollet, Ramel, Ramos, Reed, Riccelli, Robertson, Rude, Rule, Ryu, Sandlin, Santos, Schmick, Schmidt, Senn, Shavers, Simmons, Slatter, Springer, Stearns, Steele, Stokesbary, Stonier, Street, Taylor, Thai, Tharinger, Timmons, Volz, Walen, Walsh, Waters, Wylie, Ybarra and Mme. Speaker

Excused: Representatives Chandler, Morgan, Reeves and Wilcox

SECOND ENGROSSED SECOND SUBSTITUTE SENATE BILL NO. 5580, as amended by the House, having received the necessary constitutional majority, was declared passed.

The Speaker (Representative Bronoske presiding) called upon Representative Stonier to preside.

There being no objection, the House advanced to the eighth order of business.

MOTION

There being no objection, the Committee on Rules was relieved of the following bills and the bills were placed on the second reading calendar:

```
ENGROSSED SECOND SUBSTITUTE SENATE BILL NO.
    ENGROSSED SUBSTITUTE SENATE BILL NO. 5271
SECOND ENGROSSED SUBSTITUTE SENATE BILL NO.
               SUBSTITUTE SENATE BILL NO. 5376
               ENGROSSED SENATE BILL NO. 5592
   ENGROSSED SUBSTITUTE SENATE BILL NO. 5778
                           SENATE BILL NO. 5799
               SUBSTITUTE SENATE BILL NO. 5808
ENGROSSED SECOND SUBSTITUTE SENATE BILL NO.
                           SENATE BILL NO. 5842
       SECOND SUBSTITUTE SENATE BILL NO. 5882
                           SENATE BILL NO. 5897
               SUBSTITUTE SENATE BILL NO. 5931
               SUBSTITUTE SENATE BILL NO. 5936
       SUBSTITUTE SENATE BILL NO. 5940
SECOND SUBSTITUTE SENATE BILL NO. 6006
                           SENATE BILL NO. 6013
               SUBSTITUTE SENATE BILL NO. 6015
    ENGROSSED SUBSTITUTE SENATE BILL NO. 6039
ENGROSSED SECOND SUBSTITUTE SENATE BILL NO.
               SUBSTITUTE SENATE BILL NO. 6059
               SUBSTITUTE SENATE BILL NO. 6100
ENGROSSED SECOND SUBSTITUTE SENATE BILL NO.
   ENGROSSED SUBSTITUTE SENATE BILL NO. 6286
                           SENATE BILL NO. 6308
       SECOND SUBSTITUTE SENATE BILL NO. 5660
       SECOND SUBSTITUTE SENATE BILL NO. 5780
                           SENATE BILL NO. 5836
                           SENATE BILL NO. 5881
                           SENATE BILL NO. 6030
               ENGROSSED SENATE BILL NO. 6120
                           SENATE BILL NO. 6238
                           SENATE BILL NO. 6263
               SENATE JOINT MEMORIAL NO. 8008
```

There being no objection, the House adjourned until 9:00 a.m., Thursday, February 29, 2024, the 53rd Day of the 2024 Regular Session.

LAURIE JINKINS, Speaker

BERNARD DEAN, Chief Clerk

| 1153 | | | 5306-S | | |
|--------|----------------|------|--------------|--|----|
| 1726 | Messages | .1 | S | Second Reading | 17 |
| | Messages | .4 5 | 5376-S | | |
| 1876 | Messages | 1 5 | 5424-S | Other Action | 2 |
| 1879 | _ | | S | Second Reading | 2 |
| 1880-S | Speaker Signed | | 1 5427-S | Third Reading Final Passage | 2 |
| | Messages | | S | Second Reading | |
| 1889-S | Messages | 1 5 | T 5580-S2 | Third Reading Final Passage | 8 |
| 1890 | - | | S | Second Reading | |
| 1898 | Speaker Signed | 1 | | Amendment Offered Third Reading Final Passage | |
| | Speaker Signed | 1 5 | 5589-S | | |
| 1901 | Messages | .4 | | Second Reading Third Reading Final Passage | |
| 1905-S | - | 5 | 5592 | | |
| 1947-S | Messages | | 5632 | Other Action | 2 |
| 1040 | Speaker Signed | 1 | S | Second Reading | 18 |
| 1948 | Speaker Signed | 1 5 | 5660-S2 | Third Reading Final Passage | |
| 1955 | • | | C | Other Action | 2 |
| 1962 | Messages | .4 3 | 5774-S S | Second Reading | |
| | Messages | | Τ | Third Reading Final Passage | |
| 1974-S | Messages | | 5778-S C | Other Action | 2 |
| 1978 | - | 5 | 5780-S2 | | |
| 1987 | Speaker Signed | | 5799 | Other Action | 2 |
| 2015 0 | Speaker Signed | | | Other Action | 2 |
| 2015-S | Speaker Signed | | 5800 S | Second Reading | 10 |
| 2034 | • | | T | Third Reading Final Passage | |
| 2041-S | Messages | .4 3 | 5801-S S | Second Reading | 3 |
| | Messages | | T | Third Reading Final Passage | |
| 2048-S | Messages | | 5803-S S | Second Reading | 2 |
| 2061-S | _ | | Τ | Third Reading Final Passage | 2 |
| 2075-S | | | 5806-S S | Second Reading | |
| 2086-S | Messages | | T 5808-S | Third Reading Final Passage | |
| 2000-3 | Speaker Signed | | | Other Action | 2 |
| 2088 | Speaker Signed | | 5811 | Second Reading | 1′ |
| 2137 | Speaker Signed | 1 | Α | Amendment Offered | 17 |
| 2151-S | Messages | | T 5821 | Third Reading Final Passage | 17 |
| | Messages | | S | Second Reading | |
| 2156-S | Speaker Signed | 1 | | Amendment Offered Fhird Reading Final Passage | |
| 2165-S | | 5 | 5824 | | |
| 2216-S | Speaker Signed | 1 | | Second Reading | |
| | Messages | .4 | T | Third Reading Final Passage | |
| 2256-S | Speaker Signed | | 5829-S S | Second Reading | , |
| 2329-S | | | Τ | Third Reading Final Passage | |
| 2355-S | Messages | .1 5 | 5836 | Other Action | 2 |
| | Messages | .4 5 | 5838-S2 | | |
| 2368-S | Messages | .4 5 | 5842 | Other Action | 2 |
| 2433 | | | C | Other Action | 2 |
| 5213-S | Messages | .1 5 | 5853-S2 S | Second Reading | ? |
| | Other Action | | Ί | Fhird Reading Final Passage | 2 |
| 5271-S | Other Action | | 5881 C | Other Action | 2 |
| 5284-S | | 5 | 5882-S2 | | |
| | Other Action | .21 | (| Other Action | 2 |

| 5897 | Other Action | 1 |
|--------|-----------------------------|------------|
| 5908-S | Other Action | |
| | Second Reading | 8. |
| 5931-S | | |
| 5936-S | Other Action | |
| 5937-S | Other Action | 21 |
| 3737-3 | Second Reading1 | 8 |
| 5940-S | | |
| 5986-S | Other Action | 21 |
| | Second Reading | . 1 . 1 |
| 6006-S | Other Action | 21 |
| 6009-S | Second Reading | 3 |
| | Amendment Offered3, | 4 |
| 6013 | Third Reading Final Passage | .4 |
| 6015-S | Other Action | 21 |
| | Other Action | 21 |
| 6030 | Other Action | 21 |
| 6039-S | Other Action | |
| 6047-S | | |
| | Second Reading | 9 |
| 6058-S | 2 | |
| 6059-S | Other Action | 21 |
| 6079 | Other Action | 21 |
| 0079 | Second Reading | .9 |
| 6100-S | Third Reading Final Passage | .9 |
| 6109-S | Other Action | 21 |
| 0107-5 | Second Reading | 0 |
| | Amendment Offered | |
| 6120 | Third Reading Final Passage | . / |
| 6146-S | Other Action | 21 |
| 0140-3 | Second Reading | 9 |
| | Amendment Offered1 | 19 |
| 6192-S | | |
| | Second Reading | 9 |
| 6194-S | | |
| (220 | Other Action | 21 |
| 6229 | Second Reading | .8 |
| 6238 | Third Reading Final Passage | .8 |
| | Other Action | 21 |
| 6263 | Other Action | 21 |
| 6283 | Second Reading | 9 |
| 6286-S | Third Reading Final Passage | .9 |
| | Other Action | 21 |
| 6308 | Other Action | 21 |
| 6316-S | | |
| 8008 | | |
| | Other Action | <u>'</u> 1 |

| 8009-8 |
|--|
| Second Reading |
| Amendment Offered |
| Third Reading Final Passage10 |
| HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES (Representative Bronoske |
| presiding) |
| Statement for the Journal Representative Mena18 |
| HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES (Representative Orwall |
| presiding) |
| Statement for the Journal Representative Barkis |
| Statement for the Journal Representative Graham |
| SPEAKER OF THE HOUSE (Representative Bronoske presiding) |
| Speaker's Privilege |
| |