This report documents the results of an actuarial valuation of the retirement plans defined under Chapters 41.26, 41.32, 41.35, 41.37, 41.40, and 43.43 of the Revised Code of Washington (RCW). The primary purpose of this valuation is to determine contribution requirements for the retirement plans for the 2023-25 Biennium based on a June 30, 2021, measurement date, consistent with the prescribed funding policies. This valuation also provides information on the funding progress and developments in the plans over the past two years. This valuation report should not be used for other purposes. Please replace this report with a more recent report when available.

Future actuarial measurements may differ significantly from the current measurements presented in this report if plan experience differs from that anticipated by the assumptions, or if changes occur in the methods, assumptions, plan provisions, or applicable law. The Risk Assessment webpage provides further information on the range and likelihood of potential outcomes that vary from expected results. The Commentary on Risk webpage provides additional risk education.

The valuation results summarized in this report involve calculations that require assumptions about future economic and demographic events. We believe that the assumptions and methods used in the underlying valuation are reasonable and appropriate for the primary purpose stated above. However, the use of another set of assumptions and methods could also be reasonable and could produce materially different results. Actual results may vary from our expectations.

For all plans except for the Law Enforcement Officers’ and Fire Fighters’ Retirement System (LEOFF) Plan 2, the assumptions used in this valuation for investment return, inflation, salary growth, and membership growth were prescribed by the Pension Funding Council (PFC) and are subject to revision by the Legislature. For LEOFF Plan 2, these assumptions are prescribed by the LEOFF Plan 2 Retirement Board. Please see our 2021 Economic Experience Study report for further information on economic assumptions. We developed the demographic assumptions used in this valuation during the 2013-2018 Demographic Experience Study. The Legislature prescribed the actuarial cost and asset valuation methods. In our opinion, all methods, assumptions, and calculations are reasonable and are in conformity with generally accepted actuarial principles and standards of practice as of the date of this publication.

The Department of Retirement Systems (DRS) provided us with audited member and beneficiary data. We checked the data for reasonableness as appropriate based on the purpose of the valuation. The Washington State Investment Board (WSIB) and DRS provided audited financial and asset information. We relied on all the information provided as complete and accurate, however we did adjust the LEOFF Plan 2 assets to reflect an expected future transfer to the LEOFF 2
Trust Fund from the Benefit Improvement Account, defined in RCW 41.26.805, consistent with Substitute House Bill 1701 (Chapter 125, Laws of 2022). In our opinion, this information is adequate and substantially complete for purposes of this valuation.

The asset smoothing method adopted during the 2003 Legislative Session (Chapter 11, Laws of 2003, E1) was intended to address the volatility of contribution rates under the aggregate funding method when used in combination with the existing asset allocation policy of WSIB. The combination of the current asset smoothing method with any other funding method or asset allocation policy may not be appropriate. The Legislature may need to revisit the application of the current asset smoothing method with the Plan 1 funding method as the duration of liabilities in those plans becomes shorter.

The funding method prescribed by the Legislature for the Public Employees’ Retirement System (PERS) Plan 1 and the Teachers’ Retirement System (TRS) Plan 1 is a non-standard amortization method since it includes payroll outside the plan. Additionally, the funding method includes minimum contribution rates effective at the beginning of the 2015-17 Biennium. All contributions required under this method are necessary to fully amortize the Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability (UAAL) in these plans. Failure to make all future required contributions may result in premature plan insolvency.

The Plan 1 funding method for PERS 1 and TRS 1 is also non-standard in its use of the employer normal cost rate from the Plans 2/3 instead of the underlying Plan 1 employer normal cost rate. However, we find this method reasonable and appropriate given the limited remaining future salary in Plan 1 and the relatively short period for amortizing the UAAL. Furthermore, Plan 1 member normal cost rates are fixed in statute at 6 percent and the use of the Plan 2/3 employer normal cost for Plan 1 allows the Legislature to charge all employers the same contribution rate regardless of the plan in which employees hold membership (except for LEOFF).

The undersigned, with actuarial credentials, meet the Qualification Standards of the American Academy of Actuaries to render the actuarial opinions contained herein. While this report is intended to be complete, we are available to offer extra advice and explanations as needed.

Sincerely,

Matthew M. Smith, FCA, EA, MAAA
State Actuary

Michael T. Harbour, ASA, MAAA
Actuary